

# 1 Flatness

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  be a ring. An  $A$ -module  $M$  is flat if for every monomorphism of  $A$ -modules  $N \subseteq N'$ , the morphism  $M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N'$  is also a monomorphism. That is, if  $M \otimes_A -$  preserves monomorphisms. An  $A$ -algebra is flat if it is flat when considered as an  $A$ -module.

**Exercise 1.** Show that if  $k$  is a field, every  $k$ -module (and therefore  $k$ -algebra) is flat.

**Exercise 2.** Show that if  $A$  is a ring and  $S \subseteq A$  a multiplicatively closed subset,  $A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]$  is flat.

**Example 2.** In fact, an  $A$ -module  $M$  is flat if and only if for every prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$  the  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is flat. See Milne, Prop.I.2.2.

**Example 3.** The blowup of  $k[x, y]$  at  $(x, y)$  is covered by the two open affines  $\text{Spec}(k[x, \frac{y}{x}])$  and  $\text{Spec}(k[\frac{x}{y}, y])$ . Neither of  $k[x, y] \rightarrow k[x, \frac{y}{x}], k[\frac{x}{y}, y]$  are flat.<sup>1</sup>

— draw picture of blowup —

**Exercise 3.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $I \subseteq A$  an ideal.

1. Show that if  $A/I$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra then  $I = I^2$ .
2. (Advanced) Show that if  $I$  is finitely generated and  $I = I^2$  then  $A/I$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra.
3. (Advanced) Give an example of an ideal  $I$  of a ring  $A$  such that  $I = I^2$  but  $A/I$  is not a flat  $A$ -algebra.

— draw picture of closed immersion, and inclusion of a connected component —

Flatness is a “local uniformity” condition.

**Exercise 4.**

1. If  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $B \rightarrow C$  are flat ring morphisms, show that the composition  $A \rightarrow C$  is flat.

<sup>1</sup>Consider the proper inclusions  $(x) \subset (x, y) \subset k[x, y]$ . Since  $(x)k[x, \frac{y}{x}] = (x, y)k[x, \frac{y}{x}]$ , the images of  $\alpha : (x) \otimes_{k[x, y]} k[x, \frac{y}{x}] \rightarrow k[x, \frac{y}{x}]$  and  $\beta : (x, y) \otimes_{k[x, y]} k[x, \frac{y}{x}] \rightarrow k[x, \frac{y}{x}]$  are equal. So if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both monomorphisms, then we must have  $(x) \otimes_{k[x, y]} k[x, \frac{y}{x}] \cong (x, y) \otimes_{k[x, y]} k[x, \frac{y}{x}]$ , but this is not the case: Consider the exact sequence  $(x) \rightarrow (x, y) \rightarrow (x, y)/(x)$ . If  $(x) \otimes_{k[x, y]} k[x, \frac{y}{x}] \cong (x, y) \otimes_{k[x, y]} k[x, \frac{y}{x}]$ , then  $((x, y)/(x)) \otimes_{k[x, y]} k[x, \frac{y}{x}] = 0$ . But  $k[x, y]/(x) \rightarrow (x, y)/(x); f \mapsto yf$  is an isomorphism of  $k[x, y]$ -modules, so

$$((x, y)/(x)) \otimes_{k[x, y]} k[x, \frac{y}{x}] \cong (k[x, y]/(x)) \otimes_{k[x, y]} k[x, \frac{y}{x}] \cong k[x, \frac{y}{x}]/(x)k[x, \frac{y}{x}]$$

which is not zero.

flat:  $B_{\mathbb{A}}$ - preserves monomorphisms  
 faithfully flat:  $B_{\mathbb{A}}$ - preserves and detects monomorphisms

2. If  $A \rightarrow B$  is flat and  $A \rightarrow D$  is any ring morphism, show that  $D \rightarrow D \otimes_A B$  is a flat ring morphism.

**Definition 4.** Let  $A$  be a ring. An  $A$ -module  $M$  is faithfully flat if it is flat, and given any morphism of  $A$ -modules  $\phi: N \rightarrow N'$  such that  $M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N'$  is a monomorphism, the morphism  $\phi$  is a monomorphism. An  $A$ -algebra  $B$  is faithfully flat if it is faithfully flat when considered as an  $A$ -module.

