

On convergence of elliptic operators on a Riemannian manifold

Jun Masamune (Hokkaido University)
(with Helmer Hoppe and Stefan Neukamm)

Tokyo One-Day Workshop on Stochastic Analysis and Geometry
23 November, 2018

1 Set up

2 Main Results

3 Key Lemmas

- (M, g, μ) : a weighted manifold with a density $\Psi > 0$
- $\Omega \subset M$ is an open set. $U \Subset M$ is a relatively compact open set.

-

$$m_0(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ m \in \mathbb{R} \mid \inf_{1=\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}} \int_{\Omega} (mu^2 + g(\nabla u, \nabla u)) d\mu > 0 \right\}$$

where

$$H_0^1(\Omega) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}^{H^1}, \quad (u, v)_{H^1} = \int_M uv d\mu + \int_M g(\nabla u, \nabla v) d\mu$$

- $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \lambda, \Lambda)$ (with $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$) is a “coefficient fields”; that is, the set of measurable coefficient fields \mathbb{L} such that

$$\begin{cases} g(\xi, \mathbb{L}\xi)(x) \geq \lambda g(\xi, \xi)(x), & \forall x \in M, \forall \xi \in T_x M \\ g(\xi, \mathbb{L}^{-1}\xi)(x) \geq \Lambda^{-1} g(\xi, \xi)(x), & \forall x \in M, \forall \xi \in T_x M \end{cases}$$

- Elliptic operators: $(\mathbb{L}_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0} \subset \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \lambda, \Lambda)$

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon = -\operatorname{div} \circ \mathbb{L}_\epsilon \circ \nabla : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$$

Definition 1.1

Let $(\mathbb{L}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \lambda, \Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{L}_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \lambda, \Lambda)$. We say that the sequence (\mathbb{L}_ϵ) “H-convergence” to \mathbb{L}_0 iff for any $U \Subset \Omega$ and for any $f \in H^{-1}(U)$, the solutions $u_\epsilon, u_0 \in H_0^1(U)$ to

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon u_\epsilon = \mathcal{L}_0 u_0 = f \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(U)$$

satisfy

$$\begin{cases} u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u_0, & \text{weakly in } H^1(U) \\ \mathbb{L}_\epsilon \nabla u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbb{L}_0 \nabla u_0, & \text{weakly in } L^2(TU) \end{cases}$$

In that case, we denote

$$\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}_0 \quad \text{in } (\Omega, g, \mu).$$

Hereafter, $(\mathbb{L}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{M}(M, \lambda, \Lambda)$.

Theorem 2.1 (Hoppe - M - Neukamm)

There exists a subsequence (not relabeled) (\mathbb{L}_ϵ) and $\mathbb{L}_0 \in \mathcal{M}(M, \lambda, \Lambda)$ such that

$$\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}_0 \text{ in } (M, g, \mu)$$

Main Result (Continuation)

Theorem 2.2 (Hoppe - M - Neukamm)

Let $(f_\epsilon) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ and $(F_\epsilon) \subset L^2(T\Omega)$ be such that

$$f_\epsilon \rightharpoonup f_0 \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega), \quad F_\epsilon \rightarrow F_0 \text{ in } L^2(T\Omega).$$

Let $m > m_0(\Omega)/\lambda$ and $u_\epsilon, u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the solutions to

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\epsilon + m)u_\epsilon &= f_\epsilon + \operatorname{div} F_\epsilon, & \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega), \\ (\mathcal{L}_0 + m)u_0 &= f_0 + \operatorname{div} F_0, & \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}_0 \implies \begin{cases} u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u_0 & \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \mathbb{L}_\epsilon \nabla u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbb{L}_0 \nabla u_0 & \text{weakly in } L^2(T\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Additionally, if $m \neq 0$ and $f_\epsilon \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(M)$, then $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(M)$.

