UTMS 2004–15

 $May\ 13,\ 2004$ 

Ekedahl-Oort strata contained in the supersingular locus

by

Shushi HARASHITA



# **UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO** GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES KOMABA, TOKYO, JAPAN

# Ekedahl-Oort Strata Contained in the Supersingular Locus

#### Shushi Harashita

**Abstract.** In this paper we show that, for each Ekedahl-Oort stratum contained in the supersingular locus, the number of its irreducible components is equal to a class number of a quaternion unitary group.

MSC: primary: 14K10; secondary: 11G10; 14L05

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}_g$  be the coarse moduli space  $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$  of principally polarized abelian varieties over a field of characteristic p.

F. Oort and T. Ekedahl defined a stratification on  $\mathcal{A}_g$  called the Ekedahl-Oort stratification. Namely,  $\mathcal{A}_g$  is divided into locally closed subschemes  $S_{\varphi}$  determined by elementary series  $\varphi$  of length g. An elementary series  $\varphi$  of length g is a map

$$\varphi: \{1, 2, \cdots, g\} \to \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, g\}$$

satisfying  $\varphi(i-1) \leq \varphi(i) \leq \varphi(i-1)+1$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, g)$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Two principally polarized abelian varieties X and Y are in the same stratum if and only if there exists an isomorphism between their *p*-kernels X[p] and Y[p]. (See [17] for the definition and fundamental theorems.)

A conjecture of F. Oort says that  $S_{\varphi}$  is irreducible unless  $S_{\varphi}$  is contained in the supersingular locus  $W_{\sigma}$ . And this became a theorem by combining a recent result of G. van der Geer and T. Ekedahl with a criterion of F. Oort:  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$  if and only if  $S_{\varphi} \subset W_{\sigma}$ . See [18, 7.5] for an exposition on this development.

On the other hand, F. Oort also expected in loc. cit. that the strata  $S_{\varphi}$  contained in  $W_{\sigma}$  are reducible for large p's. In this paper, we confirm this in an explicit way.

To describe our main theorem, we need some notations. We choose a supersingular elliptic curve E defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  ([3] and also see [12, 1.2]). For each integer c with  $0 \le c \le [g/2]$ , we denote by  $\Lambda_c$  the set of the equivalence classes of polarizations  $\mu$  on  $E^g$  such that ker  $\mu \simeq \alpha_p^{\oplus 2c}$ . Here  $\alpha_p$  is the kernel of the Frobenius map  $F : \mathbb{G}_a \to \mathbb{G}_a$ . For an element  $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  be the fine moduli scheme of isogenies

$$\rho: (E^g, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$$

of polarized supersingular abelian varieties such that  $\lambda$  is a principal polarization. The moduli space  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  turns out to be non-singular irreducible of dimension c(c+1)/2 (Corollary 4.10).

Here are the main results proved in this paper (Theorems 5.6 and 6.19):

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo,

<sup>3-8-1</sup> Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan.

 $E\text{-mail} \ address: \texttt{harasita@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp}$ 

1. For any integer c with  $0 \le c \le [g/2]$ , there exists a canonical quasi-finite surjective morphism

$$\Psi_c: \coprod_{\mu \in \Lambda_c} \mathcal{T}_{\mu} \longrightarrow \coprod_{\varphi(g-c)=0} S_{\varphi}.$$

2. For any elementary series  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(g-c) = 0$  and  $\varphi(g-c+1) \neq 0$ , the number of irreducible components of  $S_{\varphi}$  is equal to  $\sharp \Lambda_c$ . Moreover  $\sharp \Lambda_c$  equals a class number  $H_{g,c}$  of a quaternion unitary group (see Definition 5.8).

Since  $\lim_{p\to\infty} \sharp \Lambda_c = \infty$  (Lemma 5.10), it follows that  $S_{\varphi}$  with  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$  is reducible for large p's.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we prepare some notations of Dieudonné modules and the Ekedahl-Oort stratification. After introducing good symplectic bases of supersingular Dieudonné modules, we show in Section 3 that the first jumping number of elementary series is given by an invariant c(N) (Definition 3.7) of N = M/pM in a certain class of Dieudonné modules M.

