

# (Pro)Etale Cohomology

## Lecture 9. Pro-étale cohomology

### 1 Étale cohomology

#### 1.1 From Weil conjectures to $l$ -adic cohomology

We began with the question:

**Question 1.** Given a smooth projective variety  $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ , how many  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ -points does  $X$  have for each  $n$ ? That is, calculate

$$Z(X, t) = \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})| \frac{t^n}{n} \right).$$

This lead to the Weil conjectures:

**Theorem 2** (Weil conjectures). *If  $X$  is a smooth projective variety of dimension  $d$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

1. (Rationality)  $Z(X, t)$  is a rational function of  $t$ , i.e., it is in  $\mathbb{Q}(t) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}((t))$ .
2. (Functional equation) There is an integer  $e$  such that

$$Z(X, q^{-d}t^{-1}) = \pm q^{ed/2} t^e Z(X, t).$$

3. (Riemann Hypothesis) We can write

$$Z(X, t) = \frac{P_1(t)P_3(t) \dots P_{2d-1}(t)}{P_0(t)P_2(t) \dots P_{2d}(t)}$$

with  $P_i(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ , and such that the roots of  $P_i(t)$  have absolute value  $q^{-i/2}$ . Moreover,  $P_0(t) = 1 - t$  and  $P_{2d}(t) = 1 - q^d t$ .

4. (Betti numbers) If  $X$  comes from a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , then

$$\deg P_i(t) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}).$$

The strategy was to develop a cohomology theory

$$H^\bullet : (\text{Varieties}/k)^{op} \rightarrow \text{graded } \mathbb{Q}\text{-vector spaces}$$

for arbitrary varieties over any field  $k$ , which satisfied the following properties for smooth projective varieties  $X$ .

1. (Finiteness)  $\dim H^\bullet(X)$  is finite, and  $H^i(X) = 0$  for  $i \notin \{0, 1, \dots, 2 \dim X\}$ .
2. (Poincaré Duality) There is a canonical isomorphism  $H^{2 \dim X}(X) \cong \mathbb{Q}$  and a natural perfect pairing

$$H^i(X) \times H^{2d-i}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

3. (Lefschetz Trace Formula)

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\phi_i^m)$$

where  $X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} = X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ ,  $\phi : X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q} \rightarrow X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}$  is the Frobenius morphism, and  $\phi_i : H^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}) \rightarrow H^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q})$  is the induced morphism.

4. (Compatibility) If  $k = \mathbb{C}$  then  $H^\bullet(X)$  is isomorphic to singular cohomology.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Lefschetz Trace Formula)} &\Rightarrow \text{(Rationality)} \\ \text{(Poincaré Duality)} &\Rightarrow \text{(Functional equation)} \\ \text{(Compatibility)} &\Rightarrow \text{(Betti numbers)} \end{aligned}$$

Eigenvalues  $\alpha_{i,j}$  of  $\phi_i|_{H^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q})}$  have  $|\alpha_{i,j}| = q^{-i/2} \Rightarrow$  (Riemann Hypothesis)

We saw that:

1. (Serre) Due to the existence of supersingular elliptic curves, there cannot be any cohomology theory with the above properties taking values in  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces.
2. For curves, étale cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}/l^n$ -coefficients has Poincaré Duality and

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^n} H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{\text{sing}}^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$$

This leads us to define:

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_l) := \left( \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l. \quad (1)$$

## 1.2 Successes of $l$ -adic cohomology

**Theorem 3.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -vector spaces  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$  satisfy (Finiteness), (Poincaré Duality), (Lefschetz Trace Formula), and (Riemann Hypothesis).*

We also wanted to see (but ran out of time) that the  $\mathbb{Z}/l^n$  cohomology groups had a very strong Poincaré Duality formalism.

**Theorem 4.** For any separated finite type morphism between noetherian  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{l}]$ -schemes  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ , and object  $E \in D(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$  there are adjunctions

$$\begin{aligned} (f^*, f_*) &: D(Y_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \rightleftarrows D(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \\ (f_!, f^!) &: D(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \rightleftarrows D(Y_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \\ (- \otimes E, \underline{\text{hom}}(E, -)) &: D(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \rightleftarrows D(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying a number of properties such as a Proper Base Change and Smooth Base Change formulas.

