UTMS 2007-3

April 16, 2007

The standard (g, K)-modules of Sp(2, R) I - The case of principal series -

by

Takayuki ODA



UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES KOMABA, TOKYO, JAPAN

THE STANDARD (g, K)-MODULES OF $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ I – THE CASE OF PRINCIPAL SERIES –

TAKAYUKI ODA

ABSTRACT. We describe explicitly the whole structures of the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules of the standard representations of $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ obtained by parabolic induction.

INTRODUCTION

The real symplectic group of rank 2 $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ has three non-trivial standard parabolic subgroups: the minimal parabolic subgroup P_{min} , the maximal parabolic subgroup P_J associated with the long root, and the maximal parabolic subgroup P_S associated with the short root. In this paper we discuss the case of the parabolic induction with respect to the minimal parabolic subgroup P_{min} .

As far as we know, for some 'small' semisimple Lie groups G, the strucure of the standard (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module of G are completely described. The case $G = SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ is classical. And for G = SU(n, 1) it has been known from some time ago (Kraljevié [7], Nishiyama [10], Part III). Here the fact that $\pi | K$ is multiplicity-free for principal series π makes the problem quite easy. For SU(n, 1) there are very precise and deep results on spherical functions utilizing this description (*cf.* Tsuzuki [11], for example). However for 'large' groups G, we know little about the precise (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module strucures of standard representations of G.

For principal series representations π of $G = Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$, since the restriction $\pi | K$ is not multiplicity-free, the problem of complete description of their (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module structures has been thought to be difficult. Here we can find a simple but new and effective idea to overcome this difficulty.

A simple notion "simple K-modules with marking of canonical basis" is crucial, which consist of pairs (τ, B_{τ}) with τ a continuous simple K-module and B_{τ} a finite ordered set making up a canonical basis of τ . Here we consider that B_{τ} is a vector of size |B| with entires in B_{τ} .

As K-module, any standard irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module is realized as a closed subspace of $L^2(K)$ by subquotient and subrepresentation theorems of Harish-Chandra and Casselman. We want to find a natural irreducible decomposition of each isotypic component in $L^2(K)$. This is done by building-up a set of canonical basis in each isotypic component, by using *elementary functions* obtained as products of a power of the determinant of the tautological representation $K \cong U(2)$ and the entries of the symmetric tensor representations $(cf. \S 3)$. The vector of canonical basis, made of elementary functions, takes the values of some vector units at the identity e of K, and the location of the entry 1 enable us to distinct different irredicible component with marking in an isotypic component. Thus for each simple K-modules (τ, B_{τ}) with marking, the intertwining space $\operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau, \pi | K)$ has induced 'canonical basis' C_{τ} consisting of intertwing operators which map B_{τ} to a canonical basis made of elementary functions. The description of the $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ -action is equivalent to those of \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} actions. Given $i \in \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau, \pi | K)$, we have the canonically induced K-homomorphism $\tilde{i} : \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} \otimes \tau \to \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} \cdot \operatorname{Im}(i) \subset \pi | K$. The composition of \tilde{i} with the Clebsh-Gordan theorem $\mathfrak{p}_{\pm} \otimes \tau \cong \sum_{a=1}^{3} \tau_{a}$, we have three K-homomorphism

 $j_a: \tau_a \to \pi | K$. Then the association $i \mapsto j_a$ defines a linear map

$$\Gamma_a : \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau, \pi | K) \to \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau_a, \pi | K)$$

vector spaces with markings C_{τ} and C_{τ_a} respectively for each a (see §4).

In order to determine Γ_a , the remaining task is to compute the values at e of derivations of elementary functions with respect to \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} in $\pi | K \subset L^2(K)$. The computation is a bit tedious but not difficult. (*cf.* §5, Proof of Theorems 5.2.A and 5.2.B).

The same idea is applied to investigate the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module structures of principal series of other groups, around the minimal K-type to get explicit formulae of some spherical functions, say, Whittaker functions ([8]).

The results of this paper include some results in [9] as a small part. Hence logically speaking it is fundamental to get the differential equations in Iida [4], Ishii [6, 5] for the odd principal series of $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$, which are formerly utilized the result of [9].

Here is the outline of this paper: In §0, we recall the classical case $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ shortly. The structure and notation of $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ and related subgroups and the corresponding Lie algebras are recalled in §1. We have to recall basic facts on finite dimensional continuous simple K-modules in §2. The concept of marking for simple K-modules given by Definition 2.1 is crucial in this paper. In §3, we construct *elementary functions* to describe the irreducible decomposition of $L^2(K)$ completely explicitly. The definition and K-types of the principal series representations of $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ is given in §4. §5 is the main body of the paper. We define the notion of *contiguous relations* abstractly in the subsection (5.1). The remaining subsections are devoted for explicit computation of the objets considered here. The main theorems are in the subsection (5.5) as Theorems 5.2.A and 5.2.B. The examples given in §6 cover the part of the peripheral K-types.

The author thanks to Mr. Tadashi Miyazaki for correction of many typos in the preliminary draft and for a suggestion to make the proof of Theorems 5.2.A and B shorter.

0. The standard (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules of $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$

We start with a short review of the most classical case, i.e., the case of the group $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$.

0.1. The principal series. We write

$$G_0 = SL(2, \mathbf{R}), \ K_0 = SO(2), \quad N_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$
$$A_0 = \left\{ a_0 = \operatorname{diag}(r, r^{-1}) \mid r \in \mathbf{R}_{>0} \right\}, \quad M_0 = \left\{ \operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \mid \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\} \right\}$$

For a character σ in $\hat{M}_0 = \{\sigma_0(=1_{M_0}), \sigma_1\}$ of M_0 and a linear form $\nu_0 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathbf{C})$ $(\mathfrak{a}_0 = Lie(A_0))$, the Hilbert space of the principal series representation is defined as

$$H_{(\nu_0,\sigma)} = \{ f: G_0 \to \mathbf{C} | f(n_0 m_0 a_0 x) = \sigma(m_0) e^{(\nu_0 + \rho_0)(\log(a_0))} f(x), \\ n_0 \in N_0, m_0 \in M_0, a_0 \in A_0, x \in G_0, \text{ and } f | K \in L^2(K_0) \}.$$

We have the irreducible decomposition of the K_0 -module $L^2(K_0)$:

$$L^2(K_0) = \hat{\oplus}_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C} \chi_m$$

where

$$\chi_m: k_0 = r_\theta \in K_0 \mapsto e^{im\theta} \in \mathbf{C}$$

Then we have the natural identification:

$$H_{(\nu_0,\sigma)} \cong \begin{cases} \hat{\oplus}_{m \in 2\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}\chi_m & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma_0; \\ \hat{\oplus}_{m \in 2\mathbf{Z}+1} \mathbf{C}\chi_m & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma_1. \end{cases}$$

Recall that

$$w\chi_m = \sqrt{-1}m\chi_m$$
 for $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and the commutation relations

$$[w, x_{\pm}] = \pm 2\sqrt{-1}x_{\pm} \text{ for } x_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm i \\ \pm i & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And also use the Iwasawa decomposition:

$$x_{\pm} = \pm 2\sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + H_{12} \mp \sqrt{-1}w$$

with $H_{12} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$. Then we have the following.

Proposition 0.1. (0) $x_+\chi_m \in \mathbf{C}\chi_{m+2}$ and $x_-\chi_m \in \mathbf{C}\chi_{m-2}$. (i) $x_+\chi_m = (\nu_0 + \rho_0 + m)\chi_{m+2}$; (ii) $x_-\chi_m = (\nu_0 + \rho_0 - m)\chi_{m-2}$.

0.1.1. The discrete series as sub-quotients of the principal series.

Proposition 0.2. (i) If $\nu_0 + 1 = k$, there is an injective homomorphism $D_k^{\pm} \subset \pi_{(k-1)}$ of (\mathfrak{g}_0, K_0) modues. Moreover the quotient (\mathfrak{g}_0, K_0) -modules $\pi_{(k-1)}/(D_k^+ \oplus D_k^-)$ is of dimension k-1. Note that we have $sgn(\sigma) = (-1)^k$. (ii) If $\nu_0 = -(k-1)$, then

$$x_+\chi_{k-2} = 0$$
 and $x_-\chi_{-(k-2)} = 0$

Moreover the k-1 dimensional space F_{k-2} generated by

$$\{\chi_m | m = -(k-2), m = -(k-2) + 2, \cdots, m = k-2\}$$

is the space of the symmetric tensor representation of degree k-2 of G_0 . Moreover the quotient $\pi_{-(k-1)}/F_{k-2}$ is isomorphic to $D_k^+ \oplus D_k^-$. We have $sgn(\sigma) = (-1)^k$.

The proof of Propositions (0.1) and (0.2) are found in any introductory book on the theory of representations of $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$, or general theory of representations of real reductive groups (see for example, Wallach [2], §5.6).

In this paper, we are going to show the analogue of Proposition (0.1) for $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ (*cf.* Theorems 5.2 A and 5.2 B).

1. The structure of $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$

1.1. **Basic objects.** We use the case n = 2, but start from general n. Our whole group is

$$G = Sp(n; \mathbf{R}) := \{ g \in M_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) | {}^{t}gJ_{n}g = J_{n}, \det(g) = 1 \},\$$

where $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This is a split simple group of rank *n* of type C_n . We fix a maximal compact group *K* by

$$K := G \cap O(2n) = \{g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} | A + \sqrt{-1}B \in U(n)\}$$

once for all, which is also defined by using the Cartan involution $\theta: g \in G \mapsto {}^tg^{-1}$ as

$$K = G^{\theta} = \{g \in G | g^{\theta} = g\}.$$

Any maximal compact subgroup of G is conjugate to this standard one. The associated Lie algebras are given by

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{ X \in M_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) | {}^t X J_n + J_n X = 0, \operatorname{tr}(X) = 0 \}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{ X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} | A + \sqrt{-1}B \in \mathfrak{u}(n) \}.$$

Writing $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}^{-\theta} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} | {}^t X^{\theta} = -X\}$ we have a Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{p}.$$

1.2. **Iwasawa decomposition.** We denote by e_{ij} the matrix unit in $M_n(\mathbf{C})$ with entry 1 at (i, j)-th component and 0 at other entries. Also by E_{ij} the matrix unit in $M_{2n}(\mathbf{C})$.

For $x = {}^{t}x \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ we set

$$p_{\pm}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & \pm\sqrt{-1}x \\ \pm\sqrt{-1}x & -x \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} the images of p_{\pm} , respectively. Either of \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} is stable under the adjoint action of K, and the action $Ad_{\mathfrak{p}_{\pm}}$ of the element $\frac{1+\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}$ in the center $Z(K) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ of K defines a complex structure. The homomorphism of groups $\kappa : U(n) \to K$ is the inverse of

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \in K \mapsto A + \sqrt{-1}B \in U(n).$$

The induced homomorphisms of their Lie algebras and of their complexifications are denoted by the same symbol κ .

