

Castelnuovo-Mumford 正則量とシジジーに関連する 話題について（代数学シンポジウム講演の拡大版）

宮崎 誓（熊本大学大学院先端科学研究部）

東京可換環論セミナー 2022 年 1 月 25 日

Outline

- 1 Castelnuovo-Mumford 正則量の導入
- 2 Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine の論文
- 3 Lazarsfeld の構成法と Generic Projection Method
- 4 Noma, Kwak-Park による \mathcal{O}_X -regularity 予想の解決
- 5 Castelnuovo-Mumford 正則量の漸近的性質
- 6 Buchsbaum 環の手法からのアプローチと射影多様体の分類
- 7 McCullough-Peeva による Eisenbud-Goto 予想の否定的解決と Rees-like Algebra
- 8 Castelnuovo-Mumford 正則量と Horrocks の判定法
- 9 Hoa 予想から Standard Buchsbaum へ

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Notation

k : an algebraically closed field

$S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$: the polynomial ring over k

$\mathfrak{m} = S_+ = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$

$\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } S$

Definition and Proposition (Mumford)

\mathcal{F} : a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^n , $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

\mathcal{F} is m -regular $\iff H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(m-i)) = 0, i \geq 1$

$\iff H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(j)) = 0, i \geq 1, i+j \geq m \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m)$ is generated by global sections

- $\text{reg } \mathcal{F} := \min\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathcal{F} \text{ is } m\text{-regular}\}$

- $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$: a projective scheme

$\text{reg } X := \text{reg } \mathcal{I}_X$: Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Definition and Proposition (Continued)

If \mathcal{F} is m -regular on \mathbb{P}^n , then we have

- (1) \mathcal{F} is $(m + 1)$ -regular, and
- (2) $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{F}(m + 1))$ is surjective.

Since $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}(\ell)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\ell)$ is surjective for $\ell \gg 0$, we have $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m)$ is surjective.

Remark

In order to extend the definitions of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, say multi-graded, weighted, Grassmannian, or globally generated ample line bundle, we should keep in mind whether the properties above work or not.

- D. Maclagan and G. Smith, Multigraded Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, J. Reine. Angew. Math. 571 (2004).

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Definition

M : a finitely generated graded S -module

$$a_i(M) = \max\{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [H_m^i(M)]_\ell \neq 0\}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n+1$$

$\text{reg } M = \max\{a_i + i \mid i = 0, \dots, n+1\}$: Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

$d(M)$: the maximal degree of the minimal generators of M

Notation

$X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$: a projective scheme

$I := \Gamma_* \mathcal{I}_X = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_X(\ell))$: the defining ideal of X

$R := S/I$: the coordinate ring of X

Remark

$$d(I) \leq \text{reg } I$$

$$\text{reg } X = \text{reg } \mathcal{I}_X = \text{reg } R + 1 = \text{reg } I$$

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Let us take a minimal free resolution of I as graded S -module.
The Syzygy Theorem gives the finiteness.

$$0 \rightarrow F_s \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$$

where, $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-\alpha_{i,j})$ is a graded free S -module.

Each map $F_{i+1} \rightarrow F_i$ is written as a matrix which components are homogeneous polynomials.

Theorem (cf. Eisenbud-Goto, Bayer-Mumford)

$$\text{reg } X = \max_{i,j} \{ \alpha_{i,j} - i \}$$

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity measures the complexity of the defining ideals of the projective varieties.

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Proposition (Eisenbud-Goto)

A graded S -module is m -regular if and only if $M_{\geq m} := \bigoplus_{\ell \geq m} M_\ell$ has an m -linear resolution, that is, the minimal free resolution of $M_{\geq m}$:

$$0 \rightarrow F_s \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M_{\geq m} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $F_i = \bigoplus S(-m-i)$ is a graded free S -module for $i = 0, \dots, s$.

Proof

From an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_{\geq m} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/M_{\geq m} \rightarrow 0$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^0(M_{\geq m}) \rightarrow H_m^0(M) \rightarrow M/M_{\geq m} \rightarrow H_m^1(M_{\geq m}) \rightarrow H_m^1(M) \rightarrow 0$$

and an isomorphism $H_m^i(M_{\geq m}) \cong H_m^i(M)$, $i \geq 2$.

Thus we have $M_{\geq m}$ is m -regular, which gives the minimal free resolution as desired.

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Remark

From Lazarsfeld's book, they have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m) \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{F}_1 is $(m+1)$ -regular. By repeating this process we have a linear resolution:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-m-2) \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-m-1) \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Definition

Let M be a finitely generated graded S -module.

Let \mathbf{F}_\bullet be the minimal free resolution, where $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$ and

$$\beta_{ij} = \dim_k[\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, k)]_j.$$

$\beta_{i,j}$ is called as Betti number, and Betti table is described $\beta_{i,i+j}$ in position (i, j) .

Remark

- $\mathrm{proj.dim}_S M = \max\{i \mid \beta_{ij} \neq 0\}$
- $\mathrm{reg} M = \max\{j \mid \beta_{i,i+j} \neq 0\}$
- Poincaré series of M is $P(M, t) = \sum_i h_M(i)t^i = \frac{\sum_i (-1)^i \beta_{ij} t^j}{(1-t)^{n+1}}$

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Example

$X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$: a complete intersection of type (d_1, \dots, d_r)

$$0 \rightarrow S(-d_1 - \dots - d_r) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1, \dots, r} S(-d_j) \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow 0$$

The Koszul complex arising from the defining equations gives the minimal free resolution of the defining ideal I .

$$\operatorname{reg} X = d_1 + \dots + d_r - r + 1$$

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Example

C : a rational normal curve

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni (s : t) \longrightarrow (s^3 : s^2t : st^2 : t^3) \in \mathbb{P}^3$$

The defining ideal I of C is an ideal generated by 2×2 -minors of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & w \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } S = k[x, y, z, w].$$

Let $f = yw - z^2$, $g = yz - xw$, $h = xz - y^2$.

Then the minimal free resolution of $I = (f, g, h)$ is

$$0 \rightarrow S(-3) \oplus S(-3) \xrightarrow{A} S(-2) \oplus S(-2) \oplus S(-2) \xrightarrow{[f \ g \ h]} S \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow 0$$

In this case we have $\text{reg } C = 2$

Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Example

- (1) In case a $(2, 3)$ -complete intersection X in \mathbb{P}^4 , $\text{reg } C = 4$ from the Betti table.

	0	1	2
0	1	-	-
1	-	-	-
2	-	1	-
3	-	1	-
4	-	-	1

- (2) In case a twisted cubic curve C in \mathbb{P}^3 , $\text{reg } C = 2$ from Betti table.

	0	1	2
0	1	-	-
1	-	-	-
2	-	3	2

General References

Reference

- D. Bayer and D. Mumford, What can be computed in Algebraic Geometry? Computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, CUP 1993.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9304003>
- D. Eisenbud, The geometry of Syzygies, Springer GTM 229, 2005
- R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity I, Chapter 1, Section 8, Springer, 2004.
- E. Miller and D. Perkinson, Eight Lectures on Monomial Ideals by B. Sturmfels, CoCoA Summer School 1999.
<https://services.math.duke.edu/~ezra/Queens/cocoa.pdf>
- D. Eisenbud and S. Goto, Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity. J. Algebra 88 (1984).

Regularity Conjecture

Remark

- (1) $\text{reg } X \geq 1$
- (2) If $X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}^n)$ is nondegenerate, that is, X is not contained in any hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^n , then $\text{reg } X \geq 2$.

Conjecture (Regularity Conjecture by Eisenbud-Goto)

X : a nondegenerate projective variety $\Rightarrow \text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1?$

Remark

'Irreducible' and 'Reduced' are necessary.

- (1) Skew lines in \mathbb{P}^3 , $I = (x, y) \cap (z, w) \subset k[x, y, z, w]$
- (2) A double line in \mathbb{P}^3 , $I = (xw - yz, x^2, xy, y^2) \subset k[x, y, z, w]$

$\text{reg } I = \text{deg } S/I = \text{ht } I = 2$.