**Example 5.** One can show quite easily (see Milne Prop.I.2.7) that a flat ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  is faithfully flat if and only if  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is surjective. Consequently, if  $k$  is a field, every  $k$ -algebra is faithfully flat. If  $A$  a ring and  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$  generate the unit ideal then  $\{\text{Spec } A/f_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } A\}_{i=1}^n$  is an open cover, so  $A \rightarrow \prod A_{f_i}$  is flat.

**Exercise 5.** Suppose that  $M$  is an  $A$ -module and  $A \rightarrow B$  is a faithfully flat morphism. Show that if  $M \otimes_A B$  is a flat  $B$ -module, then  $M$  is a flat  $A$ -module.

**Exercise 6.** Let  $M$  be a flat  $A$ -module.

- Show that  $M$  is faithfully flat if and only if for every  $A$ -module  $N$  such that  $M \otimes_A N \cong 0$ , we have  $N \cong 0$ .
- Show that if  $M$  is faithfully flat, then given any morphism of  $A$ -modules  $\phi: N \rightarrow N'$  such that  $M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N'$  is a surjection, the morphism  $\phi$  is a surjection.
- Deduce that if  $M$  is faithfully flat, then a sequence of  $A$  modules is exact if it is exact after applying  $M \otimes_A -$ .

The following theorem will be used to show that  $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}^*, \mu_n, GL_n, \Omega^1, \dots$  are étale sheaves.

**Theorem 6** (See Milne I.2.17). Suppose that  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a faithfully flat ring morphism. Then

is an exact sequence of  $A$ -modules. Here we define

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{d} B \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{d} B \otimes_A B \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

$B^{\otimes r} \xrightarrow{\quad} B^{\otimes(r+1)}$


$e_i: b_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{r-1} \mapsto b_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes b_i \otimes \dots \otimes b_{r-1}$

$d = \sum (-1)^i e_i$

**Exercise 7.** Show that  $d \circ f = 0$  and  $d \circ d = 0$ .

*Proof.* First suppose that there is a ring homomorphism  $r: B \rightarrow A$  such that  $r \circ f = \text{id}$ . Next define

$$s: b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{r+1} \mapsto r(b_0)b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{r+1}$$



and check that  $s \circ d + f \circ r = \text{id}$  and  $s \circ d + d \circ s = \text{id}$ . In other words, we have constructed a chain complex homotopy between  $\text{id}$  and  $0$ . Consequently, the cohomology groups of the chain complex are zero. In other words, the sequence is exact. More explicitly, if  $a \in \ker d$ , then  $a = sda + dsa = 0 + dsa$ , so  $a \in \text{im } d$ .

Now consider some  $A$ -algebra  $A'$ , let  $B' = A' \otimes_A B$ , and let  $f' = A' \otimes_A f$ . Since

$$A' \otimes_A (B \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A B) \cong (A' \otimes_A B) \otimes_{A'} \cdots \otimes_{A'} (A' \otimes_A B)$$

applying  $A' \otimes_A -$  to the sequence for  $f$  produces the sequence for  $f'$ . So by Exercise 6, if we can find some faithfully flat  $A \rightarrow A'$  such that  $f'$  has a retraction, then the theorem is proven. Taking  $A' = B$  with the retraction  $B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B; b_1 \otimes b_2 \mapsto b_1 b_2$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 2 Unramified morphisms



Recall that by definition, the residue field  $k(\mathfrak{p})$  at a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of a ring  $A$  is  $k(\mathfrak{p}) = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .


**Definition 7.** A morphism of rings  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is unramified at a prime  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq B$  if  $k(\mathfrak{q})$  is a finite separable field extension of  $k(\mathfrak{p})$  where  $\mathfrak{p} = \phi^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$ . It is unramified if it is of finite presentation and unramified at every prime.

and  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p} B_{\mathfrak{q}}$

**Example 8.** The morphisms  $k[x] \rightarrow k[x, y]/(x+y)(x-y)$  and  $k[x] \rightarrow k[x]; x \mapsto x^2$  are unramified everywhere except at the origin.

if char  $k \neq 2$  !

draw pictures —



Suppose  $k = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$ . The morphism  $k[x] \rightarrow k[x, y]/y^p - xy - t$  is unramified everywhere except at  $(x, y^p - t)$  where it becomes the inseparable extension  $\mathbb{F}_p(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p(t^{1/p})$ .