In order to have these functors for sheaves of  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -modules, some work is needed.

**Definition 5** ([BS, Def.3.5.3]). For a scheme  $X$ , define  $\text{Shv}_{\text{et}}(X)^{\mathbb{N}}$  to be the category of  $\mathbb{N}$ -indexed projective systems in  $\text{Shv}_{\text{et}}(X)$ . The derived category of this abelian category is denoted by  $D(X_{\text{et}}^{\mathbb{N}})$ .

We write  $D(X_{\text{et}}, (\mathbb{Z}_l)_\bullet) \subseteq D(X_{\text{et}}^{\mathbb{N}})$  for the full subcategory of those objects  $(\cdots \rightarrow K_2 \rightarrow K_1)$  such that  $K_m \in D(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^m)$  and  $K_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/l^m} \mathbb{Z}/l^{m-1} \rightarrow K_{m-1}$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Here,  $\otimes$  is the left derived tensor product.

**Theorem 6** (Ekedahl). The functors  $f^*, f_*, f_!, f^!, \otimes, \underline{\text{hom}}$  can be extended to the categories  $D(X_{\text{et}}, (\mathbb{Z}_l)_\bullet)$  in a sensible way.

We also had a very nice Galois theory.

**Theorem 7** (Stacks Project, Tags 0BNB, 0BMY, 0BN4). Let  $X$  be a connected scheme,  $\bar{x} \in X$  a geometric point,  $\text{FEt}_X$  the category of finite étale  $X$ -schemes, and consider the functor

$$F : \text{FEt}_X \rightarrow \text{Set}; \quad Y \mapsto |Y_{\bar{x}}|.$$

The étale fundamental group of  $X$  is the profinite group

$$\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x}) = \text{Aut}(F)$$

and  $F$  induces an equivalence of categories

$$\text{FEt}_X \cong \text{Fin-}\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x})\text{-Set}$$

with the category of finite sets equipped with a continuous  $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x})$ -action.

There is also a linear version of this. Recall that  $\text{Loc}_X(R)$  is the category of local systems with  $R$ -coefficients. That is, sheaves  $F$  of  $R$ -modules such that for some covering  $\{f_i : U_i \rightarrow X\}$ , each  $f_i^* F$  is isomorphic to the constant sheaf  $R^n$  for some  $n$ . Similar to the case of topological spaces,  $\pi_1$  determines the category of local systems.

**Proposition 8.** If  $X$  is a connected locally noetherian  $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ -scheme, then there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbb{Q}_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \varprojlim \text{Loc}_X(\mathbb{Z}/l^n) \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{continuous finite dimensional} \\ \mathbb{Q}_l\text{-linear representations of } \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X) \end{array} \right\}.$$

### 1.3 Shortcomings of $l$ -adic cohomology

All of this is not quite as nice as it could be though.

**Problem 9.**

1. The definition  $H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_l) := \left( \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} H_{\text{et}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$  is a ad hoc, and not very pleasant to work with.
2. The categories  $D(X_{\text{et}}, (\mathbb{Z}_l)_\bullet)$  are horrible to work with.
3. The equivalence between local systems and  $\pi_1$ -representations is no longer true in general if one uses, honest  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -local systems instead of the ad hoc  $\mathbb{Q}_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \text{Loc}_X(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)$  (cf. [Bhatt-Scholze, Pro-étale topology, Example 7.4.9] for an example due to Deligne).

**Question 10.** So why can't we just use sheaves of  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -coefficients?

Representability!

Finite coefficients work so well due to the equivalence of categories.

**Theorem 11.** *There is equivalence of categories*

$$\text{FEt}(X) \cong \text{Loc}_X(\text{FinSet})$$

*between the category of finite étale  $X$ -schemes and the category of locally constant étale sheaves.*

This suggests that we should enlarge the category  $\text{Et}(X)$  to include filtered limits.