Regularity Conjecture

Fact

- (1) $\dim X = 1$: Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine, 1983
- (2) $\dim X = 2$, smooth, $\text{char } k = 0$: Lazarsfeld, 1987
- (3) $\dim X = 3$, smooth, $\text{char } k = 0$,
 $\text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 2$: Kwak, 1998
- (4) $\dim X \leq 14$, smooth, $\text{char } k = 0$, $n = \dim X$,
 $\text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1 + (n - 1)(n - 2)/2$
 : Chiantini-Chiarli-Greco, 2000
- (5) $\dim X \geq 3$, singular, Conterexamples : McCullough-Peeva, 2018
- (6) Toric variety with $\text{codim } X = 2$: Peeva-Sturmfels, 1998

Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine

Theorem

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nondegenerate projective curve of degree d . Then $\text{reg } C \leq d + 2 - n$. The equality holds if and only if

- (1) $d = n$, that is, a rational normal curve
- (2) $d = n + 1$
- (3) $d > n + 1$, and C has a $(d + 2 - n)$ -secant line.

Theorem

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a nondegenerate projective curve of degree d . If $g = p_g(C) \geq 1$, then $\text{reg } C \leq d + 1 - n$ unless C is an elliptic normal curve.

Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskin

Reference

L. Gruson, C. Peskin and R. Lazarsfeld, On a theorem of Castelnuovo, and the equations defining space curves, *Invent. Math.* 72(1983)

Lemma

Let $p : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be the normalization of C . Let $\mathcal{M} = p^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$. Assume $H^1(\tilde{C}, \wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for some $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic } \tilde{C}$. Then $\text{reg } C \leq h^0(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma

Let $p : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$. Let $d = \deg p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$. Then there exists an ample line bundle \mathcal{A} such that $h^0(\mathcal{A}) = d + 2 - n$ and $h^1(\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 0$.

Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskiné

Sketch of Proof

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(1) = p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ and $V = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \subseteq H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(1))$.

Let $\pi : \tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ and $f : \tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projections.

Let Γ be the graph of $p : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n} & \rightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(1) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & f^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) & \rightarrow & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n} & \rightarrow & f^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(1) \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

The graph $\Gamma(\subseteq \tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n)$ is defined by $\pi^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{O}_C(1)$.

Then we have the exact sequence

$$\pi^* \mathcal{M} \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow 0.$$

After tensoring with $\pi^* \mathcal{A}$, we take the Koszul resolution

$$\pi^*(\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0.$$

Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine

Sketch of Proof

Then we have an exact sequence

$$H^0(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \xrightarrow{u} H^0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{J}(\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ be the Fitting ideal of $p_*\mathcal{A}$, that is, $\mathcal{J} = \text{Im } \wedge^{n_0} u$, $n_0 = h^0(\mathcal{A})$.

Note that $\text{Supp } p_*\mathcal{A} = C$.

Then we have the Eagon-Northcott complex of u

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n_0 - 2)^{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n_0 - 1)^{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n_0)^{\oplus} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0$$

such that ε is surjective and the complex is exact away from C , which gives \mathcal{J} is n_0 -regular, that is, \mathcal{I}_X is n_0 -regular,

Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskiné

Proposition

Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be locally free sheaves of $\text{rank } \mathcal{E} = e$ and $\text{rank } \mathcal{F} = f$ on a scheme X . Let $u: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Then there is a complex

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^e \mathcal{E} \otimes S^{e-f}(\mathcal{F}^*) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^{f+1} \mathcal{E} \otimes S^1(\mathcal{F}^*) \rightarrow \wedge^f \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \wedge^f \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

which is called as the Eagon-Northcott complex. If $u: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is surjective, then the complex is exact.

Reference

H. Clemens, J. Kollár and S. Mori, Higher Dimensional Complex Geometry, Asterisque 166, SMF, 1088,

Lecture 24: A Theorem of Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskiné and a Lemma of Lazarsfeld by L. Ein.

Generic Projection Method

Theorem

Let X be a nondegenerate smooth projective variety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$. If $n = \dim X \leq 14$, then $\text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1 + (n-2)(n-1)/2$.

Setup

Let $p : X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ be a generic projection.

Take a coordinate $(x_0 : \cdots : x_{n+1} : x_{n+2} : \cdots, x_N) \rightarrow (x_0 : \cdots : x_{n+1})$, we have the canonical maps:

- $\psi_0 : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_X$: a canonical map
- $\psi_1 = \sum_{n+2 \leq j \leq N} \phi_{x_j} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{\oplus} \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\phi_{x_j} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \xrightarrow{x_j} p_* \mathcal{O}_X$
- $\psi_2 = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq N} \phi_{x_i x_j} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2)^{\oplus} \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\phi_{x_i x_j} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2) \xrightarrow{x_i x_j} p_* \mathcal{O}_X$

Generic Projection Method

Setup

Take $w = \psi_0 + \psi_1 + \psi_2 : \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{\oplus} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2)^{\oplus} \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_X$.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^{n+1}}(-3) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^{n+1}}(-n)$.

If there is a surjective morphism $v : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_X$ such that $v|_{\mathcal{G}} = w$, then $\text{reg } X \leq d - N + n + 1 + (n-1)(n-2)/2$.

Lemma

If $p : X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}_C^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^{n+1}$ is 'good', there exists a surjective morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_X$.

Generic Projection Method

Definition and Theorem

Let $p : X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ be a projection. Let $S_j = \{z \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} \mid \deg p^{-1}(z) = j\}$. The projection p is said to be good if $\dim S_j \leq \max\{-1, n - j + 1\}$ for all j .

(Mather's Theory) If $n = \dim X \leq 14$, then p is good.

Reference

- R. Lazarsfeld, A sharp Castelnuovo bound for smooth surfaces, Duke Math. J. 55(1987)
- S. Kwak, Castelnuovo regularity for smooth subvarieties of dimensions 3 and 4. J. Algebraic Geom. 7 (1998)
- L. Chiantini, N. Chiarli and S. Greco, Bounding Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity for varieties with good general projections, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 152(2000)

Generic Projection Method

Example (Behesti-Eisenbud 2010)

Lazarsfeld has shown that the fibers $p^{-1}(z)$ of a generic projection $p : X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$, $n = \dim X$, can have exponentially greater degree.

Generic Projection Method seems not to work for higher dimensional cases of Eisenbud-Goto conjecture.

Reference

- R. Behesti and D. Eisenbud, Fibers of generic projections, *Compositio Math.*, 146(2010).
- J. Mather, Generic projections. *Ann. of Math.* 98(1973)

\mathcal{O}_X -regularity

Remark

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a nondegenerate projective variety. Let $m(\geq 2)$ be an integer. Then $\text{reg } X \leq m$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is $(m-1)$ -normal, that is, $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(m-1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(m-1))$ is surjective.
- (2) $\text{reg } \mathcal{O}_X \leq m-1$.

Theorem (Noma, Kwak-Park)

Let X be a nondegenerate smooth projective variety in \mathbb{P}^N over an algebraically closed field k of $\text{char } k = 0$. Then \mathcal{O}_X is $(\deg X - \text{codim } X)$ -regular.

In other words, $H^i(\mathcal{I}_X(m-i)) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, where $m = \deg X - \text{codim } X + 1$.

\mathcal{O}_X -regularity

Sketch of Proof

Let us put $n = \dim X$, $d = \deg X$, and $c = \operatorname{codim} X = N - n$.

Let us consider a generic inner projection $p : X(\subset \mathbb{P}^N) \cdots \rightarrow \bar{X}(\subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1})$.

Note that $\deg \bar{X} = d - c + 1$. Let us define the double point divisor from the inner projection (cf. Bayer-Mumford Technical Appendix Section 3, 4):

$$D_{\text{inn}} = -K_X + (d - n - c - 1)H.$$

Then D_{inn} is semiample and by Kodaira Vanishing, we have $\operatorname{reg} \mathcal{O}_X \leq d - c$.

Reference

- A. Noma, Generic inner projections of projective varieties and an application to the positivity of double point divisors., Trans. AMS, 366 (2014)
- Sijong Kwak and Jinhyung Park, A bound for Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity by double point divisors, Adv. Math. 364 (2020)

Asymptotic property of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

Theorem (Bertram-Ein-Lazarsfeld 1991)

Let V be a smooth projective variety of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ scheme-theoretically defined by hypersurfaces of degrees $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_r$. Then $H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_V^q(\ell)) = 0$ for $\ell \geq d_1 q + d_2 + \dots + d_r - n$.

Remark

The proof is difficult and obtained from the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. The result means $\text{reg } I^m \leq d_1 m + b$ for some b if a polynomial ideal I defines a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} .

Reference

- A. Bertram, L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld, Vanishing theorems, a theorem of Severi, and the equations defining projective varieties, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), 587 – 602.

Asymptotical Linearity of Regularity

The asymptotical linearity of the regularity had been believed to be true.

- K. Chandler, Regularity of the powers of an ideal, *Comm. Algebra*, 25 (1997), 3773 – 3776.
- I. Swanson, Powers of ideals: Primary decompositions, Artin-Rees lemma and regularity, *Math. Ann.* 307 (1997), 299 – 313.

