

# **Cohomology of the supersingular locus of certain PEL Shimura varieties of Coxeter type**

2023 NTU x UTokyo joint conference:  
arithmetic geometry/number theory session

---

Joseph Muller

December 8th 2023

The University of Tokyo

Introduction: the notion of Coxeter type

Computing cohomology: a general strategy

Application to  $\mathrm{GU}(1, n - 1)$  over  $p$  inert or ramified

Applications and further directions

## Introduction: the notion of Coxeter type

---

# Introduction: the notion of Coxeter type

## Basic loci of Coxeter type (following [Görtz, He, Nie])

Consider a datum  $(G, \mu, K)$  where

- $G$ : connected reductive group over a  $p$ -adic field  $F$ ,
- $\mu$ : conjugacy class of cocharacter  $\mathbb{G}_{m, F^{\text{ac}}} \rightarrow G_{F^{\text{ac}}}$ ,
- $K$ : parahoric subgroup of  $G(F)$ .

Let  $[b_0] \in B(G, \mu)$  unique basic element and  $X(\mu, b_0)_K$  the associated basic partial affine Deligne-Lusztig (DL) variety.

# Introduction: the notion of Coxeter type

## Definition

The pair  $(G, \mu)$  is **fully Hodge-Newton (HN) decomposable** if  $X(\mu, b_0)_K$  is “naturally” stratified by classical DL varieties for some (equivalently for all)  $K$ .

The triple  $(G, \mu, K)$  is **of Coxeter type** if  $(G, \mu)$  is fully HN decomposable and the DL varieties involved are of Coxeter type.

The stratification by classical DL varieties is the **Bruhat-Tits (BT) stratification**.

**Remark:** “of Coxeter type” depends on  $K$ .

# Introduction: the notion of Coxeter type

**Remark:** [Görtz, He, Nie] classified all the fully HN decomposable pairs  $(G, \mu)$ , and all the triples  $(G, \mu, K)$  of Coxeter type.

## Motivation

Assume  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . If  $(G, \mu, K)$  comes from an integral Rapoport-Zink (RZ) datum, then  $X(\mu, b_0)_K =$  perfection of the RZ space  $\mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b_0)_K$ .

If moreover  $(G, \mu)$  is the  $p$ -local component of a Shimura datum, then  $\mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b_0)_K$  provides a uniformization of the basic locus in the mod  $p$  reduction of a Shimura variety.

## Introduction: the notion of Coxeter type

Cases where BT stratification is studied (non exhaustive):

- $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ ,  $p$  inert,  $K$  hyperspecial [Vollaard, Wedhorn], any max.  $K$  by [Cho],
- $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ , ramified  $p$ , special  $K$  by [Rapoport, Terstiege, Wilson], level  $K$  of exotic good reduction by [Wu],
- $\mathrm{GU}(2, 2)$ ,  $K$  hyperspecial,  $p$  inert by [Howard, Pappas], split  $p$  by [Fox], see also [Wang],
- $\mathrm{GU}(2, 2)$ , ramified  $p$ , special  $K$  [Oki],
- $\mathrm{GU}(2, n-2)$  for  $n \geq 5$ ,  $p$  inert, hyperspecial  $K$  by [Fox, Imai],
- $\mathrm{GSpin}(n, 2)$ , hyperspecial  $K$  by [Howard, Pappas], a non-hyperspecial  $K$  by [Oki],

All cases are of Coxeter type, except [Cho] (fully HN decomposable) and [Fox, Imai] (not fully HN decomposable).

## Introduction: the notion of Coxeter type

In all the cases of Coxeter type, the basic loci is stratified by Coxeter varieties, and [Lusztig] computed the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of all such varieties.

### Question

How can we use the cohomology of DL varieties of Coxeter type and the combinatorics of the BT stratification to study the cohomology of RZ spaces/of basic loci of Shimura varieties?

Motivated by results of [Mantovan] and [Shen], one expects that the  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -supercuspidal part of  $\varinjlim_{\mathbb{K}} H_c^\bullet(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{K}})$  is encoded in the cohomology of the basic loci (with higher levels at  $p$ ).