Lemma 1.1. Put $E_{2e_i} = E_{i,i+n}$, $E_{e_i+e_j} = E_{i,j+n} + E_{j,i+n}$, $E_{e_i-e_j} = E_{i,j} - E_{j+n,i+n}$, and $H_{i,n+i} = E_{i,i} - E_{n+i,n+i}$. Then we have $p_{\pm}(e_{ii}) = \pm 2\sqrt{-1}E_{2e_i} + H_{i,n+i} \pm \kappa(e_{ii})$

$$p_{\pm}(\frac{e_{ij} + e_{ji}}{2}) = (E_{e_i - e_j} \pm \sqrt{-1}E_{e_i + e_j}) \begin{cases} +\kappa(e_{ji}) & \text{if } (+) \\ -\kappa(e_{ij}) & \text{if } (-) \end{cases}$$

Proof We can show this by direct computation. \Box

1.3. New Notation for n = 2. When n = 2 we use the following notation. Notation We write

$$X_{\pm,ii} := p_{\pm}(e_{ii}) \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

and

$$X_{\pm,12} := p_{\pm}(\frac{e_{12} + e_{21}}{2}).$$

Then we have

 $\mathfrak{p}_{+} = \mathbf{C}X_{+,11} \oplus \mathbf{C}X_{+,12} \oplus \mathbf{C}X_{+,22}, \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}_{-} = \mathbf{C}X_{-,11} \oplus \mathbf{C}X_{-,12} \oplus \mathbf{C}X_{-,22}$ to get $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{p}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{-}$. Then Iwasawa decomposition tells that

$$X_{\pm,ii} = \pm 2\sqrt{-1}E_{2e_i} + H_{i,i+2} \pm \kappa(e_{ii}),$$

and

$$X_{+,12} = E_{e_1-e_2} + \sqrt{-1}E_{e_1+e_2} + \kappa(e_{21}), \quad X_{-,12} = E_{e_1-e_2} - \sqrt{-1}E_{e_1+e_2} - \kappa(e_{12}).$$
 Here

$$E_{e_1-e_2} = E_{12} - E_{43}$$
, and $E_{e_1+e_2} = E_{14} + E_{23}$

1.4. The action of K on \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} . We denote the isomorphism between U(2) and K and the associated isomorphism between their Lie algebras or the complexified Lie algebras by

$$\kappa: A + \sqrt{-1}B \in U(2), \text{ or } \in \mathfrak{u}(2)_{\mathbf{C}} \to \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \in K, \text{ or } \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Here $A, B \in M_2(\mathbf{C})$. Via κ , U(2) or $\mathfrak{u}(2)_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ acts on \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} through the adjoint action of K on \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} . For $p_{\pm}(x)$ $(x = {}^t x \in M_2(\mathbf{C}))$ this reads that

$$\kappa(A + \sqrt{-1}B) \cdot p_{\pm}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & \pm\sqrt{-1}x \\ \pm\sqrt{-1}x & -x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$
$$= p_{\pm}((A \pm \sqrt{-1}B)x^{t}(A \pm \sqrt{-1}B)).$$

Passing to the Lie algebra we have the following.

Lemma 1.2. For \mathfrak{p}_+ we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \kappa(e_{11})X_{+,11}=2X_{+,11}; & \kappa(e_{11})X_{+,12}=X_{+,12}; & \kappa(e_{11})X_{+,22}=0. \\ \kappa(e_{12})X_{+,11}=0; & \kappa(e_{12})X_{+,12}=X_{+,11}; & \kappa(e_{12})X_{+,22}=2X_{+,12}. \\ \kappa(e_{21})X_{+,11}=2X_{+,12}; & \kappa(e_{21})X_{+,12}=X_{+,22}; & \kappa(e_{21})X_{+,22}=0. \\ \kappa(e_{22})X_{+,11}=0; & \kappa(e_{22})X_{+,12}=X_{+,12}; & \kappa(e_{22})X_{+,22}=2X_{+,22}. \end{array}$$

And for \mathfrak{p}_{-} we have

$$\begin{split} &\kappa(e_{11})X_{-,11} = -2X_{-,11}; \quad \kappa(e_{11})X_{-,12} = X_{-,12}; \quad \kappa(e_{11})X_{-,22} = 0. \\ &\kappa(e_{12})X_{-,11} = -2X_{-,12}; \quad \kappa(e_{12})X_{-,12} = -X_{-,22}; \quad \kappa(e_{12})X_{-,22} = 0. \\ &\kappa(e_{21})X_{-,11} = 0; \quad \kappa(e_{21})X_{-,12} = -X_{-,11}; \quad \kappa(e_{21})X_{-,22} = -2X_{-,12}. \\ &\kappa(e_{22})X_{-,11} = 0; \quad \kappa(e_{22})X_{-,12} = X_{-,12}; \quad \kappa(e_{22})X_{-,22} = -2X_{-,22}. \end{split}$$

Proof By direct computation. \Box

2. K-modules

2.1. The canonical basis for simple K-modules. Since K is a compact group, any irreducible continuous representation (τ, W_{τ}) of K is of finite dimesion, and unitary. We refer to such (τ, W_{τ}) as a *simple K-module*. Since K is a connected Lie group, the category of continuous finite dimensional representations of \mathfrak{k} is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$. Since the complexification $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of \mathfrak{k} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbf{C})$, the set of isomorphism classes of simple \mathfrak{k} -modules is parametrized by the set $L_K^+ = \{(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^2, l_1 \geq l_2\}$ of dominant integral weights of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbf{C})$.

Each irreducible representation, or simple module $\tau_{(m_{12},m_{22})}$ of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbf{C})$ associated with the dominant weight (m_{12},m_{22}) has a basis parametrized by the Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns $M = \binom{m_{12}}{m_{11}} (m_{12} \ge m_{11} \ge m_{22})$.

Proposition 2.1. There exists a basis ${f(M)}_{M \in GZ(m_{12},m_{22})}$ in $\tau_{(m_{12},m_{22})}$ such that

$$e_{11}f(M) = m_{11}f(M);$$

$$e_{22}f(M) = (m_{12} - m_{11} + m_{22})f(M);$$

$$e_{12}f(M) = (m_{12} - m_{11})f(M_{+1});$$

$$e_{21}f(M) = (m_{11} - m_{22})f(M_{-1}).$$

with respect to the simple roots $e_{i,i+1}, e_{i+1,i}$ (i = 1, 2) in $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Here $M_{+1} = \binom{* *}{m_{11}+1}, M_{-1} = \binom{* *}{m_{11}-1}$ for $M = \binom{* *}{m_{11}}$.

Proof This is well-known and classical fact. \Box

Definition 2.1 A simple K-module τ equipped with a canonical basis is called a marked simple module or a simple K-module with marking.

Note that the choice of a canonical basis in a simple K-module is unique up to scalar multiple by Schur's Lemma. The same lemma implies that if there is an isomorphism between K-simple modules with marking then it is unique strictly (not up to scalar). In particular the only automorphism of a simple K-module with marking is the identity map.

2.2. The K-modules $Ad_{p_{\pm}}$.

Lemma 2.2. Up to scalar multiple there are identifications between natural basis: (i) For the isomorphism $\mathfrak{p}_+ \cong \tau_{(2,0)}$ of K-modules,

$$X_{+,11} = f\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{+,12} = f\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{+,22} = f\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(ii) For the isomorphism $\mathfrak{p}_{-} \cong \tau_{(0,-2)}$ of K-modules,

$$X_{-,22} = f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{-,12} = -f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{-,11} = f\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof By direct computation. \Box

Remark The above lemma tells that

 $(\mathfrak{p}_+, \{X_{+,11}, X_{+,12}, X_{+,22}\})$ and $(\mathfrak{p}_-, \{X_{-,11}, -X_{-,12}, X_{-,22}\})$

are simple K-modules with marking. From now on we always take these marking for $\mathfrak{p}_\pm.$

2.3. The symmetric tensor representations of K. Given a positive integer d, we define a square matrix $\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(S(k))$ of degree d + 1 associated with S(k) as follows.

For two independent variables U, V we define two linear forms by

 $U' = s_{11}U + s_{21}V$ and $V' = s_{12}U + s_{22}V$,

or equivalently by

$$(U',V') = (U,V) \cdot S(k).$$

Then by using homogeneous forms $\{(U')^{d-i}(V')^i\}_{0\leq i\leq d}$ of degree d, we define a $(d+1)\times (d+1)$ matrix $\operatorname{Sym}^d(S(k))$ by

$$((U')^{d}, \cdots, (U')^{d-i}(V')^{i}, \cdots, (V')^{d}) = (U^{d}, \cdots, U^{d-i}V^{i}, \cdots, V^{d}) \cdot \operatorname{Sym}^{d}(S(k)).$$

Here is a description of the (i, j)-th entry $(0 \le i, j \le d)$ of $\text{Sym}^d(S(k))$.

Lemma 2.3. By the symbols $(a_1, \dots, a_d), (b_1, \dots, b_d)$ we denote the sequences of the elements in the set $\{1, 2\}$ with length d. For given j, we fix a sequence $(1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, 2)$ with 1 in the first d - j entries and 2 at the remaining j entries. For given i, we denote by Sh(d - i, i) the set of all (d - i, i)-shuffles of two sets $\{1, \dots, \}$ of cardinality d - i and $\{2, \dots, \}$ of cardinality i. Obviously the cardinality of Sh(d - i, i)is $\binom{d}{i}$. Then the (i, j)-th entry of $Sym^d(S(k))$ is given by

$$\sum_{(a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_d)\in Sh(d-i,i), (b_1,\cdots,b_d)=(1\times(d-j),2\times j)} s_{a_1,b_1}\cdots s_{a_d,b_d}.$$

Proof The proof is a high-school mathematics. \Box

The d + 1 entries of each row vector of $\text{Sym}^d(S(k))$ make a canonical basis of a simple subspace in $L^2(K)$ with highest weight (d, 0). In fact the intertwining property

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(S(x \cdot k)) = \operatorname{Sym}^{d}(S(x))\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(S(k)) \quad (x, k \in K)$$

implies that the entries of the each row generates a simple submodule of type (d, 0) and the fact that this is proportional to the caninical basis is checked directly.

Definition We define a d+1 column vectors $\{\mathbf{s}_i^{(d)}\}_{0\leq i\leq d}$ of d+1 elementary functions by

^tSym^d(S(k)) = (
$$\mathbf{s}_{0}^{(d)}, \mathbf{s}_{1}^{(d)}, \cdots, \mathbf{s}_{d}^{(d)}$$
).

Notation (matrices of elementary functions) For even d, we set

$$\mathbf{S}_{[0,2,\cdots,d]}^{(d)} = (\mathbf{s}_0^{(d)}, \mathbf{s}_2^{(d)}, \cdots, \mathbf{s}_d^{(d)})$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{[1,3,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)} = (\mathbf{s}_1^{(d)}, \mathbf{s}_3^{(d)}, \cdots, \mathbf{s}_{d-1}^{(d)}).$$

For odd d, we set

$$\mathbf{S}_{[0,2,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)} = (\mathbf{s}_0^{(d)}, \mathbf{s}_2^{(d)}, \cdots, \mathbf{s}_{d-1}^{(d)})$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{[1,3,\cdots,d]}^{(d)} = (\mathbf{s}_1^{(d)}, \mathbf{s}_3^{(d)}, \cdots, \mathbf{s}_d^{(d)}).$$

For a column vector $t(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d)$ of size d + 1, we define *-operator by

$$\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} a_d \\ -a_{d-1} \\ a_{d-2} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^d a_0 \end{array} \right).$$

Also for the matrix $\bar{\mathbf{S}}^{(d)}_{[d,\cdots,0]}$ we set

$$*\bar{\mathbf{S}}_{[d,\cdots,0]}^{(d)} = (*\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{d}^{(d)},\cdots,\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{0}^{(d)}).$$

Then we have a relation $*\bar{\mathbf{S}}_{[d,\cdots,0]}^{(d)} = d(k)^{-d} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}$.

Lemma 2.4. (Maching with the canonical basis) Let $\langle \mathbf{s}_i^{(d)} \rangle$ be the simple K-module generated by the functions in the entries of the vector $\mathbf{s}_i^{(d)}$ for each $i \ (0 \leq i \leq d$. Then there is a (strictly) unique isomorphism of K-modules from this to $\tau_{(d,0)}$ which maps the (a+1)-th entry of $\mathbf{s}_i^{(d)}$ to the canonical basis $f\begin{pmatrix} d, & 0 \\ a \end{pmatrix}$ in $\tau_{(d,0)}$.