Theorem (Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung 1999, Kodiyalam 2000)

Let I be a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then the regularity of I^m is asymptotically linear function, that is, there are integers d , b , s such that $\text{reg } I^m = dm + b$ for any $m \geq s$.

Remark

The striking theorem are proved independently by Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung and Kodiyalam. Later, there are several attempts to obtain d and b , and s .

Asymptotical Linearity of Regularity

Reference

- S. D. Cutkosky, J. Herzog and N. V. Trung, Asymptotic behaviour of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *Compositio Math.* 118 (1999), 243 – 261.
- V. Kodiyalam, Asymptotic behavior of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (2000), 407 –411.

Remark

We describe a proof of the Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung, Kodiyalam theorem.

The proof has 3 steps,

Step 1 surprisingly includes the Bertram-Ein-Lazarsfeld theorem.

Step 3 is the most complicated, depending on the method of Kodiyalam.

Asymptotical Linearity of Regularity

Sketch of Proof

STEP I. To prove $\text{reg } I^m \leq Am + B, m \gg 0$ for some constant A, B .

Suppose I is minimally generated by f_1, \dots, f_r with $\deg f_i = d_i$. Let $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_r]$ with bigrading $\deg X_i = (1, 0)$, $\deg T_j = (d_j, 1)$.

For a bigraded R -module $M = \bigoplus M_{(d,\ell)}$, $M^{(m)}$ is defined as $\bigoplus_d M_{(d,m)}$.

A bigraded R -algebra $R(I) = S[It]$ by $X_i \rightarrow x_i, T_j \rightarrow f_j t_j$ has $S[It]^{(m)} \cong I^m$.

$R(-a, -b)^{(m)} \cong R^{(m-b)}(-a) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell_1+\dots+\ell_r=m-b} S(-\ell_1 d_1 - \dots - \ell_r d_r - a)$.

By Hilbert syzygy theorem, a graded R -modules $S[It]$ has a grade free resolution $0 \rightarrow F_u \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow S[It] \rightarrow 0$, where $F_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} R(-a_{ij}, -b_{ij})$.

By taking $(-)^{(m)}$, we have the free resolution $0 \rightarrow F_u^{(m)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0^{(m)} \rightarrow I^m \rightarrow 0$.

Here $F_i^{(m)} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} \bigoplus_{\ell_1+\dots+\ell_s=m-b_{ij}} S(-\ell_1 d_1 - \dots - \ell_s d_s - a_{ij})$.

Thus we have $\text{reg } I^m \leq Am + B$, where $A = \max d_i$ and $B = \max\{a_{ij} - Ab_{ij} - i\}$.

Asymptotic Linearity of Regularity

Sketch of Proof

STEP II. Let J be a reduction of I , that is, $I^q = JI^{q-1}$ for some q . As in **STEP I**, through the surjective map $R \rightarrow S[Jt]$, the Rees algebra $S[It]$ is a finitely generated R -module. Then $\text{reg } I^m \leq d(J)m + b$ for $m \gg 0$ from **STEP I**

Let d be the minimum of $d(J)$ such that J is a reduction of I . We want to show

$$dm \leq \text{reg } I^m \leq dm + b, m \gg 0.$$

There exists $f \in I$ of (the largest) degree p such that $f^m \notin \mathfrak{m}I^m$ for all $m \geq 1$, which implies $d(I^m) \geq pm$.

So, we have only to show $p \geq d$, that is, there exists a reduction J of I with $d(J) \leq p$. In fact, let us take a minimal generator $f_1, \dots, f_r, \dots, f_t$ of I with $\deg f_1 \leq \dots \leq \deg f_r = p$ and otherwise $\deg f_i > p$.

Since $I^n = JI^{n-1} + (f_{r+1} + \dots + f_t)^n \subset JI + \mathfrak{m}I^n$ for $n \gg 0$, J is a reduction of I by Nakayama's lemma, and $\text{reg } I^m \geq d(I^m) \geq dm$.

Asymptotic Linearity of Regularity

Sketch of Proof

STEP III. From **STEP I** and **STEP II**, we have $\text{reg } I^m = dm + b_m$ for $m \gg 0$. We will show that b_m is constant for $m \geq 0$.

Take a reduction $J = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ as in **STEP II**.

Let $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_r]$ and consider $S[Jt] \subset S[It]$. Take the Koszul complex of the bigraded R -module $S[It]$ with respect to T_1, \dots, T_r .

Since the homology modules are annihilated by a power of (T_1, \dots, T_r) , by taking $(-)^{(m)}$ for $m \gg 0$, we have

$$0 \rightarrow I^{m-r}(-d_1 - \dots - d_r) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I^{m-1}(-d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus I^{m-1}(-d_r) \rightarrow I^m \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies $\text{reg } I^m \leq \max\{\text{reg } I^{m-1} + \max\{d_i\}, \text{reg } I^{m-2} + \max\{d_i + d_j\} + \dots + \text{reg } I^{m-r} + (d_1 + \dots + d_r)\}$.

Asymptotic Linearity of Regularity

Sketch of Proof

Since $\text{reg } I^k \leq dk + b_k$ and $d_{i_1} + \cdots + d_{i_s} \leq sd$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg } I^m &= dm + b_m \\ &\leq \max\{d(m-1) + b_{m-1} + d, d(m-2) + b_{m-2} + 2d - 1, \\ &\quad \cdots, d(m-r) + b_{m-r} + (m-r)d - (m-r-1)\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $b_m \leq \max\{b_{m-1}, b_{m-2} - 1, \cdots, b_{m-r} - (r-1)\}$.

Hence b_m is nonincreasing for $m \gg 0$, and b_m is constant for $m \gg 0$.

Asymptotic property and Geometry

Remark

Contrary to the ideal case, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{reg} \frac{\mathcal{I}_X^m}{m}$ can be taken an irrational number.

- S. D. Cutkosky, L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld. Positivity and complexity of ideal sheaves, Math. Ann. 321 (2001), 213 –234.

Theorem (Eisenbud-Harris 2010)

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be a linear projection whose center does not meet X , defined by a linear subspace V . Let $I \subset S$ be the ideal generated by V .

$$\max\{\operatorname{reg} \varphi^{-1}(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{P}^n\} = b + 1,$$

where b is the least integer $\mathfrak{m}^{t+b} \subseteq I^t$ for $t \gg 0$.

- D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, Power of ideals and fibers of morphisms, Math. Res. Lett. 17 (2010), 269 – 275.

Asymptotic property and Geometry

Lemma

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite morphism. Set $\mathcal{L} = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ and $V = \varphi^*(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$. Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent sheaf on X and $W \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$.

The following are equivalent:

- (1) For $t \gg 0$, the map $\text{Sym}_t(V) \otimes W \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^t \otimes \mathcal{M})$ is surjective.
- (2) For every closed point $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$, the restriction map $W \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{M}|_{\varphi^{-1}(x)})$ is surjective.

Sketch of Proof

(1) and (2) are equivalent to (3) $\mu : W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{M}$ is surjective.

Indeed, (3) means that $W \otimes \text{Sym}_t(V) \rightarrow \Gamma(\varphi_* \mathcal{M}(t))$ is surjective for $t \gg 0$. Also, $\Gamma(\varphi_* \mathcal{M}(t)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{L}^t)$, so (3) is equivalent to (1).

On the other hand, (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from the restriction of μ at $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$ and the finiteness of φ .

Asymptotic property and Geometry

Sketch of Proof (Eisenbud-Harris Theorem)

Let us take $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)$ and $W = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e))$ in Lemma.

(1) means there is an integer q such that $\mathrm{Sym}_t(V) \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(t+e))$ is surjective for $t \geq q$, in other words, $\mathfrak{m}^{t+e} \subset I^t$.

(2) means $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)|_{\varphi^{-1}(x)})$ is surjective, equivalently $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(e)) = 0$, that is, $\mathrm{reg} \varphi^{-1}(x) \leq e + 1$.

Example

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathrm{Proj} k[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite morphism by a linear system $V \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d))$.

Let I be an ideal of $k[x, y]$ generated by V .

Then $\mathrm{reg} I^m = dm + r - 1$ for $q \gg 0$, where r is the number of the fibers.

Asymptotic property and Geometry

Mather's generic projection theorem means the following in commutative algebra.

