The $\bar{\partial}_b$ -problem on convex domains of finite type

Hong Rae Cho *

January 27, 2003

Department of Mathematics, Andong National University, Andong 760-749, South Korea E-mail: chohr@anu.ac.kr

1 The $\bar{\partial}_b$ -complex

Let D be a smoothly bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . The Cauchy-Riemann operators $\bar{\partial}$ on \mathbb{C}^n induce in a natural way a complex of differential operators on ∂D , the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex or $\bar{\partial}_b$ -complex. The $\bar{\partial}_b$ -complex was first formulated by Kohn-Rossi [KR] in the mid 1960s to study the holomorphic extension of CR functions from the boundary of a complex manifold. Since then, CR manifolds and the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -complex have been extensively studied for their intrinsic interest and because of their application to other fields of study as partial differential equations and mathematical physics.

Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) < 0\}$ be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with C^{∞} boundary. Let $\bar{\omega} = \frac{\bar{\partial}\rho}{|\bar{\partial}\rho|}$ be the complex unit normal (0,1)-form defined on ∂D . Locally, in an open neighborhood $U \cap \partial D$, we choose $\bar{\omega}_1, \ldots, \bar{\omega}_{n-1}, \bar{\omega}_n$ to be an orthonormal basis for (0,1)-forms. For each s with $1 \leq s \leq \infty$, we define $\tilde{L}_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$ to be the space of (p,q)-forms in \mathbb{C}^n which has L^s boundary values on ∂D . Thus $f \in \tilde{L}^s_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$ if we can write $f = \sum_{I,J}' f_{I,J} dz^I \wedge d\bar{z}^J$ where $f_{I,J}|_{\partial D} \in L^s(\partial D)$ for each I, J. Let

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{|\bar{\partial}\rho|} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

The space $L^s_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$ is defined to be the subspace of $\tilde{L}_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$ such that

if
$$f \in L^s_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$$
, then $f \in \tilde{L}^s_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$ and $\mathcal{N} \lrcorner f = 0$ on ∂D .

Since \mathcal{N} is the dual to the form $\bar{\omega}_n$, locally, we can express

$$f = \sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q,n\notin J}' f_{I,J}\omega^I \wedge \bar{\omega}^J + \sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q,n\in J}' f_{I,J}\omega^I \wedge \bar{\omega}^J,$$

^{*}The author was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2001-DP0018).

where $f_{I,J}$'s are $L^s(\partial D \cap U)$ functions and $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_p), J = (j_1, \ldots, j_q)$ are multiindices in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We also use the notation $\omega^I = \omega_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_p}, \bar{\omega}^J = \bar{\omega}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\omega}_{i_q}$. Let τ denote the projection map

$$\tau: \tilde{L}^{s}_{(p,q)}(\partial D) \to L^{s}_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$$

defined by

$$\tau(f) = \sum_{|I|=p, |J|=q, n \notin J}' f_{I,J} \omega^I \wedge \bar{\omega}^J.$$

The projection τ is well-defined since it is independent of the choice of $\{\bar{\omega}_1, \ldots, \bar{\omega}_{n-1}\}$. From definition, $f \in L^2_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$, if and only if

(1.1)
$$f = \tau(F)$$
 on ∂D

where F is a (p,q)-form in $\tilde{L}^2_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$. Condition (1.1) is also equivalent to the following condition: for any smooth (n-p, n-q-1)-form ϕ in \mathbb{C}^n ,

$$\int_{\partial D} f \wedge \phi = \int_{\partial D} F \wedge \phi$$

We define the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -complex on $L^2_{(p,q-1)}(\partial D)$ as follows:

Definition 1.1. For any $u \in L^2_{(p,q-1)}(\partial D)$, if for some $f \in L^2_{(p,q)}(\partial D)$, we have

$$\int_{\partial D} u \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi = (-1)^{p+q} \int_{\partial D} f \wedge \phi \quad \text{for any} \quad \phi \in C^{\infty}_{(n-p,n-1-q)}(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

then u is said to be in $\text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}_b)$ and $\bar{\partial}_b u = f$. The $\bar{\partial}_b$ is a closed, densely defined linear operator.

In the 1960s, Kohn [Ko1] introduced L^2 approach to construct solution to the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex on the boundary of a strictly pseudoconvex domain. Later, Henkin [He] developed integral kernels to represent solutions to the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations. A closely related topic is the nonsolvability of certain systems of partial differential equations. In the 1950s, Hans Lewy [Le] constructed an example of a partial differential equation with smooth coefficients that has no locally defined smooth solution. In particular, he showed that C^{∞} cannot replace *real analytic* in the statement of the Cauchy-Kowalevsky theorem. Lewy's example is a kind of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations on the Heisenberg group in \mathbb{C}^2 . His example illustrates that the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex on a strictly pseudoconvex boundary is not always solvable at the top degree. Later, Henkin [He] developed a criterion for solvability of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex at the top degree.