Definition 2.1 (Mosco convergence)

Let $\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}(M, \lambda, \Lambda)$ be symmetric with $\epsilon \geq 0$. Set

$$Q_\epsilon(u, v) = \begin{cases} \int_M g(\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \nabla u, \nabla v) d\mu, & u, v \in H_0^1(M), \\ \infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We say $Q_\epsilon \rightarrow Q_0$ in Mosco sense if

- $\forall u \in L^2(M), \exists u_\epsilon \in L^2(M)$ such that $\limsup Q_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, u_\epsilon) \leq Q_0(u, u)$.
- $v_\epsilon \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^2(M) \implies \liminf Q_\epsilon(v_\epsilon, v_\epsilon) \geq Q_0(v, v)$

Proposition 2.1 (H -convergence implies Mosco convergence)

Let $\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}(M, \lambda, \Lambda)$ be symmetric with $\epsilon \geq 0$. Then,

$$\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}_0 \implies Q_\epsilon \rightarrow Q_0 \text{ in Mosco sense}$$

which implies

$$e^{t\mathcal{L}_\epsilon} \rightarrow e^{t\mathcal{L}_0} \text{ in } L^2(M)$$

Main Result (Example)

Let $(\sigma_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \geq 0} \subset L^\infty(M)$ such that $\exists c > 0$ such that

$$c < \sigma_\epsilon < c^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Consider

$$M_\epsilon = (M, g_\epsilon, \mu_\epsilon), \quad g_\epsilon = \sigma_\epsilon g, \quad \mu_\epsilon = (\sigma_\epsilon)^{n/2}.$$

Assume that $H_0^1(M)$ is compact in $L^2(M)$.

Proposition 2.2

There is $\Theta \in \mathcal{M}(M, \lambda, \Lambda)$ with ellipticity constants $0 < \lambda, \Lambda < \infty$ only depending on $d = \dim(M)$ and the constant in (2), and there exists a $\sigma_0 \in L^\infty(M)$ satisfying (2), such that the following holds for a subsequence (not relabeled):

(a) $\sigma_\epsilon^{d/2} \rightharpoonup \sigma_0^{d/2}$ weakly-* in $L^\infty(M, g, \mu)$.

Main Result (Example)

Proposition 2.3 (Under the same situation in the previous proposition)

(b) Let $g_0 := \sigma_0 g$ and $\mu_0 := \sigma_0^{d/2} \mu$. For all $m > m'$, $m' \in \mathbb{R}$ only depends on $M = (M, g, \mu)$ and the constant in (2). For $f_\epsilon, f \in L^2(M, g, \mu)$, let $u_\epsilon \in H_0^1(M, g_\epsilon, \mu_\epsilon)$ and $u_0 \in H_0^1(M, g_0, \mu_0)$ be the solutions to

$$\begin{cases} mu_\epsilon + \Delta_\epsilon u_\epsilon = f_\epsilon & \text{in } H^{-1}(M, g_\epsilon, \mu_\epsilon), \\ mu_0 + \operatorname{div}_0(\Theta \nabla u_0) = f_0 & \text{in } H^{-1}(M, g_0, \mu_0), \end{cases}$$

respectively. Then

$$f_\epsilon \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2(M) \implies \begin{cases} u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u_0 & \text{in } H^1(M), \\ \sigma_\epsilon^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \nabla u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \sigma_0^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \Theta \nabla u_0 & \text{in } L^2(TM). \end{cases}$$

Main Result (Example)

Proposition 2.4 (Under the same situation in the previous proposition)

(c) Let $u_\epsilon \in H_0^1(M, g_\epsilon, \mu_\epsilon)$ and $u_0 \in H_0^1(M, g_0, \mu_0)$ be the solutions to

$$\begin{cases} mu_\epsilon + \Delta_\epsilon u_\epsilon = f_\epsilon, & f_\epsilon \in L^2(M, g_\epsilon, \mu_\epsilon), \\ mu_0 + \operatorname{div}_0(\Theta \nabla u_0) = f_0, & f_0 \in L^2(M, g_0, \mu_0), \end{cases}$$

respectively. Then

$$f_\epsilon \rightarrow f_0 \text{ in } L^2 \implies u_\epsilon \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } L^2.$$

(d) Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every sequence $(\lambda_{\epsilon,n}, u_{\epsilon,n})$ of eigenpairs of $m + \Delta_\epsilon$ in $H^{-1}(M, g_\epsilon, \mu_\epsilon)$ there are a (not relabeled) subsequence and an eigenpair (λ_0, u_0) of $m + \operatorname{div}(\Theta \nabla)$ in $H^{-1}(M, g_0, \mu_0)$ such that $\lambda_{n,\epsilon} \rightarrow \lambda_0$ and $u_{n,\epsilon} \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in L^2 .

