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#### Abstract

We introduce non-acyclic $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-torsion of a 3-manifold with toroidal boundary as an extension of J. Porti's $P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-torsion, and present an explicit formula of the $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ torsion of a mapping torus for a surface with punctures, by using the higher Teichmüler theory due to V. Fock and A. Goncharov. Our formula gives a concrete rational function which represents the torsion function and comes from a concrete cluster transformation associated with the mapping class.


## 1. Introduction

In the important work [P] J. Porti introduced non-acyclic $P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-torsion of a 3-manifold with toroidal boundary, and began to study the torsion as a function on the moduli space of $P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-representations of the fundamental group. In particular, in the case of a mapping torus for the once-punctured torus, he gave a concrete way to compute the torsion function, by using trace functions.

In this paper we introduce non-acyclic $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-torsion of a 3-manifold with toroidal boundary, and present an explicit formula of the $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-torsion of a mapping torus for a general surface with punctures, by using the higher Teichmüler theory due to V. Fock and A. Goncharov [FG]. See Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 for the precise statement of our main theorems. Our formulas, with methods developed in [TY, NTY], give concrete rational functions which represent the functions induced by twisted Alexander polynomials and the non-acyclic torsion on components of the $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-character variety. The rational functions come from a concrete cluster transformation [FZ1, FZ2] associated with the mapping class. Moreover, we show that for any pseudo-Anosov mapping class of a surface, the conjugacy class of a holonomy representation of the mapping torus is contained in the components.

Other attempts to define non-acyclic $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-torsion and to give formulas in terms of quantities closely related to cluster variables should be remarked. In [MFP3] P. Menal-Ferrer and J. Porti defines non-acyclic $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-torsion of a 3-manifold by another method, and shows an explicit relationship between its asymptotic behavior on $n$ and the volume of the manifold, extending the result of Müller for closed manifolds [Mü]. In [DG] T. Dimofte and S. Garoufalidis defines a series of invariants in terms of the shapes together with the gluing equations of an ideal triangulation of a 3-manifold, and conjectures that each invariant of the series agree with each term of the asymptotic expansion of the Kashaev invariant of the manifold. In particular, its first one of the series should conjecturelly give non-acyclic $P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-torsion, and they verify this experimentally for a large class of 3-manifolds. In [GGZ, GTZ] S. Garoufalidis, M. Goerner, D. P. Thurston and C. K. Zickert study moduli spaces of higher dimensional

[^0]representations for a general 3-manifold in terms of analogous coordinates to Fock and Goncharov's associated to an ideal triangulation of the manifold itself. It is interesting to obtain an explicit formula of the $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-torsion for a general 3-manifold, with a combination of the above results and our method.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, following Fock and Goncharov [FG], we review cluster algebras associated to an ideal triangulation of a punctured surface and then show that the characters of geometric representations of mapping tori are described by the cluster variables. Section 3 is devoted to introduce and study non-acyclic Reidemeister torsion for higher dimensional representations. In Section 4 we prove the main theorems, and demonstrate our theory with concrete examples.
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## 2. Character varieties and cluster algebras

2.1. Character varieties. We begin with reviewing some of the standard facts on character varieties. See Lubotzky and Magid [LM] for more details.

Let $S$ be a compact connected oriented surface with $m$ boundary circles. The group $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ acts on the affine algebraic set $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1} S, P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ by conjugation. We denote by $X_{S, n}$ the algebro-geometric quotient of the action, which is called the $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-character variety of $\pi_{1} S$. For a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} S \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ we write $\chi_{\rho}$ for its image by the quotient map and call it the character of $\rho$. We fix representatives $\tilde{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\gamma}_{m} \in \pi_{1} S$ of the boundary circles of $S$. A framed representation is a pair of a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} S \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ and Borel subgroups $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$ of $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\rho\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{i}\right) \in B_{i}$ for all $i$. The set $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{s, n}$ of framed representations is a closed subset of the affine algebraic set $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1} S, P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \times \mathcal{B}^{m}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the flag variety of $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ parameterizing Borel subgroups. The $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\widetilde{X}_{S, n}$ by conjugation. We denote by $\mathcal{X}_{S, n}$ the algebro-geometric quotient of the action, and for a framed representation $\left(\rho, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)$ we write $\chi_{\left(\rho, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)}$ for its image by the quotient map. Forgetting framings $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)$ gives a regular map $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{S, n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1} S, P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. We denoted by $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{S, n} \rightarrow X_{S, n}$ the induced map on the quotients.

The tangent space $T_{\chi_{\rho}} X_{S, n}$ is identified with a subspace of the 1st twisted group cohomology $H_{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} S ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ by the monomorphism given by

$$
\left.\frac{d \chi_{\rho_{t}}}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \mapsto\left[\left.\gamma \mapsto \frac{d \rho_{t}(\gamma) \rho_{t}\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)}{d t}\right|_{t=0}\right],
$$

where $\rho_{0}=\rho$ and $\gamma \in \pi_{1} S$ [W]. It is easily seen that the map $T_{\left(\rho, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)} \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{S, n} \rightarrow$ $T_{\rho} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1}, P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is an epimorphism, and so is $(d \pi)_{\chi\left(\rho, B_{1}, \ldots B_{m}\right)}: T_{\chi\left(\rho, B_{1}, \ldots B_{m}\right)} \mathcal{X}_{S, n} \rightarrow T_{\chi_{\rho}} X_{S, n}$.

We denote by $\Gamma_{S}$ the mapping class group of $S$ which is defined to be the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of $S$, where these isotopies are understood to fix $\partial S$ pointwise. For $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ we write $M_{\varphi}$ for the mapping torus $S \times[0,1] /(x, 1) \sim(\varphi(x), 0)$ of $\varphi$. A mapping class $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ induces automorphisms $\varphi^{*}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{S, n}$ and $X_{S, n}$ by pullback of representations. For a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C}), \chi_{\left.\rho\right|_{1} S}$ is contained in the fixed point set $X_{S, n}^{\varphi^{*}}$ of $\varphi^{*}: X_{S, n} \rightarrow X_{S, n}$.
2.2. Cluster algebras associated to an ideal triangulation. We review cluster algebras for $S$, following [FG]. Here, in particular, we only consider $y$-variables. See [FZ1, FZ2] for more details on cluster algebras. In the following we assume that $\partial S$ is non-empty and that if the genus of $S$ is 0 , then the number $m$ of the boundary circles is greater than 3 .