Proof The proof is done by direct computation, utilizing Lemma (3.1) and the Leibniz rule. \Box

2.4. Irreducible decomposition of $\tau_{(2,0)} \otimes \tau_{(d,0)}$. In later sections, we need irreducible decomposition of the tensor product $\mathfrak{p}_{\pm} \otimes \tau_{(l_1,l_2)}$ as *K*-modules. Since $\mathfrak{p}_+ \cong \tau_{(2,0)}, \mathfrak{p}_- \cong \tau_{(0,-2)} \cong \tau_{(2,0)}[-2]$ and $\tau_{(l_1,l_2)} \cong \tau_{(l_1-l_2,0)}[l_2]$, it suffices to consider only the irreducible decomposition of $\tau_{(2,0)} \otimes \tau_{(d,0)}$.

As we know, Clebsh-Gordan theorem tells that

$$\tau_{(2,0)} \otimes \tau_{(d,0)} \cong \tau_{(d,2)} \oplus \tau_{(d+1,1)} \oplus \tau_{(d+2,0)}.$$

Here the factor $\tau_{(d,2)}$ or $\tau_{(d+1,1)}$ is dropped if d < 2 or d+1 < 1 respectively. What we want to have is an explicit description of the injective K-homomorphism, which is unique up to scalar multiple,

$$\tau_{(d,2)} \subset \tau_{(2,0)} \otimes \tau_{(d,0)}, \tau_{(d+1,1)} \subset \tau_{(2,0)} \otimes \tau_{(d,0)} \text{ and } \tau_{(d+2,2)} \subset \tau_{(2,0)} \otimes \tau_{(d,0)}$$

in terms of the canonical basis

Lemma 2.5. (i) The image $\{f'\binom{d, 2}{a}\}_{2 \le a \le d}$ of the canonical basis $\{f\binom{d, 2}{a}\}_{2 \le a \le d}$ with respect to $\tau_{(d,2)} \subset \tau_{(2,0)} \otimes \tau_{(d,0)}$ is given by

$$f'\binom{d,2}{a} = f\binom{2,0}{2} \otimes f\binom{d,0}{a-2} - 2f\binom{2,0}{1} \otimes f\binom{d,0}{a-1} + f\binom{2,0}{0} \otimes f\binom{d,0}{a}.$$

(ii) The image $\{f'\binom{d+1,\ 1}{a}\}_{1\leq a\leq d+1}$ of the canonical basis $\{f\binom{d+1,\ 1}{a}\}_{1\leq a\leq d+1}$ with respect to $\tau_{(d+1,1)} \subset \tau_{(2,0)} \otimes \tau_{(d,0)}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f'\binom{d+1,\ 1}{a} &=& \frac{a-1}{d} & f\binom{2,\ 0}{2} \otimes f\binom{d,\ 0}{a-2} \\ &+& \frac{d+2-2a}{d} & f\binom{2,\ 0}{1} \otimes f\binom{d,\ 0}{a-1} \\ &+& \frac{a-d-1}{d} & f\binom{2,\ 0}{0} \otimes f\binom{d,\ 0}{a}. \end{array}$$

(iii) The image $\{f'\binom{d+2, \ 0}{a}\}_{0 \le a \le d+2}$ of the canonical basis $\{f\binom{d+2, \ 0}{a}\}_{0 \le a \le d+2}$ with respect to $\tau_{(d+2,0)} \subset \tau_{(2,0)} \otimes \tau_{(d,0)}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{lll} f'\binom{d+2,\ 0}{a} &=& \frac{a(a-1)}{(d+2)(d+1)} & f\binom{2,\ 0}{2} \otimes f\binom{d,\ 0}{a-2} \\ &+& \frac{2a(d+2-a)}{(d+2)(d+1)} & f\binom{2,\ 0}{1} \otimes f\binom{d,\ 0}{a-1} \\ &+& \frac{(d+2-a)(d+1-a)}{(d+2)(d+1)} & f\binom{2,\ 0}{0} \otimes f\binom{d,\ 0}{a} \end{array}$$

for $0 \le a \le d+2$.

Proof One can confirm this by direct computation using Proposition (2.1). \Box

3. Constituents in $L^2(K)$

In later sections, the representation spaces of standard representations of G is naturally identified with a subsapce of L^2 . Therefore we have to analyse $L^2(K)$, which is a $K \times K$ bimodule by

$$f(x) \mapsto f(k_1^{-1}xk_2) \quad (f \in L^2(K), \ (k_1, k_2) \in K \times K).$$

Let \hat{K} be the unitary dual of K, i.e., the set of unitary equivalence classes of finite dimensional irreducible continuous representations of K. Then the Peter-Weyl theorem tells that there is a decomposition of $K \times K$ -bimodules

$$L^2(K) = \widehat{\oplus}_{\tau \in \widehat{K}} \tau^* \boxtimes \tau.$$

Here $\tau^* \boxtimes \tau$ is the outer tensor product of τ and its contragradient representation τ^* . We construct each factor $\tau^* \boxtimes \tau$ explicitly in this subsection.

Let (l_1, l_2) be the dominant weight which is the highest weight of each τ . Then we may rewrite

$$L^{2}(K) = \widehat{\oplus}_{(l_{1}, l_{2}) \in L^{+}} \tau^{*}_{(l_{1}, l_{2})} \boxtimes \tau_{(l_{1}, l_{2})}.$$

Thus we have to know each factor $\tau^*_{(l_1,l_2)} \boxtimes \tau_{(l_1,l_2)}$.

Note here that the representation $\tau_{(1,0)}$ is the tautological representation $K \to U(2) \subset GL(2, \mathbb{C}), \tau_{(1,1)}$ is its determinant representation. Moreover each $\tau_{(l_1,l_2)} \cong \tau_{(l_2,l_2)} \otimes \tau_{(l_1-l_2,0)}$ is the tensor product of $\tau_{(1,1)}^{\otimes l_2}$ and the symmetric tensor representation $Sym^{(l_1-l_2)}$ of the standard representation.

Let us start with small constituents:

$$\tau^*_{(l_1, l_2)} \boxtimes \tau_{(l_1, l_2)} \quad ((l_1, l_2) = (1, 0), (0, -1), (2, 0), (0, -2).$$

Let

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \in K \mapsto S(x) = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}(x) & s_{12}(x) \\ s_{21}(x) & s_{22}(x) \end{pmatrix} = A + \sqrt{-1}B \in U(2)$$

be the tautological representation. Then 4 entries $\{s_{ij}(x)\}$ constitute a basis of the space $\tau_{(0,-1)} \boxtimes \tau_{(1,0)}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\kappa : \mathfrak{u}(2)_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the differential of $\kappa : U(2) \cong K$. Then the right regular action of $M_2(\mathbf{C}) = \mathfrak{u}(2)_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ on $\{s_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2}$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \kappa(e_{11})s_{i1}=s_{i1}, & \kappa(e_{11})s_{i2}=0 & (i=1,2); \\ \kappa(e_{22})s_{i1}=0, & \kappa(e_{22})s_{i2}=s_{i2} & (i=1,2); \\ \kappa(e_{12})s_{i1}=0, & \kappa(e_{12})s_{i2}=s_{i1} & (i=1,2); \\ \kappa(e_{21})s_{i1}=s_{i2}, & \kappa(e_{21})s_{i2}=0 & (i=1,2). \end{array}$$

The contragradient representation $\tau_{(0,-1)}$ of the tautological representation $\tau_{(1,0)}$ is the complex conjugation of $\tau_{(1,0)}$.

Lemma 3.2. (The dual of the tautological representation) For $\{\bar{s}_{ij}\}$ we have the following:

$\kappa(e_{11})\bar{s}_{i1} = -\bar{s}_{i1},$	$\kappa(e_{11})\bar{s}_{i2} = 0$	(i = 1, 2);
$\kappa(e_{22})\bar{s}_{i1} = 0,$	$\kappa(e_{22})\bar{s}_{i2} = -\bar{s}_{i2}$	(i = 1, 2);
$\kappa(e_{12})\bar{s}_{i1} = -\bar{s}_{i2},$	$\kappa(e_{12})\bar{s}_{i2} = 0$	(i = 1, 2);
$\kappa(e_{21})\bar{s}_{i1} = 0,$	$\kappa(e_{21})\bar{s}_{i2} = -\bar{s}_{i1}$	(i = 1, 2).

Proofs The above two Lemmata are proved by direct comptation. Now let us discuss the case of general (l_1, l_2) :

$$\tau^*_{(l_1, l_2)} \boxtimes \tau_{(l_1, l_2)}$$
 in $L^2(K)$

Notation Put $\Delta = \det S(k)$, and let $\langle \mathbf{s}_i^{(d)} \Delta^m \rangle$ be the subspace of functions in $L^{2}(K)$ generated by the (d+1) entries of the vector $\mathbf{s}_{i}^{(d)}\Delta^{m} = \Delta^{m}\mathbf{s}_{i}^{(d)}$ of elementary functions on K.

Proposition 3.3. (i) For each i $(0 \le i \le d)$ the space $< \mathbf{s}_i^{(l_1-l_2)} \Delta^{l_2} > is$ a simple K-module with dominant weight $(l_1, l_2) \in L_K^+$. Moreover the vector $\mathbf{s}_i^{(l_1-l_2)} \Delta^{l_2}$ is a vector of canonical basis in this space. (ii) The sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l_1-l_2} < \mathbf{s}_i^{(d)} \Delta^{l_2} >$$

is a direct sum generating the $\tau_{(l_1,l_2)}$ -isotypic component $\tau^*_{(l_1,l_2)} \boxtimes \tau_{(l_1,l_2)}$ in the right K-modules $L^2(K)$.

(iii) The value at the identity $e \in K$ of the vector $\mathbf{s}_i^{(l_1-l_2)} \Delta^{l_2}$ is the (i+1)-th unit vector $t(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Proof The statements (i) and (ii) are classical facts. The claim (iii) follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(e)$ is the identity matrix of size d+1 and $\Delta(e)=1$.

Definition The marking on $\langle \mathbf{s}_i^{(l_1-l_2)} \Delta^{l_2} \rangle$ in $L^2(K)$ specified by (i) of the above lemma is called the marking by elementary functions.

4. The principal series representions and their K-types

4.1. Definition of the principal series representations. In the beginning we have to recall the standard minimal parabolic subgroup P_{min} in $G = Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$. Since G is a split group, this is also a Borel subgroup with split Cartan subgroup T(A) with identity component

$$A = A_{min} = \{ \operatorname{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}) | a_i \in \mathbf{R}_{>0} \}.$$

The unipotent radical of P_{min} is given by $N_{min} = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{min})$ with

$$\mathfrak{n}_{min} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \{2e_1, 2e_2, e_1 - e_2, e_1 + e_2\}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$$

Here for the simple roots $\{e_1 - e_2, 2e_2\}$ in the positive root system $\{2e_1, 2e_2, e_1 - e_2, e_1 + e_2\}$, we put

$$\mathfrak{g}_{e_1-e_2} = \mathbf{R}(E_{12} - E_{43})$$
 and $\mathfrak{g}_{2e_2} = \mathbf{R}E_{24}$.