## 2 Pro-étale schemes

**Definition 12.** *A morphism  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  of affine schemes is pro-étale if there exists a cofiltered<sup>1</sup> system  $(B_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of étale finite presentation  $A$ -algebras such that  $B = \varinjlim B_\lambda$ . The system  $(B_\lambda)$  is called a presentation for  $B$ .*

**Exercise 1.** Let  $(B_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a cofiltered system of rings. Let  $\text{Primes}(C)$  denote the set of prime ideals of a ring  $C$ , and  $\text{Spc}(C)$  the underlying topological space of  $\text{Spec}(C)$ , i.e.,  $\text{Spc}(C)$  is  $\text{Primes}(C)$  equipped with its Zariski topology.

1. Show that  $\text{Primes}(\varinjlim B) = \varprojlim \text{Primes}(B_\lambda)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>A system is cofiltered if (i) it is nonempty, (ii) for every pair of objects  $B_\lambda, B_{\lambda'}$  there is a third object  $B_{\lambda''}$  and morphisms in the system  $B_\lambda \rightarrow B_{\lambda''}, B_{\lambda'} \rightarrow B_{\lambda''}$ , and (iii) for any pair of parallel morphisms in the system  $B_\lambda \rightrightarrows B_{\lambda'}$  there exists a morphism in the system  $B_{\lambda''} \rightarrow B_{\lambda'}$  such that the two compositions are equal.

2. Show that for any  $f \in B_\lambda$  with image  $\bar{f} \in \varinjlim B_\lambda$ , the set  $D(\bar{f}) \subseteq \text{Primes}(\varinjlim B_\lambda)$  of primes not containing  $\bar{f}$  is the preimage of the set  $D(f) \subseteq \text{Primes}(B_\lambda)$  of primes not containing  $f$ , under the canonical map  $\pi : \text{Primes}(\varinjlim B_\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Primes}(B_\lambda)$ . That is, show  $D(\bar{f}) = \pi^{-1}(D(f))$ .
3. Deduce that  $\text{Spc}(\varinjlim B_\lambda) = \varprojlim \text{Spc}(B_\lambda)$ .

**Exercise 2.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. Using Exercise 1, show that for every pro-finite set  $S$ , there exists a pro-étale  $k$ -scheme  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  with  $S \cong \text{Spc}(B)$ .

**Exercise 3.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $k \subseteq k^{sep}$  a separable closure. Show that the  $\text{Spec}(k^{sep}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  is pro-étale.

**Exercise 4.** Suppose that  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A), \text{Spec}(C) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  are pro-étale with  $B = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$  and  $C = \varinjlim_{\mu \in M} C_\mu$  presentations. Show that  $\text{Spec}(B) \times_{\text{Spec}(A)} \text{Spec}(C) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is pro-étale. Hint: consider the system  $(B_\lambda \otimes_A C_\mu)_{(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M}$ .

**Exercise 5.** Recall that if  $L/k$  is a (finite) Galois extension, then  $\text{Spec}(L \otimes_k L) \cong \coprod_{\text{Gal}(L/k)} \text{Spec}(L)$ . Recall also that an separable closure  $k^{sep}/k$  is the union of the finite Galois subextensions  $k \subseteq L \subseteq k^{sep}$  and  $\text{Gal}(k^{sep}/k) \cong \varprojlim_{k \subseteq L \subseteq k^{sep}} \text{Gal}(L/k)$ . Show that

$$\text{Spc}(k^{sep} \otimes_k k^{sep}) \cong \text{Gal}(k^{sep}/k)$$

as topological spaces.

**Exercise 6.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  a point. Show that the canonical morphism  $\text{Spec}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is pro-étale.

**Example 13.** Let  $p_n$  be the  $n$ th prime number (so  $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, p_4 = 7, p_5 = 11, p_6 = 13, p_7 = 17, \dots$ ). For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the map

$$X_n := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}]) \amalg (\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_i)})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$$

is pro-étale. Moreover, there are canonical morphisms  $X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$  induced by the canonical pro-étale morphisms

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}, \frac{1}{p_{n+1}}]) \amalg \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_{n+1})}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}]).$$

Consequently,  $X := \varprojlim X_n$  is a pro-étale  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  scheme. As a set, we have

$$X = \{\eta\} \amalg (\bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} \{\eta_i, \mathfrak{p}_i\})$$

where  $\{\eta_i, \mathfrak{p}_i\}$  correspond to the points of  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_i)})$ , and  $\eta$  corresponds to the generic points of the  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}])$ 's. The open sets of  $X$  are disjoint unions of sets of the form

$$\{\eta_i\}, \quad \{\eta_i, \mathfrak{p}_i\}, \quad X \setminus (\bigsqcup_{i=1}^N \{\eta_i, \mathfrak{p}_i\}).$$