Let $Q$ be a quiver with the vertex set $I=\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$ and without loops and oriented 2-cycles. For $i, j \in I$ we set

$$
\epsilon_{i j}:=\sharp\{\text { oriented edges from } i \text { to } j\}-\sharp\{\text { oriented edges from } j \text { to } i\} .
$$

Note that $Q$ is uniquely determined by the skew-symmetric matrix $\epsilon_{i j}$. For $k \in I$ the mutation $\mu_{k} Q$ at $k \in I$ is defined by the following matrix $\epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\epsilon_{i j}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}-\epsilon_{i j} & \text { if } k \in\{i, j\}, \\ \epsilon_{i j}+\frac{\left|\epsilon_{i}\right| \epsilon_{k_{j}}+\epsilon_{i k}\left|\epsilon_{i j}\right|}{2} & \text { if } k \notin\{i, j\} .\end{cases}
$$

A complex torus

$$
\mathcal{X}_{Q}:=\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{I}
$$

is associated to $Q$. Let $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$ be the standard coordinates on the torus. For $k \in I$ a rational map $\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{*}: \mathcal{X}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mu_{k} Q}$ associated to the mutation $\mu_{k} Q$ is defined by the following:

$$
\text { the } i \text { th coordinate of }\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{*}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)= \begin{cases}y_{i}^{-1} & \text { if } i=k, \\ y_{i}\left(1+y_{k}^{-1}\right)^{-\epsilon_{i k}} & \text { if } i \neq k \text { and } \epsilon_{i k} \geq 0, \\ y_{i}\left(1+y_{k}\right)^{-\epsilon_{i k}} & \text { if } i \neq k \text { and } \epsilon_{i k} \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Shrinking each component of $\partial S$, we get a closed surface $\bar{S}$ with marked points. A triangulation of $\bar{S}$ with vertices at the marked points is called an ideal triangulation of $S$. In this paper we only consider an ideal triangulation without self-folded edges. Such a triangulation exists under the above assumption on $S$.

Let $T$ be an ideal triangulation of $S$ and let $n$ be a positive integer. We identify each triangle of $T$ with the triangle

$$
x+y+z=n, \quad x, y, z>0
$$

and consider its triangulation given by the lines $x=p, y=p, z=p$ where $0 \leq p \leq n$ is an integer. The subtriangulation $T_{n}$ of $T$ is called the $n$-triangulation of $T$. The quiver $Q_{T, n}$ associated to $T_{n}$ is defined as:

$$
Q_{T, n}:=\overline{T_{n}^{(1)} \backslash T^{(1)}},
$$

where $T^{(1)}$ and $T_{n}^{(1)}$ are the 1-skeletons of $T$ and $T_{n}$ respectively. (See Figure 1.) The vertex set $I_{T, n}$ of $Q_{T, n}$ consists of vertices of $T_{n}$ except the marked points of $\bar{S}$. The orientation of each edge of $Q_{T, n}$ is provided by that of $S$ as follows. Take a triangle $\Delta$ of $T$, which is oriented as a subspace of $S$. Then each edge of $Q_{T, n}$ contained in $\Delta$ is oriented so that the direction is parallel to one of the boundary edge of $\Delta$. For simplicity of notation, we set $\mathcal{X}_{T, n}:=\mathcal{X}_{Q_{T, n}}$. Writing $e$ and $f$ for the number of edges and faces of $T$ respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{T, n}\right| & =(n-1) e+\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} f, \\
\chi(S) & =-e+f \\
2 e & =3 f .
\end{aligned}
$$

These imply the formula

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{X}_{T, n}=\left|I_{T, n}\right|=-\left(n^{2}-1\right) \chi(S)
$$

In the following we set

$$
l=-\left(n^{2}-1\right) \chi(S)
$$



Figure 1. The 3-triangulation $T_{3}$ and the quiver $Q_{T, 3}$
Fock and Goncharov [FG, Section 9] constructed a regular map $v_{T}: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{S, n}$ and a rational map $\varphi_{T}: \mathcal{X}_{S, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ such that $\varphi_{T} \circ v_{T}=i d$. In particular, $v_{T}$ is an embedding, and [FG, Theorem 9.1] implies that the images for all the triangulations cover $\mathcal{X}_{S, n}$. The regular map $v_{T}: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{S, n}$ is explicitly constructed in [FG, Section 9.10], and the rational map $\varphi_{T}: \mathcal{X}_{S, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ is in [FG, Section 9.3]. For $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ we set

$$
\chi_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)}:=\pi \circ \nu_{T}\left(\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)\right)
$$

Remark 2.1. Fock and Goncharov associated cluster algebras also to an ideal triangulation with self-folded edges. See [FG, Section 10.7] for the treatment of the case.
2.3. The mapping class group actions on cluster variables. Here we define the action $\varphi^{*}: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ for each $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$. The definition plays important role to relate the moduli space for $M_{\varphi}$ to cluster algebras for the fiber surface. In fact the fixed point set $\mathcal{X}_{T, n}^{\varphi^{*}}$ parameterizing $\mathcal{X}_{S, n}^{\varphi^{*}}$ makes sense.

A mapping class $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ naturally induces a bijection $\varphi_{*}: I_{T, n} \rightarrow I_{\varphi(T), n}$. It defines an isomor$\operatorname{phism} \sigma: \mathcal{X}_{\varphi(T), n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ by

$$
\sigma\left(y_{\varphi_{*}(1)}, \ldots, y_{\varphi_{*}(l)}\right)=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) .
$$

The isomorphism $\sigma$ is called the labeling change of $\varphi$. This is essential for obtaining the genuine action $\varphi^{*}: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ defined later.
Proposition 2.2. For $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ the following diagram commutes:


Proof. We first briefly overview the flow of the construction of the map $v_{T}: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{S, n}$. Let $\Gamma$ be the 1 -skeleton of a dual complex of $T$. Replacing edges and vertices of $\Gamma$ by rectangles and hexagons respectively, we obtain a decomposition of $S$. The orientation of $S$ naturally induces that of each edge of the decomposition. We denote by $\Delta$ the set of oriented edges of the decomposition. It follows from [FG, Lemma 9.6] that $\mathcal{X}_{S, n}$ can be regarded as a quotient of $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\Delta}$. For $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ a representative of $v_{T}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$ in $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\Delta}$ is explicitly given as in [FG, Theorem 9.2].
Let $e \in \Delta$. If $e$ is an edge of a rectangle, then let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}$ be the vertices of $Q_{T, n}$ on the two triangles sharing the edge corresponding with the rectangle. If $e$ is an edge of a hexagon, then let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}$ be the vertices of $Q_{T, n}$ on the triangle corresponding with the hexagon. It follows from the construction [FG, Theorem 9.2] of $v_{T}: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{S, n}$ that for $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$, $v_{T}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$ is presented by an element of $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\Delta}$ whose image of $e$ is determined only by the coordinates $\left(y_{v_{1}}, \ldots, y_{v_{q}}\right)$ in $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$, and that $v_{\varphi(T)}\left(\sigma^{-1}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)\right)$ is represented by one whose image of $\varphi(e)$ is similarly determined by $\left(y_{\varphi\left(v_{1}\right)}, \ldots, y_{\varphi\left(v_{q}\right)}\right)$ in $\sigma^{-1}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$, which are equal to $\left(y_{v_{1}}, \ldots, y_{v_{q}}\right)$ in $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\varphi^{*} \circ v_{\varphi(T)} \circ \sigma^{-1}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)=v_{T}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)
$$

for any $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$, and the lemma follows.
Let $T^{\prime}$ be an ideal triangulation of $S$ obtained from $T$ by a flip $f$ at an edge $e$. We identify each of two triangles sharing $e$ as a face with the triangle

$$
x+y+z=n, \quad x, y, z>0
$$

so that the edge on the line $x=0$ represents $e$. Let $v_{1}^{0}, \ldots, v_{n-2}^{0}$ be the vertices of $Q_{T, n}$ on the line $x=0$, and let $v_{1}^{i}, \ldots, v_{n-i-2}^{i}$ and $w_{1}^{i}, \ldots, w_{n-i-2}^{i}$ be these on the line $x=i$ contained in the interior of the two triangles for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Then the following composition of mutations change $Q_{T, n}$ into $Q_{T^{\prime}, n}$ [FG, Proposition 10.1]:

$$
\mu^{n-2} \circ \cdots \circ \mu^{0},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{0} & :=\mu_{v_{1}^{0}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{v_{n-1}^{0}}, \\
\mu^{i} & :=\left(\mu_{v_{1}^{i}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{v_{n-i-1}^{i}}\right) \circ\left(\mu_{w_{1}^{i}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{w_{n-i-1}^{i}}\right), \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