2 Known results

(1) Strictly pseudoconvex case. In 1965, Kohn [Ko1] proved Sobolev estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ by using subelliptic estimates for \Box_b . Hölder and L^p -estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ were proved by Folland-Stein [FS] in 1974. In 1976 through 1977, Skoda [Sk], Henkin [He], and Romanov [Rom] introduced integral kernel method for $\bar{\partial}_b$, independently.

(2) Weakly pseudoconvex case. In 1982, Rosay [Ros] proved C^{∞} solvability for $\bar{\partial}_b$. In 1985 through 1986, some results on L^2 and Sobolev estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ have been proved by Shaw [Sh1], Boas-Shaw [BS], and Kohn [Ko2], independently.

(3) A case of pseudoconvex domains of finite type. In 1988, Hölder estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on the boundaries of pseudoconvex domains of finite type in \mathbb{C}^2 were proved by Fefferman-Kohn [FK] by using the L^2 estimates and microlocal analysis. Shaw ([Sh2], [Sh3]) proved Hölder and L^p estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on the boundaries of real ellipsoids in \mathbb{C}^n and weakly pseudoconvex domains of uniform strict type M in \mathbb{C}^2 . Let me state the Shaw's results more precisely.

Theorem 2.1. Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^{2n_j} + y_j^{2m_j}) - 1 < 0\}$, where $z_j = x_j + iy_j, n_j, m_j \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 2$. Let $M = \max_{1 \le j \le n} \{2n_j, 2m_j\}$. Let $f \in L^p_{(0,1)}(\partial D), 1 \le p \le \infty$, and f satisfy the compatibility conditions:

- (1) If n = 2, $\int_{\partial D} f \wedge \varphi = 0$ for every $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (2,0)-form φ whose coefficients are in $C^{\infty}(\bar{D})$.
- (2) If n > 2, $\bar{\partial}_b f = 0$ in the distribution sense.

Then there exists a solution u of $\partial_b u = f$ on ∂D in the distribution sense which satisfies the following estimates:

- (i) For any $1 \le p, r \le \infty$ with 1/r > 1/p 1/((n-1)M + 2) we have $||u||_{L^r(\partial D)} \le C||f||_{L^p_{(0,1)}(\partial D)}$.
- (ii) For p > (n-1)M + 2 we have $||u||_{\Lambda_{\alpha}(\partial D)} \leq C||f||_{L^p_{(0,1)}(\partial D)}$ for $\alpha = 1/M ((n-1)M+2)/(Mp)$. Here $||u||_{\Lambda_{\alpha}(\partial D)}$ is the Hölder norm of order α on ∂D .

The constants in (i) and (ii) depend only on p, M, and D.

Remark 2.2. (i) Chen-Ma [CM] proved the optimal case 1/r = 1/p - 1/((n-1)M+2) in (i) of Theorem 2.1 on the boundaries of real ellipsoids by using weak type estimates for the solution operator of $\bar{\partial}_b$. The result is an improvement of a theorem of Shaw [Sh3].

(ii) The Hölder exponent 1/M - ((n-1)M + 2)/(Mp) in (ii) of Theorem 2.1 is optimal. In [Sh3] Shaw gave an example on a real ellipsoid of finite type M which shows the exponent 1/M - ((n-1)M + 2)/(Mp) is the best possible.

3 Non-isotropic support functions

Let D be a smoothly bounded convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n . A point $z \in \partial D$ is said to be of finite type if the order of contact of complex lines with ∂D at z is finite. The domain D is said to of finite if every point on ∂D is of finite type. We denote by M the maximum of the types of points on ∂D .

From now on we always denote by $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) < 0\}$ a bounded convex domain with C^{∞} -smooth boundary of finite type M. We also define $D_{\delta} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) < \delta\}$ for small absolute values $|\delta|$. The defining function ρ can be chosen in such a way that there exists a neighborhood U of ∂D such that $|d\rho(z)| > 1/2$ for all $\zeta \in U$ and all the domains $D_{\rho(\zeta)}$ are convex domains of finite type M. If n_{ζ} is the unit outward normal vector at ζ on the hypersurface $\{z : \rho(z) = \rho(\zeta)\}$ we define $w = \Phi(\zeta)(z - \zeta)$, where the unitary matrix $\Phi(\zeta)$ satisfies $\Phi(\zeta)n_{\zeta} = (1, 0, ..., 0)$ for all $\zeta \in U$. The following definitions are in [DF]:

$$\rho_{\zeta}(w) := \rho(\zeta + (\overline{\Phi}(\zeta))^T w),$$

$$S_{\zeta}(w) := 3w_1 + Kw_1^2 - c \sum_{j=2}^M N^{2^j} \sigma_j \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=j\\\alpha_1=0}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^j \rho_{\zeta}}{\partial w^{\alpha}}(0) w^{\alpha}$$

for N > 0 suitably large, c > 0 suitably small (all independent of ζ). We define

$$Q_{\zeta}^{j}(w) := \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial S_{\zeta}}{\partial w_{j}}(tw)dt, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$Q(z,\zeta) = (Q_1(z,\zeta),\ldots,Q_n(z,\zeta))$$

