Recent Results in Pluripotential Theory

1. (Unweighted) Pluripotential Theory. Let $E \subset \mathbf{C}^d$ be a bounded Borel set. The global extremal function of E is given by

$$V_E^*(z) := \limsup_{\zeta \to z} V_E(\zeta)$$
 where

$$V_E(z) := \sup\{u(z) : u \in L(\mathbf{C}^d), \ u \le 0 \text{ on } E\}.$$

Here, $u \in L(\mathbf{C}^d)$ if $u \in PSH(\mathbf{C}^d)$ and

$$u(z) - \log |z| = 0(1), |z| \to \infty.$$

If E is compact,

$$V_E(z) = \sup\{\frac{1}{deg(p)}\log|p(z)|: ||p||_E \le 1\}.$$

We call the Monge-Ampere measure of V_E^* ,

$$\mu_E := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (dd^c V_E^*)^d,$$

the extremal measure for E if E is not pluripolar. In this case, $V_E^* \in L^+({\bf C}^d)$ where

$$L^{+}(\mathbf{C}^{d}) = \{ u \in L(\mathbf{C}^{d}) : u(z) \ge \log^{+} |z| + C_{u} \}.$$

2. Weighted Pluripotential Theory. Let $K \subset \mathbf{C}^d$ be closed and let w be an admissible weight function on K: w is a nonnegative, usc function with $\{z \in K : w(z) > 0\}$ nonpluripolar; if K is unbounded, we assume

$$|z|w(z) \to 0$$
 as $|z| \to \infty$, $z \in K$.

Let $Q := -\log w$ and define the weighted extremal function

$$V_{K,Q}^*(z) := \limsup_{\zeta \to z} V_{K,Q}(\zeta)$$
 where

$$V_{K,Q}(z) := \sup\{u(z) : u \in L(\mathbf{C}^d), \ u \le Q \text{ on } K\}.$$

Then $S_w := \operatorname{supp}(\mu_{K,Q})$ is compact where

$$\mu_{K,Q} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (dd^c V_{K,Q}^*)^d;$$

$$V_{K,Q}(z) = \sup\{\frac{1}{deg(p)}\log|p(z)|: ||w^{deg(p)}p||_{S_w} \le 1\};$$

and

$$||w^{deg(p)}p||_{S_w} = ||w^{deg(p)}p||_K.$$

We call $w^{deg(p)}p = e^{-deg(p)Q}p$ a weighted polynomial.

3. Example.

(1) Let
$$K = \{z : |z| \le 1\}$$
 and $Q(z) = |z|^2$. Then
 $V_{K,Q} = Q$ on the ball $\{z : |z| \le 1/\sqrt{2}\}$
and

$$V_{K,Q}(z) = \log |z| + 1/2 - \log(1/\sqrt{2})$$

outside this ball.

(2) Let $K = \mathbf{C}^d$ and the same weight function $Q(z) = |z|^2$. One obtains the **same** weighted extremal function $V_{\mathbf{C}^d,Q}$. In particular, S_w is compact.

In the examples, $S_w = \{V_{K,Q} = Q\}$. In general,

$$S_w \subset S_w^* := \{ z \in K : V_{K,Q}^*(z) \ge Q(z) \}.$$

Notation: We write \mathcal{P}_n for the polynomials of degree at most n in \mathbf{C}^d and

$$N = \dim \mathcal{P}_n = \binom{n+d}{d}.$$

4. Bernstein-Markov Measures. Given a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ and a measure ν on K, we say that (K, ν) satisfies a *Bernstein-Markov* property if for all $p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$,

$$||p_n||_K \le M_n ||p_n||_{L^2(\nu)}$$
 with $\limsup_{n \to \infty} M_n^{1/n} = 1.$

If (K, ν) satisfies the Bernstein-Markov inequality then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \log K_n^{\nu}(z, z) = V_K(z) \ (weak \ asymptotics)$$

locally uniformly on \mathbf{C}^d where

$$B_n^{\nu}(z) := K_n^{\nu}(z, z) = \sum_{j=1}^N |q_j^{(n)}(z)|^2$$

is the n-th Bergman function of K, ν and

$$K_n^{\nu}(z,\zeta) := \sum_{j=1}^N q_j^{(n)}(z) \overline{q_j^{(n)}(\zeta)}$$

where $\{q_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1,...,N}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{P}_n with respect to $L^2(\nu)$.