A rational map $f_{*}: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T^{\prime}, n}$ is defined as

$$
f_{*}:=\left(\mu^{n-2}\right)_{*} \circ \cdots \circ\left(\mu^{0}\right)_{*} .
$$

The following commutative diagram is proved in [FG, Sections 10.5 and 10.6]:


Definition 2.3. We take a sequence $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q}$ of flips changing $T$ into $\varphi(T)$ and define a rational $\operatorname{map} \varphi: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ as

$$
\varphi^{*}=\sigma \circ\left(f_{q}\right)_{*} \circ \cdots \circ\left(f_{1}\right)_{*},
$$

where $\sigma$ is the labeling change of $\varphi$.

The following is now a direct consequence of Proposition 2.2.
Corollary 2.4. For $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ the following diagram commutes:


Note that it follows from the above corollary that $\varphi^{*}: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ does not depend on the choice of a sequence of flips.
2.4. The character of a holonomy representation. We show that the characters of geometric representations of mapping tori are described by cluster variables.

It is well-known that for $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}$ has a hyperbolic structure if and only if $\varphi$ is pseudo-Anosov [Th].

Theorem 2.5. Let $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ be pseudo-Anosov and $\rho: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ a holonomy representation of $M_{\varphi}$. Then there exists $y_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ for $i=1, \ldots, l$ such that $\chi_{\left.\rho\right|_{\pi_{1}} s}=\chi_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)}$.
Proof. Since for any representative $\tilde{\gamma} \in \pi_{1} S$ of a boundary circle of $S$ a Borel subgroup containing $\rho(\tilde{\gamma})$ is uniquely determined, $\pi^{-1}\left(\chi_{\left.\rho\right|_{\pi_{1} S}}\right)$ consists of one point $\chi_{\left(\left.\rho\right|_{\pi_{1}} S, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)}$. It suffices to show that the rational map $\varphi_{T}: \mathcal{X}_{S, 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, 2}$ is defined on the point, since, if so, then $\varphi_{T}\left(\chi_{\left(\rho_{T_{1} s}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, 2}$ satisfies the desired condition.

Let $e$ be an edge of $T$ and let $\Gamma$ be the 1 -skeleton of a dual complex of $T$. Write $x, y, z, t$ for the vertices of two triangles of $T$ sharing $e$ so that $x t z$ and $x z y$ are the triangles compatible with the orientations coming from that of $S$. There are natural 4 (unoriented) loops $\gamma_{x}, \gamma_{y}, \gamma_{z}, \gamma_{t}$ in $\Gamma$ starting at a point on the dual edge of $e$ and going around the boundary of the dual cells of the vertices $x, y, z, t$ respectively. Take representatives $\tilde{\gamma}_{x}, \tilde{\gamma}_{y}, \tilde{\gamma}_{z}, \tilde{\gamma}_{t} \in \pi_{1} S$ of $\gamma_{x}, \gamma_{y}, \gamma_{z}, \gamma_{t}$ with any orientations respectively, and let $\lambda_{x}, \lambda_{y}, \lambda_{z}, \lambda_{t} \in \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ be the fixed point of the Möbius transformations $\rho\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{x}\right), \rho\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{y}\right), \rho\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{z}\right), \rho\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{t}\right)$ respectively. Then, if defined, the coordinate $y_{e}$ of $\varphi_{T}\left(\chi_{\left(\left.\rho\right|_{T_{1}} s, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)}\right)$ corresponding to the vertex on $e$ is given by

$$
y_{e}=\frac{\left(\lambda_{x}-\lambda_{t}\right)\left(\lambda_{y}-\lambda_{z}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{z}-\lambda_{t}\right)\left(\lambda_{x}-\lambda_{y}\right)}
$$

See [FG, Sections 9.3 and 9.5] for the definition of the coordinate functions. Since $\tilde{\gamma}_{x}, \tilde{\gamma}_{y}, \tilde{\gamma}_{z}, \tilde{\gamma}_{t}$ are distinct nontrivial elements of the free group $\pi_{1} S$ and since $\rho: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ is faithful, $\rho\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{x}\right), \rho\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{y}\right), \rho\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{z}\right), \rho\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{t}\right)$ are non-commutative with each other, and so $\lambda_{x}, \lambda_{y}, \lambda_{z}, \lambda_{t}$ are all distinct elements. Therefore the value $y_{e}$ is nonzero for each $e$, which implies that $\varphi_{T}: X_{S, 2} \rightarrow X_{T, 2}$ is defined on $\chi_{\left(\left.\rho\right|_{T_{1}} s, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)}$.
Corollary 2.6. For any pseudo-Anosov mapping class $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ the fixed point set $\mathcal{X}_{T, n}^{\varphi^{*}}$ is nonempty.
Proof. Let $\iota_{n}: X_{T, 2} \rightarrow X_{T, n}$ be the map defined as follows. For $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, 2}$, each coordinate of $\iota_{n}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$ corresponding to a vertex of $Q_{T, n}$ on an edge of $T$ is defined to be $y_{i}$ corresponding to the unique vertex of $Q_{T, 2}$ on the same edge, and the other coordinates are all defined to be 1 . The commutativity of the following diagram is straightforward by the definition
of $\varphi^{*}$ :


It follows from this commutativity, Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 that for $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, 2}$ in Theorem 2.5, $\iota_{n}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, n}^{\varphi^{*}}$, which proves the corollary.

## 3. Torsion functions

3.1. Reidemeister torsion. First we review basics of Reidemeister torsion. See Milnor [Mi1] and Turaev [Tu] for more details.
Let $C_{*}=\left(C_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} C_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_{0}\right)$ be a finite dimensional chain complex over a commutative field $\mathbb{F}$, and let $c=\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ and $h=\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ be bases of $C_{*}$ and $H_{*}\left(C_{*}\right)$ respectively. Choose bases $b_{i}$ of $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{i+1}$ for each $i=0,1, \ldots n$, and take a basis $b_{i} h_{i} b_{i-1}$ of $C_{i}$ for each $i$ as follows. Picking a lift of $h_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Ker} \partial_{i}$ and combining it with $b_{i}$, we first obtain a basis $b_{i} h_{i}$ of $C_{i}$. Then picking a lift of $b_{i-1}$ in $C_{i}$ and combining it with $b_{i} h_{i}$, we obtain a basis $b_{i} h_{i} b_{i-1}$ of $C_{i}$. The algebraic torsion $\tau\left(C_{*}, c, h\right)$ is defined as:

$$
\tau\left(C_{*}, c, h\right):=\prod_{i=0}^{n}\left[b_{i} h_{i} b_{i-1} / c_{i}\right]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \in \mathbb{F}^{\times},
$$

where $\left[d^{\prime} / d\right]$ is the determinant of the base change matrix from $d$ to $d^{\prime}$ for bases $d$ and $d^{\prime}$. If $C_{*}$ is acyclic, then we just write $\tau\left(C_{*}, c\right)$. It can be easily checked that $\tau\left(C_{*}, c, h\right)$ does not depend on the choices of $b_{i}$ and $b_{i} h_{i} b_{i-1}$.

