On the canonical, fpqc and finite topologies: classical questions, new answers

Yves André - Paris Sorbonne, CNRS

Tokyo Lecture series N. 3, March 2023

Yves André - Paris Sorbonne, CNRS On the canonical, fpqc and finite topologies

A # A A B A A B A

In the first lecture, we stated and explained the following theorems.

R regular ring, $S \supset R$ finite extension.

Theorem 1 $R \rightarrow S$ splits (in Mod_R).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

æ

In the first lecture, we stated and explained the following theorems.

R regular ring, $S \supset R$ finite extension.



There exists a ring map $S \rightarrow T$ such that the composition $R \rightarrow T$ is faithfully flat.

In the second lecture, we sketched a proof of Theorem 2 (which implies Theorem 1) based on perfectoid techniques. This simplified proof uses only too ingredients: the perfectoid flatness lemma and a result ensuring the non-degeneracy of the construction.

The aim of this third lecture is twofold:

1) explain the geometric meaning of these statements,

2) disclose the role of regularity: for what kind of rings R such statements hold?

[Talk is based on joint work with Luisa Fiorot (Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa)].

 $R \stackrel{lpha}{
ightarrow} S$ homomorphism of commutative rings.

Base change functor: $\alpha^* = - \otimes_R S : Mod_R \to Mod_S$.

- α^* exact: α flat
- α^* faithful exact: α faithfully flat
- α^* faithful: α pure

(faithfully flat = flat + pure).

Fact: α pure $\Leftrightarrow \alpha$ universally injective (i.e. remains injective after any base-change).

Criteria:

• If α splits in Mod_R (i.e. R is a direct summand of the R-module S), then α is pure.

Proposition (Lazard, Fedder)

Converse is true if either S/R is finitely presented, or R is a complete Noetherian local ring.

• If there exists an *S*-module *M* which is faithfully flat over *R*, then α is pure (Bourbaki).

Question (Ferrand)

Converse?

(solved in our paper...)

・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

"Reverse base-change": descent.

Descent data: S-module N + isomorphism

$$N \otimes_R S \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} S \otimes_R N$$

satisfying the usual cocycle relations.

They form a category $DD(\alpha)$ (objects: (N, ϕ)), and α^* factors as:

$$Mod_R \xrightarrow{C_{\alpha}} DD(\alpha) \xrightarrow{forget \phi} Mod_S.$$

Grothendieck's descent: α faithfully flat $\Rightarrow C_{\alpha}$ is an equivalence.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Grothendieck's descent: α faithfully flat $\Rightarrow C_{\alpha}$ is an equivalence.

Olivier's descent (70'): flatness is irrelevant! α pure $\Leftrightarrow C_{\alpha}$ is an equivalence $\Leftrightarrow C_{\alpha}$ is fully faithful.

(This remarkable result was long overlooked, partly because it was published only as an announcement, in a CRAS note. In our paper, we reconstruct the proof in detail, starting from a key lemma stated in that note as a hint.)

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

No need to comment about the geometric meaning of flatness in Algebraic Geometry...

But what is the geometric meaning of purity?

This is best expressed in terms of Grothendieck topologies, as follows...

C: category with initial object and fibered products, e.g. Aff_k (affine schemes over a commutative ring k).

• Grothendieck pretopology: class of "covering families" ($Y_i \rightarrow X$) in C, containing all isomorphisms, stable by base change and by composition.

• Grothendieck topology: moreover, any family refined by a covering family is a covering family.

Ex: • fpqc pretopology on Aff_k : covering maps $Y \to X$ are faithfully flat maps.

• fpqc topology on Aff_k : $Y \to X$ is a covering map iff there exists

- $Z \rightarrow Y$ such that the composition $Z \rightarrow X$ is faithfully flat.
- effective descent topology on Aff_k : covering families: those for which the corresponding C_{α} 's are equivalences.

Topological interpretation of purity

• Canonical topology on C: finest Grothendieck topology s.t. all representable presheaves are sheaves (for all covering families $(Y_i \rightarrow X)$, $F(X) \rightarrow \Pi F(Y_i) \rightrightarrows \Pi F(Y_i \times_X Y_j)$ is exact).

Ex. • canonical topology on Aff_k:

Basic fact:

Spec $S \rightarrow$ Spec R is a canonical covering iff $R \rightarrow S$ is pure.

By Olivier's result ('70): on Aff_k , Canonical topology = Effective descent topology.

(On the other hand, the fpqc topology is strictly coarser than the canonical topology (Raynaud-Gruson '71)).

Topological interpretation of purity

Basic fact:

Spec $S \rightarrow$ Spec R is a canonical covering iff $R \rightarrow S$ is pure.

Issue: α pure $\Rightarrow \forall T$, $T \xrightarrow{\alpha_T} S \otimes_R T \Rightarrow S \otimes_R S \otimes_R T$ is exact. Prove the analog in Mod_R : $M \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} S \otimes_R M \Rightarrow S \otimes_R S \otimes_R M$, with double map $(\eta_1 = \alpha_{S \otimes_R M}, \eta_2 = 1_S \otimes_R \alpha_M)$. Let $K \xrightarrow{\beta} S \otimes_R M$ be such that $\eta_1 \beta = \eta_2 \beta$ and look at



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Remarks.