Proposition

Let R be a standard graded algebra with $\dim R = n + 1$ over \mathbb{C} , and $\text{Proj } R$ is smooth. If $I = (f_1, \dots, f_{n+2})$ is an ideal generated $n + 2$ generic forms of degree d , and $n \leq 14$, then $\mathfrak{m}^{t+n} \subset I^t$ for all $t \gg 0$.

Conjecture (Beheshti-Eisenbud 2008)

The regularity of a every fiber of a generic projection of a smooth projective variety X to \mathbb{P}^{n+c} , $c \geq 1$ is bounded by $1 + n/c$, where $\dim X = n$.

Let R be the coordinate ring of X . This conjecture is equivalent to $\mathfrak{m}^{t+\lceil n/c \rceil} \subset I^t$ for $t \gg 0$ for an ideal I generated by $n + 1 + c$ general linear forms.

Reference

- Z. Ran, Unobstructedness of filling secants and the Gruson-Peskine general projection theorem. Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), 697722.

References

Reference

- H. T. Hà, Asymptotic linearity of regularity and a^* -invariant of powers of ideals. *Math. Res. Lett.* 18 (2011), 1 – 9.
- D. Eisenbud and B. Ulrich, Notes on regularity stabilization. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 140 (2012), no. 4, 1221 – 1232.
- M. Chardin, Regularity stabilization for the powers of graded \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 143 (2015), 3343 – 3349.
- M. Chardin, Power of ideals and the cohomology of stalks and fibers of morphisms, *Algebra Number Theory*, 7 (2013), 1 – 18.
- S. Bisui, H. T. Hà and A. C. Thomas, Fiber invariants of projective morphisms and regularity of powers of ideals. *Acta Math. Vietnam.* 45 (2020), 183 – 198.

Regularity Bounds for Buchsbaum Variety

Definition

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective scheme, where $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } S$ and S is a polynomial ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} .

- (1) X is ACM if $H^i(\mathcal{I}_X(\ell)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq \dim X$ and ℓ .
- (2) X is Buchsbaum if for all r -planes L (successive hyperplane sections) with $\dim X \cap L = \dim X - \text{codim } L$,
 $\mathfrak{m}H_*^i(\mathcal{I}_{X \cap L}) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq \dim X \cap L$.

Theorem (Eisenbud-Goto 1984; Stückrad-Vogel 1988)

- (1) Assume X is an ACM variety, i.e., R is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1$.
- (2) Assume X is a Buchsbaum variety, i.e., R is Buchsbaum, then $\text{reg } X \leq \lceil (\text{deg } X - 1) / \text{codim } X \rceil + 1$

Regularity Bounds for Buchsbaum Variety

Theorem (Trung-Valla 1988, Nagel 1995; Yanagawa 1997, Nagel 1999; Miyazaki 2011)

- (1) An ACM variety X with $\deg X \gg 0$ and $\operatorname{reg} X = \lceil (\deg X - 1) / \operatorname{codim} X \rceil + 1$ is a divisor on a variety of minimal degree.
- (2) A Buchsbaum variety X with $\deg X \gg 0$ and $\operatorname{reg} X = \lceil (\deg X - 1) / \operatorname{codim} X \rceil + 1$ is a divisor on a variety of minimal degree.
- (3) A Buchsbaum variety X with $\deg X \gg 0$ and $\operatorname{reg} X = \lceil (\deg X - 1) / \operatorname{codim} X \rceil$ is a divisor either on a variety of minimal degree or on a Del Pezzo variety.

Regularity Bounds for Buchsbaum Variety

Remark

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is a variety of minimal degree, that is, $\deg X = \text{codim } X + 1$, then X is either (a) a quadric hypersurface, (b) the Veronese surface in \mathbb{P}^5 , (c) a rational normal scroll or (d) their cone.

Definition (from Fujita's Book)

$X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is called a Del Pezzo variety if

- $\deg X = \text{codim } X + 2$
- $X \cap L$ is an elliptic normal curve for a generic $(\text{codim } X + 1)$ -plane L
- only Gorenstein singularities, $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1 - n)$
- $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\ell)) = 0$ for all ℓ and $1 \leq q \leq \dim X - 1$

Classification in terms of Regularity Bound

Reference

- Stückrad and W. Vogel, Castelnuovo bounds for locally Cohen-Macaulay schemes. Math. Nachr. 136 (1988)
- L. T. Hoa and C. Miyazaki, Bounds on Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity for generalized Cohen-Macaulay graded rings. Math. Ann. 301 (1995).
- U. Nagel and P. Schenzel, Degree bounds for generators of cohomology modules and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. Nagoya Math. J. 152 (1998)
- C. Miyazaki, Buchsbaum varieties with next to sharp bounds on Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. Proc. AMS 139 (2011).

Regularity Bound

Sketch of Proof

$V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+\dim V}$: a Buchsbaum variety

$C = V \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{\dim V-1}$: a successive generic hyperplane section

$X = C \cap H \subseteq H(\cong \mathbb{P}^n)$: a generic hyperplane section.

$$\operatorname{reg} V = \operatorname{reg} C = \operatorname{reg} X$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg} X &= \min\{m \mid H^1(\mathcal{I}_X(m-1)) = 0\} \\ &= \min\{t \mid \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(t)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(t))\} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

X is in uniform position in $\operatorname{char} k = 0$ (linear semi-uniform position in $\operatorname{char} k > 0$).
Take a union of hyperplanes F such that $F \cap X = X \setminus \{P\}$ for any $P \in X$ in $\operatorname{char} k = 0$

Uniform Position Principle

Proposition

$$\operatorname{reg} X \leq \lceil (d-1)/n \rceil + 1$$

Sketch of Proof

In case $\operatorname{char} k = 0$, X is in uniform position. **Castelnuovo's method**

$$P \in X, \ell = \lceil (d-1)/n \rceil$$

Divide the points $X \setminus \{P\}$ into ℓ groups.

$$X \setminus \{P\} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n | P_{n+1}, \dots, P_{2n} | \dots | P_{(\ell-1)n+1}, \dots, P_{d-1}\}$$

Take ℓ hyperplanes: $H_i = \langle P_{n(i-1)+1}, \dots, P_{ni} \rangle \not\ni P, 1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

Let us take a union of hyperplanes $F = H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_\ell$.

Then we have $F \cap X = X \setminus \{P\}$ and $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_H(\ell)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(\ell))$ is surjective.

Generic Hyperplane Section of Projective Curve

Sketch of Proof

In case $\text{char } k > 0$, X is not necessarily in uniform position.

R : the the coordinate ring of X

$\underline{h} = (h_0, \dots, h_s)$ be the h -vector of R

$h_i = \dim_k [R]_i - \dim_k [R]_{i-1}$, where s is the largest integer such that $h_s \neq 0$.
 $h_0 = 1$, $h_1 = (n+1) - 1 = n$, $\deg X = h_0 + \dots + h_s = d$ and $s = \text{reg } X - 1$.

Lemma (Uniform Position Lemma(Griffiths-Harris, Ballico))

- $\text{char } k = 0$, $h_i \geq h_1$, $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$
- $\text{char } k > 0$, $h_1 + \dots + h_i \geq ih_1$, $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$

Reference

E. Ballico, On singular curves in the case of positive characteristic. Math. Nachr. 141 (1989)

Generic Hyperplane Section of Projective Curve

Proposition

- (1) $\text{reg } X \leq d - n + 1 (= \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1)$
- (2) $\text{reg } X \leq \lceil (d - 1)/n \rceil + 1$

Sketch of Proof

- (1) Since $h_i \geq 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq s$ and $h_1 = n$, we have

$$\text{reg } X = s + 1 \leq h_0 + h_1 + \cdots + h_s - n + 1 = d - n + 1.$$

- (2) Since $h_0 + \cdots + h_s = d$ and $h_1 + \cdots + h_{s-1} \geq (s - 1)h_1$,

$$\text{reg } X - 2 + h_s/h_1 = (s - 1) + h_s/h_1 \leq (h_1 + \cdots + h_{s-1})/h_1 + h_s/h_1 = (d - 1)/n.$$

Thus we have $\text{reg } X - 1 \leq \lceil (d - 1)/n \rceil$ as desired.

Castelnuovo, Eisenbud-Harris

Lemma (Castelnuovo, Eisenbud-Harris)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a generic hyperplane section of a curve.

- (1) If $\deg X \geq 2n + 1$ and $h_2 = h_1$, then X lies on a rational normal curve.
- (2) If $\deg X \geq 2n + 3$ and $h_2 = h_1 + 1$, then X lies on an elliptic normal curve.