The algebraic torsion $\tau$ has the following multiplicative property. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow C_{*}^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{*} \rightarrow C_{*}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

be a short exact sequence of finite dimensional chain complexes over $\mathbb{F}$ and let $c=$ $\left\{c_{i}\right\}, c^{\prime}=\left\{c_{i}^{\prime}\right\}, c^{\prime \prime}=\left\{c_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and $h=\left\{h_{i}\right\}, h^{\prime}=\left\{h_{i}^{\prime}\right\}, h^{\prime \prime}=\left\{h_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ be bases of $C_{*}, C_{*}^{\prime}, C_{*}^{\prime \prime}$ and $H_{*}\left(C_{*}\right), H_{*}\left(C_{*}^{\prime}\right), H_{*}\left(C_{*}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Picking a lift of $c_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ in $C_{i}$ and combining it with the image of $c_{i}^{\prime}$ in $C_{i}$, we obtain a basis $c_{i}^{\prime} c_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ of $C_{i}$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{*}$ the corresponding long exact sequence in homology, and by $d$ the basis of $\mathcal{H}_{*}$ obtained by combining $h, h^{\prime}, h^{\prime \prime}$.

Lemma 3.1. ([Mi1, Theorem 3. 1]) If $\left[c_{i}^{\prime} c_{i}^{\prime \prime} / c_{i}\right]=1$ for all $i$, then

$$
\tau\left(C_{*}, c, h\right)=\tau\left(C_{*}^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right) \tau\left(C_{*}^{\prime \prime}, c^{\prime \prime}, h^{\prime \prime}\right) \tau\left(\mathcal{H}_{*}, d\right) .
$$

In the following when we write $C_{*}(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z})$ for a CW-pair $(Y, Z), \widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}$ stand for the universal cover of $Y$ and the pullback of $Z$ by the universal covering map $\widetilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ respectively. For a $n$ dimensional representation $\rho: \pi_{1} Y \rightarrow G L(V)$ over a commutative field $\mathbb{F}$ we define the twisted homology group and the cohomology group associated to $\rho$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{i}^{\rho}(Y, Z ; V) & :=H_{i}\left(C_{*}(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\pi_{1} Y\right]} V\right), \\
H_{\rho}^{i}(Y, Z ; V) & :=H^{i}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\pi_{1} Y\right]}\left(C_{*}(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}), V\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $Z$ is empty, then we write $H_{i}^{\rho}(Y ; V)$ and $H_{\rho}^{i}(Y ; V)$ respectively.

For a basis $h$ of $H_{*}^{\rho}(Y ; V)$ the Reidemeister torsion $\tau_{\rho}(Y ; h)$ associated to $\rho$ and $h$ is defined as follows: We choose a lift $\tilde{e}$ in $\widetilde{Y}$ for each cell $e \subset Y$. Then

$$
\tau_{\rho}(Y ; h):=\tau\left(C_{*}(\widetilde{Y}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\left[\pi_{1} Y\right]} V,\langle\tilde{e} \otimes 1\rangle_{e}, h\right) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times} /(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det} \rho\left(\pi_{1} Y\right) .
$$

If $H_{*}^{\rho}(Y ; V)=0$, then we drop $h$ in the notation $\tau_{\rho}(Y ; h)$. It can be easily checked that $\tau_{\rho}(Y ; h)$ does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{e}$ and is invariant under conjugation of representations. It is known that Reidemeister torsion is a simple homotopy invariant.

Let $M$ be a compact connected orientable 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary and let $\psi: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow\langle t\rangle$ be a homomorphism. For a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} Y \rightarrow G L_{n}(\mathbb{F})$ satisfying $H_{*}^{\psi \otimes \rho}\left(Y ; \mathbb{F}(t)^{n}\right)=0$, where $\psi \otimes \rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow G L_{n}(\mathbb{F}(t))$ is given by $\psi \otimes \rho(\gamma)=\psi(\gamma) \rho(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \pi_{1} M$, the Reidemeister torsion $\tau_{\psi \otimes \rho}(M)$ is known by Kirk and Livingston [KL], and Kitano [K] to be essentially equal to the twisted Alexander polynomial associated to $\psi$ and $\rho$. For twisted Alexander polynomials we refer the reader to [FV].
3.2. Non-acyclic Reidemeister torsion for higher dimensional representations. We introduce non-acyclic Reidemeister torsion of a 3-manifold for higher dimensional representations as a natural generalization of Porti's torsion for a 2-dimensional representation [P].

For a compact orientable manifold $Y$ and a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} Y \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ the Killing form of $\mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ induces a non-degenerate intersection pairing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(Y ; \mathfrak{p g I}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \times H_{3-i}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be a compact connected orientable 3-manifold whose boundary consists of $m$ tori $T_{i}$ and let $\gamma_{i} \subset T_{i}$ be a simple closed curve for each $i$. For a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow$ $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ a homomorphism $\operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right.$ ), where $\operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}:=\{v \in$ $\operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C}) ; \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\left(\pi_{1} T_{i}\right) v=v$, is defined to map $v$ to $\left[\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \otimes v\right]$ for $v \in \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}$, where $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}$ is a lift of $\gamma_{i}$ in $\widetilde{M}$. Similarly, a homomorphism $\operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{2}^{\operatorname{Ad\circ \rho }}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is defined to map $v$ to $\left[\widetilde{T}_{i} \otimes v\right]$ for $v \in \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}$, where $\widetilde{T}_{i}$ is a lift of $T_{i}$ in $\widetilde{M}$. We denote by $\psi_{1}: \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ado\rho }^{2}}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ and $\psi_{2}: \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{2}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ the direct sums of the homomorphisms for $i$ respectively.
Definition 3.2. A representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ is called $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regular if:
(i) $H_{0}^{\operatorname{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$,
(ii) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}=n-1$ for each $i$,
(iii) $\psi_{1}: \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is surjective.

Remark 3.3. The above definition is equivalent to one for representations $\pi_{1} M \rightarrow S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ by Porti [P, Définition 3.21]. (See also [P, Proposition 3.22].)

It is easily seen that if a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ is $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regular, then so is a conjugation of $\rho$.

The following theorem strongly depends on the works of Menal-Ferrer and Porti [MFP1, MFP2].
Theorem 3.4. Suppose that $M$ is a hyperbolic 3-manifold. Let $\rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ be $a$ holonomy representation and $\iota_{n}: P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ is the representation induced by an irreducible representation $S L_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then for any $\gamma_{i} \subset T_{i}$ which is not null-homologous the composition $\iota_{n} \circ \rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ is $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regular.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Ad}^{\circ} \iota_{n} \circ \rho$ is non-commutative,

Now it follows from Poincaré duality and the duality induced by the intersection pairing (3.1) that $H_{0}^{\mathrm{Adol}_{n} \circ \rho}\left(M ; \mathrm{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$, which proves the condition (i).

Since $\left.\rho\right|_{\pi_{1} T_{i}}: \pi_{1} T_{i} \rightarrow$ is a parabolic representation for each $i$, it follows from [MFP1, Lemma 2.1] that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{pgg}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}=n-1$ for each $i$, which proves the condition (ii).