**Remark 9.** A finite presentation morphism is unramified if and only if the diagonal morphism  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B \otimes_A B)$  is an open immersion (this uses: a finite presentation morphism is unramified if and only if  $\Omega_{B/A} = 0$ , and the identification  $I/I^2 \cong \Omega_{B/A}$  where  $I = \ker(B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B)$ ). See: Milne Prop.I.3.5.

**Exercise 8 (Advanced).** Using Remark 9 (i.e., that the diagonal is an open immersion) show that: if  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is unramified, and  $\sigma : B \rightarrow A$  any retract (i.e.,  $\sigma \circ \phi = \text{id}$ ) Then  $\text{Spec}(\sigma) : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$  is an open immersion. Hint: Consider the pullback of  $\text{Spec}(\sigma) : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$  along  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B \otimes_A B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$ .

**Exercise 9 (cf. Exercise 4).** Let  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  and  $A \rightarrow D$  be ring homomorphisms. Show the following.

1. If  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $B \rightarrow C$  are unramified, then so is  $A \rightarrow C$ .
2. (a) If  $k$  is a field, a finite presentation  $k$ -algebra  $k \rightarrow S$  is unramified if and only if  $k \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a finite separable field extension for every prime  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq S$ .

- (b) A finite presentation morphism  $R \rightarrow S$  is unramified if and only if  $k(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R S$  is unramified for every prime  $\mathfrak{p} \in R$ .
- (c) If  $A \rightarrow B$  is unramified then so is  $D \rightarrow D \otimes_A B$ .

**Remark 10.** Milne uses finite type instead of finite presentation, but all Milne's schemes and rings are noetherian, so its the same thing.

### 3 Étale morphisms

**Definition 11.** A morphism of finite presentation of rings is étale if it is flat and unramified.

**Remark 12.** It is equivalent to define an étale morphism as a smooth morphism of relative dimension zero, but in practice the above definition is easier to use.

**Example 13.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $k \rightarrow A$  a finitely presented  $k$ -algebra. Then  $A$  is étale if and only if  $A \cong L_1 \times \dots \times L_n$  for some finite separable field extensions  $L_i/k$ .

**Exercise 10** (cf. Exercises 4 and 9). Let  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  and  $A \rightarrow D$  be ring homomorphisms. Show the following.

1. If  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $B \rightarrow C$  are étale, then so is  $A \rightarrow C$ .
2. If  $A \rightarrow B$  is étale then so is  $D \rightarrow D \otimes_A B$ .


**Example 14.** Suppose  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of smooth affine  $\mathbb{C}$ -varieties, say  $Y = \text{Spec}(B)$  and  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ . Then  $A \rightarrow B$  is étale if and only if  $Y(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$  is a local homeomorphism of topological spaces.

— draw picture of a local homeomorphism —

We will see later that this “local homeomorphism” description is true in an algebraic setting too.

### 4 Hensel rings

**Definition 15.** A local ring  $A$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is henselian if for every étale morphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ , and every prime  $\mathfrak{q} \subset B$  such that  $\phi^{-1}\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $k(\mathfrak{m}) = k(\mathfrak{q})$ , there exists a ring homomorphism  $\sigma : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\sigma^{-1}\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{q}$  and  $\sigma \circ \phi = \text{id}$ .



e.g.  $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$   
 $A = \mathbb{C}[[x]]$

**Theorem 16.** Let  $A$  be a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $\kappa = A/\mathfrak{m}$ . The following are equivalent.

1. Hensel's Lemma holds: If  $f \in A[t]$  is a monic such that  $\bar{f} \in \kappa[t]$  factors as  $\bar{f} = g_0 h_0$  with  $g_0, h_0$  monic and coprime, then  $f$  factors as  $f = gh$  with  $g$  and  $h$  monic and such that  $\bar{g} = g_0$  and  $\bar{h} = h_0$ .
2. Any finite  $A$ -algebra  $B$  is a direct product of local rings  $B = \prod B_i$ .
3. If  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is quasi-finite and finite type, then  $B = B_0 \times \dots \times B_n$  where  $\kappa \otimes_A B_0 = 0$ , and for  $i > 0$ , each  $A \rightarrow B_i$  is finite and  $B_i$  is a local ring.
4.  $A$  is henselian.