In Section 4, we investigate the moduli space  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  mentioned above. We shall construct finite étale morphisms from the affine open subschemes of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  to the spaces  $N_{g,c}$  of matrices.

After these preparations, by proving the equality of the invariant c(N) and the height of minimal isogenies, we obtain the above morphism  $\Psi_c$  (Section 5).

In the last section, we investigate more closely the structures of the spaces  $N_{g,c}$  of matrices. In particular, we can compute the codimension of the *a*-number locus  $\overline{S}_{\varphi}(a)$  in the Zariski closure  $\overline{S}_{\varphi}$  of  $S_{\varphi}$ . The main theorem follows from these calculations and some technical lemmas.

Acknowledgments. I wish to thank Professor Takayuki Oda for much advice. I am also very grateful to Professor Frans Oort and Professor Gerard van der Geer for helpful suggestions and for informing me of their recent results.

# 2 Preliminaries

#### 2.1 Dieudonné modules over a perfect field

We fix once and for all a rational prime p. Let K be a perfect field of characteristic p. We define a non-commutative ring A by the p-adic completion of

$$W(K)[F,V]/(FV-p,VF-p,Fa-a^{\sigma}F,Va-a^{\sigma^{-1}}V,\forall a \in W(K)).$$

Here  $\sigma$  is the Frobenius map on K.

**Definition 2.1.** A Dieudonné module is a left A-module M which is finitely generated as W(K)-module. If M is free as W(K)-module, we call M free. Two free Dieudonné module M and N are said to be isogenous if there is an A-homomorphism from M to N with torsion cokernel. We define a-number of M as

$$a(M) = \dim_K M/(F, V)M.$$

A free Dieudonné module M is called *supersingular* (resp. *superspecial*) if M is isogenous (resp. isomorphic) to  $A_{1,1}^{\oplus g}$  for some g. Here  $A_{1,1} := A/(F - V)$  and g is called the genus of M.

- **Definition 2.2.** (1) Assume  $g \ge 2$ . A superspecial abelian variety over K is an abelian variety Y over K such that there is an isomorphism between Y and  $E^g$  over algebraically closed field  $\overline{K}$  with supersingular elliptic curve E. This definition does not depend on choices of E as follows from results by Deligne, Ogus and Shioda ([15, Theorem 6.2] and [20, Theorem 3.5]). Also see [12, 1.6].
  - (2) An abelian variety X over K is said to be supersingular if and only if there exists an isogeny from  $E^g$  to X over algebraically closed field  $\overline{K}$ .

For an abelian variety over K, we have a free Dieudonné module  $M := \mathbb{D}(X)$  of genus g by the covariant Dieudonné functor  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then the *a*-number of X:

$$a(X) := \dim_K \operatorname{Hom}(\alpha_p, X)$$

is equal to a(M) (see [12, 5.2]).

A. Ogus proved the following important theorem, which he called supersingular Torelli's theorem ([15, Theorem 6.2]).

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume that K is algebraically closed. Let  $S_g(K)$  be the category of supersingular abelian varieties over K. Assume  $g \ge 2$ . The functor  $(\mathbb{D}, \operatorname{tr})$  gives a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of  $S_g(K)$  and the set of supersingular Dieudonné modules M of

genus g with trace map tr :  $\bigwedge^{2g} M \xrightarrow{\simeq} W(K)$ . Besides, for two objects X, Y of  $\mathcal{S}_g(K)$ , we have an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_A(\mathbb{D}(X), \mathbb{D}(Y)).$$

The next lemma will be frequently used.

**Lemma 2.4 (Lemma 3.1 in [11]).** For a supersingular Dieudonné module M, there exists a smallest superspecial Dieudonné module  $S^0(M)$  in  $M \otimes \operatorname{frac} W(K)$  containing M, and dually there is a biggest superspecial Dieudonné module  $S_0(M)$  contained in M. Here  $\operatorname{frac} W(K)$  stands for the field of fractions of W(K).

Corresponding to  $S_0(M)$  of this lemma, for a supersingular abelian variety X over K, there exists a superspecial abelian variety Y over K and a K-isogeny  $\rho : Y \to X$  such that for any superspecial abelian variety Y' over K and any K-isogeny  $\rho' : Y' \to X$ , there is a unique Kisogeny  $\phi : Y' \to Y$  such that  $\rho' = \rho \circ \phi$ . We denote by  $S^0(X)$  the pair  $(Y, \rho)$ . The isogeny  $\rho$  is called minimal isogeny. Dually  $S_0(X)$  are also defined. See [12, 1.8].