To specify a quasi-character $e^{\nu} : A \to \mathbf{C}^*$ of A, we have to choose its logarithm $\nu \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{C}) = \mathfrak{a} *_{\mathbf{C}}$. Here $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{Lie}(A)$. For

$$\log a = \operatorname{diag}(\log a_1, \log a_2, -\log a_1, -\log a_2) = \operatorname{diag}(t_1, t_2, -t_1, -t_2)$$

with $t_i = \log a_i \in \mathbf{R}$ (i = 1, 2), we define the coordinates $(\nu_1, \nu_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ of ν by $\nu(\log a) = \nu_1 t_1 + \nu_2 t_2$. Then the half sum ρ of the postive roots

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \{ 2e_1 + 2e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) + (e_1 + e_2) \} = 2e_1 + e_2$$

has the coordinates (2, 1).

We also have to prepare another data, i.e., a character
$$\sigma$$
 of

$$M = Z_A(K) = T(A) \cap K = \{ \operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) | \varepsilon_i \in \mu_2 = \{\pm 1\} \ (i = 1, 2) \} \cong (\mathbf{Z}/(2))^{\oplus 2}$$

The outer tensor product of the quasi-character $e^{\nu+\rho}$ of A and $\sigma \in \hat{M}$ defines a 1-dimensional representation of the product AM and which is in turn extended to P_{min} via the natural surjection $P_{min} \to AM = P_{min}/N_{min}$.

With these data (σ, ν) given above, the parabolic induction

$$\pi_{(P_{min};\sigma,\nu)} := \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{min}}^G (\sigma \otimes e^{\nu+\rho})$$

is a Hilbert representation of G by the right quasiregular action on the Hilbert space

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\pi} &:= \{ f: G \to \mathbf{C}, \text{locally integrable} \\ | f(nmax) &= \sigma(m) e^{\nu + \rho}(a) f(x), \quad x \in G, n \in N_{min}, m \in M, a \in A \\ \int_{K} |f(k)|^2 dk < \infty \}. \end{aligned}$$

with inner product

$$(f_1, f_2) = \int_K f_1(k) \bar{f}_2(k) dk.$$

Here dk is the Haar measure of K.

Definition The principal series representation $\pi_{(P_{min};\sigma,\nu)}$ is called *even*, if $\sigma(-1_4) = +1$, and *odd*, if $\sigma(-1_4) = -1$.

4.2. Canonical basis in the subpace $H_{\pi,K}$ of K-finite vectors. Restricting each function f in H_{π} to the subgroup K, we have an element in $L^2(K)$. Thus H_{π} is identified with a subspace of $L^2(K)$.

Proposition 4.1. (i) By the restriction map to K, the Hilbert space $H_{P_{min},\sigma,\nu}$ is identified with a closed subspace of $L^2(K)$:

$$\begin{aligned} L^2_{(M,\sigma)}(K) &:= \{ \quad f: K \to \mathbf{C} \ in \ L^2(K) \quad | \\ f(mx) &= \sigma(m) f(x) \ for \ a.e. \ m \in M, x \in K \}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Moreover as a unitary representation of K, it has an irreducible decomposition :

$$L^2_{(M,\sigma)}(K) \cong \hat{\oplus}_{\tau \in \hat{K}} \{ (\tau^* | M) [\sigma] \boxtimes \tau \}.$$

Here $(\tau^*|M)[\sigma]$ is the $[\sigma]$ -isotypic component in $(\tau^*|M)$, which is considered as a trivial K-module here.

Proof The first claim is well-known fact. The second follows from the irreducible decomposition of $L^2(K)$ and the definition of $L^2_{(M,\sigma)}(K)$.

Definition When $\sigma(-1_4) = +1$, the principal series representation $\pi_{(P_{min};\sigma,\nu)}$ is called *even*; otherwise, i.e., if $\sigma(-1_4) = -1$, it is called *odd*.

5. The shifts of K-types and contiguous relations

This section is the main result of this paper. We explain our problem conceptullay in the first subsection. After that in the following sections, we compute the necessary data explicitly.

5.1. General setting. The K-finite part $H_{\pi,K}$ of the representation space H_{π} of the principal series π is also a \mathfrak{k} -module. Because of the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, in order to describe the action of $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ or $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ it suffices to investigate the action of \mathfrak{p} or $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{p}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{-}$. Here \mathfrak{p}_{+} and \mathfrak{p}_{-} are the holomorphic part and antiholomorpic part of $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{C}}$, respectively.

Given a non-zero K-homomorphism $i : \tau = \tau_{(l_1, l_2)} \subset H_{\pi, K}$ from a simple Kmodule τ to $H_{\pi, K}$. Then the subspace $\mathfrak{p}_+ \operatorname{Im}(i)$ in $H_{\pi, K}$ is the image of the canonical surjection

$$\mathfrak{p}_+ \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \tau \to \mathfrak{p}_+ \mathrm{Im}(i),$$

which is a K-homomorphism with \mathfrak{p}_+ endowed with the adjoint action Ad of K. Since $(Ad, \mathfrak{p}_+) \cong \tau_{(2,0)}$, the Clebsh-Gordan theorem implies that there are three injective K-homomorphisms

$$i_a: \tau_a \subset \mathfrak{p}_+ \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \tau \quad (a = 1, 2, 3)$$

$$\tau_1 \cong \tau_{(l_1+2,l_2)}, \tau_2 \cong \tau_{(l_1+1,l_2+1)}, \tau_3 \cong \tau_{(l_1,l_2+2)}$$

for general (l_1, l_2) . Then the composition:

$$\tau_a \subset \mathfrak{p}_+ \otimes \tau \to \mathfrak{p}_+ \mathrm{Im}(i) \to H_{\pi,K}$$

gives an element $j_a \in \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau_a, H_{\pi})$ determined by $i \in \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau, H_{\pi})$. Hence we have 3 **C**-linear maps

$$\Gamma_a : \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau, H_\pi) \to \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau_a, H_\pi).$$

We replace τ 's by simple K-modules τ 's endwowed with markings of canonical basis $\{f(\binom{l_1 \ l_2}{k})\}$ in the above setting (we may say this is a kind of *rigidification*), then $\operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau, H_{\pi})$ etc have induced canonical basis derived from the distinguished set of canonical basis by the entries of the vectors $\mathbf{s}_i^{(l_1-l_2)}\Delta^{l_2}$ ($0 \le i \le l_1 - l_2$) in the τ -isotypic component $H_{\pi}([\tau])$.

Thus we have settle two problems:

Problem 5. A Describe i_a 's in terms of canonical basis.

Problem 5. B Determine the matrix representations of the linear homomorphisms

$$\Gamma_a : \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau, H_\pi) \to \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau_a, H_\pi).$$

with respect to the induced basis.

The first problem is setted in the next subsection of Dirac-Schmid operator, and the second problem is setted after that. As a result, we have infinite number of 'contiguous relation', a kind inifite system of differential-difference relations among vectors in $H_{\pi}([\tau])$'s and $H_{\pi}([\tau_a])$.

5.2. The canonical blocks of elementary functions. We define certain a matrix of elementary functions corresponding to each $\tau_{(l_1,l_2)}$ -isotypic component in our P_{min} principal series.

Definition 5.1 The following matrices are called the canonical block of elementary functions for $\tau_{(l_1,l_2)}$ -isotypic component:

When $\pi_{(P_{\min};\sigma,\nu)}$ is even, we consider the matrices

$$\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)} \Delta^{l_2} \quad \text{if } ((-1)^{l_1}, (-1)^{l_2}) = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2); \\
\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)} \Delta^{l_2} \quad \text{if } ((-1)^{l_1}, (-1)^{l_2}) = (-\varepsilon_1, -\varepsilon_2).$$

When $\pi_{(P_{\min};\sigma,\nu)}$ is odd, we consider the matrices

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)} \Delta^{l_2} & \text{if } ((-1)^{l_1},(-1)^{l_2}) = (\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2); \\ \mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d]}^{(d)} \Delta^{l_2} & \text{if } ((-1)^{l_1},(-1)^{l_2}) = (-\varepsilon_1,-\varepsilon_2). \end{split}$$

The above definition amounts to fix basis in the image of the evaluation map of the $\tau_{(l_1,l_2)}$ -isotypic compnent:

 $\tau_{(l_1,l_2)} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_K(\tau_{(l_1,l_2)},H_{\pi}) \to H_{\pi},$

compatible with the tensor product decomposition.

5.3. The chirality operators or Dirac-Schmid operators. We settle Problem 5A in this subsection. This means that we describe the injective K-homomorphisms $i_a : \tau_a \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \tau$ explicitly in term of the canonical basis. There are 6 such matrices.

5.3.1. *Construction of the operators.* Firstly we have to introduce a notation to denote various diagonal matrices in the blocks of some matrices.

Notation 5.C Let the letteres a, b, a_1, a_2 be integral variables. Given two integers c_0, c_1 such that $c_0 \leq c_1$, and let f(a) be a (polynomial or rational) function in the variable a. Then by

$$\operatorname{diag}_{c_0 < a < c_1}(f(a)),$$

we denote the diagonal matrix of size $c_1 - c_0 + 1$ with the number f(a) at the $((a-c_0)+1, (a-c_0)+1)$ -th entry. This notation is used not only to denote a single (square) matrix, but also to denote some blocks of a (non-square) matrices.

Definition 5.2 (i)+ : We define a matrix $C_{+;(-2)}$ of size $(d-1) \times (d+1)$ with entries consisting of elements in \mathfrak{p}_+ by

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(-2)} = L_0 \otimes X_{+,22} - 2L_1 \otimes X_{+,12} + L_2 \otimes X_{+,11}$$

with three constant matrices of size $(d-1) \times (d+1)$

$$L_0 := (E_{d-1}, \mathbf{0}_{(d-1)\times 1}, \mathbf{0}_{(d-1)\times 1}), L_1 := (\mathbf{0}_{(d-1)\times 1}, E_{d-1}, \mathbf{0}_{(d-1)\times 1}), L_2 := (\mathbf{0}_{(d-1)\times 1}, \mathbf{0}_{(d-1)\times 1}, E_{d-1}).$$

(ii)+: Secondly we define a matrix $C_{+;(0)}$ of size $(d + 1) \times (d + 1)$ with entries consisting of elements in \mathfrak{p}_+ by

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(0)} = -\frac{1}{d}M_0 \otimes X_{+,22} - \frac{1}{d}M_1 \otimes X_{+,12} + \frac{1}{d}M_2 \otimes X_{+,11}$$

with 3 matrices M_0, M_1, M_2 of size $(d+1) \times (d+1)$:

$$M_{0} := \begin{bmatrix} 0_{1,d} & 0\\ \mathbf{daig}_{1 \le a \le d}(a) & 0_{d,1} \end{bmatrix}, \\ M_{1} := \mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le d}(d - 2a), \\ M_{2} := \begin{bmatrix} 0_{d,1} & \mathbf{diag}_{1 \le \le d}(d + 1 - a)\\ 0 & 0_{1,d} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Remark We have a relation

$$(M_0 + M_1 + M_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ . \\ . \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

(iii)+: Thirdly we define 3 $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ diagonal matrices

$$\begin{split} N_0^{red} &= \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq d}((a+1)(a+2)), \\ N_1^{red} &= \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq d}((d+1-a)(a+1)) \end{split}$$

and

$$N_2^{red} = \mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le d}((d+1-a)(d+2-a)).$$

Then we put

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(+2)} = \frac{1}{(d+1)(d+2)} \{ N_0 \otimes X_{+,22} + 2N_1 \otimes X_{+,12} + N_2 \otimes X_{+,11} \}.$$

with

$$N_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ N_0^{red} \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ N_1^{red} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} N_2^{red} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark We have a relation

$$\frac{1}{(d+1)(d+2)} \cdot \left\{ (N_0 + 2N_1 + N_2 \right\} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Replacing the elements $X_{+,11}, X_{+,12}$ and $X_{+,22}$ by the elements $X_{-,22}, X_{-,12}$ and $X_{-,11}$ in \mathfrak{p}_{-} , we define three matrices with entries in \mathfrak{p}_{-} by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{C}_{-;(-2)} &:= L_0 \otimes X_{-,11} + 2L_1 \otimes X_{-,12} + L_2 \otimes X_{-,22} \\ \mathcal{C}_{-;(0)} &:= -\frac{1}{d} M_0 \otimes X_{-,11} + \frac{1}{d} M_1 \otimes X_{-,12} + \frac{1}{d} M_2 \otimes X_{-,22} \\ \mathcal{C}_{-;(+2)} &:= \frac{1}{(d+1)(d+2)} \{ N_0 \otimes X_{-,11} - 2N_1 \otimes X_{-,12} + N_2 \otimes X_{-,22} \}. \end{array}$$

5.3.2. Another description of the operators. The above definition of the matrix operators $C_{*;(*)}$ is a bit difficult to grasp. We give here another row-wise description to understand these matrices and for the later use in the proofs.