5. Weighted Bernstein-Markov Measures. For $K \subset \mathbf{C}^d$ compact, $w = e^{-Q}$ an admissible weight function on K, and ν a measure on K, we say that the triple (K, ν, Q) satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property if for all $p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$,

$$||w^n p_n||_K \le M_n ||w^n p_n||_{L^2(\nu)}$$
 with $\limsup_{n \to \infty} M_n^{1/n} = 1.$

For such (K, ν, Q) we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \log K_n^{\nu, w}(z, z) = V_{K,Q}(z) \; (weak \; asymptotics)$$

locally uniformly on \mathbf{C}^d where

$$B_n^{\nu,w}(z) := K_n^{\nu,w}(z,z)w(z)^{2n} = \sum_{j=1}^N |q_j^{(n)}(z)|^2 w(z)^{2n}$$

is the n - th Bergman function of K, w, ν and

$$K_n^{\nu,w}(z,\zeta) := \sum_{j=1}^N q_j^{(n)}(z) \overline{q_j^{(n)}(\zeta)}.$$

Here, $\{q_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1,...,N}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{P}_n with respect to the weighted L^2 -norm $||w^n p_n||_{L^2(\nu)}$.

6. Strong Bergman Asymptotics.

Strong Bergman asymptotics says that if (K, μ, w) satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov inequality, then

$$\frac{1}{N}B_n^{\mu,w}d\mu \to \mu_{K,Q} \text{ weak-}*.$$
 (SBA)

(SBA) follows from the main result of Berman and Boucksom (Theorem 2). A key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2 is a special case of (SBA):

Theorem 1 (Berman, IUMJ). Strong Bergman asymptotics holds if $K = \mathbf{C}^d$, $Q(z) \ge (1 + \epsilon) \log |z|$, $Q \in C^{1,1}(\mathbf{C}^d)$. That is,

$$\frac{1}{N}B_n^{\omega_d,w}\omega_d \to \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (dd^c V_{\mathbf{C}^d,Q})^d \text{ weak} - *; \text{ indeed},$$

$$\frac{1}{N}B_n^{\omega_d,w} \cdot \chi_D \omega_d \to \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (dd^c V_{\mathbf{C}^d,Q})^d \text{ weak} - *$$

where $D = \{V_{\mathbf{C}^d,Q} = Q\}$ and ω_d is Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{C}^d .

Moreover, $V_{\mathbf{C}^d,Q} \in C^{1,1}(\mathbf{C}^d)$ and $(dd^c V_{\mathbf{C}^d,Q})^d$ is absolutely continuous.

What is Theorem 2? Sorry – first we need some more definitions!

7. Ball Volume Ratios and Gram Determinants.

Given an N-dimensional vector space V (e.g., $V = \mathcal{P}_n$), and two subsets A, B in V, we write

$$[A:B] := \log \frac{vol(A)}{vol(B)}.$$

Here, "vol" denotes any (Haar) measure on V. If V is equipped with two Hermitian inner products h, h', and B, B' are the corresponding unit balls, then

$$[B:B'] = \log \det[h'(e_i, e_j)]_{i,j=1,...,N}$$

where $e_1, ..., e_N$ is an h-orthonormal basis for V. Thus [B:B'] is a *Gram determinant* with respect to the h' inner product relative to the h-orthonormal basis.