If X has a polarization  $\lambda : X \to X^t$ , we get the non-degenerate W(K)-bilinear alternating form

$$\langle , \rangle : M \otimes_{W(K)} M \to W(K),$$

which satisfies  $\langle Fx, y \rangle = \langle x, Vy \rangle^{\sigma}$  by [14, p.101]. We call such an alternating form a quasipolarization of M. If  $\lambda$  is principal, then  $\langle , \rangle$  is a perfect pairing.

#### 2.2 Dieudonné modules over a general base

Let R be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra and  $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A Dieudonné module over S is a locally free W(R)-module M with W(R)-linear homomorphism

$$F: M^{(p)} \to M, \qquad V: M \to M^{(p)}.$$

Here  $M^{(p)}$  stands for the base change of M by the p-th power homomorphism  $R \to R$ . A quasi-polarization on M is a W(R)-bilinear alternating form

$$\langle , \rangle : M \otimes_{W(R)} M \to W(R)$$

satisfying

$$\langle Fx, y \rangle = \langle x, Vy \rangle^{\sigma}$$

for  $x \in M^{(p)}$  and  $y \in M$ .

#### 2.3 Ekedahl-Oort stratification

In this subsection we give definitions and theorems related to the Ekedahl-Oort stratification used later on. For the details, see [17]. For brevity, we restrict ourselves to the case of principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné modules  $(M, \langle , \rangle)$  over perfect fields K.

Put N = M/pM, which is equipped with the K[F, V]-module structure. For a submodule S of N, we denote by  $V^{-1}S$  the submodule  $V^{-1}(S \cap VN)$  of N. We denote by  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of finite words of F and  $V^{-1}$ . It follows that the set

$$\{\varpi N \mid \varpi \in \mathcal{W}\}$$

consists of finite elements  $N_i$   $(i = 0, 1, \dots, 2r)$  satisfying

$$0 = N_0 \subset \dots \subset N_r \subset \dots \subset N_{2r} = N \tag{1}$$

with  $N_r = FN$ . The filtration (1) is called a canonical filtration of N ([17, §2.2]).

Let us set  $\rho(i) := \dim_k N_i$ ,  $FN_i = N_{v(i)}$  and  $V^{-1}N_i = N_{f(i)}$ . Then we have v(i) + f(i) = r + i.

**Definition 2.6.** The elementary series  $\varphi$  of M, noted by ES(M), is the map

$$\varphi: \{1, \cdots, g\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \cdots, g\}$$

defined inductively as follows: For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and for all  $\rho(i-1) < j \le \rho(i)$ ,

$$\varphi(j) = \begin{cases} \varphi(j-1) + 1 & \text{if } v(i-1) < v(i), \\ \varphi(j-1) & \text{if } v(i-1) = v(i) \end{cases}$$

with  $\varphi(0) := 0$ . See [17, §5.6] for this definition. For a principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné module M over an arbitrary field, we denote by ES(M) the elementary series of a scalar extension of M to an algebraically closed field.

The a-number of M is written as

$$a(M) = g - \varphi(g)$$

with  $\varphi = ES(M)$ .

**Definition 2.7.** For each elementary series  $\varphi$  as in the introduction, the Ekedahl-Oort stratum  $S_{\varphi}$  is the set of points of  $\mathcal{A}_g$  which are associated with Dieudonné modules with the elementary series  $\varphi$  (see [17, §5.11]).

The stratum  $S_{\varphi}$  turns out to be a locally closed subscheme of  $\mathcal{A}_g$  as shown by Oort ([17, Proposition 3.2]).

For an elementary series  $\varphi$ , the sequence

$$\psi: \{1, \cdots, 2g\} \to \{0, 1, \cdots, g\}$$

defined by

$$\begin{cases} \psi(i) := \varphi(i), \\ \psi(2g - i) := g + \varphi(i) - i \end{cases}$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, g$  is called a final sequence of  $\varphi$ . In this paper, for convenience we set

$$\varphi(i) := \psi(i)$$

for all  $i = g + 1, \dots, 2g$ .