Lemma (char $k = 0$ for simplicity)

- (1) $\deg X \geq n^2 + 2n + 2$ and $\text{reg } X = \lceil (\deg X - 1)/n \rceil + 1$
 $\Rightarrow X$ lies on a rational normal curve.
- (2) $\deg X \geq n^2 + 4n + 2$ and $\text{reg } X = \lceil (\deg X - 1)/n \rceil$
 $\Rightarrow X$ lies on an elliptic normal curve.

Castelnuovo, Eisenbud-Harris

Conjecture (Harris)

For $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, if $\deg X \geq 2n + 2m - 1$ and $h_2 = h_1 + m - 1$, X lies on a curve of degree at most $n + m - 1$.

Remark

What should we do in positive characteristic case?

$$C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$$

$X = C \cap H \subseteq H (\cong \mathbb{P}^n)$: a generic hyperplane section

If X is not in uniform position (it may happen only if $\text{char } k = p > 0$) and $\deg X \gg 0$, then $\text{reg } X \ll \lceil (d - 1)/N \rceil + 1$?

Sketch of the Proofs

Sketch of Proof

In case Z is generated by quadratic equations.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X = C \cap H & \subset & Z & \subset & H (\cong \mathbb{P}^n) \\ & & & & & & \\ & C & \subset & & \subset & \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \end{array}$$

We have to show

- (1) $\Gamma(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(2)) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{I}_{X/H}(2))$.
- (2) $\Gamma(\mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(2)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{I}_{X/H}(2))$ is surjective.

Keypoints

- Uniform Position Lemma, Castelnuovo's Lemma, Eienbud-Harris' Lemma
- Socle Lemma

Socle Lemma

Theorem (Socle Lemma(Huneke-Ulrich J. Alg. Geom. 1993))

Let $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field k , $\text{char } k = 0$.

Let M be a finitely generated graded S -module.

For a generic element $h \in [S]_1$,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi \rightarrow M(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow \text{Coker } \varphi \rightarrow 0$$

where $\varphi = \cdot h$.

If $\text{Ker } \varphi \neq 0$, then $a_-(\text{Ker } \varphi) > a_-(\text{Soc}(\text{Coker } \varphi))$,

where $\text{Soc}(N) = [0 : \mathfrak{m}]_N$ and $a_-(N) = \min\{i \mid [N]_i \neq 0\}$ for a finitely generated graded S -module N .

A Small Theorem

Definition

C : a projective curve in \mathbb{P}^n

$M(C) = H^1_* \mathcal{I}_C = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(\mathcal{I}_C(\ell))$: Hartshorne-Rao module

$k(C) = \min\{v \geq 0 \mid \mathfrak{m}^v M(C) = 0\}$

Proposition

Let C be a nondegenerate non-ACM space curve in \mathbb{P}^3 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Then $\text{reg } C \leq \lceil (\text{deg } C - 1) / \text{codim } C \rceil + k(C)$.

If $\text{deg } C \geq 10$ and $\text{reg } C = \lceil (\text{deg } C - 1) / \text{codim } C \rceil + k(C)$, then C is a divisor of either $(a, a + 2)$ or $(a, a + 3)$ on a smooth quartic surface $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Counterexamples

Theorem (McCullough-Peeva)

Over any field k the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of nondegenerate homogeneous prime ideals is not bounded by any polynomial function of the multiplicity.

Corollary

There is a nondegenerate projective variety X in \mathbb{P}^n such that $\text{reg } X > \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1$.

Reference

- J. McCullough and I. Peeva, Counterexamples to the Eisenbud-Goto regularity conjecture, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (2018)
- J. McCullough and I. Peeva, The regularity conjecture for prime ideals in polynomial rings, EMS Survey Math. Sci. 7 (2020).

Counterexamples

Construction Method

- (1) Take a bad ideal, that is, I is a homogeneous ideal of the standard polynomial ring S such that $\text{reg } I \gg \text{deg } S/I$, but I not prime.
- (2) By using Rees-like algebra (or Rees algebra), take a homogeneous prime ideal P of the non-standard (weighted) polynomial ring T with $\text{reg } P$ and $\text{deg } T/P$ computable from $\text{reg } I$ and $\text{deg } S/I$.
- (3) By step-by-step homogenization (or prime standardization), take a homogeneous prime ideal $P' = PT'$ of the standard polynomial ring T' with $\text{reg } P' = \text{reg } P$ and $\text{deg } T'/P' = \text{deg } T/P$.

Counterexamples

Proposition (cf. Bayer-Mumford)

Let I be a homogenous ideal of $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Then we have

- (1) $\text{char } k = 0, \text{reg } I \leq (2^{\max\text{deg}(I)})^{2^{n-1}}$
- (2) $\text{char } k > 0, \text{reg } I \leq (2^{\max\text{deg}(I)})^{n!}$

Example (Mayr-Meyer 1984)

There is an ideal I of $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ with $\max\text{deg}(I) = 4$ and $\text{reg } I \geq 2^{2^n} - 1$.

Example (Jee Koh 1998)

In the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_{22r-1}]$, there is an ideal I_r generated by 23 quadrics and one linear form such that $\max\text{deg}(\text{Syz}_1(I_r)) \geq 2^{2^{r-1}}$.

Rees Algebra

Definition

Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ be an ideal of the polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

The Rees algebra of I is defined as $R(I) = S[It] (= \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} I^d) \subset S[t]$.

$\text{Proj } R(I)$ is the blowing up of \mathbb{A}^n along I . The defining ideal P is the kernel of $\varphi : S[y_1, \dots, y_r] \rightarrow S[It]$ by $\varphi(y_i) = f_i t$.

P is, in general, difficult to compute.

Example (McCullough-Peeva)

Let $I = (u^6, v^6, u^2 w^4 + v^2 x^4 + uvwy^3 + uvxz^3)$ be an ideal of $S = k[u, v, w, x, y, z]$. Let us take a defining prime ideal P of $T = S[w_1, w_2, w_3]$ of the Rees algebra $S[It]$. By Bertini Theorem, we have a singular 3-fold X in \mathbb{P}^5 with $\deg X = 31$ and $\text{reg } X \geq 38$ by computation with Macaulay2.

Rees-like Algebra

Definition

Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ be an ideal of the polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

The Rees-like algebra of I is defined as $\mathcal{RL}(I) = S[It, t^2] \subset S[t]$.

The defining ideal Q is the kernel of $\psi : T = S[y_1, \dots, y_r, z] \rightarrow S[It, t^2]$ by $\psi(y_i) = f_i t$ and $\psi(z) = t^2$, where $\deg y_i = \deg f_i + 1$ and $\deg z = 2$.

NOT standard graded!

Example

Let $I = (x)$ be an ideal of $k[x]$. Then $\mathcal{RL}(I) = k[x, xt, t^2]$ and $P = (y^2 - x^2z)$ in $k[x, y, z]$.

Rees-like Algebra

Theorem

- (1) $\text{reg } T/Q = \text{reg } S/I + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \text{deg } f_i$
- (2) $\text{deg } T/Q = 2 \prod_{i=1}^r (\text{deg } f_i + 1)$
- (3) $\text{ht } Q = r$

Sketch of Proof

The prime ideal Q of $T = k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_r, z]$ is minimally generated by

$\{y_\alpha y_\beta - z f_\alpha f_\beta \mid 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r\}$ and $\{\sum c_{ij} y_i \mid \sum c_{ij} f_i = 0\}$,

where the minimal free resolution of P as a graded S -module is

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{(c_{ij})} F_0 \xrightarrow{(f_i)} P \rightarrow 0.$$

Rees-like Algebra

Sketch of Proof

Since Q is homogeneous prime, z is a nonzerodivisor of T/Q .

Let $\bar{T} = T/(z)$ and $\bar{Q} = Q\bar{T}$.

Then the graded Betti numbers of T/Q and \bar{T}/\bar{Q} is the same!

Now we have a homogeneous prime ideal \bar{Q} in $\bar{T} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_r]$. The prime ideal \bar{Q} is generated by $M = (\{\sum_i c_{ij}y_i\})$ and $N = (\{y_i y_j\}) = (y_1, \dots, y_r)^2$.

The minimal free resolution of \bar{T}/\bar{Q} is constructed as the mapping cone of that of $(M + N)/N \rightarrow \bar{T}/N$, which is explicitly described.

In fact, the minimal \bar{T} -free resolution of $M + N/N (\cong M/M \cap N)$ comes from the minimal S -free resolution of $\text{Syz}_1^S I$.

The minimal free resolution of \bar{T}/\bar{Q} is the Eagon-Northcott resolution.