## Computing cohomology: a general strategy

---

# Computing cohomology: a general strategy

$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(G, \mu, b_0)_K$  = formal scheme over  $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{\check{E}})$  with action of  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , where

- $E$  = local reflex field (a  $p$ -adic field),
- $\check{E} = \widehat{E^{\mathrm{un}}}$ ,
- $J = J_{b_0}$  = a certain inner form of  $G$ .

$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}}$  = reduced special fiber over  $\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa(E)^{\mathrm{ac}})$ ,  
 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{an}}$  = generic fiber as an analytic space over  $\check{E}$ .

# Computing cohomology: a general strategy

From now on, assume  $(G, \mu, K)$  is of Coxeter type.

BT stratification

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}} = \bigsqcup_{\Lambda \in \text{BT}'} \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}^{\circ},$$

where

- $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}^{\circ}$  = a Coxeter variety,
- $\text{BT}'$  = a polysimplicial complex closely related to the BT building of  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

$\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}^{\circ}$  is a smooth affine variety over  $\text{Spec}(\kappa(E))$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} := \overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}^{\circ}}$  is a projective closure.

# Computing cohomology: a general strategy

$\text{red} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ , the reduction map, anticontinuous.

$U_{\Lambda} := \text{red}^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda})$  the **analytical tube over**  $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}$ , open in  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}}$ .

$\{U_{\Lambda}\}_{\Lambda \in \text{BT}'}$  is an open cover of  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}}$ , inducing a

$J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W$ -equivariant Čech spectral sequence ( $\ell \neq p$ )

$$E_1^{a,b} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I_{-a+1}} H_c^b(U(\gamma) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}) \implies H_c^{a+b}(\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

where  $W = W_E$  is the Weil group of  $E$  and for  $a \leq 0$

$$I_{-a+1} := \left\{ \gamma \subset \text{BT}' \mid \#\gamma = -a+1 \text{ and } U(\gamma) := \bigcap_{\Lambda \in \gamma} U_{\Lambda} \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Note that  $\exists \Lambda(\gamma) \in \text{BT}'$  such that  $U(\gamma) = U_{\Lambda(\gamma)}$ .

## Computing cohomology: a general strategy

$(\mathbb{G}, X)$  = a Shimura datum which recovers the local RZ datum at  $p$ ,

$\mathbb{E} \subset \mathbb{C}$  the reflex field,

$v$  = a place of  $\mathbb{E}$  above  $p$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_v = E$ ,

$K^p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$  = small enough open compact subgroup,

$\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{K}}$  = the associated Shimura variety of level  $\mathbb{K} = KK^p$ , smooth quasi-projective over  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{E})$ .

We assume that there exists an integral model  $S_{K^p}$  over  $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ .

$\overline{S_{K^p}}$  = the special fiber over  $\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa(E))$ ,

$\overline{S_{K^p}}(b_0)$  = the basic locus, closed subvariety of the special fiber.

# Computing cohomology: a general strategy

$p$ -adic uniformization theorem [Rapoport, Zink]

There is a natural isomorphism

$$I(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}} \times \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_f^p) / K^p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{S}_{K^p}(b_0)^{\text{an}}.$$

$I$  = a certain inner form of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,

$\widehat{S}_{K^p}(b_0)^{\text{an}}$  = analytical tube over  $\overline{S_{K^p}}(b_0)$  inside the generic fiber of the formal completion of  $S_{K^p}$ .

# Computing cohomology: a general strategy

## Theorem [Fargues]

There is a  $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A}_f^p) \times W$ -equivariant spectral sequence

$$F_2^{a,b} = \bigoplus_{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(I)} \mathrm{Ext}_J^a(\mathrm{H}_c^{2(n-1)-b}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{an}})(n-1), \Pi_p) \otimes \Pi^{\infty,p} \\ \implies \mathrm{H}_c^{a+b}(\overline{S}(b_0), \mathrm{R}\Psi_\eta \mathcal{L}_\xi).$$

$$\overline{S}(b_0) := \varprojlim_{K^p} \overline{S}_{K^p}(b_0),$$

$\xi$  = finite dimensional irreducible algebraic representation over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ ,

$\mathcal{L}_\xi$  = the associated local system on the Shimura variety,

$\mathrm{R}\Psi_\eta \mathcal{L}_\xi$  = the nearby cycles,

$\mathcal{A}(I)$  = multiset of automorphic representations of  $I(\mathbb{A})$  counted with multiplicities,

$$\mathcal{A}_\xi(I) := \{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}(I) \mid \Pi \text{ is } \xi\text{-cohomological}\}.$$