For $E \subset \mathbf{C}^d$ closed and $w = e^{-Q}$ admissible weight on E, let

$$\mathcal{B}^{\infty}(E, nQ) := \{ p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n : |p_n(z)e^{-nQ(z)}| \le 1 \text{ on } E \}$$

be a weighted L^{∞} -ball in \mathcal{P}_n . If μ is a measure on E,

$$\mathcal{B}^2(E,\mu,nQ) := \{p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n : \int_E |p_n|^2 e^{-2nQ} d\mu \le 1\}$$

is a weighted L^2 -ball in \mathcal{P}_n .

8. Asymptotics of Ball Volume Ratios: Theorem 2.

(Remark: Ball volume ratios trivially satisfy a cocycle condition: [A:B] + [B:C] + [C:A] = 0.)

Given Q, Q' admissible weights on E, E', consider the sequence

$$\{\frac{1}{2nN}[\mathcal{B}^{\infty}(E,nQ):\mathcal{B}^{\infty}(E',nQ')]\}_{n=1,\ldots}$$

and if μ and μ' are measures on E and E' with both (E, μ, Q) and (E', μ', Q') satisfying a weighted BM property, consider the sequence

$$\{\frac{1}{2nN}[\mathcal{B}^2(E,\mu,nQ):\mathcal{B}^2(E',\mu',nQ')]\}_{n=1,\ldots}.$$

Theorem 2. Both sequences converge to

$$\frac{1}{(d+1)(2\pi)^d} \mathcal{E}(V_{E,Q}^*, V_{E',Q'}^*).$$

Question: What is \mathcal{E} ?

9. A Mabuchi-Aubin-type Energy: \mathcal{E} . For $u, v \in L^+(\mathbf{C}^d)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) := \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} (u-v) \sum_{j=0}^d (dd^c u)^j \wedge (dd^c v)^{d-j}.$$

Fixing $v, u \to \mathcal{E}(u, v)$ is a primitive for the complex Monge-Ampere operator $(dd^c u)^d$: if $u' \in L^+(\mathbb{C}^d)$ and for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ we define

$$f(t) := \mathcal{E}(u + t(u' - u), v),$$

then f'(t) exists for $0 \le t \le 1$ and

$$f'(t) = (d+1) \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} (u'-u) \left(dd^c [u+t(u'-u)] \right)^d.$$

In particular,

$$f'(0) = (d+1) \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} (u'-u) (dd^c u)^d.$$

Note that f'(t) is independent of v. As a corollary, we obtain the cocycle property: Let $u, v, w \in L^+(\mathbb{C}^d)$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) + \mathcal{E}(v,w) + \mathcal{E}(w,u) = 0.$$

10. Differentiability of $\mathcal{E} \circ P$.

New notation: given an admissible weight $w = e^{-Q}$ on K, write

$$P(Q) = P_K(Q) := V_{K,Q}^*.$$

Theorem 3. Let $v \in L^+(\mathbb{C}^d)$. For $w = e^{-Q}$ an admissible weight on K and $u \in C(K)$, let

$$F(t) := \mathcal{E}(P(Q + tu), v)$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then

$$F'(t) = (d+1) \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} u (dd^c P(Q+tu))^d.$$

In particular,

$$F'(0) = (d+1) \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} u (dd^c P(Q))^d.$$

Again, F'(t) is independent of v. This is the key ingredient in a variational approach to complex Monge-Ampere (Berman, Boucksom, Guedj, Zeriahi).

11. Proof of Differentiability of $\mathcal{E} \circ P$. Take $F(t) := \mathcal{E}(P(Q+tu), P(Q))$. Then F(0) = 0and we want to show

$$F'(0) = (d+1) \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} u (dd^c P(Q))^d.$$

It suffices to show

$$\mathcal{E}(P(Q+tu), P(Q)) = (d+1)t \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} u(dd^c P(Q))^d + o(t).$$