Lemma 1 (Div-Curl Lemma)

Let $(\xi_\epsilon) \subset L^2(T\Omega)$ and $(v_\epsilon) \subset H^1(\Omega)$ be such that

$$\begin{cases} \xi_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \xi & \text{weakly in } L^2(T\Omega), \\ \operatorname{div} \xi_\epsilon \rightarrow \operatorname{div} \xi & \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega), \\ v_\epsilon \rightharpoonup v & \text{weakly in } H^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} g(\xi_\epsilon, \nabla v_\epsilon) \rho \, d\mu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} g(\xi, \nabla v) \rho \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } \rho \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

Moreover, if $v_\epsilon, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} g(\xi_\epsilon, \nabla v_\epsilon) \, d\mu \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} g(\xi, \nabla v) \, d\mu.$$

Lemma 2

Let V be a reflexive separable Banach space and (T_ϵ) be a sequence of linear operators $T_\epsilon: V \rightarrow V'$ that is uniformly bounded and coercive, i.e. there exists $C > 0$ (independent of ϵ) such that the operator norm of T_ϵ is bounded by C and

$$\langle T_\epsilon v, v \rangle_{V', V} \geq \frac{1}{C} \|v\|_V^2 \quad \text{for all } v \in V. \quad (3)$$

Then there exists a subsequence (not relabeled) (T_ϵ) and a linear bounded operator $T_0: V \rightarrow V'$ satisfying (3) such that that is for all $f \in V'$ we have

$$T_\epsilon^{-1} f \rightharpoonup T_0^{-1} f \quad \text{weakly in } V.$$

Proposition 3.1 (H -compactness on small balls)

Let $(\mathbb{L}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{M}(M, \lambda, \Lambda)$ and let $B_x(r)$ with $r < \text{inj}(x)$. Then there exists $\mathbb{L}_0 \in \mathcal{M}(B_x(r/2), \lambda, \Lambda)$ and a (not relabeled) subsequence of (\mathbb{L}_ϵ) such that

$$\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}_0 \text{ in } B_x(r/2)$$

Lemma 3 (Uniqueness, locality, invariance w.r.t. transposition)

Let $\Omega \subset M$ be open, $U \Subset \Omega$ and $\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}_0$, $\mathbb{L}'_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}'_0$ in (Ω, g, μ) .

- 1 $\mathbb{L}_\epsilon = \mathbb{L}'_\epsilon$ on $U \implies \mathbb{L}_0 = \mathbb{L}'_0$ on U μ -a.e.
- 2 $\mathbb{L}_\epsilon^* \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}_0^*$ in (Ω, g, μ) .

Lemma 4

Let $U \Subset \Omega \subset M$ and $\mathbb{L}_\epsilon, \mathbb{L}_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \lambda, \Lambda)$. Let $f_\epsilon, f_0 \in L^2(U)$ and $G_\epsilon, F_\epsilon, G_0, F_0 \in L^2(TU)$ be such that

$$\begin{cases} f_\epsilon \rightharpoonup f_0 & \text{weakly in } L^2(U), \\ G_\epsilon \rightarrow G_0 & \text{in } L^2(TU), \\ F_\epsilon \rightarrow F_0 & \text{in } L^2(TU). \end{cases}$$

Let $u_\epsilon, u_0 \in H_0^1(\omega)$ be the solutions to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\epsilon u_\epsilon &= f_\epsilon + \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{L}_\epsilon G_\epsilon) + \operatorname{div} F_\epsilon && \text{in } H^{-1}(U), \\ \mathcal{L}_0 u_0 &= f_0 + \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{L}_0 G_0) + \operatorname{div} F_0 && \text{in } H^{-1}(U). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}_0 \implies \begin{cases} u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u_0 & \text{weakly in } H_0^1(U), \\ \mathbb{L}_\epsilon \nabla u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbb{L}_0 \nabla u_0 & \text{weakly in } L^2(TU). \end{cases}$$