Observation 5.D

(i) For each a $(1 \le a \le d-1)$, the *a*-th row of the $(d+1) \times (d-1)$ matrix $C_{+;(-2)}$ is given by

$$(\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{a-1}, X_{+22}, -2X_{+12}, X_{+11}, \underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{(d-1)-a}).$$

(ii) The *a*-th row $(1 \le a \le d+1)$ of the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix $\mathcal{C}_{+;(0)}$ is given by

$$(\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{a-2},-\frac{a-1}{d}X_{+22},-\frac{d-2a+2}{d}X_{+12},\frac{d-a+1}{d}X_{+11},\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{(d-a)}).$$

Here the segment $\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{-1}$ with the negative length means that it erases the

first subsquent entry or the last proceeding entry of the middle segment of the length three of the row vector given above.

(iii) The *a*-th row $(1 \le a \le d+3)$ of the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix $\mathcal{C}_{+;(0)}$ is given by

$$\underbrace{(\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{a-3},\underbrace{(a-2)(a-1)}_{(d+1)(d+2)}X_{+22}, \quad 2\frac{(d+3-a)(a-1)}{(d+1)(d+2)}X_{+12},}_{(d+1)(d+2)}X_{+11},\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{(d+1-a)}.$$

Here the segment $\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{-m}$ with the negative length -m means that it erases

the first m subsquent entries or the last m proceeding entries of the middle segment of the length three of the row vector given above to get a row vector of length (d + 1).

(i) For each a $(1 \le a \le d-1)$, the *a*-th row of the $(d+1) \times (d-1)$ matrix $\mathcal{C}_{-;(-2)}$ is given by

$$(\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{a-1}, X_{-11}, 2X_{-12}, X_{-22}, \underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{(d-1)-a}).$$

(ii) The *a*-th row $(1 \le a \le d+1)$ of the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix $\mathcal{C}_{-;(0)}$ is given by

$$(\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{a-2},-\frac{a-1}{d}X_{-11},\frac{d-2a+2}{d}X_{-12},\frac{d-a+1}{d}X_{-22},\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{(d-a)}).$$

Here the segment $\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{-1}$ with the negative length means that it erases the

first subsquent entry or the last proceeding entry of the middle segment of the length three of the row vector given above.

(iii) The *a*-th row $(1 \le a \le d+3)$ of the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix $\mathcal{C}_{-;(0)}$ is given by

$$\underbrace{(\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{a-3},\underbrace{(a-2)(a-1)}_{(d+1)(d+2)}X_{-11}, -2\underbrace{(d+3-a)(a-1)}_{(d+1)(d+2)}X_{-12},}_{(d+1)(d+2)}X_{-12}, \underbrace{(d+2-a)(d+3-a)}_{(d+1)(d+2)}X_{-22},\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{(d+1-a)}).$$

Here the segment $\underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{-m}$ with the negative length -m means that it erases

the first m subsquent entries or the last m proceeding entries of the middle segment of the length three of the row vector given above to get a row vector of length (d + 1).

5.4. Preparation for contiguous relations. Now we can introduce the constant matrices which represent the homomorphisms Γ_a of Problem 5B.

The case of even principal series

Lemma 5.1.A (even principal series, \mathfrak{p}_+ -side) (i) (even,+): We have an equation with some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(-2);00}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{d}{2} \times (\frac{d}{2} + 1)$

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(-2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-2]}^{(d-2)}\Delta^{l_2+2}\} \cdot \Gamma_{+;(-2);00}(d,l_2).$$

Similarly we have an equation

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(-2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-3]}^{(d-2)}\Delta^{l_2+2}\} \cdot \Gamma_{+;(-2);11}(d,l_2).$$

with some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(-2);11}$ of size $(\frac{d}{2}-1) \times \frac{d}{2}$. (ii) (even,+): For some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(0);01}(d,l_2)$ and $\Gamma_{+;(0);10}(d,l_2)$ of sizes $\frac{d}{2} \times (\frac{d}{2}+1)$ and $(\frac{d}{2}+1) \times \frac{d}{2}$ respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(0)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-1]}^d\Delta^{l_2+1}\}\Gamma_{+;(0);01}(d,l_2), \quad \text{if } (-1)^d = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma),$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(0)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2+1}\}\Gamma_{+;(0);10}(d,l_2), \quad \text{if } (-1) \neq \text{sgn}(\sigma).$$

(iii) (even,+): For some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(+2);00}(d,l_2)$ of size $(\frac{d}{2}+2) \times (\frac{d}{2}+1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(+2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d+2]}^{(d+2)}\Delta^{l_2}\}\Gamma_{+;(+2);00}(d,l_2).$$

Moreover for some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(+2);11}(d, l_2)$ of size $(\frac{d}{2}+1) \times \frac{d}{2}$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(+2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d+1]}^{(d+2)}\Delta^{l_2}\}\Gamma_{+;(+2);11}(d,l_2)$$

(even case, p_- -side)

 $(d \text{ even}, \mathfrak{p}_{-})$

(i) (even,-): With some contant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(-2);00}(d,l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}d \times \frac{1}{2}(d+2)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(-2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-2]}^{(d-2)}\Delta^{l_2-2}\}\cdot\Gamma_{-;(-2);00}(d,l_2).$$

and with some contant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(-2);11}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d-2) \times \frac{1}{2}d$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(-2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-3]}^{(d-2)}\Delta^{l_2-2}\} \cdot \Gamma_{-;(-2);11}(d,l_2).$$

(ii) (even,-): For some constant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(0);00}(d, l_2)$ and $\Gamma_{-;(0);01}(d, l_2)$ of sizes $\frac{1}{2}d \times \frac{1}{2}(d+2)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(d+2) \times \frac{1}{2}d$ respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(0)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-1]}^{d}\Delta^{l_2-1}\}\Gamma_{-;(0);00}(d,l_2), \quad \text{if } (-1)^d = \text{sgn}(\sigma(\varepsilon_i)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(0)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2-1}\}\Gamma_{-;(0);01}(d,l_2), \quad \text{if } (-1) \neq \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma(\varepsilon_i)).$$

(iii) (even,-): For some constant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(+2);00}(d,l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d+4) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+2)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(+2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d+2]}^{(d+2)}\Delta^{l_2}\}\Gamma_{-;(+2);00}(d,l_2).$$

and for some constant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(+2);11}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d+2) \times \frac{1}{2}d$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(+2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d+2]}^{(d+2)}\Delta^{l_2}\}\Gamma_{-;(+2);11}(d,l_2).$$

Remark If the size of the matrix consider above is impossible, say, if d = 0 then $\frac{d}{2}-1=-1$, the corresponding matrices do not exist and the equation also does not exist.

We have similar formulation for the case of odd principal series.

The case of odd principal series

Lemma 5. 1. B (odd principal series, p_+ -side) (i) (odd,+): We have an equation with some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(-2);01}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d-1) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(-2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-3]}^{(d-2)}\Delta^{l_2+2}\} \cdot \Gamma_{+;(-2);01}(d,l_2).$$

Similarly we have an equation

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(-2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-2]}^{(d-2)}\Delta^{l_2+2}\} \cdot \Gamma_{+;(-2);10}(d,l_2).$$

with some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(-2);10}$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d+1) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$. (ii) (odd,+): For some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(0);01}(d,l_2)$ and $\Gamma_{+;(0);10}(d,l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d+1) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$ respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(0)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d]}^{d}\Delta^{l_2+1}\}\Gamma_{+;(0);01}(d,l_2), \quad \text{if } (-1)^d = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma),$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(0)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2+1}\}\Gamma_{+;(0);10}(d,l_2), \quad \text{if } (-1) \neq \text{sgn}(\sigma).$$

(iii),(odd,+): For some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(+2);01}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d+3) \times \frac{(d+1)}{(d+1)}$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(+2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d+1]}^{(d+2)}\Delta^{l_2}\}\Gamma_{+;(+2);01}(d,l_2).$$

Moreover for some constant matrix $\Gamma_{+;(+2);10}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d+3) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{+;(+2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d+2]}^{(d+2)}\Delta^{l_2}\}\Gamma_{+;(+2);10}(d,l_2).$$

(the case of odd principal series, i.e., d even, \mathfrak{p}_- -side)

(i) (odd,-): With some contant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(-2);01}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d-1) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(-2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-3]}^{(d-2)}\Delta^{l_2-2}\} \cdot \Gamma_{-;(-2)}(d,l_2).$$

and with some contant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(-2);10}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d-1) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(-2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d-2]}^{(d-2)}\Delta^{l_2-2}\} \cdot \Gamma_{-;(-2);10}(d,l_2).$$

(ii) (odd,-): For some constant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(0);01}(d, l_2)$ and $\Gamma_{-;(0);10}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d+1) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(d+1) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$ respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(0)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d]}^d\Delta^{l_2-1}\}\Gamma_{-;(0);01}(d,l_2), \quad \text{if } (-1)^d = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma(\varepsilon_i)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(0)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2-1}\}\Gamma_{-;(0);10}(d,l_2), \quad \text{if } (-1) \neq \text{sgn}(\sigma(\varepsilon_i)).$$

(iii) (odd,-): For some constant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(+2);01}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d+3) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(+2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d-1]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[0,\cdots,d+1]}^{(d+2)}\Delta^{l_2}\}\Gamma_{-;(+2);01}(d,l_2)$$

and for some constant matrix $\Gamma_{-;(+2);10}(d, l_2)$ of size $\frac{1}{2}(d+3) \times \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{-;(+2)}\{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d]}^{(d)}\Delta^{l_2}\} = \{\mathbf{S}_{[1,\cdots,d+2]}^{(d+2)}\Delta^{l_2}\}\Gamma_{-;(+2);10}(d,l_2).$$

5.5. Contiguous equations: Determination of intertwining constants. Now we can decide the homomorphism Γ_a of Problem 5B. We have to compute the matrices $\Gamma_{**;(*)}(d,m)$ of intertwining constants explicitly. These are basically generalized di-diagonal matrices, which are expressed as a sum of two blocks of square diagonal matrices; the sizes of two blocks are the same or different up to ± 1 . Each diagonal square blocks of size q - p + 1 is written in the forms: $\operatorname{diag}_{p \leq a \leq q}(l(a))$, where the diagonal entries l(a) are linear functions in the variable integer a.

This is the main result of this paper.