This follows from two ingredients:

$$\mathcal{E}(P(Q+tu), P(Q)) = \tag{A}$$

$$(d+1)\int_{\mathbf{C}^{d}} [P(Q+tu) - P(Q)](dd^{c}P(Q))^{d} + o(t)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{D(0) - D(t)} (dd^c P(Q))^d = 0$$
 (B)

where

$$D(t) := \{ z \in \mathbf{C}^d : P(Q + tu)(z) = (Q + tu)(z) \}.$$

12. Proof of Differentiability of $\mathcal{E} \circ P$ (cont'd). We have $\operatorname{supp}(dd^c P(Q))^d \subset D(0)$, hence:

$$\mathcal{E}(P(Q+tu), P(Q)) = (\text{from (A)})$$

$$(d+1) \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} [P(Q+tu) - P(Q)] (dd^c P(Q))^d + o(t)$$

= $(d+1) \int_{D(0)-D(t)} [P(Q+tu) - P(Q)] (dd^c P(Q))^d$

$$+(d+1)\int_{D(0)\cap D(t)} [P(Q+tu)-P(Q)](dd^{c}P(Q))^{d}+o(t)$$

$$= (d+1) \int_{D(0)-D(t)} [P(Q+tu) - P(Q)] (dd^{c}P(Q))^{d} + (d+1)t \int_{D(0)\cap D(t)} u (dd^{c}P(Q))^{d} + o(t)$$

since P(Q+tu) - P(Q) = tu on $D(0) \cap D(t)$. Now use (B) together with the observation that

$$|P(Q + tu) - P(Q)| = 0(t)$$

on the bounded set D(0) - D(t).

13. Proof of Theorem 2.

We will use an integrated version of the previous result:

$$\mathcal{E}(P(Q+u), P(Q)) =$$

$$(d+1) \int_{t=0}^{1} dt \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} u (dd^c P(Q+tu))^d. \qquad (I)$$

Given a closed set K, an admissible weight $w = e^{-Q}$ on K, and a function $u \in C(K)$, we consider the perturbed weight $w_t := w \exp(-tu)$. Equivalently, $Q_t := Q + tu$. Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence of measures on K. We set

$$f_n(t) := -\frac{(d+1)}{2dnN} \log \det(G_n^{\mu_n, w_t})$$

where

$$G_n^{\mu_n, w_t} = G_n^{\mu_n, w_t}(\beta_n) := [\langle p_i, p_j \rangle_{\mu_n, w_t}] \in \mathbf{C}^{N \times N}$$

is the *Gram matrix* with respect to the weighted inner product

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{\mu_n,w_t} := \int_K f(z)\overline{g(z)}w_t(z)^{2n}d\mu_n$$

and a fixed basis $\beta_n := \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_N\}$ of \mathcal{P}_n . Then

$$f'_{n}(t) = \frac{d+1}{dN} \int_{K} u(z) B_{n}^{\mu_{n},w_{t}}(z) d\mu_{n}.$$
(II)

14. Sketch of Proof of (II). Fixing a basis $\beta_n := \{p_1, ..., p_N\}$ of \mathcal{P}_n , we set

$$f_n(t) := -\frac{(d+1)}{2dnN} \log \det(G_n^{\mu_n, w_t})$$

where $G_n^{\mu_n, w_t} = G_n^{\mu_n, w_t}(\beta_n)$ and we want to show

$$f'_{n}(t) = \frac{d+1}{dN} \int_{K} u(z) B_{n}^{\mu_{n},w_{t}}(z) d\mu_{n}.$$
(II)

Using log det $(G_n^{\mu_n, w_t})$ = trace log $(G_n^{\mu_n, w_t})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2dnN}{d+1}f'_n(t) &= -\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{trace}\left(\log(G_n^{\mu_n,w_t})\right) = \\ -\operatorname{trace}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\log(G_n^{\mu_n,w_t})\right) \\ -\operatorname{trace}\left((G_n^{\mu_n,w_t})^{-1}\frac{d}{dt}G_n^{\mu_n,w_t}\right) \\ &= 2n\operatorname{trace}\left((G_n^{\mu_n,w_t})^{-1}\left[\int_K p_i(z)\overline{p_j(z)}u(z)w(z)^{2n} \cdot \exp(-2ntu(z))d\mu_n\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now use

$$trace(ABC) = trace(CAB) = CAB$$

and some linear algebra.