Approach to Proposition 3.1

Denote $2B = B_x(2r)$ and $B = B_x(r)$. Let $v^k \in C_c^\infty(B)$ be such that

$$\langle \nabla v^1(y), \dots, \nabla v^n(y) \rangle = T_y(M), \quad \forall y \in B$$

Claim 1: There exist \mathbb{L}_0 on B and $v_\epsilon^k \in H_0^1(2B)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} v_\epsilon^k \rightharpoonup v^k, & H_0^1(2B) \\ v_\epsilon^k \rightarrow v^k, & L^2(2B) \\ \mathcal{L}_\epsilon^* v_\epsilon^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0^* v^k, & H^{-1}(2B) \\ \mathbb{L}_\epsilon^* \nabla v_\epsilon^k \rightharpoonup \mathbb{L}_0^* \nabla v^k, & L^2(B) \end{cases}$$

Claim 2: $\mathcal{L}_0 = -\operatorname{div} \circ \mathbb{L}_0 \circ \nabla$ and $\mathbb{L}_\epsilon \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{L}_0$

Proof of Claim 1

Since $(\mathcal{L}_\epsilon^* u, u)_{L^2} \geq C \|u\|_{H^1(2B)}^2$, there exists $\mathcal{L}_0^* : H_0^1(2B) \rightarrow H^{-1}(2B)$ such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_\epsilon^*)^{-1} f \rightharpoonup (\mathcal{L}_0^*)^{-1} f \quad H_0^1(2B)$$

Set

$$v_\epsilon^k := (\mathcal{L}_\epsilon^*)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_0^* v^k$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} v_\epsilon^k \rightharpoonup v^k, & H_0^1(2B) \\ v_\epsilon^k \rightarrow v^k, & L^2(2B) \\ \mathbb{L}_\epsilon^* \nabla v_\epsilon^k \rightharpoonup \exists I^k, & L^2(B) \end{cases}$$

Define \mathbb{L}_0^* by

$$\mathbb{L}_0^* \nabla v^k = I^k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Proof of Claim 2

Let $U \Subset \frac{1}{2}B$. In a similar way, we find

$$(\mathcal{L}_\epsilon)^{-1} \rightharpoonup \exists(\mathcal{L}_0)^{-1} \quad \text{on } U$$

For $u \in H_0^1(U)$,

$$u_\epsilon := (\mathcal{L}_\epsilon)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_0 u \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H_0^1(U), \quad J_\epsilon := \mathbb{L}_\epsilon \nabla u_\epsilon$$

Then

$$J_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \exists J_0 \quad L^2(TU)$$

and we find

$$\operatorname{div} J_0 = \mathcal{L}_0 u$$

Proof of Claim 2 (continuation)

Observe

$$\begin{cases} u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H_0^1(U) \\ \mathbb{L}_\epsilon^* \nabla v_\epsilon^k \rightharpoonup \mathbb{L}_0^* \nabla v^k \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbb{L}_\epsilon^* \nabla v_\epsilon^k \rightarrow \operatorname{div} \mathbb{L}_0^* \nabla v^k \end{cases}$$

By Div-Curl Lemma,

$$(J_\epsilon, \rho \nabla v_\epsilon^k) = (\rho \nabla u_\epsilon, \mathbb{L}_\epsilon^* \nabla v_\epsilon^k) \rightarrow (\rho \nabla u, \mathbb{L}_0^* \nabla v^k) = (\mathbb{L}_0 \nabla u, \rho \nabla v^k)$$

On the other hand, we can prove

$$(J_\epsilon, \rho \nabla v_\epsilon^k) \rightarrow (J_0, \rho \nabla v^k)$$

Hence $J_0 = \mathbb{L}_0 \nabla u$, and (by $\operatorname{div} J_0 = \mathcal{L}_0 u$) we get

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbb{L}_0 \nabla = \mathcal{L}_0.$$

Finally, the fact $\mathbb{L}_0 \in \mathcal{M}(U, \lambda, \Lambda)$ can be proved by the uniform ellipticity of (\mathbb{L}_ϵ) and Div-Curl Lemma.