:= $\Phi(\zeta)^T (Q_\zeta^1(\Phi(\zeta)(z-\zeta)),\ldots,Q_\zeta^n(\Phi(\zeta)(z-\zeta))).$

We put $S(z,\zeta) := S_{\zeta}(\Phi(\zeta)(z-\zeta))$. Then $S(z,\zeta)$ is a non-isotropic support function on D, holomorphic in $z \in \overline{D}$ and C^{∞} in $\zeta \in U$ with the following estimates. Let v be a unit vector complex tangential to the level set $\{\rho = \rho(\zeta)\}$ at ζ . Define

$$a_{\alpha\beta}(\zeta, v) := \frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta}}{\partial \lambda^{\alpha} \partial \bar{\lambda}^{\beta}} \rho(\zeta + \lambda v)|_{\lambda=0}.$$

Then there are constants K, c, d > 0, such that one has for all points z written as $z = \zeta + \mu n_{\zeta} + \lambda v$ with $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the estimate

(3.1)
$$2\operatorname{Re} S(z,\zeta) \leq -|\operatorname{Re} \mu| - K(\operatorname{Im} \mu)^{2}$$
$$-c\sum_{j=2}^{m} \sum_{\alpha+\beta=j} |a_{\alpha\beta}(\zeta,v)| |\lambda|^{j} + d\sup\{0,\rho(z) - \rho(\zeta)\}.$$

The non-isotropic support function S is the key factor in the kernels of the solution operators for $\bar{\partial}_b$.

4 Our results

Using above (1,0)-form $Q(z,\zeta)$ and support function $S(z,\zeta)$ we define two kernels

$$K(z,\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-q-2} K_j(z,\zeta), \quad K^*(z,\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^q K_j^*(z,\zeta),$$

where $0 \le q \le n-2$ and

$$K_j(z,\zeta) = c_j \frac{Q \wedge b \wedge (\partial_{\zeta}Q)^j \wedge (\partial_{\zeta}b)^{n-q-2-j} \wedge (\partial_z b)^q}{S(z,\zeta)^{j+1}|z-\zeta|^{2(n-j-1)}}$$
$$K_j^*(z,\zeta) = d_j \frac{Q^* \wedge b \wedge (\bar{\partial}_{\zeta}b)^{n-q-2} \wedge (\bar{\partial}_z Q^*)^j \wedge (\bar{\partial}_z b)^{q-j}}{S^*(z,\zeta)^{j+1}|z-\zeta|^{2(n-j-1)}}.$$

Here $b = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\bar{z}_j - \bar{\zeta}_j) d\zeta_j$, c_j, d_j are suitably chosen constants for our purpose, $Q^* = Q^*(z,\zeta) := Q(\zeta,z)$ and $S^*(z,\zeta) := S(\zeta,z)$.

Next we introduce two integral operators. Let $f \in L^1_{(0,q+1)}(\partial D), 0 \le q \le n-2$. Define

$$R^{+}f(z) := \int_{\partial D} K(z,\zeta) \wedge f(\zeta), \quad z \in D$$
$$R^{-}f(z) := \int_{\partial D} K^{*}(z,\zeta) \wedge f(\zeta), \quad z \in \bar{D}^{c} := \mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \bar{D}.$$

Theorem 4.1 ([AC]). Let $f \in L^{p}_{(0,1)}(\partial D)$ for p > (n-1)M + 2. Then we have

$$\|R^+f\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}(D)} + \|R^-f\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}(\bar{D}^c)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p_{(0,1)}(\partial D)} \quad for \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{M} - \frac{(n-1)M + 2}{Mp}$$

By Theorem 4.1, for p > (n-1)M + 2 the integrals R^+f and R^-f are continuously extended up to the boundary. So we can define

$$Tf(z) := \int_{\partial D} K(z,\zeta) \wedge f(\zeta), \quad Sf(z) := \int_{\partial D} K^*(z,\zeta) \wedge f(\zeta), \quad z \in \partial D.$$

for $f \in L^p_{(0,1)}(\partial D)$, p > (n-1)M+2. Moreover, if f satisfies the compatibility conditions, then

$$\bar{\partial}_b(Tf - Sf) = f$$

in the distribution sense (see Theorem (2.13) of [Sh3] for details). Now we consider the case $1 \leq p \leq (n-1)m+2$. Even though the integrals R^+f and R^-f have boundary values almost everywhere for $z \in \partial D$, we do not know whether they are continuously extended up to boundary. In this case, by approximation argument [Rom], we can assume that $f \in C^{\infty}_{(1,0)}(\partial D)$.