The case of even principal series

Theorem 5.2.A The matrices of constants of the 12 equalities in Lemma (5.1.A) are given as follows:

(p₊-side) (i) (even, +):

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{+;(-2);00}(d,m) &= \left[\mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-2)/2}(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2a), \mathbf{0} \right] \\ &+ \left[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-2)/2}(\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m - d + 2a) \right]. \\ \Gamma_{+;(-2);11}(d,m) &= \left[\mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-4)/2}(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2a + 1), \mathbf{0} \right] \\ &+ \left[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-4)/2}(\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m - d + 2a + 1) \right]. \end{split}$$

(ii) (even,+):

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{+;(0);01}(d,m) = & [\operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq d/2}(-\frac{2a-1}{d}\{(\nu_2 + \rho_2) + m + 2(a-1)\}), \mathbf{0}] \\ &+ [\mathbf{0}, \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq d/2}(\frac{d+1-2a}{d}\{(\nu_1 + \rho_1) + m + 2(a-1)\})] \\ \Gamma_{+;(0);10}(d,m) = & \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq d/2-1}(\frac{d+2-2a}{d}\{(\nu_1 + \rho_1) + m + 2a - 3\}) \\ & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq d/2-1}(-\frac{2a}{d}\{(\nu_2 + \rho_2) + m + 2a - 1\}) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

(iii) (even,+):

$$\Gamma_{+;(+2);00}(d,m) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{0 \le a \le d/2}(\frac{(d+1-2a)(d+2-2a)}{(d+1)(d+2)}(\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m + d + 2a))) \\ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (d/2+1)} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (d/2+1)} \\ \operatorname{diag}_{0 \le a \le d/2}(\frac{(2a+1)(2a+2)}{(d+1)(d+2)}(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2a)) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{+;(+2);11}(d,m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\frac{(d-2a)(d+1-2a)}{(d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m + d + 2a + 1) \right) \\ & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (d/2)} \\ & + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (d/2)} \\ \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\frac{(2a+2)(2a+3)}{(d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2a + 1) \right) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

 $(\mathfrak{p}_-\text{-side})$

(i) (even,-): We have

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(-2);00}(d,m) &= \left[\mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-2)/2}((\nu_1 + \rho_1) - (m+d+2a+2)), \mathbf{0} \right] \\ &+ \left[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-2)/2}((\nu_2 + \rho_2) - (m+2a+2)) \right]. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(-2);11}(d,m) &= \left[\mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-4)/2}((\nu_1 + \rho_1) - (m+d+2a+3)), \mathbf{0} \right] \\ &+ \left[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-4)/2}((\nu_2 + \rho_2) - (m+2a+3)) \right]. \end{split}$$

(ii) (even,-): We have

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(0);01}(d,m) &= \left[\mathbf{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq d/2} (-\frac{2a-1}{d} \{ (\nu_1 + \rho_1) - m - 2a \}), \mathbf{0}_{d/2 \pm * \times 1} \right] \\ &+ \left[\mathbf{0}_{d/2 \pm * \times 1}, \mathbf{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq d/2} (\frac{d+1-2a}{d} \{ (\nu_2 + \rho_2) - m - 2a \}) \right] \right] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(0);10}(d,m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq d/2}(\frac{d+2-2a}{d}\{\nu_2 + \rho_2) - (m+2a-1)\}) \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \operatorname{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq d/2}(-\frac{2a}{d}\{(\nu_1 + \rho_1) - (m+2a+1)\}) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(+2);00}(d,m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq d/2} (\frac{(d+1-2a)(d+2-2a)}{(d+1)(d+2)} \{(\nu_2 + \rho_2) - (d+m) + d - 2a\}) \\ & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (d/2+1)} \\ & + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (d/2+1)} \\ \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq d/2} (\frac{(2a+1)(2a+2)}{(d+1)(d+2)} \{(\nu_1 + \rho_1) - (d+m) + 2d - 2a\}) \end{bmatrix} \\ & \mathbf{17} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(+2);11}(d,m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-2}{2}}(\frac{(d-2a)(d+1-2a)}{(d+1)(d+2)}\{(\nu_2 + \rho_2) - (m+2a+1)\}) \\ & \\ 0_{1 \times (d/2)} \\ & + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (d/2)}, \\ \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-2}{2}}(\frac{(2a+2)(2a+3)}{(d+1)(d+2)}\{(\nu_1 + \rho_1) - (m+2a+1) + d\}) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

The case of odd principal series

Theorem 5.2.B The matrices of constants of the 12 equalities in Lemma (5.1.B) are given as follows:

 $(\mathfrak{p}_+-\mathrm{side})$

(i) (odd, +):

$$\Gamma_{+;(-2);01}(d,m) = \left[(\mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le (d-3)/2} (\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2a), \mathbf{0}) \right] \\ + \left[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le (d-3)/2} (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m - d + 2a) \right].$$

$$\Gamma_{+;(-2);10}(d,m) = \left[\mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le (d-3)/2}(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 1 + 2a), \mathbf{0} \right] \\ + \left[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le (d-3)/2}(\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m - d + 1 + 2a) \right].$$

(ii) (odd,+):

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{+;(0);01}(d,m) &= \mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(-\frac{2a+1}{d} (\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2a) \right) \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}, & \mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le \frac{d-3}{2}} (\frac{d-2a-1}{d} (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m + 2a)) \\ 0, & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{+;(0);10}(d,m) &= \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} (\frac{d-2a}{d} (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m + 2a - 1)) \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}, & 0 \\ \mathbf{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} (-\frac{2a}{d} (\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2a - 1)), & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

(iii) (odd,+) :

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{+;(+2);01}(d,m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(d+1-2a)(d+2-2a)}{d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m + d + 2a) \right) \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(2a+1)(2a+2)}{(d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2a) \right) \end{bmatrix} \\ \Gamma_{+;(+2);10}(d,m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(d-2a)(d+1-2a)}{d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m + d + 2a + 1) \right) \\ &\quad \mathbf{0} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(2a+2)(2a+3)}{(d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2a + 1) \right) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{odd},\,\mathfrak{p}_-\mathrm{side})\\ (\mathrm{i}) \,\,(\mathrm{odd},\!\!\!-) \mathrm{:} \end{array}$

$$\Gamma_{-;(-2);01}(d,m) = \begin{bmatrix} (\operatorname{diag}_{0 \le a \le (d-3)/2} \{ (\nu_1 + \rho_1) - (m+d+2a+2) \}, & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}, & \operatorname{diag}_{0 \le a \le (d-3)/2} \{ (\nu_2 + \rho_2) - (m+2a+2) \} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(-2);10}(d,m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-3)/2} \{ (\nu_1 + \rho_1) - (m+d+2a+3) \}, \quad \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}, & \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq (d-3)/2} \{ (\nu_2 + \rho_2) - (m+2a+3) \} \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

(ii) (odd, -): We have

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(0);01}(d,m) &= \mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le \frac{d-1}{2}} (-\frac{2a+1}{d} \{ (\nu_1 + \rho_1) - (m+2a+2) \} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}, & \mathbf{diag}_{0 \le a \le \frac{d-3}{2}} (\frac{d-2a-1}{d} \{ (\nu_2 + \rho_2) - (m+2a;2) \} \\ 0, & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(0);10}(d,m) &= \mathbf{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} (\frac{d-2a}{d} \{ (\nu_2 + \rho_2) - (m+2a+1) \}) \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}, & 0 \\ \mathbf{diag}_{1 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} (-\frac{2a+2}{d} \{ (\nu_1 + \rho_1) - (m+2a+3) \}) & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

(iii) (odd,-):

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-;(+2);01}(d,m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(d+1-2a)(d+2-2a)}{(d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_2 + \rho_2 - m - 2a) \right) \\ & + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(2a+1)(2a+2)}{(d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_1 + \rho_1 - m + d - 2a) \right) \end{bmatrix} \\ \Gamma_{+;(+2);10}(d,m) &= \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(d-2a)(d+1-2a)}{(d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_2 + \rho_2 - m - 2a - 1) \right) \\ & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ & + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \operatorname{diag}_{0 \leq a \leq \frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(2a+2)(2a+3)}{(d+1)(d+2)} (\nu_1 + \rho_1 - m + d - 2a - 1) \right) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Proof (of the contiguous relations) We have to determine the constant matrices $\Gamma_{\pm;(*);**}$ of the contiguous equations. For this purpose, it suffices to evaluate the both sides of the equations in question at $e \in K$.

We can compute the matrices $\Gamma_{+;(-2),00}(d,m)$ and $\Gamma_{+;(-2),11}(d,m)$ for $m = l_2$ in the same time by the following merging procedure.

Merging: The matrices $\mathbf{S}_{[0,\dots,d]}^{(d)} \Delta^{l_2}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{[1,\dots,d-1]}^{(d)} \Delta^{l_2}$ are derived from a single matrix of elemnatry functions $\mathbf{S}_{[0,1,\cdots,d-1,d]}^{(d)}$ of size $(d+1) \times (d+1)$; the former is collection of column vectors at odd row indices and the latter of column vectors with even row indices, respectively. In the same way, we can consider that the two matrices $\Gamma_{+;(-2),00}(d,m)$ and $\Gamma_{+;(-2),11}(d,m)$ are derived from a single "merged" matrix $\tilde{\Gamma}_{+;(-2)}(d,m)$.

Therefore the real task of the proof is to compute the left sides

$$\{\mathcal{C}_{+;(-2)}\mathbf{S}^{(d)}_{[0,\cdots,d]}\}(e)$$

which are equal to $\tilde{\Gamma}_{+;(-2)}(d,m)$. To compute each column of this matrix, we have to compute the column vector

$$\{\mathcal{C}_{\pm;(-2)}\mathbf{s}_i^{(d)}\Delta^m\}(e)$$

for each *i*, utilizing the Iwasawa decomposition of $X_{\pm;k,l}$ (k, l = 1, 2). Each row vector of $\mathcal{C}_{\pm;(-2)}$ have entries which are constant multiple of $X_{\pm;k,l}$, and each entries of the vector $\mathbf{s}_i^{(d)}$ is of the form $\sum_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}$ with α runs over the shuffles of certain type, and each μ_{α} a monomial in s_{ij} associated with some shuffle α .

Claim 1 Here is the formula of the (a + 1)-th entry of the vector $\Delta^m \mathbf{s}_i^{(d)}$:

$$\Delta^m \sum_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} = \Delta^m \sum_{b=0}^a \binom{d-a}{i-b} \binom{a}{b} s_{11}^{(d-a)-(i-b)} s_{21}^{i-b} s_{12}^{a-b} s_{22}^{b-b}.$$
¹⁹

Proof of Claim 1 This follows almost immediately from the definition of the shuffle product. \Box

Firstly we prepare the computation of the values

$$\{ E_{2e_k}(\mu_{\alpha}\Delta^m) \}(e), \{ E_{e_1\pm e_2}(\mu_{\alpha}\Delta^m) \}(e), \{ H_k(\mu_{\alpha}\Delta^m) \}(e), \\ \text{and } \{ \kappa(e_{kl})(s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m) \}(e) \quad (k,l=1,2).$$

Claim 2 Let k = 1 or k = 2.

(i)
$$\{E_{2e_k}(\mu_{\alpha}\Delta^m)\}(e) = \{E_{e_1\pm e_2}(\mu_{\alpha}\Delta^m)\}(e) = 0.$$
 Accordingly we have
 $\{E_{2e_k}(s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m)\}(e) = \{E_{e_1\pm e_2}(s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m)\}(e) = 0.$

(ii)
$$\{H_k(\mu_\alpha \Delta^m)\}(e) = (\nu_k + \rho_k)(\mu_\alpha \Delta^m)(e)$$
 with

$$(\mu_{\alpha}\Delta^{m})(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w_{12}(\mu_{\alpha}) + w_{21}(\mu_{\alpha}) = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here for each $(i, j) \in \{(1, 1), (1, 2)\}$, we set

 $w_{ij}(\mu_{\alpha})$ = the number of the factor s_{ij} in the monimial μ_{α} .