## Our strategy

- Step 1:** Compute the cohomology of  $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ , the projective closure of Coxeter varieties.
- Step 2:** Relate the cohomology of  $U_\Lambda$  with that of  $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$  via computation of the nearby cycles.
- Step 3:** Compute the cohomology of  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{an}}$  via the Čech spectral sequence  $E$ .
- Step 4:** Compute the cohomology of the basic locus via the spectral sequence  $F$  associated to the  $p$ -adic uniformization theorem.



**Application to  $\mathrm{GU}(1, n - 1)$  over  $p$   
inert or ramified**

---

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n - 1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

We focus on the cases of  $\mathrm{GU}(1, n - 1)$  with

- $p$  inert  $K$  hyperspecial as in [Vollaard, Wedhorn],
- $p$  ramified  $K$  stabilizer of a self dual lattice as in [Rapoport, Terstiege, Wilson].

We summarize the main results obtained when trying to apply the strategy described in the previous slides.

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

### Step 2: Nearby cycles

	Inert $p$	Ramified $p$
Integral model $S_{K^p}$	smooth	flat, isolated singularities
Nearby cycles $R\Psi_{\eta} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$	trivial	skyscraper at singular points

### Computation for ramified $p$ :

$\mathrm{Bl}(S_{K^p}) =$  blow-up at singular points, semi-stable reduction.

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

By [Pappas] and [Krämer], the local model of  $\mathrm{Bl}(\overline{S_{K^p}})$  has 2 irreducible components  $Z_1, Z_2$ , and  $Q := Z_1 \cap Z_2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &\simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, & Q &\hookrightarrow Z_1 \text{ given by } X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2 = 0, \\ Z_2 &\text{ is a } \mathbb{P}^1\text{-bundle over } Q. \end{aligned}$$

### Proposition [M.]

We have

$$R^i \Psi_{\eta} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \bigoplus_{y \text{ singular}} i_{y,*} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \left[ \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{n/2} p^{n/2} \right] & \text{if } i = n-1 \text{ and } n \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where the scalar between brackets denotes the Frobenius eigenvalue.

## Step 1: Cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$

The BT stratification is compatible with  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action:

$$\forall g \in J(\mathbb{Q}_p), g : \mathcal{M}_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{g \cdot \Lambda}.$$

$J_\Lambda$  = connected stabilizer of  $\Lambda \in \mathrm{BT}'$ . It is a parahoric subgroup of  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ,

$J_\Lambda^+$  = pro- $p$  radical of  $J_\Lambda$ ,

$\mathcal{I}_\Lambda := J_\Lambda / J_\Lambda^+$  = connected reductive group over a finite field (= **a finite group of Lie type**).

$\implies$  induced action  $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ .

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

Any vertex  $\Lambda \in \mathrm{BT}'$  has a **type**  $0 \leq t(\Lambda) \leq n$ .

	Inert $p$	Ramified $p$
Type of $\Lambda \in \mathrm{BT}'$	$t(\Lambda) = 2\theta + 1$	$t(\Lambda) = 2\theta$
Variety $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$	irreducible, projective, smooth, $\dim = \theta$	irreducible, projective, isolated singularities, $\dim = \theta$
Group $\mathcal{J}_\Lambda$ acting on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$	$\mathrm{GU}_{2\theta+1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$	$\mathrm{GSp}_{2\theta}(\mathbb{F}_p)$

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

By [Lusztig], unipotent representations of  $\mathrm{GU}_{2\theta+1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $\mathrm{GSp}_{2\theta}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  are classified by triples  $(d, \alpha, \beta)$  where

	$\mathrm{GU}_{2\theta+1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$	$\mathrm{GSp}_{2\theta}(\mathbb{F}_p)$
Integer $d \geq 0$	$c := 2\theta + 1 - \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \geq 0$	$c := \theta - d(d+1) \geq 0$
Integer partitions $\alpha, \beta$	$ \alpha  +  \beta  = c$	$ \alpha  +  \beta  = c$

Write  $\rho_{d,\alpha,\beta}$  for associated representation. We have

$$\rho_{d,\alpha,\beta} \text{ cuspidal} \iff (\alpha, \beta) = (\emptyset, \emptyset).$$

Moreover  $\rho_{d,\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\rho_{d',\alpha',\beta'}$  have same cuspidal support iff  $d = d'$ .

# Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $\Lambda \in \mathrm{BT}'$ . Eigenvalues of Frobenius written in brackets.

1. **Inert  $p$ :** For  $0 \leq i \leq \theta$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2i}(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda) &\simeq \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\min(i, \theta-i)} \rho_{1, (\theta-s, s), \emptyset} [p^{2i}], \\ H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda) &\simeq \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\min(i, \theta-1-i)} \rho_{2, (\theta-1-s, s), \emptyset} [-p^{2i+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

2. **Ramified  $p$ :** For  $0 \leq i \leq \theta$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2i}(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda) &\simeq \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\min(i, \theta-i)} \rho_{0, (\theta-s, s), \emptyset} [p^i] \oplus \\ &\quad \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\min(i-1, \theta-1-i)} \rho_{1, (\theta-2-s, s), \emptyset} [-p^i], \\ H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$



### Step 3: On the cohomology of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{an}}$

Terms  $E_1^{a,b}$  of Čech spectral sequence can be written as finite sums of representations of the form

$$\mathrm{c} - \mathrm{Ind}_{J_\Lambda}^{J(\mathbb{Q}_p)} H^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda, R\Psi_\eta \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}).$$

We can analyze admissibility of such representations, and inertial support of their irreducible subquotients via theory of types.

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

**Notations:** For  $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(J(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  and  $\chi$  character of  $Z(J(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ ,  
 $V_\chi$  = largest quotient of  $V$  on which  $Z(J(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  acts through  $\chi$ .  
 $\theta_{\max}$  = maximal value of  $\theta$  for  $\Lambda \in \mathrm{BT}'$ .

### Proposition [M.]

For  $n \geq 3$  and  $\chi$  any unramified character of  $Z(J(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ , the cohomology group  $H_c^{2(n-1-\theta_{\max})}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{an}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})_\chi$  is not  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -admissible.

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

**Remark:** By [Fargues, Scholze],  $\forall \rho$  admissible rep'n of  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , the  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module

$$\mathrm{Ext}_J^a(H_c^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_\infty), \rho)$$

is admissible, where  $\mathcal{M}_\infty = (\mathcal{M}_K)_{K \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$  is the RZ tower. Follows from  $H_c^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_K)$  being a fg  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module.

Meanwhile, it is known that  $H_c^\bullet(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{an}})_\chi$  can have infinite length as  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module for certain RZ spaces. “To be non admissible” is stronger.

# Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

## Step 4: The cohomology of the basic locus for low $n$

**Recalls:**  $I$  = inner form of  $\mathbb{G}$  with

$$I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = J, \quad I_{\mathbb{A}_f^p} = \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{A}_f^p}, \quad I_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{GU}(0, n),$$

$\xi$ : finite dimensional irreducible algebraic rep'n of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,

$w(\xi) \geq 0$  the weight of  $\xi$ ,

$\mathcal{A}_{\xi}(I)$  = multiset of automorphic rep'n of  $I(\mathbb{A})$  cohomological for  $\xi$ .

$X^{\mathrm{un}}(J)$  = unramified characters of  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

For  $\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_{\xi}(I)$ , can attach a scalar  $\delta_{\Pi} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$  such that  $|\iota(\delta_{\Pi})| = 1$  for all  $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

We assume  $\dim \bar{S}(b_0) = 1$ , ie.

- for  $p$  inert,  $n = 3$  or  $4$ ,
- for  $p$  ramified,  $n = 2$  “split”,  $n = 3$  or  $n = 4$  “non-split”.

$H^0(\bar{S}(b_0), \mathcal{L}_\xi)$  and  $H^2(\bar{S}(b_0), \mathcal{L}_\xi)$  have same description in all cases. Define  $\pi_\ell \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  by

$$\pi_\ell = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p \text{ inert,} \\ \sqrt{p} & \text{if } p \text{ ramified.} \end{cases}$$

$J_1 \subset J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  stabilizer of self-dual lattice (hyperspecial when  $p$  inert).