We denote by $X_{M, n}$ and $X_{\gamma_{i}, n}$ the $P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-character varieties of the fundamental groups of $M$ and $\gamma_{i}$ respectively. It follows from [MFP1, Theorem 1.1] that regular functions $X_{M, n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induced by symmetric polynomials of eigenvalues for $\iota_{n} \circ \rho\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ for all $i$ except for the determinant give biholomorphic local coordinates of $X_{M, n}$ as a $m(n-1)$-dimensional complex manifold. It is easy to check that $X_{\gamma_{i}, n}$ has a similar biholomorphic local coordinates as a ( $n-1$ )-dimensional complex manifold. Hence the homomorphism $T_{\chi_{n n \rho} \circ} X_{M, n} \rightarrow \oplus_{i} T_{\chi_{t_{n} \circ \rho}} X_{\gamma_{i}, n}$ is an isomorphism,

 for each $i$. (See also [MFP2, Theorem 0.3].) Now it follows from Poincaré duality and the duality induced by the intersection pairing (3.1) that the homomorphism $\oplus_{i} H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ado} \iota_{n} \rho \rho}\left(\gamma_{i} ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{1}^{\text {Ado }_{n} \circ \rho}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is an isomorphism. Since

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}=\operatorname{dim} H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ado}_{n} \rho \rho}\left(\gamma_{i} ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=n-1,
$$

the homomorphism $\operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ad}^{\circ} \circ \rho}\left(\gamma_{i} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ mapping $v$ to $\left[\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \otimes v\right]$ for $v \in \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}$ is an isomorphism for each $i$. Therefore $\psi_{1}: \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\left.\text {Adop }^{( }\right)}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$, which is a composition of the above homomorphisms, is also an isomorphism, which proves the condition (iii).

Lemma 3.5. If a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} T^{2} \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T^{2}}=n-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } \operatorname{dim} H_{0}^{\operatorname{Ado\rho }}\left(T^{2} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\operatorname{dim} H_{2}^{\operatorname{Ado\rho }}\left(T^{2} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=n-1, \\
& \text { (ii) } \operatorname{dim} H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ado\rho }}\left(T^{2} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=2(n-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $H_{2}^{\text {Adop }}\left(T^{2} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T^{2}}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{2}^{\operatorname{Ad\rho \rho }}\left(T^{2} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T^{2}}=n-1 .
$$

It follows from the duality induced by the intersection pairing (3.1) that

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{0}^{\operatorname{Ad\rho \rho }}\left(T^{2} ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\operatorname{dim} H_{2}^{\operatorname{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(T^{2} ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=n-1 .
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} H_{i}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(T^{2} ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\left(n^{2}-1\right) \not(M)=0
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho}\left(T^{2} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\operatorname{dim} H_{0}^{\operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho}\left(T^{2} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)+\operatorname{dim} H_{2}^{\operatorname{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(T^{2} ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=2(n-1)
$$

Lemma 3.6. If a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ is $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regular for $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ad\circ \rho }}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\operatorname{dim} H_{2}^{\operatorname{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=m(n-1)
$$

Proof. Since $\psi_{1}: \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathfrak{p g I}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\operatorname{Ado\rho }\left(\pi_{1} T_{i}\right)} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Adop }}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is surjective, so is the homomorphism $H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(\partial M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. It follows from the duality induced by the intersection pairing (3.1) that the dual homomorphism $H_{2}^{\operatorname{Ado\rho }}\left(M, \partial M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(\partial M ; \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is injective. Now the homology long exact sequence for the pair $(M, \partial M)$ gives the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{2}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(M, \partial M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(\partial M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence by Lemma 3.5 (ii) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(\partial M ; \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{dim} H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ado\rho }}\left(T_{i} ; \mathfrak{p g}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=m(n-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{3}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} H_{i}^{\operatorname{Ad\rho \rho }}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\left(n^{2}-1\right) \chi(M)=0
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{2}^{\operatorname{Ad\rho \rho }}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\operatorname{dim} H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ado\rho }^{2}}\left(T^{2} ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=m(n-1)
$$

Lemma 3.7. If a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ is $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$-regular for $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}$, then $\psi_{1}: \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ado } \rho}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ and $\psi_{2}: \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{2}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ are isomorphisms.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{1}^{\operatorname{Ado\rho }}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\operatorname{dim} H_{2}^{\operatorname{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=\operatorname{dim} \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}=m(n-1) .
$$

Since $\psi_{1}: \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is surjective, it is an isomorphism. Since $H_{0}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$, it follows from the duality induced by the intersection pairing (3.1) that $H_{3}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(M, \partial M ; \mathfrak{p g}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$. Now the homology long exact sequence for the pair $(M, \partial M)$ implies that the homomorphism $H_{2}\left(\partial M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow H_{2}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho\left(M ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \text { is injective. Hence }}$ $\psi_{2}: \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{2}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(M ; \mathfrak{p g I}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is also injective, and so it is an isomorphism.

Definition 3.8. For a $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regular representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ we define the non-acyclic Reidemeister torsion $T_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right), \rho}(M)$ associated to $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$ and $\rho$ as follows. We choose a basis $b_{i}$ of $\operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}$ for each $i$. Then

$$
T_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right), \rho}(M)=\tau_{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(M ; h_{1} \cup h_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} / \pm 1,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}:=\left\langle\psi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi_{1}\left(b_{m}\right)\right\rangle, \\
& h_{2}:=\left\langle\psi_{2}\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi_{2}\left(b_{m}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be checked as follows that $T_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right), \rho}(M)$ does not depend on the choice of $b_{i}$. Let $b_{i}^{\prime}$ be another basis of $\operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}$ for each $i$, and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}^{\prime}:=\left\langle\psi_{1}\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right), \ldots, \psi_{1}\left(b_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle, \\
& h_{2}^{\prime}:=\left\langle\psi_{2}\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right), \ldots, \psi_{2}\left(b_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by the definition of Reidemeister torsion we have

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(M ; h_{1}^{\prime} \cup h_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left[h_{1}^{\prime} / h_{1}\right]}{\left[h_{2}^{\prime} / h_{2}\right]} \tau_{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(M ; h_{1} \cup h_{2}\right) .
$$

and an easy computation implies

$$
\left[h_{1}^{\prime} / h_{1}\right]=\left[h_{2}^{\prime} / h_{2}\right]=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left[b_{i}^{\prime} / b_{i}\right],
$$

which shows the independence.
3.3. Non-acyclic Reidemeister torsion for fibered 3-manifolds. We show a formula computing non-acyclic Reidemeister torsion of fibered 3-manifolds from the monodromy maps. The formula generalizes a homological version of [D, Main Theorem] for fibered knots and 2-dimensional representations.
Theorem 3.9. Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ be the boundary components of $S$ and let $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$. For a $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regular representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $H_{0}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(S ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$,

$$
T_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right), \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(t \varphi_{*}-i d\right)}{(t-1)^{m(n-1)}}
$$

where we consider $\varphi_{*}: H_{1}^{\mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho}\left(S ; \operatorname{pg}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\mathrm{Ad}^{\circ \rho}}\left(S ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ in the formula.
Proof. We denote by $\psi_{1}^{\prime}: \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Adop }}\left(S ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ the factor of $\psi_{1}: \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}} \rightarrow$ $H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(M_{\varphi} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$. It follows from the duality induced by the intersection pairing (3.1) that $H_{2}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(S, \partial S ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=H_{0}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(S ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$. Now the homology long exact sequence
 is injective, and so is $\psi_{1}^{\prime}$. Choose a basis $b_{i}$ of $\operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})^{\pi_{1} T_{i}}$ for each $i$ and take a basis $h=\left\langle\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(b_{m}\right)\right\rangle \cup b$ of $H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(S ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$, by adding subbasis $b$.