By [17, Theorem 9.4], there exists a filtration refining the canonical filtration  $\{N_i\}$ :

$$0 = N'_0 \subset \dots \subset N'_g \subset \dots \subset N'_{2g} = N$$

such that  $\dim_K N'_i = i$ ,  $FN'_i = N'_{\varphi(i)}$  and  $V^{-1}N'_i = N'_{g+i-\varphi(i)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 2g$ . In general, it is not unique (see [17, Remark 9.22]).

The following theorems are shown by Oort ([17, Theorem 9.4] and [17,  $\S$ 1]).

**Theorem 2.8.** For two principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné modules M and M', the K[F, V]modules N = M/pM and N' = M'/pM' are isomorphic over  $\overline{K}$  if and only if ES(M) = ES(M').

**Theorem 2.9.** For each elementary series  $\varphi$ ,

- (1) the stratum  $S_{\varphi}$  is (regular as a stack) quasi-affine and the Zasiski closure  $\overline{S}_{\varphi}$  of  $S_{\varphi}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{g}$  is connected;
- (2) the dimension of any irreducible component of  $S_{\varphi}$  is equal to  $|\varphi| = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \varphi(i);$
- (3) we have

$$\overline{S}_{\varphi} = \bigcup_{S_{\varphi'} \cap \overline{S}_{\varphi} \neq \emptyset} S_{\varphi'}$$

There are two orderings  $\prec$  and  $\leq$  on the set of elementary series. For two elementary series  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$ , we write  $\varphi' \prec \varphi$  if  $\varphi'(i) \leq \varphi(i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, g$ , and write  $\varphi' \leq \varphi$  if  $S_{\varphi'} \cap \overline{S}_{\varphi} \neq \emptyset$ . The second one  $\leq$  becomes an order by Theorem 2.9 (3). By [17, Proposition 11.1], it follows that  $\varphi' \prec \varphi$  implies  $\varphi' \leq \varphi$ .

# 3 Supersingular Loci

First of all let us investigate structure of the supersingular locus  $W_{\sigma}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_g$ . The number of irreducible components of  $W_{\sigma}$  and the dimension of each irreducible component of  $W_{\sigma}$  is determined in [12]. For our purpose, however, we need to analyze  $W_{\sigma}$  more explicitly.

#### 3.1 Displays of supersingular Dieudonné modules

First let us recall the fact ([6, Lemma 3.5, 3.6]):

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume K be an algebraically closed field. Then for any principally quasipolarized supersigular Dieudonné module M over W(K), there exist A-generators  $v_0, \dots, v_{g-1}$ of M such that

$$\langle v_i, Fv_{g-1-j} \rangle = \varepsilon \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0, \quad (0 \le i, j \le g-1)$$
 (2)

for fixed  $\varepsilon = -\varepsilon^{\sigma} \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})^{\times}$  and  $\delta_{ij}$  Kronecker's delta, and

$$(F - V)v_i = \tau_{i,i+1}v_{i+1} + \tau_{i,i+2}v_{i+2} + \dots + \tau_{i,g-1}v_{g-1}.$$
(3)

Here  $\tau_{ij}$  are elements of W(K), which automatically satisfy the symmetry:

$$\tau_{ij} = \tau_{g-1-j,g-1-i}.\tag{4}$$

Conversely, a principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné module which has A-generators

$$v_0, \cdots, v_{g-1}$$

satisfying the equations (2) and (3) is supersigular.

This proposition is paraphrased as follows. We introduce a new basis of M

$$\{X_1, \cdots, X_g, Y_1, \cdots, Y_g\}$$

defined by

$$X_i = v_{i-1}, \qquad Y_i = \varepsilon^{-1} V v_{g-i}$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$ . Then  $\{X_1, \dots, X_g, Y_1, \dots, Y_g\}$  is a symplectic basis of M, i.e., a basis as W(K)-module satisfying

$$\langle X_i, Y_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \langle X_i, X_j \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle Y_i, Y_j \rangle = 0$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq g$ . The display of M with respect to this basis (see [13] and [16] about displays) is written as