Homogenization

Definition and Proposition (Step-by-step homogenization)

Let $T = k[y_1, \dots, y_p]$ be the polynomial ring with $\deg y_i > 1$ for $i \leq q$ and $\deg y_i = 1$ for $i > q$. Let $T' = k[y_1, \dots, y_p, v_1, \dots, v_q]$ be the standard polynomial ring. Let $\nu : T \rightarrow T'$ be a graded homomorphism by $\nu(y_i) = y_i v_i^{\deg y_i - 1}$ for $i \leq q$ and $\nu(y_i) = y_i$ for $i > q$.

Let P be a homogeneous prime ideal of T . Then PT' is a homogeneous prime ideal of T' , and the graded Betti numbers of T/P and T'/P' is the same.

Remark

There is another homogenization preserving the graded Betti numbers. Mantero-McCullough-Miller use the **Prime Standization** by the Ananyan-Hochster theory (homogeneous prime sequence) to controll the singular locus.

Homogenization

Example (Affine Monomial Curve)

Let $P = (x^2 - y, xy - z)$ in $S = k[x, y, z]$, which is the kernel of $\varphi : S \rightarrow k[t]$ by $\varphi(x) = t$, $\varphi(y) = t^2$ and $\varphi(z) = t^3$.

Let us take a non-standard grading $\deg x = 1$, $\deg y = 2$ and $\deg z = 3$. Then it is graded, and $\operatorname{reg} P = 4$ since the minimal free resolution is:

$$0 \rightarrow S(-5) \rightarrow S(-2) \oplus S(-3) \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/P \rightarrow 0.$$

- (1) Traditional homogenization gives $P' = (x^2 - yw, xy - zw, xz - y^2)$ in $S' = k[x, y, z, w]$, which is a twisted cubic curve, and $\operatorname{reg} P' = 2$.
- (2) Step-by-step homogenization gives $Q = (x^2 - yu, wyu - zu^2)$ in $T = k[x, y, z, u, w]$, which is a complete intersection, and $\operatorname{reg} Q = 4$.

Counterexamples

Sketch of Proof (McCullough-Peeva Theorem)

From Jee Koh's example we have homogeneous prime ideals P_r of the standard polynomial ring R_r such that

- $\deg R_r/P_r \leq 4 \cdot 3^{22r-3} < 2^{50r}$
- $\operatorname{reg} P_r \geq \max\deg(P_r) \geq 2^{2^{r-1}} + 1 > 2^{2^{r-1}},$

which yields the assertion of the McCullough-Peeva theorem.

Horrocks Criterion

Theorem (Horrocks 1964)

Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^n of rank r .

Assume that \mathcal{E} is ACM, that is, $H_*^i(\mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{E}(\ell)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.
Then \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles.

Remark

There are several proofs for Horrocks Theorem.

- Horrocks' original proof
- Induction on the dimension of projective space (cf. Okonek-Schneider-Spindler)
- Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem (cf. Matsumura)
- Use the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

Horrocks Criterion

Proof (cf. Okonek-Schneider-Spindler)

We will prove by induction on n . $n = 1$ is the Grothendieck Theorem.

For $n \geq 2$, let us take $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a_i)$ from an isomorphism $\mathcal{E}|_H \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_H(a_i)$. Then we have only to take a section of $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})$ by using the hypothesis of induction.

Proof (Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem 1958)

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring.

Let M be a finitely generated R -module with $\text{proj dim } M < \infty$.

Then $\text{depth } M + \text{proj dim } M = \text{depth } R$.

Horrocks Criterion

Proof (Horrocks Criterion using Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity)

Let \mathcal{E} be an ACM vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^n .

Assume that \mathcal{E} is m -regular but not $(m-1)$ -regular.

Then we have a surjective map $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(m)$.

Since \mathcal{E} is ACM, we have $H^n(\mathcal{E}(m-1-n)) \neq 0$, and $H^0(\mathcal{E}^\vee(-m)) \neq 0$ by Serre duality.

Thus we have a nonzero map $\psi : \mathcal{E}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$.

Since $\psi \circ \varphi$ is nonzero, it splits.

Hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{E}(m)$.

Horrocks Correspondence

Theorem (Horrocks, Walter, Malaspina-Rao)

Let \mathbf{VB} be the category of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^n modulo stable equivalence. Here vector bundles \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n are stable equivalent if there are direct sums of line bundles \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{M}$.

Let us write \mathbf{FinL} for the full subcategory of $C^\bullet \in \text{Ob}(D^b(S\text{-Mod}))$ such that $H^i(C^\bullet)$ is a finite over S for $0 < i < n$ and $H^i(C^\bullet) = 0$ for all other i .

Then we have the following Horrocks correspondence:

A functor $\tau_{>0}\tau_{<n}\mathbb{R}\Gamma_* : \mathbf{VB} \rightarrow \mathbf{FinL}$ gives an equivalence of the categories.

Sketch of Proof (Walter, Malaspina-Rao)

Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } S = \text{Proj } k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$.

Let us put $E = \Gamma_*\mathcal{E}$.

A graded S^\vee -module E^\vee is (negatively graded, but) E^\vee is finitely generated with finite projective dimension.

Horrocks Correspondence

Sketch of Proof (Walter, Malaspina-Rao)

Note that $\text{depth } E^\vee \geq 2$ since $E^{\vee\vee\vee} = E^\vee$.

By Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow P^{n-1\vee} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{0\vee} \rightarrow E^\vee \rightarrow 0,$$

where $P^{i\vee}$ is a dual of a graded free S -modules.

By taking dual, we have a complex of graded S -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus we have an exact sequence of sheaves on \mathbb{P}^n .

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

Horrocks Correspondence

Sketch of Proof (Walter, Malaspina-Rao)

A complex $P^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ have $H_*^i(\mathcal{E}) \cong H^i(P^\bullet)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, precisely, $\tau_{<n}\mathbb{R}\Gamma_*\mathcal{E} \cong P^\bullet$.

Then a complex $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ and the minimal free resolution of E , $0 \rightarrow P^{-n} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$, give a complex of graded S -modules

$$P^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow P^{-n} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here we remark that $H^i(P^\bullet)$ has a finite length, especially $H^i(P^\bullet) = 0$, $i \notin \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Corollary

From the Horrocks correspondence, the vanishing of the intermediate cohomologies of a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n , that is, $\tau_{>0}\tau_{<n}\mathbb{R}\Gamma_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ implies that \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles, which is the original Horrocks theorem.

Buchsbaum Bundle

Definition

A vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n is called a Buchsbaum bundle if $(x_0, \dots, x_n)H_*^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{E}|_L) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$ for any r -plane $L(\subseteq \mathbb{P}^n)$, $r = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition and Proposition (Stückrad-Vogel, Schenzel)

Let $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over a field k with $\mathfrak{m} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$. A graded S -module M with $\dim M = d$ is called as a Buchsbaum module if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied.

- (i) $\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}M) - e(\mathfrak{q}; M)$ does not depend on the choice of any homogeneous parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q} = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$.
- (ii) For any homogeneous system y_1, \dots, y_d , $0 \leq i \leq d$ of parameters $\mathfrak{m}H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M/(y_1, \dots, y_i)M) = 0$, $0 \leq j \leq d - i - 1$ holds.
- (iii) $\tau_{<d}\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is isomorphic to a complex of k -vector spaces in $D^b(S\text{-Mod})$.

Buchsbaum Bundle

Theorem (Goto-Chang)

A Buchsbaum bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n is isomorphic to a direct sum of sheaves of differential form, that is, $\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{k_i}(\ell_i)$.

Remark

There are several proofs for the structure theorem of Buchsbaum bundles on \mathbb{P}^n ..

- S. Goto, Maximal Buchsbaum modules over regular local rings and a structure theorem for generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules, ASPM 11(1987)
- M. C. Chang, Characterization of arithmetically Buchsbaum subschemes of codimension 2 in \mathbb{P}^n , J. Differential Geom. 31 (1990), 323–341.
- Horrocks Correspondence (Schenzel, Yoshino)
- Syzygy Theoretic Proof

Horrocks Correspondence

Question

Are there any criteria?

- Null-Correlation bundle on \mathbb{P}^n , n odd?
- Horrocks-Mumford bundle on \mathbb{P}^4 ?

Reference (Horrocks Correspondence)

- F. Malaspina and A. P. Rao, Horrocks correspondence on arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay varieties, Algebra Number Theory 9(2015).
- C. H. Walter, Pfaffian subschemes, J. Algebraic Geom. 5(1996).
- Y. Yoshino, Maximal Buchsbaum modules of finite projective dimension, J. Algebra 159(1993).
- F. Malaspina and C. Miyazaki, Cohomological property of vector bundles on biprojective spaces, Ric. mat. 67(2018).