Take a representative of $\varphi$ and a triangulation of $S$ such that the representative is simplicial, and consider the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow C_{*}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}(\widetilde{S}) \otimes \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{i d \times 1-\varphi_{*} \times 0} C_{*}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}(\widetilde{S} \times[0,1]) \otimes \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_{*}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(\widetilde{M}_{\varphi}\right) \otimes \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By Lemma 3.1

$$
\tau_{\rho}(S \times[0,1] ; h)=\tau_{\rho}(S ; h) T_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right), \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right) \tau\left(\mathcal{H}_{*}, d\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{*} & :=\left(0 \rightarrow H_{2}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}(S) \xrightarrow{I-\varphi_{*}} H_{1}^{\mathrm{Ado} \mathrm{\rho}}(S) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right) \rightarrow 0\right), \\
d & :=h_{1} \cup h \cup h \cup h_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tau_{\rho}(S \times[0,1] ; h)=\tau_{\rho}(S ; h)$, we have

$$
T_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right), \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right)=\tau\left(\mathcal{H}_{*}, d\right)^{-1} .
$$

Considering the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

where we omit to write the coefficient $\operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, we see that the homomorphism $H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(S ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(M_{\varphi} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ maps $\left\langle\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(b_{m}\right)\right\rangle$ to $h_{1}$ and that the homomorphism $H_{2}^{\text {Ado } \rho}\left(M_{\varphi} ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(S ; \mathfrak{p g l}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ maps $h_{2}$ to $\left\langle\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(b_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(b_{m}\right)\right\rangle$. Therefore

$$
\tau\left(\mathcal{H}_{*}, d\right)^{-1}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(i d-\varphi_{*}\right): \operatorname{Coker} \psi_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker} \psi_{1}^{\prime}\right)= \pm \lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(t \varphi_{*}-i d\right)}{(t-1)^{m(n-1)}}
$$

which proves the theorem.
For a later use, we recall a well-known formula of 'twisted Alexander polynomials' for fibered 3-manifolds. See for instance [Mi2].

Lemma 3.10. Let $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ and let $\psi: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow\langle t\rangle$ be the homomorphism induced by the fibration. For a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow G L_{n}(V)$ over $\mathbb{F}$,

$$
\tau_{\psi \otimes \rho \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(t \varphi_{1}-i d\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(t \varphi_{0}-i d\right)},
$$

where $\varphi_{0}: H_{0}^{\rho}(S ; V) \rightarrow H_{0}^{\rho}(S ; V), \varphi_{1}: H_{1}^{\rho}(S ; V) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\rho}(S ; V)$ are the homomorphisms induced by $\varphi$.

The following is a direct corollary of Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.10.
Corollary 3.11. Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ be the boundary components of $S$, let $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ and let $\psi: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow$ $\langle t\rangle$ be the homomorphism induced by the fibration. For a $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regular representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $H_{0}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}\left(S ; \operatorname{pg}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$,

$$
T_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right), \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\tau_{\psi \otimes \operatorname{Ad} \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right)}{(t-1)^{m(n-1)}},
$$



## 4. Main theorems

4.1. Proof. In this section we show the main theorems on torsion invariants and cluster algebras for surfaces.

Recall that Fock and Goncharov constructed a regular map $v_{T}: \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{S, n}$ for an ideal triangulation $T$ of $S$, and that $T_{\chi_{\rho}} \mathcal{X}_{S, n}$ is identified with a subspace of $H_{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} S ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ for a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} S \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus $v_{T}$ induces a map $T_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)} \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} S ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, n}$ and $\rho: \pi_{1} S \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ a representation such that $\chi_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)}=\chi_{\rho}$. If $H_{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}^{0}\left(\pi_{1} S ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$, then the map $T_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)} \mathcal{X}_{T, n} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} S ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $T_{\chi_{\rho}} X_{S, n}$ embeds in $H_{\text {Ado } \rho}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} S ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)$, we have

$$
l=\operatorname{dim} X_{S, n} \leq T_{\chi_{\rho}} X_{S, n} \leq H_{\mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} S ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=l,
$$

and so the inequalities are all equalities. Moreover, since for $\chi_{\left(\rho, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)} \in \mathcal{X}_{S, n}$, $(d \pi)_{\chi\left(\rho, B_{1}, \ldots B_{n}\right)}: T_{\chi\left(\rho, B_{1} \ldots B_{m}\right)} \mathcal{X}_{S, n} \rightarrow T_{\chi_{\rho}} X_{S, n}$ is an epimorphism, we have

$$
l=T_{\chi_{\rho}} X_{S, n} \leq T_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)} \mathcal{X}_{T, n}=l,
$$

and the inequality is an equality, which proves the lemma.
Now we prove the following main theorems:
Theorem 4.2. Let $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$. For a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $H_{0}^{\operatorname{Ad\rho \rho }}\left(S ; \operatorname{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$ and $\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, n}^{\varphi^{*}}$, if $\chi_{\rho_{T_{1} S} S}=\chi_{\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right)}$, then

$$
\tau_{\psi \otimes \mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right)=\left.\operatorname{det}\left(t\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)-I\right)\right|_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)=\left(\left(_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{y_{l}^{0}}\right)\right.} .
$$

Proof. In the following the coefficients of all the twisted homology groups and all the twisted cohomology groups are understood to be $\mathrm{pgl}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$.

It follows from Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 2.4 that the homomorphism $\varphi^{*}: H_{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} S\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} S\right)$ is presented by the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{\&}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)$. Since $H_{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} S\right)$ is isomorphic to $H_{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}^{1}(S)$ and since $H_{\text {Ado } \rho}^{1}(S)$ is isomorphic by Poincaré duality to the dual of $H_{1}^{\text {Ado } \rho}(S, \partial S)$, the homomorphism $\varphi_{*}: H_{1}^{\text {Adop }}(S, \partial S) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}(S, \partial S)$ is presented by the transpose of $\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{*}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)$. Thus by Lemma 3.10 we only need to show that the homomorphisms $\varphi_{*}: H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}(S) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}(S)$ and $\varphi_{*}: H_{1}^{\mathrm{Ad} \rho \rho}(S, \partial S) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}(S, \partial S)$ are equivalent to each other.

It follows from the duality induced by the intersection pairing (3.1) that $H_{2}^{\text {Ad } \rho}(S, \partial S)=$ $H_{0}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}(S)=0$. Hence the homology long exact sequence for the pair $(M, \partial M)$ gives the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

where it follows again from the duality induced by the intersection pairing (3.1) that $H_{0}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}(\partial S)$ is isomorphic to the dual of $H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}(\partial S)$. Now it is a simple matter to check that $\varphi_{*}: H_{1}^{\text {Ado }}(S) \rightarrow$ $H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho}(S)$ and $\varphi_{*}: H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \rho \rho}(S, \partial S) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\text {Ad } \circ \rho}(S, \partial S)$ are equivalent, which completes the proof.