In particular, every open covering of  $X$  can be refined by one which is a finite family of sets of the above form. These sets' corresponding rings of functions are

$$\mathbb{Q}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{(p_i)}, \quad \varinjlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}] \times (\mathbb{Z}_{(p_N)} \times \mathbb{Z}_{(p_{N+1})} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}).$$

The latter is a subring of  $\prod_{i > N} \mathbb{Z}_{(p_i)}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}]$  embedded diagonally into  $\prod_{i > n} \mathbb{Z}_{(p_i)}$ . Here is a picture.



**Exercise 7.** Consider the  $X$  from Example 13. Show that for every open covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  the associated morphism  $\coprod U_i \rightarrow X$  admits a section.

### 3 The pro-étale topology

The property in the above example is extremely important.

**Definition 14.** An object in a site is weakly contractible if for every covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$  the morphism  $\coprod U_i \rightarrow X$  admits a section.

**Example 15.**

1. Strictly hensel rings are weakly contractible with respect to étale coverings.
2. The scheme  $\text{Spec}(B)$  constructed in Exercise 2 is weakly contractible with respect to étale coverings (use the fact that any étale covering of  $\text{Spec}(\varinjlim B_\lambda)$  is the base change of an étale covering of some  $B_\lambda$ ).
3. The scheme  $X$  constructed in Example 13 is weakly contractible with respect to Zariski coverings, but not étale coverings, since none of the residue fields are separably closed.

**Lemma 16.** If  $X$  is a weakly contractible object, then  $H^n(X, F) = 0$  for all  $i$  and all  $F$ . More interestingly, the evaluation at  $X$  functor  $\text{Shv}(C, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  is exact.

*Proof.* To calculate cohomology we choose an injective resolution (or fibrant replacement)  $F \rightarrow I^\bullet$ . By definition, the cohomology sheaves  $a\mathcal{H}^n(-, I^\bullet)$  are zero for  $n > 0$ . This means that for every  $s \in H^n(X, F)$ , there exists a covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$  such that  $s|_{U_i} = 0$  for all  $i$ . But every covering of  $X$  admits a section, and therefore  $s = 0$ .

Suppose  $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence. Evaluation on an object is left exact, so it suffices to show that  $G(X) \rightarrow H(X)$  is surjective.

By definition of a surjective morphism of sheaves, for every  $s \in H(X)$  there is a covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$  such that for each  $i$  the section  $s|_{U_i}$  is in the image of  $G(U_i) \rightarrow H(U_i)$ . But  $\coprod U_i \rightarrow X$  admits a section, so  $s \in H(X)$  is in the image of  $G(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 17.** *A site is locally weakly contractible if every object admits a covering by weakly contractible objects.*

**Proposition 18.** *If  $C$  is a locally weakly contractible site, then for any system  $(\cdots \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1)$  of surjective morphisms of sheaves,  $R\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ .*

It turns out that if we add pro-étale morphisms to  $\text{Et}(X)$ , then the new bigger site is locally weakly contractible. Limits are so nice in this new site that it fixes the problems described above.

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $X$  be a connected noetherian scheme.*

1. *We have*

$$H^i(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \cong H^i(X_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

*where the right hand side is the limit Eq.(1), and the left hand side is honest sheaf cohomology of  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ .*

2. *The six functors of Theorem 4 work for the honest derived categories  $D(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ .*
3. *If  $X = \text{Spec}(k)$  is the spectrum of a field, then the subcategory of quasiseparated objects  $X_{\text{proet}}^{\text{qcqs}}$  is canonically isomorphic to the category of profinite continuous (not necessarily finite)  $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ -sets*

$$\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{proet}}^{\text{qcqs}} \cong \text{Pro-Fin-Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)\text{-Set.}$$

4. *Honest  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -local systems on  $X$  are equivalent to continuous representations of  $\pi_1^{\text{proet}}(X)$  on finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_l$ -vector spaces.*