• this topological interpretation of purity allows to globalize to non-affine schemes. However, for a finite covering $Y \to X$ (i.e. a finite surjective map), $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ need not split if X is not affine.

• For a long time, only subcanonical topologies were considered to be relevant to Algebraic/Arithmetic Geometry.

Voevodsky changed this viewpoint: some finer topologies are relevant because one can compute their points (local rings). Another later motivation: (cohomological) descent properties.

- the v-topology ($Y \rightarrow X$ is a covering map if valuation rings of X lift to valuation rings of Y): v-descent plays a fundamental role in the geometric *p*-adic Langlands program (Fargues-Scholze).

- the arc-topology: defined similarly but for rank 1 valuations rings (Bhatt-Mathew): arc-descent plays a fundamental role in prismatic theory (Bhatt-Scholze).

Back to: finite coverings = finite surjective morphisms.

Question: behaviour of finite coverings w.r.t. the canonical or fpqc topology?

• Examples of non-canonical finite coverings $Y \to X$ (with X affine Noetherian)?

- The normalization of any non-normal excellent scheme is an example (e.g. two crossing lines: $k[x, y]/(xy) \rightarrow k[x] \times k[y]$ is not pure, since its reduction mod (x - y) sends $x \neq 0$ to 0).

- Ex. with X normal: $Y = \mathbb{A}_k^4 \to X = \mathbb{A}_k^4/(\mathbb{Z}/4)$, where char k = 2 and $\mathbb{Z}/4$ acts cyclically on the variables.

The point is that here X is not Cohen-Macaulay (M.J. Bertin '67), whereas a finite canonical covering Spec $S \rightarrow$ Spec R descends the Cohen-Macaulay property:

Indeed, in the following diagram, the vertical and the top horizontal arrows are injective since α is pure and *S* is Cohen-Macaulay



Remark. No such example in char. 0. If char k = 0 and X is normal, any finite covering $Y \to X$ is canonical (one may assume $R \subset S$ is an extension of integral domains, then $\operatorname{tr}_{S/R}/[S:R]$ gives a splitting).

Going further, is there any example with *X* regular? No:

Theorem 1

Any finite covering of a regular scheme is canonical (hence an effective descent morphism).

Due to the above interpretation of purity, this amounts to a geometric translation of the direct summand conjecture (Hochster '69):

any finite extension S of a regular ring R splits in Mod_R , proved by him in the presence of a base field, and by me in '16 in general, using perfectoid methods.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• Examples of canonical finite coverings $Y \rightarrow X$ which are not coverings for the fpqc topology?

- Frobenius-type examples: *M* finite *R*-module, $b : Sym^2 M \to R$, associative: $\ell b(m, n) = b(\ell, m)n$. Then $Ann_R M$ kills b(m, m), hence $b(m, m) \in J := Ann_R(Ann_R M)$. $S := R \oplus M$, (r, m)(r', m') = (rr' + b(m, m'), rm' + r'm).

Proposition

If $b(m,m) \notin J^2$, then the finite canonical covering Spec $S \to$ Spec R is not a covering for the fpqc topology.

e.g.
$$R = \mathbb{Z}/4$$
, $M = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $J = (2)$, $b(1, 1) = 2$.

- Can one find a normal example? Yes: the quadric cone. *k*: field of char. $\neq 2, S := k[x, y], \mathbb{Z}/2$ -action $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y),$ $S = k[x, y]^+ \oplus k[x, y]^-.$ Thus $R := k[x, y]^+ = k[x^2, xy, y^2] \rightarrow S$ is pure.

Proposition

Spec $S \rightarrow$ Spec R is not a covering for the fpqc topology. Moreover, no *S*-module is faithfully flat over R.

(Negative answer to Ferrand's question, using recent work of Bhatt-Iyengar-Ma).

Going further, is there any example with X regular? No:

Theorem 2

Any finite covering of a regular scheme is a covering for the fpqc topology.

Remarks. 1) $Y \rightarrow X$ is a covering for the fpqc *pre*topology iff Y is Cohen-Macaulay.

2) Thm 2 is much stronger than Thm 1: e.g. unlike Thm 1, it is quite non-trivial in char. 0 (reduction to char. p and ultraproducts). 3) Some finite coverings of \mathbb{A}_k^3 are not coverings for the fppf topology (in general, *Z* is not expected to be of finite type, nor even Noetherian).

Thm. 2 is a geometric translation of the existence of "big" Cohen-Macaulay algebras, proved by Hochster-Huneke in the presence of a base field, by me in general ('16). Reduce to *S* complete local, finite extension of regular *R* (Cohen): a (not necessarily Noetherian) *S*-algebra *T* is (big) Cohen-Macaulay if every secant sequence in *S* becomes regular in *T*. This is equivalent to the faithfull flatness of *T* over *R*.

Question

Is the canonical topology on Aff_k generated by the fpqc topology and by morphisms $Y \to X$ such that $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ splits as \mathcal{O}_X -modules?

True if the base X is Noetherian.

э

- In char. p, the Frobenius map $F_X : X \to X$ is faithfully flat iff X is regular (Kunz).
- Enhancement (from the fpqc pretopology to the fpqc topology):

Proposition

 F_X is a covering for the fpqc topology iff X is regular.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Remark. Kunz' theorem can also be stated as:

A noetherian ring R of char. p is regular iff there exists a perfect, faithfully flat R-algebra.

Generalization in mixed char. (Bhatt-lyengar-Ma '18):

A Noetherian *p*-adically complete ring *R* is regular iff there exists a perfectoid, faithfully flat *R*-algebra.

Remarks. 1) F_X is often a finite covering (e.g. for schemes of finite type over a perfect field).

2) Whether F_X is a canonical covering (*F*-purity: Frobenius splitting) was an influential question in the study of singularities in char. p in the 80's, 90's ("*F*-singularity theory").

Related notion: *strongly F-regular* (Noetherian domain) *S*: $\forall s \in S, \exists e, \exists S^{1/p^e} \rightarrow S, \text{ s.t. } s^{1/p^e} \mapsto 1.$

F-pure and strongly *F*-regular singularities are char. *p* analogs of log-canonical and log-terminal singularities in the MMP.

These have now analogs in mixed char. (Ma, Schwede), based on Thm. 2.

Ma and Schwede remark that for a resolution of singularities

 $g: Z \to Y = \text{Spec } S$ in char. 0, Grauert-Riemenschneider + local duality implies $\mathbb{H}^i_{Y}(Rg_*\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ for $i < \dim Y$, hence $Rg_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ looks like a Cohen-Macaulay *S*-algebra... except that it is an algebra object in the derived category.

This supports their strategy to replace $Rg_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ by a

Cohen-Macaulay S-algebra T to study singularities in mixed char.

• Back to: finite coverings vs. canonical topology.

A Noetherian affine scheme $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ is a splinter is any finite covering of X is canonical

- equivalently: if any finite extension $R \rightarrow S$ splits in Mod_R (whence the name).

- Any splinter is normal.
- Thm. 1 says that any regular X is a splinter.

Are there any other? Yes.

- In char. 0, any normal X is a splinter (by the tr/deg trick)

- In char *p*, any strongly *F*-regular *X* is a splinter (converse true in the Gorenstein case, and conjecturally in general)

- e.g. the quadric cone Spec $k[x^2, xy, y^2]$ in char. $\neq 2$ is a splinter.
- Splinters in positive or mixed char. are Cohen-Macaulay

(a straightforward consequence of the strong Hochster-Huneke-Bhatt theorem about $\widehat{R^+}$).

- X is a splinter if there exists a canonical covering Y which is regular - a main supply of splinters (the proof uses a "weakly functorial" version of Thm. 2)

• What if we replace the canonical topology by the fpqc topology ("fpqc analogs of splinters")?

Conjecture: only regular X are fpqc analogs of splinters.

Evidence: - true in char. *p* in the *F*-finite case (a straightforward consequence of our enhancement of Kunz' theorem),

- regularity descends along maps which are coverings for the fpqc topology, hence the "main supply of splinters" cannot provide any non-regular fpqc analog of splinters.

p.s. No need to comment about the geometric meaning of flatness or faithful flatness in Algebraic Geometry??

In EGA, we find many properties of schemes and morphisms which descend along faithfully flat morphisms (i.e. w.r.t. the fpqc *pre*topology).

But which properties descend w.r.t. the fpqc topology (not only w.r.t. the fpqc pretopology).

For instance (Ma):

regularity descends w.r.t. the fpqc topology

(the proof uses methods involved in the perfectoid version of Kunz' theorem).

While this issue could have been addressed in the 60's, it brings us back, after a long detour, to fundamental problems about base-change and descent we started with.

・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

[2] Y. André, Weak functoriality of Cohen-Macaulay algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (2020), 363-380.

[2] Y. André, Weak functoriality of Cohen-Macaulay algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (2020), 363-380.

[3] Y. André, L. Fiorot, On the canonical, fpqc, and finite topologies on affine schemes. The state of the art, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, 23 (2022), 81-114.

[2] Y. André, Weak functoriality of Cohen-Macaulay algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (2020), 363-380.

[3] Y. André, L. Fiorot, On the canonical, fpqc, and finite topologies on affine schemes. The state of the art, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, 23 (2022), 81-114.

[4] G. Dospinescu, *la conjecture du facteur direct (d'après Y. André et B. Bhatt)*, Séminaire Bourbaki, jan. 2023.

[2] Y. André, Weak functoriality of Cohen-Macaulay algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (2020), 363-380.

[3] Y. André, L. Fiorot, On the canonical, fpqc, and finite topologies on affine schemes. The state of the art, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, 23 (2022), 81-114.

[4] G. Dospinescu, *la conjecture du facteur direct (d'après Y. André et B. Bhatt)*, Séminaire Bourbaki, jan. 2023.

[5] L. Ma, A short proof of the direct summand theorem via the flatness lemma, preprint 2021.