The proof of the following theorem is now straightforward from Corollary 3.11 and Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ be the boundary components of $S$, and let $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$. For a $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regular representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $H_{0}^{\text {Ad } \rho}\left(S ; \mathfrak{p g I}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$ and $\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, n}^{\varphi^{*}}$, if $\chi_{\left.\rho\right|_{\pi_{1}} S}=\chi_{\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right)}$, then

$$
T_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right), \rho}\left(M_{\varphi}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left.\operatorname{det}\left(t\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)-I\right)\right|_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)=\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right)}}{(t-1)^{m(n-1)}}
$$

Remark 4.4. It follows from Theorems 2.5 and 3.4 that the assumptions of the above theorems are satisfied for a pseudo-Anosov $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$ and a holonomy representation of $M_{\varphi}$.

The advantage of our main theorems is that cluster variables naturally describe torsion invariants as functions on the moduli spaces of representations in a combinatorial way. In fact, the rational function induced by the coefficients of the polynomial

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(t\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)-I\right)
$$

or that by

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(t\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)-I\right)}{(t-1)^{m(n-1)}}
$$

in the theorems can be algorithmically computed from the ideal triangulation $T$ and a sequence of flips representing $\varphi$, and now regarded as torsion functions on the moduli spaces.

Remark 4.5. In [NTY] the cluster variables in $\mathcal{X}_{T, 2}$ are interpreted as the shape parameters of ideal tetrahedra of $M_{\varphi}$, and the volumes are also explicitly computed from the cluster variables. This is one advantage with the cluster variables to parametrize representations. For example, this is very useful for identifying the complete holonomy representation.

The following question concerning the condition on the cluster variables that ensures $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regularity naturally arises from Theorem 4.3:

Question 4.6. Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}$ be the boundary components of $S$, and let $\varphi \in \Gamma_{S}$. For a representation $\rho: \pi_{1} M_{\varphi} \rightarrow P G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, n}^{\varphi_{n}^{*}}$, if $\chi_{\left.\rho\right|_{\pi_{1} S}}=\chi_{\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right)}$, and if

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left.\operatorname{det}\left(t\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)-I\right)\right|_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)=\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right)}}{(t-1)^{m(n-1)}}
$$

is nonzero, then is $\rho a\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m}\right)$-regular representation satisfying $H_{0}^{\text {Ado }^{\prime}}\left(S ; \operatorname{pgI}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)=0$ ?
4.2. Examples. Finally, we demonstrate our theory for $\varphi=L R$ (the figure eight knot complement) and for $\varphi=L L R$ in the case of $n=3$.

Let $S$ be a one-holed torus, and we identify $\bar{S}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ so that the marked point corresponds to the integral points of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The mapping class group $\Gamma_{S}=S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the matrices

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad R=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We set $\varphi=L R$, and then the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}$ is known to be homeomorphic to the figure eight knot complement. We consider an ideal triangulation $T$ defined by the lines $x=0, x=y, y=0$ with respect to the standard coordinates $(x, y)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then the quiver $Q_{T, 3}$ and the coordinates $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}, y_{6}, y_{7}, y_{8}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, 3}$ is given as in Figure 2.


Figure 2. One-holed torus $\bar{S}$ with the quiver $Q_{T, 3}$

A computation implies that $L^{*}, R^{*}: \mathcal{X}_{T, 3} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, 3}$ are described as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{*}\left(y_{1}\right)=\frac{\left(1+y_{1}\right)\left(1+y_{2}+y_{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}\right) y_{7}}{1+y_{2}} \\
& L^{*}\left(y_{2}\right)=\frac{\left(1+y_{2}\right) y_{5}\left(1+y_{1}+y_{1} y_{8}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}\right)}{1+y_{1}} \\
& L^{*}\left(y_{3}\right)=\frac{y_{1}^{2}\left(1+y_{2}\right) y_{3} y_{8}}{\left(1+y_{1}\right)\left(1+y_{1}+y_{1} y_{8}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}\right)} \\
& L^{*}\left(y_{4}\right)=\frac{\left(1+y_{2}+y_{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}\right) y_{8}}{1+y_{1}+y_{1} y_{8}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}} \\
& L^{*}\left(y_{5}\right)=\frac{1+y_{1}}{y_{1}\left(1+y_{2}\right) y_{8}} \\
& L^{*}\left(y_{6}\right)=\frac{\left(1+y_{1}\right) y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}}{\left(1+y_{2}\right)\left(1+y_{2}+y_{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}\right)} \\
& L^{*}\left(y_{7}\right)=\frac{1+y_{2}}{\left(1+y_{1}\right) y_{2} y_{4}} \\
& L^{*}\left(y_{8}\right)=\frac{y_{4}\left(1+y_{1}+y_{1} y_{8}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}\right)}{1+y_{2}+y_{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{*}\left(y_{1}\right)=\frac{y_{1}\left(1+y_{3}\right)\left(1+y_{6}+y_{6} y_{8}+y_{3} y_{6} y_{8}\right)}{1+y_{6}} \\
& R^{*}\left(y_{2}\right)=\frac{y_{2}\left(1+y_{6}\right)\left(1+y_{3}+y_{3} y_{4}+y_{3} y_{4} y_{6}\right)}{1+y_{3}} \\
& R^{*}\left(y_{3}\right)=\frac{y_{3}^{2} y_{4} y_{5}\left(1+y_{6}\right)}{\left(1+y_{3}\right)\left(1+y_{3}+y_{3} y_{4}+y_{3} y_{4} y_{6}\right)} \\
& R^{*}\left(y_{4}\right)=\frac{y_{4}\left(1+y_{6}+y_{6} y_{8}+y_{3} y_{6} y_{8}\right)}{1+y_{3}+y_{3} y_{4}+y_{3} y_{4} y_{6}} \\
& R^{*}\left(y_{5}\right)=\frac{1+y_{3}}{y_{3} y_{4}\left(1+y_{6}\right)} \\
& R^{*}\left(y_{6}\right)=\frac{\left(1+y_{3}\right) y_{6}^{2} y_{7} y_{8}}{\left(1+y_{6}\right)\left(1+y_{6}+y_{6} y_{8}+y_{3} y_{6} y_{8}\right)} \\
& R^{*}\left(y_{7}\right)=\frac{1+y_{6}}{\left(1+y_{3}\right) y_{6} y_{8}} \\
& R^{*}\left(y_{8}\right)=\frac{\left(1+y_{3}+y_{3} y_{4}+y_{3} y_{4} y_{6}\right) y_{8}}{1+y_{6}+y_{6} y_{8}+y_{3} y_{6} y_{8}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining them, we compute $\varphi^{*}=R^{*} \circ L^{*}: \mathcal{X}_{T, 3} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, 3}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{*}\left(y_{1}\right)= & \left(y _ { 7 } ( 1 + 2 y _ { 1 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 3 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 3 } y _ { 8 } ) \left(1+2 y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +2 y_{2} y_{4}+2 y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}+2 y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6} \\
& \left.\left.+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}\right)\right) \\
& /\left(( 1 + 2 y _ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } y _ { 6 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } y _ { 6 } ) \left(1+y_{1}+y_{1} y_{8}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}\right)\right) \\
\varphi^{*}\left(y_{2}\right)= & \left(y _ { 5 } ( 1 + 2 y _ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } y _ { 6 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } y _ { 6 } ) \left(1+2 y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +2 y_{1} y_{8}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{8}+2 y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{8}^{2}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{8}^{2} \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{6} y_{8}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& /\left(( 1 + y _ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } ) \left(1+2 y_{1}+y_{1}^{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{8}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}\right)\right) \\
\varphi^{*}\left(y_{3}\right)= & \left(y_{1}^{3} y_{3}^{2}\left(1+2 y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}+y_{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}\right) y_{8}^{2}\right) \\
& /\left(( 1 + 2 y _ { 1 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 3 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 3 } y _ { 8 } ) \left(1+2 y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +2 y_{1} y_{8}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{8}+2 y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{8}^{2}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{8}^{2} \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{6} y_{8}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{*}\left(y_{4}\right)=\left(y _ { 8 } ( 1 + y _ { 1 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 8 } ) \left(1+2 y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}+2 y_{2} y_{4}+2 y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}+2 y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8} \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}\right)\right) \\
& /\left(( 1 + y _ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } ) \left(1+2 y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{8}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{8}+2 y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}\right.\right. \\
& +y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{8}^{2}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{8}^{2} \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{6} y_{8}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \varphi^{*}\left(y_{5}\right)=\left(( 1 + y _ { 1 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 8 } ) \left(1+2 y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}+y_{1} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{8}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}\right)\right) \\
& /\left(y_{1}^{2} y_{3}\left(1+2 y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}+y_{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}\right) y_{8}^{2}\right) \\
& \varphi^{*}\left(y_{6}\right)=\left(y_{2}^{3} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}^{2}\left(1+2 y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}+y_{1} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{8}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}\right)\right) \\
& /\left(( 1 + 2 y _ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } y _ { 6 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } ^ { 2 } y _ { 4 } y _ { 6 } ) \left(1+2 y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +2 y_{2} y_{4}+2 y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}+2 y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6} \\
& \left.\left.+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}\right)\right) \\
& \varphi^{*}\left(y_{7}\right)=\left(( 1 + y _ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } ) \left(1+2 y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}+y_{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}\right)\right) \\
& /\left(y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}\left(1+2 y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}+y_{1} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{8}+y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}\right)\right) \\
& \varphi^{*}\left(y_{8}\right)=\left(y _ { 4 } ( 1 + y _ { 2 } + y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 4 } ) \left(1+2 y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{8}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{8}+2 y_{1} y_{2} y_{8}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}\right.\right. \\
& +y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{8}^{2}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+2 y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{8}^{2} \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{8}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{6} y_{8}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& /\left(( 1 + y _ { 1 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 8 } + y _ { 1 } y _ { 2 } y _ { 8 } ) \left(1+2 y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}+2 y_{2} y_{4}+2 y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}+2 y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4} y_{6}+y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+2 y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8} \\
& \left.\left.+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}+y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3} y_{4}^{2} y_{6} y_{8}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The space of solutions of the equations $\varphi^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i$ parametrizes $\mathcal{X}_{S, 3}^{\varphi^{*}}$ and $X_{S, 3}^{\varphi^{*}}$. Here we emphasize that the parametrization makes sense by using the labeling change $\sigma$ and by Proposition 2.2 proved in the paper.

