Down-side risk minimization for a hidden Markov factor model

Yûsuke Watanabe (Osaka University)

December 20, 2010

We consider a market model consisting of one bank account S_t^0 and N risky securities S_t^1, \ldots, S_t^N . We assume that the mean returns of risky security prices depend nonlinearly upon "hidden economic factors," which evolve as a continuous-time Markov chain with finite state space. "Hidden" means that the factors are only partially observable through the information of security prices.

Let $V_T(h)$ be an investor's wealth at time T, corresponding to an investment strategy $h = (h_t)_{t \ge 0}$. Set

$$X_T(h) := \log \frac{V_T(h)}{S_T^0}.$$

For a given level $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we want to minimize a down-side risk probability

$$P\left(\frac{X_T(h)}{T} \le k\right)$$

over a large time interval [0, T]. More specifically, we consider the long-time average of a minimized down-side risk

$$\Pi_1(k) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \inf_h \log P\Big(\frac{X_T(h)}{T} \le k\Big),$$

and also the minimized long-time average of a down side risk

$$\Pi_2(k) = \inf_h \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log P\Big(\frac{X_T(h)}{T} \le k\Big).$$

To treat these problems, we first consider the following risk-sensitive portfolio optimization problems (1) and (2), for a given "risk-averse" parameter $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$:

Finite time horizon problem:

$$\inf_{h} \log E \Big[\exp \Big\{ \gamma X_T(h) \Big\} \Big], \tag{1}$$

and its long time average

$$\chi_1(\gamma) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \inf_h \log E \Big[\exp \Big\{ \gamma X_T(h) \Big\} \Big].$$

Infinite time horizon problem:

$$\chi_2(\gamma) = \inf_h \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log E \Big[\exp \Big\{ \gamma X_T(h) \Big\} \Big].$$
⁽²⁾

Suppose that we have "solved" the optimization problems (1) and (2). Then, in view of the large deviations principle, we expect that the following duality relation holds:

$$\Pi_{\nu}(k) = -\inf_{k' \in (-\infty,k]} \chi_{\nu}^{*}(k'), \quad \nu = 1, 2,$$

where $\chi_{\nu}^{*}(\cdot)$ is the Legendre transform of $\chi_{\nu}(\cdot)$:

$$\chi_{\nu}^{*}(k) = \sup_{\gamma \in (-\infty,0)} \{k\gamma - \chi_{\nu}(\gamma)\}, \quad \nu = 1, 2.$$

We will formalize the above duality relation and then sketch the proof.