Theorem 4.2 ([AC]). Let D and M be as above. Let $f \in L^p_{(0,1)}(\partial D)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, and f satisfy the compatibility conditions:

- (1) If n = 2, $\int_{\partial D} f \wedge \varphi = 0$ for every $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (2,0)-form φ whose coefficients are in $C^{\infty}(\bar{D})$.
- (2) If n > 2, $\bar{\partial}_b f = 0$ in the distribution sense.

Then there exists a solution u of $\bar{\partial}_b u = f$ on ∂D in the distribution sense which satisfies the following estimates:

- (i) For any $1 \le p, r \le \infty$ with 1/r > 1/p 1/((n-1)M + 2) we have $||u||_{L^r(\partial D)} \le C||f||_{L^p_{(0,1)}(\partial D)}$.
- (ii) For p > (n-1)M + 2 we have $||u||_{\Lambda_{\alpha}(\partial D)} \leq C||f||_{L^p_{(0,1)}(\partial D)}$ for $\alpha = 1/M ((n-1)M+2)/(Mp)$. Here $||u||_{\Lambda_{\alpha}(\partial D)}$ is the Hölder norm of order α on ∂D .

The constants in (i) and (ii) depend only on p, M, and D.

For the proof of the theorem we define a nonisotropic polydisc suited to the geometry of boundaries of convex domains of finite type and estimate the support function S, components of Q, $\bar{\partial}Q$ and d_zQ in this polydisc. In this case (3.1) is the key inequality for the estimates of these factors in the integral solution operators for $\bar{\partial}_b$. We can see the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [AC].

References

- [AC] H. Ahn and H. R. Cho, Optimal Hölder and L^p estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on boundaries of convex domains of finite type, Preprint.
- [BS] H. P. Boas and M.-C. Shaw, Sobolev estimates for the Lewy operator on weakly pseudoconvex boundaries, Math. Ann. 274(1986), 221–231.
- [CM] Z. Chen abd D. Ma, Sharp L^p estimates for the $\bar{\partial}_b$ -equation on the boundaries of the real ellipsoids in \mathbb{C}^n , Comm. Partial Differential Equations 19(1994), 61–87.
- [DF] K. Diederich and J. E. Fornæss, Support functions for convex domains of finite type, Math. Z. 230(1999), 145–164.
- [FK] C. Fefferman and J. J. Kohn, Hölder estimates on domains of complex dimension two and on three dimensional CR manifolds, Adv. Math. 69(1988), 223–303.
- [FS] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Estimates for the* $\bar{\partial}_b$ complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27(1974), 429–522.
- [He] G. M. Henkin, The Lewy equation and analysis on pseudoconvex manifolds I, Russian Math. Surveys 32(1977), 59–130.
- [Ko1] J. J. Kohn, Boundaries of complex manifolds, Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis (Minneapolis), Springer, New York, 1965, 81–94.
- [Ko2] J. J. Kohn, The range of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator, Duke Math. J. 53(1986), 525–545.
- [KR] J. J. Kohn and H. Rossi, On the extension of holomorphic functions from the boundary of a complex manifold, Ann. of Math. 81(1965), 451–472.
- [Le] H. Lewy, An example of a smooth linear partial differential equation without solution, Ann. of Math. 66(1957), 155–158.
- [Rom] A. V. Romanov, A formula and estimates for solutions of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equation, Math. Sb. 99(1976), 49–71.
- [Ros] J. P. Rosay, Equation de Lewy-resolubilité global de l'équation $\bar{\partial}_b u = f$ sur la frontière de domaines faiblement pseudo-convexes de \mathbb{C}^2 (ou \mathbb{C}^n), Duke Math. J. 49(1982), 121–128.
- [Sh1] M.-C. Shaw, L² estimates and existence theorems for the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex, Invent. Math. 82(1985), 133–150.
- [Sh2] M.-C. Shaw, Hölder and L^p estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on weakly pseudo-convex boundaries in \mathbb{C}^2 , Math. Ann. 279(1988), 635–652.
- [Sh3] M.-C. Shaw, Optimal Hölder and L^p estimates for $\overline{\partial}_b$ on the boundaries of real ellipsoids in \mathbb{C}^n , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 324(1991), 213–234.
- [Sk] H. Skoda, Valeurs an bord pour les solutions de l'équation $\bar{\partial}$ et caracterisation des zéros des fonctions de la classe de Nevanlinna, Bull. Soc. Math. France 104(1976), 225–299.