Therefore we have,

$$H_k(s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m)(e) = (\nu_k + \rho_k)\delta_{a,i}.$$

(iii)

$$\kappa(e_{22})(s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m)(e) = (m+i)\delta_{a,i}, \text{ and } \kappa(e_{11})(s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m)(e) = (m+d-i)\delta_{a,i},$$

$$\kappa(e_{21})(s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m)(e) = a\delta_{a-1,i} \text{ and } \kappa(e_{12})(s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m)(e) = (d-a)\delta_{a+1,i}.$$

Proof of Claim 2 (i) and (ii): by direct computation. But for (ii) note that

$$\sum_{b=0}^{a} \binom{d-a}{i-b} \binom{a}{b} \delta_{i,b} \delta_{a,b} = \delta_{a,i}.$$

(iii) and (iv): since $\{s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m | 0 \le a \le d\}$ is a system of canonical basis, we have

$$\kappa(e_{22})s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m = (m+i)s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m, \quad \kappa(e_{11})s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m = (m+d-i)s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m, \\ \kappa(e_{21})s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m = as_{a-1,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m, \quad \kappa(e_{12})s_{a,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m = (d-a)s_{a+1,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m.$$

Then evaluation at e gives the proof. \Box

After the above preparation, let us start the substantial computation. The $(a_1 + 1)$ -th row vector of $\mathcal{C}_{+;(-2)}$ is

$$(\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{a_1}, X_{+22}, -2X_{+12}, X_{+,11}, \underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{d-a_1}).$$

The value at $e \in K$ of the inner product of this row vector of operators and the column vector $\Delta^m \mathbf{s}_i^{(d)}$ of elementary functions is the sum

$$\{X_{+,22}s_{a_1,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m\}(e) - 2\{X_{+,12}s_{a_1+1,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m\}(e) + \{X_{+,11}s_{a_1+2,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m\}(e).$$

Claim 3 (i) We have the following:

$$\{X_{+22}s_{a_1,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m\}(e) = (\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + i)\delta_{a_1,i} \{X_{+11}s_{a_1+2,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m\}(e) = (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m + d - i)\delta_{i,a_1+2} -2\{X_{+,12}s_{a_1+1,i}^{(d)}\Delta^m\}(e) = -2\{d - (a_1 + 1)\}\delta_{a_1+1,i-1}$$

Proof of Claim 3 By Iwasawa decompositon, this follows immediately from Claim 2. \Box

Thus

$$\mathbf{c}_{a_1+1}\{\mathbf{s}_i^{(d)}\}(e) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{unless either } a_1 = i \text{ or } a_1 = i-2.\\ \nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + i, & \text{if } a_1 = i.\\ \nu_1 + \rho_1 + m - d + i + 2, & \text{if } a_1 = i-2. \end{cases}$$

This implies the following.

Claim 4 (i)

$$\{\mathcal{C}_{+;(-2)}\mathbf{s}_{i}^{(d)}\Delta^{m}\}(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \nu_{1} + \rho_{1} + m - d + i + 2 \\ 0 \\ \nu_{2} + \rho_{2} + m + i \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we have finished the computation of the matrices $\Gamma_{+;(-2),00}(d,m)$ and $\Gamma_{+;(-2),11}(d,m)$. The remaining cases are treated similarly. \Box

6. EXAMPLES OF CONTIGUOUS RELATIONS AND OF THEIR COMPOSITES

Here are some examples of the contiguous relations at the peripheral K-types. Theses examles cover the former comuptation of the contiguous relations at the peripheral K-types of odd principal series, which was obtained in [9] by using Harish-Chandra hypergeometric functions. Note that there is a similar computation by Hayata [3] for the peripheral K-types of the principal series representations of SU(2,2). In both cases, the K-types occur with multiplicity one.

6.1. the case of even principal series: the \mathfrak{p}_+ -side. We assume that $sgn(\sigma) = (+1,+1)$ or = (-1,-1) for $\sigma \in \hat{M}$. Then there is a unique injective K-homomorphism $\tau_{(m,m)} \subset \pi_{P_{min};\sigma,\nu}$ when $(-1)^m = sgn(\sigma)$. The generator of $\tau_{(m,m)}$ is given by Δ^m . The multiplicity $[\pi, \tau_{(m+2,m)}]$ equals to 2. The $\tau_{(m+2,m)}$ -isotypic component $\pi([\tau_{(m+2,m)}])$ is realized by the subspace generated by two normalized set of basis $\{s_{21}^2\Delta^m, s_{11}s_{12}\Delta^m, s_{12}^2\Delta^m\}$ and $\{s_{21}^2\Delta^m, s_{21}s_{22}\Delta^m, s_{22}^2\Delta^m\}$. Therefore, by the Dirac-Schmid operator

$$pr_{(2,0)} \cdot \nabla_+ : \pi([\tau_{(m,m)}]) \to \pi([\tau_{(m+2,m)}])$$

the normalized set of basis

$$\{ X_{+,11}(\Delta^m), X_{+,12}(\Delta^m), X_{+,22}(\Delta^m) \}$$

is mapped to a linear combination of these two sets.

Formula 6.1. (horizontal to the right) We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{+,11}(\Delta^m) \\ X_{+,12}(\Delta^m) \\ X_{+,22}(\Delta^m) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}^2 \Delta^m & s_{21}^2 \Delta^m \\ s_{11}s_{12}\Delta^m & s_{21}s_{22}\Delta^m \\ s_{12}^2 \Delta^m & s_{22}^2 \Delta^m \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 + \rho_1 + m \\ \nu_2 + \rho_2 + m \end{pmatrix}$$

Formula 6.2. (vertical, up) We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{+,22}, & -2X_{+,12}, & X_{+,11} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}^2 \Delta^m & s_{21}^2 \Delta^m \\ s_{11}s_{12}\Delta^m & s_{21}s_{22}\Delta^m \\ s_{12}^2 \Delta^m & s_{22}^2 \Delta^m \end{pmatrix}$$
21

$$= \Delta^{m+2} \left(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m, \quad \nu_1 + \rho_1 + m - 2 \right)$$

Formula 6.3. (Composite of the above two operators) We have

$$\{X_{+,11}X_{+,22} - X_{+,12}^2\}(\Delta^m) = (\nu_1 + m + 1)(\nu_2 + m + 1)\Delta^{m+2}$$

6.2. the case of even principal series: the \mathfrak{p}_- -side. The $\tau_{(m,m-2)}$ -isotypic component $\pi([\tau_{(m,m-2)}])$, i.e., the image of the evaluation map:

 $au_{(m,m-2)} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_K(au_{(m,m-2)},\pi) \to \pi$

is realized by the subspace generated by two normalized set of basis

$$\{\bar{s}_{11}^2\Delta^m, \bar{s}_{11}\bar{s}_{12}\Delta^m, \bar{s}_{12}^2\Delta^m\}$$
 and $\{\bar{s}_{21}^2\Delta^m, \bar{s}_{21}\bar{s}_{22}\Delta^m, \bar{s}_{22}^2\Delta^m\}.$

Note here the relations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{s}_{12}^2 \\ -\bar{s}_{11}\bar{s}_{12} \\ \bar{s}_{11}^2 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^m = \begin{pmatrix} s_{21}^2 \\ s_{21}s_{22} \\ s_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{m-2}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \bar{s}_{22}^2 \\ -\bar{s}_{21}\bar{s}_{22} \\ \bar{s}_{21}^2 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^m = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}^2 \\ s_{11}s_{12} \\ s_{12}^2 \\ s_{12}^2 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{m-2}$$

Formula 6.4. (vertical, down) We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{-,22}(\Delta^m) \\ X_{-,12}(\Delta^m) \\ X_{-,11}(\Delta^m) \end{pmatrix} = (\nu_1 + \rho_1 - m) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{s}_{12}^2 \Delta^m \\ -\bar{s}_{11}\bar{s}_{12}\Delta^m \\ \bar{s}_{11}^2 \Delta^m \end{pmatrix} + (\nu_2 + \rho_2 - m) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{s}_{22}^2 \Delta^m \\ -\bar{s}_{21}\bar{s}_{22}\Delta^m \\ \bar{s}_{21}^2 \Delta^m \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof This is quite similar to the case of \mathfrak{p}_- .

Formula 6.5. (horizontal to the left) We have

$$X_{-,11}(\bar{s}_{12}^2\Delta^m) - 2X_{-,12}(\bar{s}_{11}\bar{s}_{12}\Delta^m) + X_{-,22}(\bar{s}_{11}^2\Delta^m) = (\nu_2 + \rho_2 - m)\Delta^{m-2};$$

$$X_{-,11}(\bar{s}_{22}^2\Delta^m) - 2X_{-,12}(\bar{s}_{21}\bar{s}_{22}\Delta^m) + X_{-,22}(\bar{s}_{21}^2\Delta^m) = (\nu_1 + \rho_1 - m - 2)\Delta^{m-2};$$

Formula 6.6. (Composite of the above two operators) We have

$$\{X_{-,11}X_{-,22} - X_{-,12}^2\}(\Delta^m) = (\nu_1 + \rho_1 - m - 1)(\nu_2 + \rho_2 - m)\Delta^{m-2}$$
$$= (\nu_1 - m + 1)(\nu_2 - m + 1)\Delta^{m-2}.$$

6.3. the case of odd principal series: the p_+ -side. We investigate the shift operator:

$$pr_{(1,1)} \cdot \nabla_+ : \pi([\tau_{(m+1,m)}]) \to \pi([\tau_{(m+2,m+1)}])$$

A set of normalized basis in $\pi([\tau_{(m+1,m)})$ is given by

either
$$\{s_{11}\Delta^m, s_{12}\Delta^m\}$$
, or $\{s_{21}\Delta^m, s_{22}\Delta^m\}$

depending on the product of the parity of σ and m. Similarly for $\pi([\tau_{(m+2,m+1)}])$, we can take a set of normalized basis by

either
$$\{s_{21}\Delta^{m+1}, s_{22}\Delta^{m+1}\}$$
, or $\{s_{11}\Delta^{m+1}, s_{12}\Delta^{m+1}\}$.

Formula 6.7. (slant up) We have

$$(i): \begin{pmatrix} -X_{+,12}(s_{11}\Delta^m) + X_{+,11}(s_{12}\Delta^m) \\ -X_{+,22}(s_{11}\Delta^m) + X_{+,12}(s_{12}\Delta^m) \end{pmatrix} = -(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m) \begin{pmatrix} s_{21}\Delta^{m+1} \\ s_{22}\Delta^{m+1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$(ii): \begin{pmatrix} -X_{+,12}(s_{21}\Delta^m) + X_{+,11}(s_{22}\Delta^m) \\ -X_{+,22}(s_{21}\Delta^m) + X_{+,12}(s_{22}\Delta^m) \end{pmatrix} = (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m - 1) \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}\Delta^{m+1} \\ s_{12}\Delta^{m+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Formula 6.8. (Successive composition of the above operators) We have

(*i*):
$$\{X_{+,11}X_{+,22} - X_{+,12}^2\} \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}\Delta^m \\ s_{12}\Delta^m \end{pmatrix} = (\nu_1 + m + 2)(\nu_2 + m + 1) \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}\Delta^{m+2} \\ s_{12}\Delta^{m+2} \end{pmatrix}$$
.
(*ii*): $\{X_{+,11}X_{+,22} - X_{+,12}^2\} \begin{pmatrix} s_{21}\Delta^m \\ s_{22}\Delta^m \end{pmatrix} = (\nu_1 + m + 1)(\nu_2 + m + 2) \begin{pmatrix} s_{21}\Delta^{m+2} \\ s_{22}\Delta^{m+2} \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_1 + m + 2)(\nu_2 + m + 1) &= (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m)(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m), \\ (\nu_1 + m + 1)(\nu_2 + m + 2) &= (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m - 1)(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m + 2) \end{aligned}$$

6.4. the case of odd principal series: the p_- -side. We investigate the shift operator:

$$pr_{(-1,-1)} \cdot \nabla_+ : \pi([\tau_{(m+1,m)}]) \to \pi([\tau_{(m,m-1)}]).$$

A set of normalized basis in $\pi([\tau_{(m+1,m)}))$ is given by

either
$$\{s_{11}\Delta^m, s_{12}\Delta^m\}$$
, or $\{s_{21}\Delta^m, s_{22}\Delta^m\}$

depending on the product of the parity of σ and m. Similarly for $\pi([\tau_{(m,m-1)}])$, we can take a set of normalized basis by

either
$$\{s_{21}\Delta^{m-1}, s_{22}\Delta^{m-1}\}$$
, or $\{s_{11}\Delta^{m-1}, s_{12}\Delta^{m-1}\}$.