Key Lemma of Goto-Chang's Proof

Lemma (Goto (3.5.2), Chang (1.3))

Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^n with $H_*^1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. Assume that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$, where \mathcal{L} is a direct sum of line bundles not being any summand of \mathcal{E} , and $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{p_j \geq 1} \Omega^{p_j}(k_j)$. Then we have $\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_{p_j \geq 1} \Omega^{p_j+1}(k_j)$.

Goto's Proof

Sketch of Proof

Set $M = \Gamma_*(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } S$, where $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. We have only to consider the case M is not Cohen-Macaulay.

STEP I. Let us take a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where F is graded free. Since N is Buchsbaum and $\text{depth } N \geq \text{depth } M + 1$, N is isomorphic to a direct sum of syzygy modules $E_j(k)$ by induction. By taking the dual sequence $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow N^* \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Ext}_S^1(M, S) \rightarrow 0$, we have short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow N^* \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Ext}_S^1(M, S) \rightarrow 0$.

STEP II. W is isomorphic to a direct sum of some copies of $E_j(\ell)$'s. Indeed, we see that $\partial(E_j) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\mathfrak{m}\text{Ext}_S^1(M, S) = 0$ from the Buchsbaumness of M and the property of Koszul complex.

STEP III. Hence \widetilde{M}^* is isomorphic to a direct sum of sheaves of differential p -forms with some twist by Lemma, and so is \mathcal{E} .

Chang's Proof

Sketch of Proof

STEP I. Let \mathcal{E} be a Buchsbaum vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^n . If $H_*^1(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$, there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$, where $H_*^1(\mathcal{F})$ and \mathcal{L} is a direct sum of line bundles.

STEP II. The minimal generator of $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}^\vee)$ give a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0$, where \mathcal{L} is a sum of line bundles. Then \mathcal{K} is Buchsbaum with $i(\mathcal{K}) = i(\mathcal{E}) - 1$, where $i(\mathcal{E})$ is defined as the minimal i such that $H_*^p(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $i+1 \leq p \leq n-1$. Thus we have \mathcal{K} is isomorphic to a direct sum of $\Omega^{p_j}(k_j)$'s, and so is \mathcal{F} by Lemma.

STEP III. A short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$ gives the assertion by using STEP II and the Buchsbaum property of \mathcal{E} .

Null-Correlation Bundle

Definition

Let n be an odd number. Let $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. From the Euler sequence, we see that $\Gamma(\Omega(2))$ is the kernel of $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^{\oplus n+1} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2))$.

Then $(x_1, -x_0, x_3, -x_2, \dots, x_n, -x_{n-1}) \in \Gamma(\Omega(2))$ gives a map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \Omega(2)$, which defines, by taking dual, a surjective morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$.

A null-correlation bundle \mathcal{N} is defined as $\text{Ker } \varphi$, that is, gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) (\cong \Omega^{n-1}(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark

A null-correlation bundle \mathcal{N} is quasi-Buchsbaum but not Buchsbaum.

In fact, the intermediate cohomologies appear only in $H^1(\mathcal{N}(-1)) (\cong k)$ and $H^{n-1}(\mathcal{N}(-n)) (\cong k)$.

Null-Correlation Bundle

Proposition

Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^n with n odd. Assume $H_*^1(\mathcal{E}) \cong H_*^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}) \cong k$ and $H_*^i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. Then \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to either a null-correlation bundle or a direct sum of a differential 1-form and $(n-1)$ -form with some twist, modulo stable equivalence.

Remark

For a null-correlation bundle \mathcal{E} , which is quasi-Buchsbaum not Buchabaum, how about Goto-Chang's proof?

- (1) In STEP II of Goto's proof, $\partial(E_i)$ is not necessarily zero because $\text{Ext}_S^n(k, M) \rightarrow H_m^n(M)$ is zero for an S -module M corresponding to a null-correlation bundle.
- (2) In Chang's proof, \mathcal{F} must be a direct sum of differential $(n-1)$ -form with some twist, and then \mathcal{E} is seen to be a null-correlation bundle.

Horrocks-Mumford bundle

Definition

A Horrocks-Mumford bundle \mathcal{E} is defined by a monad from the following complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1)^{\oplus 5} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 5} \rightarrow 0$$

given by $\varphi(a_0, \dots, a_4) = (a_0 e_2 \wedge e_3 + \dots + a_4 e_1 \wedge e_2, a_0 e_1 \wedge e_4 + \dots + a_4 e_0 \wedge e_3)$,
and ψ given by dual of φ .

Remark

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & \mathcal{E} & \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1)^{\oplus 5} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^{\oplus 2} & \rightarrow & \text{Coker } \varphi \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Ker } \psi & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 5} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & \mathcal{E} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Horrocks-Mumford bundle

Remark

From the previous observation we have

- $H_*^1(\mathcal{E}) \cong \text{Coker}(\Omega_5^2(2)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{S}^{\oplus 5})$
- $H_*^2(\mathcal{E}) \cong H_*^2(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^{\oplus 2}) \cong k^{\oplus 2}$
- $H_*^3(\mathcal{E})$ is isomorphic to the dual of $H_*^1(\mathcal{E})$.

Here is a cohomology table of $H^i(\mathcal{E}(\ell))$.

	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	0	2	10	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	10	2	0

Question

Find criteria for Horrocks-Mumford bundle in terms of commutative algebra.

Syzygy Theoretic Method

Proposition

Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^n with $H^p(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$, where $1 \leq p \leq n-1$.
If a vector bundle \mathcal{E} has the following condition:

- (a) $H^i(\mathcal{E}(p-i+1)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.
- (b) $H^i(\mathcal{E}(p-i-1)) = 0$ for $p \leq i \leq n-1$,

then $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p$ is a direct summand of \mathcal{E} .

Sketch of Proof

By an exact sequence arising from the Koszul complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus}(1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus}(p) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{pV} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have a surjective map $\varphi : H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{pV}) \rightarrow H^p(\mathcal{E})$ from the cohomological condition (a).

Syzygy Theoretic Method

Sketch of Proof

By an exact sequence arising from the Koszul complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus}(-n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus}(-p-1) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p \rightarrow 0,$$

we have a surjective map $\psi : H^0(\mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p) \rightarrow H^p(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}(-n-1))$ from the cohomological condition (b).

$\exists f \in H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{p\vee})$ such that $\varphi(f) = s (\neq 0) \in H^p(\mathcal{E})$.

$\exists s^* \in H^{n-p}(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}(-n-1))$ corresponding to $s \in H^m(\mathcal{E})$.

$\exists g \in H^0(\mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p)$ such that $\psi(g) = s^* (\neq 0) \in H^{n-p}(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}(-n-1))$.

Now f and g are regarded as elements of $\text{Hom}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p, \mathcal{E})$ and $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p)$.

Syzygy Theoretic Method

Proof

From a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 f \otimes g \in H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{p\vee}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 s \otimes s^* \in H^p(\mathcal{E}) \otimes H^{n-p}(\mathcal{E}^\vee(-n-1)) & \rightarrow & H^n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n-1)),
 \end{array}$$

a natural map $H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{p\vee}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ yields that $g \circ f$ is an isomorphism, which implies $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p$ is a direct summand of \mathcal{E} .

Multigraded Regularity, Syzygy Theoretic Method

Exercise

There are no vector bundles \mathcal{E} on $X = \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $H^i(\mathcal{E}(l_1, l_2)) = 0$ for all $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq i \leq m + n - 1$.

Theorem (Costa-Miró Roig 2005,2008; Malaspina-Miyazaki 2018)

Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$ with $H^{p+q}(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$, where $1 \leq p \leq m - 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$.

If a vector bundle \mathcal{E} has the following condition:

- (a) $H^i(\mathcal{E}(a, b)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p + q$, $0 \leq a \leq p$, $0 \leq b \leq q$ with $i + a + b = p + q + 1$.
- (b) $H^i(\mathcal{E}(a, b)) = 0$ for $p + q \leq i \leq m + n - 1$, $p - m \leq a \leq 0$, $q - n \leq b \leq 0$ with $i + a + b = p + q - 1$,

then $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^m}^p \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^q$ is a direct summand of \mathcal{E} .

Multigraded Regularity, Syzygy Theoretic Method

Example

Let \mathcal{E} be an indecomposable vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $\mathcal{E} \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}$.
- (b) $H^2(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ and $H^1(\mathcal{E}(1, 1)) = H^2(\mathcal{E}(0, 1)) = H^2(\mathcal{E}(1, 0)) = H^2(\mathcal{E}(-1, 0)) = H^2(\mathcal{E}(0, -1)) = H^3(\mathcal{E}(-1, -1)) = 0$.