*Proof.* For the omitted steps, see Milne, Étale cohomology, Theorem I.4.1.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). First note: by the going up theorem for any finite  $A$ -algebra  $B$ , all maximal ideals of  $B$  lie over  $\mathfrak{m}$ . So  $B$  is local if and only if  $B/\mathfrak{m}B$  is local.

Now assume  $B$  is of the form  $B = A[t]/(f)$  with  $f$  monic. If  $\bar{f} = g_0^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, g_0 \in \kappa[t]$  irreducible, then  $B/\mathfrak{m}B$  is local, so  $B$  is local. If not, then by (1) we have  $f = gh$  with  $g, h$  monic and  $\bar{g}, \bar{h}$  coprime. Hence,  $\kappa[t]/(g_0, h_0) = 0$ , so  $A[t]/(g, h) = 0$  (by Nakayama's Lemma). Since  $(g) + (h) = (g, h) = 0$ , and  $(f) = (gh) = (g) \cap (h)$ , it then follows from a version of the Chinese Remainder Theorem that  $A[t]/g \times A[t]/h \xrightarrow{\sim} A[t]/f$ . Iterating this process gives the result. The general case is omitted.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $A'$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ , so we have morphisms  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ . A version of Zariski's Main Theorem says that  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A')$  is an open immersion, and  $\text{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is finite. By (2),  $A' \cong \prod A'_i$  for local rings  $A'_i$  (which are finite over  $A$ ). The decomposition  $\text{Spec}(A') = \sqcup \text{Spec}(A'_i)$  induces a decomposition  $\text{Spec}(B) = \sqcup \text{Spec}(B'_i)$  (explicitly  $\text{Spec}(B'_i) = \text{Spec}(B) \cap \text{Spec}(A'_i)$ ). Let  $\text{Spec}(B_0)$  be the union of the  $\text{Spec}(B'_i)$  such that  $\text{Spec}(B'_i) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A'_i)$  is not surjective (i.e., such that  $\text{Spec}(B'_i) \subseteq \text{Spec}(A'_i)$  does not contain the closed point), and let  $\text{Spec}(B_1), \dots, \text{Spec}(B_n)$  be the other connected components.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Suppose  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq B$  is as in the definition of henselian. By (3) we can assume that  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is finite and  $B$  is a local ring. Since  $B$  is a finite flat module over a local ring, it is free (Matsumura. Commutative ring theory, Thm.7.10). That is,  $B \cong A^{\oplus d}$  as an  $A$ -module. But  $B \otimes_A \kappa \cong B/\mathfrak{m}B \cong B/\mathfrak{q} = k(\mathfrak{q}) \cong k(\mathfrak{m}) = \kappa$  by assumption. So  $d = 1$ , and we find that  $B \cong A$ .


(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Omitted. □

**Example 17.** Fields are henselian. Any complete local ring is henselian (see Milne, I.4.5).

**Proposition 18.** For every local ring  $A$ , there exists a universal local morphism to a local henselian ring. That is, there exists a local morphism  $A \rightarrow A^h$  to a local henselian ring  $A^h$  such that for every other local morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  to a local henselian ring, there is a unique factorisation  $A \rightarrow A^h \rightarrow B$ .

skipped

*Proof.* Consider the category of factorisations  $A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\phi$  is étale. Morphisms in this category are commutative diamonds



This category has an initial object ( $A = A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$ ) as well as fibre coproducts ( $A \rightarrow B' \otimes_B B'' \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$ ), so it is filtered. Define

$$A^h = \varinjlim_{A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}} B.$$

The ring  $A^h$  is local with the same residue field as  $A$ : Note that the set of those  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $A \rightarrow B$  is a local homomorphism of local rings is cofinal. It follows that the set of non-units of  $A^h$  is the colimit of the sets of non-units of the  $B$ . From this it follows that the non-units of  $A^h$  are closed under addition. But a ring is local if and only if the set of non-units is closed under addition.

The ring  $A^h$  is henselian: Suppose  $A^h \rightarrow C \rightarrow \kappa$  is a factorisation with  $C$  étale over  $A^h$ . As  $A^h \rightarrow C$  is finite presentation, there is some  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \kappa$  and a factorisation  $B \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow \kappa$  such that  $C = A^h \otimes_B C_0$ . In fact,  $B \rightarrow C_0$  is étale, since  $A \rightarrow A^h$  is faithfully flat, and faithfully flat morphisms detect étale morphisms.<sup>2</sup> But then the canonical morphism  $C_0 \rightarrow A^h$  induces a morphism  $C \rightarrow A^h$  with the required properties.

The morphism  $A \rightarrow A^h$  satisfies the universal property: Suppose  $A \rightarrow A'$  is a local homomorphism to a henselian local ring  $A'$ . Consider some  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  in the system defining  $A^h$ . The morphism  $A' \rightarrow A' \otimes_A B$  is étale, and there is a factorisation  $A' \rightarrow A' \otimes_A B \rightarrow A'/\mathfrak{m}'$  by the universal property of the tensor product since  $A \rightarrow A'$  is a local homomorphism. Now since  $A'$  is henselian, by definition we get a retraction  $A' \leftarrow A' \otimes_A B$ , and this induces a factorisation  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A'$ . Since we have such a factorisation for every  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  in a compatible way, we get an induced factorisation  $A \rightarrow \varinjlim B \rightarrow A'$ . That is, a factorisation  $A \rightarrow A^h \rightarrow A'$ .  $\square$

**Remark 19.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian local ring. Since  $\widehat{A}$  is henselian there is a canonical factorisation  $A \rightarrow A^h \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ . In fact, both morphisms are monomorphisms. That is,  $A^h$  can be considered as a subring of the completion.

**Remark 20.** We will see in Lecture 8 that if  $A$  is a normal integral local ring, then  $A^h$  is isomorphic to a subring of the separable closure  $\text{Frac}(A)^s$  of  $\text{Frac}(A)$ .

**Definition 21.** A local henselian ring is **strictly local** if its residue field is separably closed.

<sup>2</sup> $A^h$  is a filtered colimit of flat  $A$ -modules so it is flat. Moreover, it is a filtered colimit of algebras which are surjective on spectra, so it is surjective on spectra. That is, it is faithfully flat. Faithfully flat morphisms detect flatness is Exercise 5. That they detect unramifiedness can be deduced from Exercise 9.

shipped

$\mathbb{C}[[x]]$   
 $\uparrow$   
 $A^h = \text{"algebraic power series"}$   
 $\uparrow$   
 $A = \mathbb{C}\{x\}_{(x)}$   
 $\sqrt{1-x} \in A^h$

**Exercise 11.** Show that if  $A$  is a strictly local henselian ring, then every surjective étale morphism  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  admits a section.

**Proposition 22.** If  $A$  is a local ring and  $\phi : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa^s$  is a separable closure of its residue field, then there exists a universal local homomorphism of local rings  $A \rightarrow A^{sh}$  such that  $A^{sh}$  is strictly henselian, and the induced map on residue fields is  $\phi$ .

*Proof.* Run the proof of Proposition 18 with  $\kappa^s$  instead of  $\kappa$ . □

**Definition 23.** The ring  $A^{sh}$  in the above proposition is called a strict henselisation, associated to  $\phi$ .

**Exercise 12.** Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a finite étale morphism. Then for each  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ , and each strict henselisation  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  we have  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh} \otimes_A B \cong \prod_{i=1}^n A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  for some  $n$ .

Note  $\text{Spec}(\prod_{i=1}^n A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}) \cong \coprod_{i=1}^n \text{Spec}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh})$ . So by the above exercise, étale morphisms are local homeomorphisms if we consider  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  to be small neighbourhoods of  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ .

**Exercise 13 (Advanced).** Show that the necessary condition in the above exercise is also sufficient. That is, a finite morphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is étale if and only if for each  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  we have  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh} \otimes_A B \cong \prod_{i=1}^n A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  for some  $n$ .