15. Proof of Theorem 2. (cont'd)

First do the L^2 -case with $E = E' = \mathbf{C}^d$ and Q, Q' as in Theorem 1 with $d\mu = d\mu' = \omega_d$. Take u = Q' - Q and for $0 \le t \le 1$ let $w_t(z) := w(z) \exp(-tu(z))$. By Theorem 1,

$$\frac{1}{N}B_n^{\omega_d,w_t}\omega_d \to \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}(dd^c P(Q+tu))^d \text{ weak} - *.$$

Define $f_n(t) := -\frac{(d+1)}{2dnN} \log \det(G_n^{\mu_n,w_t})$ where we take $\mu_n := \omega_d$ for all n and the basis $\beta_n := \{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$ of \mathcal{P}_n is chosen to be an orthonormal basis with respect to $||w^n p||_{L^2(\mu)}$. Then $f_n(0) = 0$. Using (II)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d}{d+1} f'_n(t) = \int u \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (dd^c P(Q+tu))^d.$$

Integrate the expression for $f'_n(t)$ from t = 0 to t = 1:

$$\frac{d}{d+1}[f_n(1)] = \frac{-1}{2nN} \log \det(G_n^{\mu_n,w'})$$
$$= \frac{-1}{2nN} [\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbf{C}^d, \omega_d, nQ) : \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbf{C}^d, \omega_d, nQ')]$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \int_{t=0}^1 dt \int B_n^{\omega_d,w_t} (Q - Q') \omega_d.$$

Apply Theorem 1. again to conclude that

$$\frac{-1}{2nN} [\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbf{C}^d, \omega_d, nQ) : \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbf{C}^d, \omega_d, nQ')]$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \int_{t=0}^1 dt \int B_n^{\omega_d, w_t} (Q - Q') \omega_d.$$
$$\rightarrow \int_{t=0}^1 dt \int (Q - Q') \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (dd^c P(Q + tu))^d.$$

But by (I), the integrated version of differentiability of $\mathcal{E} \circ P$, we have

$$(d+1)\int_{t=0}^{1} dt \int (Q-Q')\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (dd^c P(Q+tu))^d = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \mathcal{E}(P(Q'), P(Q))$$

which proves Theorem 2. in the L^2 -case when $E = E' = \mathbf{C}^d$ with $Q, Q' \in C^2(\mathbf{C}^d)$ as in Theorem 1. with $d\mu = d\mu' = \omega_d$. By the weighted Bernstein-Markov property this also proves the L^∞ -case when $E = E' = \mathbf{C}^d$ and $Q, Q' \in C^2(\mathbf{C}^d)$ as in Theorem 1.

The remaining cases follow from approximation and the cocycle properties of \mathcal{E} and the ball volume ratios.

16. Applications.

Theorem 2. implies several important results:

- (1) a proof of *Rumely's formula* relating the transfinite diameter $\delta(K)$ of a compact set K in \mathbb{C}^d with certain integrals involving the Robin function $\rho_K(z) := \limsup_{|\lambda| \to \infty} [V_K^*(\lambda z) - \log |\lambda|]$ of K, as well as weighted versions of the formula;
- (2) a proof of the weighted Fekete conjecture: for each n, take an n-th weighted Fekete set $x_1^{(n)}, ..., x_N^{(n)}$ for K and w and let $\mu_n := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j^{(n)}}$. Then

$$\mu_n \to \mu_{K,Q} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} (dd^c V_{K,Q}^*)^d \text{ weak} - *;$$

- (3) analogous results to (2) for (weighted) *optimal measures* (defined by maximizing certain Gram determinants);
- (4) general results on strong Bergman asymptotics for BM pairs (K, μ) where μ is a measure on K, as well as for weighted BM triples (K, μ, Q) ; i.e., we have

$$\frac{1}{N}B_n^{\mu,w}d\mu \to \mu_{K,Q} \text{ weak-}*. \qquad (SBA)$$