Example

- (1) $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is not Buchsbaum (but quasi-Buchsbaum). In this case $H^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) \neq 0$ and $H^2(\mathcal{E}(-4)) \neq 0$.
- (2) $\mathcal{E} = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is Buchsbaum, but $H^1(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ and $H^3(\mathcal{E}(-3)) \neq 0$.

ν -Buchsbaum

Definition

$S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$: the polynomial ring over k

$\mathfrak{m} = S_+ = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$

M : a finitely generated graded S -module of $\dim M = d + 1$

$\nu (\geq 1) \in \mathbb{Z}$: a positive integer

M is ν -Buchsbaum $\iff \mathfrak{m}^\nu H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq d$

M is strongly ν -Buchsbaum \iff for all homogeneous system of parameters $\{f_1, \dots, f_{d+1}\}$, $M/(f_1, \dots, f_j)M$ is ν -Buchsbaum for $j = 0, \dots, d$

Question (Hoa)

If a nondegenerate projective variety X is “strongly ν -Buchsbaum”, then $\text{reg } X \leq \lceil (\deg X - 1)/\text{codim } X \rceil + \nu$?

ν -Buchsbaum

Definition

$X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$: a projective scheme

I : the defining ideal of X

R : the coordinate ring of X

ν : a positive integer

- X is ν -Buchsbaum $\iff R$ is ν -Buchsbaum
- X is strongly ν -Buchsbaum $\iff R$ is strongly ν -Buchsbaum

Remark

- X is strongly ν -Buchsbaum $\Rightarrow X$ is ν -Buchsbaum.
- X is Buchsbaum $\iff X$ is strongly 1-Buchsbaum.
- X is ν -Buchsbaum $\Rightarrow X \cap H$ is 2ν -Buchsbaum.
- (Chang, Nagel) There is a projective scheme X such that X is not ν -Buchsbaum but $X \cap H$ is ν -Buchsbaum for a generic hyperplane H .

Bounds on the Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity

Theorem (Nagel-Schenzel 1998)

Let X be a nondegenerate projective variety in \mathbb{P}^n . Assume that X is ν -Buchsbaum with $\nu \geq 1$. Then

$$\text{reg } X \leq \lceil (\text{deg } X - 1) / \text{codim } X \rceil + \nu \dim X.$$

Theorem (Miyazaki-Vogel 1996)

Let X be a nondegenerate projective variety in \mathbb{P}^n . Assume that X is strongly ν -Buchsbaum with $\nu \geq 1$. Then

$$\text{reg } X \leq \lceil (\text{deg } X - 1) / \text{codim } X \rceil + (\nu - 1) \dim X + 1.$$

Remark

In case $\nu \geq 2$, if the equality holds for $\text{deg } X \gg 0$, then X is a curve.

Bounds on the Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity

Notation

$S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$: the polynomial ring

M : a finitely generated graded S -module with $\dim M = d + 1 \geq 1$, equidimensional, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$.

Definition

$a_i(M) = \max\{\ell | [H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)]_{\ell} \neq 0\}$, $i \geq 0$

$a(M) = a_{d+1}(M)$: a -invariant of M

$\text{reg } M = \max\{a_i(M) + i | 0 \leq i \leq d + 1\}$

Remark

$X (\subseteq \mathbb{P}^n)$: a projective scheme, R : the coordinate ring of X

$\text{reg } X = \text{reg } R + 1$

Bounds on the Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity

Proposition (Nagel-Schenzel, Hoa-Miyazaki, Miyazaki-Vogel)

- (1) If M is ν -Buchsbaum, then $a_i(M) \leq a(M) + d + 1 + \nu(d + 1 - i)$, $0 \leq i \leq d$.
- (2) If M is strongly ν -Buchsbaum, then $a_i(M) + i \leq a(M) + d + 1 + (\nu - 1)(d + 1 - i) + 1$, $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Example

Let $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2^{d+1}-1}$.

Let X be a divisor corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_Y(n_0, \dots, n_d)$, where $n_j = 1 + (\nu + 1)j$.

Let R be the coordinate ring of X .

Then R is ν -Buchsbaum, $a_i(R) = (\nu + 1)(d - i + 1) - 1$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, $a(R) = -1$ and $\text{reg } R = (\nu + 1)d$.

Standard System of Parameters

Definition

Let M be a graded S -module of $\dim M = d + 1 \geq 1$. A system of parameters $\{x_0, \dots, x_d\}$ for M is called standard if

$$(x_0, \dots, x_d)H_m^i(M/(x_0, \dots, x_j)M) = 0, i + j \leq d.$$

Definition

An \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal \mathfrak{q} is M -standard if any system of parameters contained in \mathfrak{q} is standard.

Standard System of Parameters

Definition

Let r be a positive integer. A part of a system of parameters $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ is called r -standard if for any $0 \leq j \leq r$ and any choice y_{k_1}, \dots, y_{k_j} ,
 $(y_1, \dots, y_s)H_m^i(M/(y_{k_1}, \dots, y_{k_j})M) = 0, i + j \leq d$.

Theorem (Hoa-Miyazaki 1995)

Let $R = S/I$ be a coordinate ring with $\dim R = d + 1$ of a projective scheme. Assume that \mathfrak{m}^ν is a standard ideal of the graded ring R . Then $\operatorname{reg} R \leq a(R) + d + 1 + (\nu - 1)(d + 1 - \operatorname{depth} R) + 1$.

Theorem (Miyazaki 2019)

Let y_0, \dots, y_d be a standard system of parameters for the graded S -module M . Put $e_i = \deg y_i$ and $\nu + d = \sum e_i$. Then $a_i(M) + i \leq a(M) + d + 1 + \nu$.

Standard Buchsbaum

Definition

$S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$: the polynomial ring

M : a finitely generated graded S -module with $\dim M = d + 1 \geq 1$, equidimensional, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$.

Then we define $v(M) (\geq 1)$ as the minimal integer such that there exists a standard homogeneous system of parameters f_1, \dots, f_{d+1} of a graded S -module M with $\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \deg f_i = d + v$.

Definition

\mathcal{F} : a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^n satisfying \mathcal{F}_x is Cohen-Macaulay for all $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$

Then $v(\mathcal{F})$ is defined as $v(\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}))$.

For a locally Cohen-Macaulay subscheme $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, we define $v(V) = v(\mathcal{I}_V)$.

Bounds on the Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity

Proposition (Miyazaki in preparation)

Let V be a nondegenerate projective variety of \mathbb{P}^n . Then we have $\text{reg } V \leq \lceil (\text{deg } V - 1) / \text{codim } V \rceil + \nu(V)$.

Assume that $\text{deg } V \gg 0$.

- (1) If $\text{reg } V = \lceil (\text{deg } V - 1) / \text{codim } V \rceil + \nu(V)$, then V is a divisor on a variety of minimal degree.
- (2) If $\text{reg } V = \lceil (\text{deg } V - 1) / \text{codim } V \rceil + \nu(V) - 1$, then V is a divisor either on a variety of minimal degree or on a del Pezzo variety.

Standard Buchsbaum

Definition

$S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$: the polynomial ring

M : a finitely generated graded S -module with $\dim M = d + 1 \geq 1$, equidimensional, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$.

A graded S -module M is standard Buchsbaum if any homogeneous system of parameters f_1, \dots, f_{d+1} of M with $\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \deg f_i \geq d + 2$ is standard.

For a vector bundle \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n and a locally Cohen-Macaulay subscheme $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, 'Standard Buchsbaum' is similarly defined.

Remark

- For a fixed integer $v \geq 2$, assume that any homogeneous system of parameters f_1, \dots, f_{d+1} of a graded S -module M with $\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \deg f_i \geq d + v$ is standard. Then M is standard Buchsbaum.
- Buchsbaum \Rightarrow Standard Buchsbaum \Rightarrow quasi-Buchsbaum

Standard Buchsbaum

Example

- A Null correlation bundle on \mathbb{P}^n , n odd, is standard Buchsbaum, not Buchsbaum, which is clearly characterized by commutative algebra.
- A Horrocks-Mumford bundle on \mathbb{P}^4 is quasi-Buchsbaum, not standard Buchsbaum from the explicit monad construction.

Remark

For a nondegenerate standard Buchsbaum variety V of \mathbb{P}^n . we have $\text{reg } V \leq \lceil (\text{deg } V - 1) / \text{codim } V \rceil + 2$. The extremal cases are similarly classified.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH