

A New Unicity Theorem and Erdős' Problem for Polarized Semi-Abelian Varieties

J. Noguchi (with P. Corvaja)

University of Tokyo

Analytic Number Theory 2009 Kyoto

14~16 October 2009

14 October 2009

§1 Introduction

1.1 Nevanlinna's unicity theorem.

Theorem 1.1

(Unicity Theorem) *Let $f, g : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions.*

If $\exists a_i \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C}), 1 \leq i \leq 5$, distinct such that $\text{Supp } f^ a_i = \text{Supp } g^* a_i, 1 \leq i \leq 5$, then $f \equiv g$.*

This follows from Nevanlinna's Second Main Theorem (SMT):

Theorem 1.2

(SMT) *Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ be a meromorphic function, and $a_i \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C}), 1 \leq i \leq q$, be distinct q points. Then*

$$(q-2)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q N(r, \text{Supp } f^* a_i) + \text{small-term}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

By Nevanlinna's SMT 1.2 we have

$$(5 - 2 = 3) T_{f(\text{ or } g)}(r) \leq \sum_{i=1}^5 N(r, \text{Supp } f^*(\text{ or } g^*)a_i) + \text{small-term.}$$

Suppose $f \not\equiv g$. Then the assumption implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^5 N(r, \text{Supp } f^*a_i) &\leq N(r, (f - g)_0) \leq T_{f-g}(r) + O(1) \\ &\leq T_f(r) + T_g(r) + O(1) \leq \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^5 N(r, \text{Supp } f^*a_i) + \text{small-term.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $1 \leq \frac{2}{3}$; a contradiction. □

Remark.

The number 5 in the above unicity theorem is optimal for the following trivial reason: Set

$$f(z) = e^z, \quad g(z) = e^{-z}; \quad a_1 = 0, a_2 = \infty, a_3 = 1, a_4 = -1.$$

Then $f^*a_i = g^*a_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$.

Note that by setting $\sigma(w) = w^{-1}$ and $D = \sum_1^4 a_i$ we have

$$\sigma^*D = D, \quad \sigma \circ f = g; \quad f(z), g(z) \in \mathbf{C}^*.$$

Theorem 1.3

(E.M. Schmid 1971) *Let E be an elliptic curve, $a_i \in E, 1 \leq i \leq 5$, distinct points.*

Let $f, g : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow E$ be holomorphic maps.

*If $\text{Supp } f^*a_i = \text{Supp } g^*a_i, 1 \leq i \leq 5$, then $f \equiv g$.*

Theorem 1.4

(H. Fujimoto (1975)) *Let $f, g : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be holomorphic curves such that at least one of them is linearly non-degenerate;
 $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^{3n+2}$ be hyperplanes in general position.
 If $f^*H_j = g^*H_j, 1 \leq j \leq 3n+2$ (as divisors, counting multiplicities),
 then $f \equiv g$.*

Schmid's and Fujimoto's theorems are deduced from some SMT's in the corresponding cases.

The following is a kind of unicity problem in arithmetic theory, which is sometimes called a “support problem”:

Erdős' Problem (1988). *Let x, y be positive integers. Is it true that*

$$\{p; \text{prime}, p|(x^n - 1)\} = \{p; \text{prime}, p|(y^n - 1)\}, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}$$

$$\iff x = y \quad ?$$

The answer is Yes:

Theorem 1.5

(Corrales-Rodorigáñez and R. Schoof, JNT 1997)

- ① *Suppose that except for finitely many prime $p \in \mathbf{Z}$*

$$y^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \text{ whenever } x^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then, $y = x^h$ with $\exists h \in \mathbf{N}$.

- ② *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field k , and let $P, Q \in E(k)$. Suppose that except for finitely many prime $p \in O(k)$*

$$nQ = 0 \text{ whenever } nP = 0 \text{ in } E(k_p).$$

Then either $Q = \sigma(P)$ with $\exists \sigma \in \text{End}(E)$, or both P, Q are torsion points.

Yamanoi's unicity theorem

Yamanoi proved in Forum Math. 2004 the following striking unicity theorem:

Theorem 1.6

Let

$A_i, i = 1, 2$, be abelian varieties;

$D_i \subset A_i$ be irreducible divisors such that

$$\text{St}(D_i) = \{a \in A_i; a + D_i = D_i\} = \{0\};$$

$f_i : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A_i$ be (algebraically) nondegenerate entire holomorphic curves.

Assume that $f_1^{-1}D_1 = f_2^{-1}D_2$ as sets.

Then \exists isomorphism $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ such that

$$f_2 = \phi \circ f_1, \quad D_1 = \phi^* D_2.$$

N.B.

- ① The new point is that we can determine not only f , but the moduli point of a polarized abelian variety (A, D) through the distribution of $f^{-1}D$ by a nondegenerate $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$.
- ② The assumptions for D_i to be irreducible and the triviality of $\text{St}(D_i)$ are not restrictive. There is a way of reduction.
- ③ For simplicity we assume them here.

§2 Main Results

We want to uniformize the results in the previous section.

Therefore we deal with semi-abelian varieties.

Let $A_i, i = 1, 2$ be semi-abelian varieties:

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^*)^{t_i} \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow A_{0i} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $D_i \subset A_i, i = 1, 2$, be irreducible divisors such that

$$\text{St}(D_i) = \{0\} \quad (\text{for simplicity}).$$

For real-valued functions $\phi(r)$ and $\psi(r)$ ($r > 1$), we write

$\phi(r) \leq \psi(r) \|_E$ if $E \subset [1, \infty)$, Borel, $m(E) < \infty$, and $\phi(r) \leq \psi(r), r \notin E$.

$$\phi(r) \sim \psi(r) \| \iff \exists E, \exists C > 0, \quad C^{-1}\phi(r) \leq \psi(r) \leq C\phi(r) \|_E.$$

Main Theorem

Main Theorem 2.1

Let $f_i : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) be non-degenerate holomorphic curves.
Assume that

$$(2.2) \quad \underline{\text{Supp } f_1^* D_1}_\infty \subset \underline{\text{Supp } f_2^* D_2}_\infty \text{ (germs at } \infty),$$

$$(2.3) \quad N_1(r, f_1^* D_1) \sim N_1(r, f_2^* D_2) \parallel.$$

Here $N_1(r, f_1^* D_1) = N(r, \text{Supp } f_1^* D_1)$.

Then there is a finite étale morphism $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ such that

$$\phi \circ f_1 = f_2, \quad D_1 \subset \phi^* D_2.$$

If equality holds in (2.2), then ϕ is an isomorphism and $D_1 = \phi^* D_2$.

N.B. Assumption (2.3) is necessary by example.

The following corollary follows immediately from the Main Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.4

- ① *Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ and $g : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow E$ with an elliptic curve E be holomorphic and non-constant. Then*

$$\underline{f^{-1}\{1\}}_{\infty} \neq \underline{g^{-1}\{0\}}_{\infty}.$$

- ② *If $\dim A_1 \neq \dim A_2$ in the Main Theorem 2.1, then*

$$\underline{f_1^{-1}D_1}_{\infty} \neq \underline{f_2^{-1}D_2}_{\infty}.$$

N.B.

- 1 The first statement means that the difference of the value distribution property caused by the quotient $\mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*/\langle \tau \rangle = E$ cannot be recovered by any later choice of f and g , even though they are allowed to be *arbitrarily transcendental*.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{C}^* \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow / \langle \tau \rangle \\
 & g & E
 \end{array}$$

- 2 The second statement implies that the distribution of $f_i^{-1}D_i$ about ∞ contains the *topological informations* such as $\dim A_i$ and the compactness or non-compactness of A_i .

Example.

Set $A_1 = \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} (\cong \mathbf{G}_m)$ and let $D_1 = 1$ be the unit element of A_1 . Let $f_1 : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A_1$ be the covering map.

Take a number $\tau \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\Im \tau \neq 0$.

Set $A_2 = \mathbf{C}/(\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}\tau)$, which is an elliptic curve.

Let $D_2 = 0 \in A_2$ and $f_2 : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A_2$ be the covering map.

Then $f_1^{-1}D_1 = \mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{Z} + \tau\mathbf{Z} = f_2^{-1}D_2$: assumption (2.2) of the Main Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

There is, however, no non-constant morphism $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$. Note that

$$N_1(r, f_1^* D_1) \sim r, \quad N_1(r, f_2^* D_2) \sim r^2.$$

Thus, $N_1(r, f_1^* D_1) \not\sim N_1(r, f_2^* D_2)$: assumption (2.3) fails.

§3 SMT for semi-abelian varieties.

For a closed subscheme $Z \subset X$ (*compact* complex space) and holomorphic $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$, $f(\mathbf{C}) \not\subset \text{Supp } Z$, we write

$$T_f(r, \omega_Z) = \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{\Delta(t)} f^* \omega_Z,$$

$$\underline{f^* Z}_{k,a} = \min\{\deg_a f^* Z, k\} \quad (k \leq \infty),$$

$$N_k(r, f^* Z) = \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \left(\sum_{a \in \Delta(t)} \underline{f^* Z}_{k,a} \right),$$

$$N(r, f^* Z) = N_\infty(r, f^* Z) < T_f(r, \omega_Z) + O(1) \quad (\text{FMT}).$$

Let

A be a semi-abelian variety,

$f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ be a holomorphic curve.

Set

- $J_k(A) \cong A \times \mathbf{C}^{nk}$: the k -jet bundle over A ;
- $J_k(f) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow J_k(A)$: the k -jet lift of f ;
- $X_k(f)$: the Zariski closure of the image $J_k(f)(\mathbf{C})$ in $J_k(A)$.

Theorem 3.1

(N.-Winkelmann-Yamanoi, Acta 2002 & Forum Math. 2008, Yamanoi Forum Math. 2004)

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ be algebraically non-degenerate.

(i) Let Z be an algebraic reduced subvariety of $X_k(f)$ ($k \geq 0$). Then $\exists \bar{X}_k(f)$, compactification of $X_k(f)$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad T_{J_k(f)}(r; \omega_{\bar{Z}}) = N_1(r; J_k(f)^* Z) + o(T_f(r))\|.$$

(ii) Moreover, if $\text{codim}_{X_k(f)} Z \geq 2$, then

$$(3.3) \quad T_{J_k(f)}(r; \omega_{\bar{Z}}) = o(T_f(r))\|.$$

(iii) If $k = 0$ and Z is an effective divisor D on A , then \bar{A} is smooth, equivariant, and independent of f ; furthermore, (3.2) takes the form

$$(3.4) \quad T_f(r; L(\bar{D})) = N_1(r; f^* D) + o(T_f(r; L(\bar{D})))\|.$$

§4 Proof of the Main Theorem 2.1.

Let me first recall

Theorem 4.1

(**Log Bloch-Ochiai**, Nog. 1977 Hiroshima Math.J./81 Nagoya Math.J.)

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ be a holomorphic curve into a semi-abelian variety A . Then $\overline{f(\mathbf{C})}^{\text{Zar}}$ is a translate of a subgroup.

Proof of Main Theorem 2.1. With the given $f_i : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) we set

$$g = (f_1, f_2) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A_1 \times A_2 ;$$

$$A_0 = \overline{g(\mathbf{C})}^{\text{Zar}} \text{ (semi-abelian variety by \textbf{Log Bloch-Ochiai})};$$

$$p_i : A_0 \rightarrow A_i \text{ be the projections;}$$

$$E_i = p_i^* D_i.$$

It follows that

$$T_{f_1}(r) \sim T_{f_2}(r) \sim T_g(r) = T(r).$$

By N. Math. Z. (1998) and a translation we may assume $g(0) = 0 \in E_1$. Let $E_i = \sum_{\nu} (F_i + a_{i\nu})$ be the irred. decomp. and $F_i \ni 0$.

If $F_1 \neq F_2$, then $\text{codim}_{A_0} F_1 \cap F_2 \geq 2$. It follows from SMT Theorem 3.1 that

$$T(r) \sim N_1(r, f_1^* D_1) \sim N_1(r, g^*(F_1 \cap F_2)) = o(T(r))\|.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore we see that $F_1 = F_2$. Moreover, we deduce that

- ① $E_1 \subset E_2$,
- ② $\text{St}(E_1) \subset \text{St}(E_2)$, and are finite,
- ③ p_i are isogenies,
- ④ $A_1 \cong A_0/\text{St}(E_1) \xrightarrow{\phi} A_0/\text{St}(E_2) \cong A_2$.

□

§5 Arithmetic Recurrence.

Due to the well-known correspondence between Number Theory and Nevanlinna Theory, it is tempting to give a number-theoretic analogue of Theorem 2.1 as Pál Erdős Problem–Corrales-Rodorigáñez&Schoof Theorem.

A related problem asks to classify the cases where $x^n - 1$ divides $y^n - 1$ for infinitely many positive integers n .

We would like to deal with the case of a semi-abelian variety with a given divisor, i.e., a polarized semi-abelian variety.

In the present situation, We can prove an analogue of the Main Theorem 2.1 only in the [linear toric case](#), but not in the general case of [semi-abelian varieties](#), that is left to be a [Conjecture](#).

Here is our result in the arithmetic case.

Theorem 5.1

Let

\mathcal{O}_S be a ring of S -integers in a number field k ;

$\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2$ be linear tori;

$g_i \in \mathbf{G}_i(\mathcal{O}_S)$ be elements generating Zariski-dense subgroups.

D_i be reduced divisors defined over k , with defining ideals $\mathcal{I}(D_i)$, such that each irreducible component has a finite stabilizer and $\text{St}(D_2) = \{0\}$.

Suppose that for infinitely many $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$(5.2) \quad (g_1^n)^* \mathcal{I}(D_1) \supset (g_2^n)^* \mathcal{I}(D_2).$$

Then \exists étale morphism $\phi : \mathbf{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2$, defined over k , and $\exists h \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\phi(g_1^h) = g_2^h$ and $D_1 \subset \phi^(D_2)$.*

N.B.

- ① Theorem 5.1 is deduced from the main results of Corvaja-Zannier, Invent. Math. 2002.
- ② By an example we cannot take $h = 1$ in general.
- ③ By an example, the condition on the stabilizers of D_1 and D_2 cannot be omitted.
- ④ Note that inequality (inclusion) (5.2) of ideals is assumed only for an infinite sequence of n , not necessarily for all large n . On the contrary, we need the inequality of ideals, not only of their *supports*, i.e. of the primes containing the corresponding ideals.
- ⑤ One might ask for a similar conclusion assuming only the inequality of supports. There is some answer for it, but it is of a weaker form.

§6 1-parameter analytic subgroups

In S. Lang's "Introduction to Transcendental Numbers", Addison-Wesley, 1966, he wrote at the last paragraph of Chap. 3

"Independently of transcendental problem one can raise an interesting question of algebraic-analytic nature, namely given a 1-parameter subgroup of an abelian variety (say Zariski dense), is its intersection with a hyperplane section necessarily non-empty, and infinite unless this subgroup is algebraic?"

In 6 years later, J. Ax (Amer. J. Math. (1972)) took this problem:

Theorem 6.1

Let θ be a reduced theta function on \mathbf{C}^m . Let L be a 1-dimensional affine subspace of \mathbf{C}^m . Then either $(\theta|L)$ is constant or has an infinite number of zeros; $|\{(\theta|L) = 0\} \cap \Delta(r)| \sim r^2$.

N.B. It seems to be still open that $|\{(\theta|L) = 0\}/\Gamma| = \infty$ unless $f(\mathbf{C})$ is algebraic.

Theorem 6.2

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ be a 1-parameter analytic subgroup in a semi-abelian variety A with $v = f'(0)$.

Let D be a reduced divisor on A .

- ① If A is abelian and $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Riemann form associated with D , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(r; f^*D) &= H(v, v)\pi r^2 + O(\log r), \\ &= (1 + o(1))N_1(r; f^*D). \end{aligned}$$

- ② Assume that $\dim A \geq 2$. If f is algebraically non-degenerate and if $\text{St}(D)$ is finite, there is an irreducible component D' of D such that then $f(\mathbf{C}) \cap D'$ is Zariski dense in D' ; in particular, $|f(\mathbf{C}) \cap D| = \infty$.

N.B. In fact, the second statement holds for an arbitrary algebraically non-degenerate holomorphic curve $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$.

Proof.

(i) Note that the first Chern class $c_1(L(D))$ is represented by $i\partial\bar{\partial}H(w, w)$. It follows from our SMT Theorem 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} N(r; f^*D) &= T_f(r; L(D)) + O(\log r) \\ &= \int_0^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{\Delta(t)} iH(v, v) dz \wedge d\bar{z} + O(\log r) \\ &= H(v, v)\pi r^2 + O(\log r) \\ &= (1 + o(1))N_1(r, f^*D). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If the claim does not hold, \exists an algebraic subset E such that $f(\mathbf{C}) \cap D \subset E \subsetneq D$ and $\text{codim}_A E \geq 2$. Then our SMT Theorem 3.1 yields that

$$N(r, f^*E) = o(r^2) = N(r, f^*D) \sim r^2 \parallel \text{ (contradiction).}$$



References

- [1] Ax, J., Some topics in differential algebraic geometry II, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 1205-1213.
- [2] Corrales-Rodorigáñez, C. and Schoof, R., The support problem and its elliptic analogue, J. Number Theory **64** (1997), 276-290.
- [3] Corvaja, P. and Zannier, U., Finiteness of integral values for the ratio of two linear recurrences, Invent. Math. **149** (2002), 431-451.
- [4] Corvaja, P. and Noguchi, J., A new unicity theorem and Erdős' problem for polarized semi-abelian varieties, preprint 2009.
- [5] Lang, S., Introduction to Transcendental Numbers, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1966.
- [6] Noguchi, J., Holomorphic curves in algebraic varieties, Hiroshima Math. J. **7** (1977), 833-853.
- [7] —, On holomorphic curves in semi-Abelian varieties, Math. Z. **228** (1998), 713-721.
- [8] —, J., Winkelmann, J. and Yamanoi, K., The second main theorem for holomorphic curves into semi-Abelian varieties II, Forum Math. **20** (2008), 469-503.
- [9] Yamanoi, K., Holomorphic curves in abelian varieties and intersection with higher codimensional subvarieties, Forum Math. **16** (2004), 749-788.