Formula 6.9. (slant down) We have

$$(i): \begin{pmatrix} X_{-,12}(s_{11}\Delta^m) + X_{-,22}(s_{12}\Delta^m) \\ -X_{-,11}(s_{11}\Delta^m) - X_{-,12}(s_{12}\Delta^m) \end{pmatrix} = -(\nu_1 + \rho_1 - m - 2) \begin{pmatrix} s_{21}\Delta^{m-1} \\ s_{22}\Delta^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$(ii): \begin{pmatrix} X_{-,12}(s_{21}\Delta^m) + X_{-,22}(s_{22}\Delta^m) \\ -X_{-,11}(s_{21}\Delta^m) - X_{-,12}(s_{22}\Delta^m) \end{pmatrix} = (\nu_2 + \rho_2 - m - 1) \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}\Delta^{m-1} \\ s_{12}\Delta^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Formula 6.10. (Successive composition of the above operators) We have

(*i*):
$$\{X_{-,11}X_{-,22} - X_{-,12}^2\} \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}\Delta^m \\ s_{12}\Delta^m \end{pmatrix} = -(\nu_1 - m + 1)(\nu_2 - m) \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}\Delta^{m-2} \\ s_{12}\Delta^{m-2} \end{pmatrix}$$
.
(*ii*): $\{X_{-,11}X_{-,22} - X_{-,12}^2\} \begin{pmatrix} s_{21}\Delta^m \\ s_{22}\Delta^m \end{pmatrix} = -(\nu_1 - m)(\nu_2 - m + 1) \begin{pmatrix} s_{21}\Delta^{m-2} \\ s_{22}\Delta^{m-2} \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark. The formulae in this section for the odd principal series is already obtained in Miyazaki-Oda [9] by using Harish-Chandra's hypergeometric series.

6.5. Casimir operators. The action of Casimir operators are described as the composites of the contiguous relations, at least substancially.

The contiguous equation for $\tau_{(m,m)} \rightarrow \tau_{(m+2,m)}$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{+11}(\Delta^m) \\ X_{+12}(\Delta^m) \\ X_{+22}(\Delta^m) \end{pmatrix} = (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m) \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}^2 \Delta^m \\ s_{11}^2 \Delta^m \\ s_{12}^2 \Delta^m \end{pmatrix} + (\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m) \begin{pmatrix} s_{21}^2 \Delta^m \\ s_{21}s_{22}\Delta^m \\ s_{22}^2 \Delta^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

The contiguous equations for $\tau_{(m.m)} \leftarrow \tau_{(m+2,m)}$ are given by $\begin{pmatrix} & a^2 & \Delta^m \end{pmatrix}$

$$(X_{-11}, 2X_{-12}, X_{-22}) \begin{pmatrix} s_{21}^2 \Delta^m \\ s_{21} s_{22} \Delta^m \\ s_{22}^2 \Delta^m \end{pmatrix} = \{\nu_2 + \rho_2 - (m+2)\} \Delta^m = \{\nu_2 - (m+1)\} \Delta^m.$$
$$(X_{-11}, 2X_{-12}, X_{-22}) \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}^2 \Delta^m \\ s_{11} s_{12} \Delta^m \\ s_{12}^2 \Delta^m \end{pmatrix} = \{\nu_1 + \rho_1 - (m+4)\} \Delta^m = \{\nu_1 - (m+2)\} \Delta^m.$$

The composition of these equations is

$$(X_{-11}, 2X_{-12}, X_{-22}) \begin{pmatrix} X_{+11} \\ X_{+12}X_{+22} \end{pmatrix} \Delta^m = \{\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2 - (m+2)^2 - (m+1)^2\} \Delta^m.$$

Taking the *-conjugate equations of the above contiguous equations, we also have

$$(X_{+11}, -2X_{+12}, X_{+22}) \begin{pmatrix} X_{-11} \\ -X_{-12}X_{-22} \end{pmatrix} \Delta^m = \{\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2 - (m-2)^2 - (m-1)^2\} \Delta^m$$

The sum of the above two equation is the equation comming from the Casimir operator.

6.6. Generation of the peripheral K-types.

6.6.1. Down-shift operator, up-shift operator: $det(\mathcal{C}_{\pm})$. We have

$$(X_{-,11}X_{-,22} - X_{-,12}^2)\Delta^m = (\nu_1 + \rho_1 - m - 1)(\nu_2 + \rho_2 - m)\Delta^{m-2}$$
$$(X_{+,11}X_{+,22} - X_{+,12}^2)\Delta^m = (\nu_1 + \rho_1 - m - 1)(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m)\Delta^{m+2}$$
$$(X_{+,11}X_{+,22} - X_{+,12}^2)\Delta^{m-2} = (\nu_1 + \rho_1 + m - 3)(\nu_2 + \rho_2 + m - 2)\Delta^m.$$

Consequently we have

$$det(\mathcal{C}_{+}) \cdot det(\mathcal{C}_{-})\Delta^{m} = (\nu_{1} + m - 1)(\nu_{2} + m - 1)(\nu_{1} + 1 - m)(\nu_{2} + 1 - m)\Delta^{m}$$
$$= \{\nu_{1}^{2} - (m - 1)^{2}\}\{\nu_{2}^{2} - (m - 1)^{2}\}\Delta^{m}.$$

We have

$$\Delta^{m-2} = \frac{1}{(\nu_1 + 1 - m)(\nu_2 + 1 - m)} \det(\mathcal{C}_-) \Delta^m;$$

and

$$\Delta^{m+2} = \frac{1}{(\nu_1 + 1 + m)(\nu_2 + 1 + m)} \det(\mathcal{C}_+) \Delta^m.$$

6.6.2. Generation of the part $d = l_1 - l_2 = 2$. We define two vectors of elements in \mathfrak{p}_{\pm} by

$$\mathcal{X}_{+}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{+,11} \\ X_{+,12} \\ X_{+,22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{-}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{-,11} \\ X_{-,12} \\ X_{-,22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have to define also ${}^*\mathcal{X}^{(1)}_{-}$ by

$${}^{*}\mathcal{X}_{-}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{-,22} \\ \pm X_{-,12} \\ X_{-,11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have contiguous equations:

$$\mathcal{X}_{+}^{(1)}\Delta^{m} = (\nu_{1} + \rho_{1} + m)\Delta^{m}\mathbf{s}_{0}^{(2)} + (\nu_{2} + \rho_{2} + m)\Delta^{m}\mathbf{s}_{2}^{(2)}.$$

$${}^{*}\mathcal{X}_{-}^{(1)}\Delta^{m+2} = (\nu_{2} + \rho_{2} - m - 2)\Delta^{m+2*}\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{2}^{(2)} + (\nu_{1} + \rho_{1} - m - 2)\Delta^{m+2*}\bar{\mathbf{s}}_{0}^{(2)}.$$

References

- David A. Vogan, Representations of Real Reductive Lie Groups, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 1981
- [2] Nolan R. Wallach, Real Reductive Groups I, Academic Press, 1988.
- Hayata, T.: Differential equations for principal series Whittaker functions on SU(2, 2). Indag. Math. (N.S.) 8 (1997), no. 4, 493–528.
- [4] Iida, M.: Spherical functions of the principal series representations of Sp(2, R) as hypergeometric functions of C₂-type, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **32** (1996), 689–727.
- [5] Ishii, T.: Siegel-Whittaker functions on Sp(2, R) for principal series representations, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo 9 (2002), 303–346.
- [6] Ishii, T.: On principal series Whittaker functions on Sp(2, R), J. Funct. Anal. 225 (2005), 1–32.
- [7] Kraljevié, H.: Representations the universal covering groups of the group SU(n, 1), Glasnik Mat. Ser. III 8-28 (1973), 23–72.
- [8] Manabe, H., Ishii, T., Oda, T.: Principal series Whittaker functions on SL(3, R), Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) 30-1 (2004), 183-226.
- [9] Miyazaki, T. and Oda, T.: Principal series Whittaker functions for Sp(2; R). Explorit formulae of differential equations - , Proc. of the 1993 workshop, Automorphic forms and related topics, The Pyungsan Institute for Math. Sci., 59–92.
- [10] Nishiyama, K.: Algebraic structures on virtual characters of a semisimple Lie groups, in "Representations of Lie groups, Kyoto, Hiroshima, 1986", Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 14, Academic Press, (1988) 417–468.
- [11] Tsuzuki, M.: Real Shintani functions on U(n, 1), I, II, III, J. Math. Sci., the Univ. of Tokyo 8 (2001), 609–688; 689–719; 9 (2002), 165–215.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8914 Japan

E-mail address: takayuki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Preprint Series, Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo

UTMS

2006–28 Akishi Kato: Zonotopes and four-dimensional superconformal field theories.

- 2006–29 Yasufumi Osajima: The asymptotic expansion formula of implied volatility for dynamic SABR model and FX hybrid model.
- 2006–30 Noriaki Umeda: On existence and nonexistence global solutions of reactiondiffusion equations.
- 2006–31 Takayuki Oda and Masao Tsuzuki: The secondary spherical functions and automorphic green currents for certain symmetric pairs.
- 2006–32 Yu. E. Anikonov and M. Yamamoto: Solution formula for an inverse problem with underdetermining data.
- 2006–33 Masaharu Kobayashi and Yoshihiro Sawano: Molecule decomposition of the modulation spaces $M^{p,q}$ and its application to the pseudo-differential operators.
- 2006–34 Toshio Oshima: A classification of subsystems of a roof system.
- 2006–35 Hongyu Liu , Masahiro Yamamoto and Jun Zou: Reflection principle for Maxwell's equations and an application to the inverse electromagnetic scattering problem.
- 2007–1 Eiichi Nakai and Tsuyoshi Yoneda: Some functional-differential equations of advanced type.
- 2007–2 Tomoya Takeuchi and Masahiro Yamamoto: Tikhonov regularization by a reproducing kernel Hilbert space for the Cauchy problem for an elliptic equation.
- 2007–3 Takayuki Oda: The standard (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules of Sp(2, R) I The case of principal series –.

The Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences was established in the University of Tokyo in April, 1992. Formerly there were two departments of mathematics in the University of Tokyo: one in the Faculty of Science and the other in the College of Arts and Sciences. All faculty members of these two departments have moved to the new graduate school, as well as several members of the Department of Pure and Applied Sciences in the College of Arts and Sciences. In January, 1993, the preprint series of the former two departments of mathematics were unified as the Preprint Series of the Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo. For the information about the preprint series, please write to the preprint series office.

ADDRESS:

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo 3–8–1 Komaba Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, JAPAN TEL +81-3-5465-7001 FAX +81-3-5465-7012