A solution is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{0}=y_{2}^{0}=\frac{-1-\sqrt{-3}}{2} \\
& y_{3}^{0}=y_{4}^{0}=y_{6}^{0}=y_{8}^{0}=1 \\
& y_{5}^{0}=y_{7}^{0}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This solution can be found, for example, by using the arguments in the proofs of Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6. First we find an element $\left(1, \frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}, \frac{-1-\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, 2}^{\varphi^{*}}$ corresponding to the character of a holonomy representation of the hyperbolic manifold $M_{\varphi}$ as in [NTY, Section 5.1].

Then the above element of $\mathcal{X}_{T, 3}^{\varphi^{*}}$ is the image of the map $\mathcal{X}_{T, 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{T, 3}$ in the proof of Corollary 2.6. In fact, it corresponds to the character of the composition of a holonomy representation and the homomorphism $P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow P G L_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ induced by an irreducible representation $P G L_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow$ $S L_{3}(\mathbb{C})$.

By Theorem 4.2 we obtain the twisted Alexander polynomial associated to the solution as:

$$
\left.\operatorname{det}\left(t\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)-I\right)\right|_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)=\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{y_{l}^{0}}\right)}=(t-1)^{2}\left(t^{2}-5 t+1\right)\left(t^{4}-9 t^{3}+44 t-9 t+1\right) .
$$

By Theorem 4.3 we also obtain the non-acyclic torsion associated to the solution as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left.\operatorname{det}\left(t\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{*}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)-I\right)\right|_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)=\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{y_{l}}\right)}}{(t-1)^{2}} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 1}\left(t^{2}-5 t+1\right)\left(t^{4}-9 t^{3}+44 t-9 t+1\right) \\
& =-84
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we set $\varphi^{\prime}=L L R$. Similarly, we can first compute $\varphi^{\prime *}=R^{*} \circ L^{*} \circ L^{*}: \mathcal{X}_{T, 3} \rightarrow X_{T, 3}$ and the equations $\varphi^{\prime *}\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i$ defining $\mathcal{X}_{T, 3}^{\varphi^{\prime *}}$. Then the following solution of the equations corresponding to the character of a holonomy representation of $M_{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}$ is found as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{0}=y_{2}^{0}=\frac{-3-\sqrt{-7}}{2} \\
& y_{3}^{0}=y_{6}^{0}=\frac{5+\sqrt{-7}}{8} \\
& y_{4}^{0}=y_{8}^{0}=1 \\
& y_{5}^{0}=y_{7}^{0}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{-7}}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again by Theorems 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain the twisted Alexander polynomial and the nonacyclic torsion associated to the solution as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\operatorname{det}\left(t\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{\prime *}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)-I\right)\right|_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)=\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{y_{l}^{0}}\right)}=(t-1)^{2}\left(t^{6}+8 i \sqrt{7} t^{5}-22 t^{5}-80 i \sqrt{7} t^{4}+227 t^{4}+208 i \sqrt{7} t^{3}\right. \\
&\left.-1420 t^{3}-80 i \sqrt{7} t^{2}+227 t^{2}+8 i \sqrt{7} t-22 t+1\right), \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left.\operatorname{det}\left(t\left(\frac{\partial \varphi^{* *}\left(y_{j}\right)}{\partial y_{i}}\right)-I\right)\right|_{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)=\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right)}=}{(t-1)^{2}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1}\left(t^{6}+8 i \sqrt{7} t^{5}-22 t^{5}-80 i \sqrt{7} t^{4}+227 t^{4}+208 i \sqrt{7} t^{3}\right. \\
&\left.-1420 t^{3}-80 i \sqrt{7} t^{2}+227 t^{2}+8 i \sqrt{7} t-22 t+1\right) \\
&=-1008+64 \sqrt{-7 .}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above computations on torsion invariants we specify solutions as $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)=$ $\left(y_{1}^{0}, \ldots, y_{l}^{0}\right)$ for simplicity of the expressions, but note that without any specification of solutions our formulas give the torsion functions with coefficients in $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{8}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{T, 3}$.
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