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n - 1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

### Theorem (M.)

There are  $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p) \times W$ -equivariant isomorphisms

$$H^0(\bar{S}(b_0), \mathcal{L}_\xi) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(I) \\ \Pi_p \in X^{\mathrm{un}}(J)}} \Pi^{\infty, p} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}[\delta_\Pi \pi_\ell^{w(\xi)}],$$

$$H^2(\bar{S}(b_0), \mathcal{L}_\xi) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(I) \\ \Pi_p^{J_1} \neq 0}} \Pi^{\infty, p} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}[\delta_\Pi \pi_\ell^{w(\xi)+2}],$$

where the Frobenius eigenvalues are written between brackets.

Next we describe the  $H^1$ .

# Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n - 1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

**For inert  $p$ :**  $\sigma = \text{depth } 0$  supercuspidal rep'n of  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  coming from the unipotent cuspidal rep'n  $\rho_{2, \emptyset, \emptyset}$  of  $\mathrm{GU}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

Theorem (M.)

$$H^1(\overline{S}(b_0), \mathcal{L}_\xi) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(I) \\ \exists \chi \in \mathrm{un}(J) \\ \Pi_p = \chi \mathrm{St}_J}} \Pi^{\infty, p} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}[\delta_\Pi \pi_\ell^{w(\xi)}] \oplus$$

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(I) \\ \exists \chi \in X^{\mathrm{un}}(J) \\ \Pi_p = \chi \sigma}} \Pi^{\infty, p} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}[-\delta_\Pi \pi_\ell^{w(\xi)+1}],$$

## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

For ramified  $p$ :

Theorem (M.)

$$H^1(\overline{S}(b_0), \mathcal{L}_\xi) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(I) \\ \exists \chi \in X^{\mathrm{un}}(J) \\ \Pi_p = \chi \mathrm{St}_J}} \Pi^{\infty, p} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}[\delta \Pi \pi_\ell^{w(\xi)}].$$



## Application to $\mathrm{GU}(1, n-1)$ over $p$ inert or ramified

$J_0 \subset J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  other parahoric not conjugate to  $J_1$ .

### Theorem (M.)

Contribution of nearby cycles for  $n$  even:

$$H^1(\overline{S}(b_0), R\Psi_\eta \mathcal{L}_\xi) \simeq H^1(\overline{S}(b_0), \mathcal{L}_\xi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(I) \\ \Pi_p^{J_0} \neq 0}} \Pi^{\infty, p} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \left[ \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \delta_\Pi \pi_\ell^{w(\xi)+1} \right] \text{ if } n = 2,$$

$$H^3(\overline{S}(b_0), R\Psi_\eta \mathcal{L}_\xi) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_\xi(I) \\ \Pi_p^{J_0} \neq 0}} \Pi^{\infty, p} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} [\delta_\Pi \pi_\ell^{w(\xi)+2}] \text{ if } n = 4.$$

## **Applications and further directions**

---

## Applications and further directions

In principle, can get similar results for any Coxeter type Shimura varieties. Only few cases non-maximal parahoric level. This could give non trivial action of inertia  $I \subset W$ .

Eg.  $\mathrm{GU}(1, 2m - 1)$  inert  $p$  with  $K = K_0 \cap K_m$  where  $K_0, K_m$  are hyperspecial, stabilizers of lattices  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda_m$  such that

$$\Lambda_0^\vee = \Lambda_0, \quad \Lambda_m^\vee = p\Lambda_m.$$

In this case, semi-stable reduction and BT strata are products  $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda'}$  of BT strata for hyperspecial level, so Steps 1 and 2 are OK.

For inert  $p$  and  $n = 3$ , [De Shalit, Goren] described the geometry of  $S_0(p)_{K^p}$ , the special fiber at Iwahori level of the Shimura variety. They describe the fibers of

$$\pi : S_0(p)_{K^p} \rightarrow \overline{S}_{K^p}$$

over the Ekedahl-Oort strata. Using this, [Fu] proved a Mazur principle for  $\mathrm{GU}(1, 2)$  at inert  $p$ .

With our work, we can derive the cohomology of the basic locus of  $S_0(p)$ , and this could simplify some arguments in [Fu].

Thank you for your attention!