$$\begin{pmatrix}
T & -\varepsilon^{-1}w \\
\varepsilon w & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

where  $w = (\delta_{i,g+1-j})$  and  $T = (t_{ij})$  with

$$\begin{cases} t_{ij} = \tau_{j-1,i-1} & \text{if } 1 \le j < i \le g, \\ t_{ij} = 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The matrix T is strictly lower triangular and the equation (4) is equivalent to the symmetry condition:

$$Tw = {}^t(Tw). (6)$$

Conversely for an arbitrary perfect field K, and for any strictly lower triangular matrix

$$T \in M_q(W(K))$$

satisfying the symmetry (6), we have a principally quasi-polarized supersingular Diendonné module, denoted by  $M_T$ , over W(K) with display as (5). Hence there is a bijection from the set of strictly lower triangular matrices T satisfying the symmetry (6) with coefficients in W(K) to the set of principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné modules over W(K) with symplectic W(K)-basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_g, Y_1, \dots, Y_g\}$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} (F-V)X_i = \sum_{j=i+1}^g t_{ji}X_j & \text{for some } t_{ji} \in W(K), \\ Y_i = \varepsilon^{-1}VX_{g+1-i}. \end{cases}$$
(7)

It follows ([6, Lemma 3.7]) that

$$a(M_T) = g - \operatorname{rk}\overline{T} \tag{8}$$

with  $\overline{T} := T \mod p$ .

The semi-linear transformation for Frobenius map F on  $M_T$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F} := \left( \begin{array}{cc} T & -p\varepsilon^{-1}w \\ \varepsilon w & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Namely, we have

$$(FX_1, \cdots, FX_g, FY_1, \cdots, FY_g) = (X_1, \cdots, X_g, Y_1, \cdots, Y_g)\mathcal{F}.$$

Then  $F^n$  corresponds to the matrix  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)} := \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{\sigma}\cdots\mathcal{F}^{\sigma^{n-1}}$ . Also the matrix for V is equal to

$$\mathcal{V} := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -p\varepsilon^{-1}w\\ \varepsilon w & wT^{\sigma^{-1}}w \end{array}\right).$$

Similarly  $V^n$  is represented by  $\mathcal{V}^{(n)} := \mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}^{\sigma^{-1}}\cdots\mathcal{V}^{\sigma^{-(n-1)}}$ .

#### 3.2 A certain class of supersingular Dieudonné modules

Let K be a perfect field. In this subsection, we investigate a certain class of principally quasipolarized supersingular Dieudonné modules, i.e., we treat only those with display as in (5) satisfying

$$TT^{\sigma^i} = 0$$
 for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (9)

for some good choice of symplectic basis  $\{X_i, Y_j\}$ . The reason why we investigate a Dieudonné module with this type of T satisfying the condition (9) for some symplectic basis is that such and only such a principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné module M satisfies  $S_{\varphi} \subset W_{\sigma}$  with  $\varphi := ES(M)$  (the proof of this will be completed in Section 5).

**Remark 3.2.** For  $g \ge 3$  there are principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné modules which never have displays as in (5) with condition (9).

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume T satisfies the symmetry (6) and  $TT^{\sigma^i} = 0$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have the following:

(1)

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2n+1)} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} p^n \sum_{j=0}^n T^{\sigma^{2j}} & -p^{n+1} \varepsilon^{-1} w\\ p^n \varepsilon w & -p^n w \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{\sigma^{2j+1}} w \end{array}\right),$$

(2)

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2n+2)} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{n+1} & p^{n+1}\varepsilon^{-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}T^{\sigma^{2j}}w\\ p^{n}\varepsilon w\sum_{j=0}^{n}T^{\sigma^{2j+1}} & p^{n+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

(3)

$$\mathcal{V}^{(2n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} -p^n \sum_{j=1}^n T^{\sigma^{-2j}} & -p^{n+1} \varepsilon^{-1} w \\ p^n \varepsilon w & p^n w \sum_{j=0}^n T^{\sigma^{-2j-1}} w \end{pmatrix},$$

(4)

$$\mathcal{V}^{(2n+2)} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{n+1} & -p^{n+1}\varepsilon^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}T^{\sigma^{-2j}}w \\ -p^n\varepsilon w\sum_{j=0}^n T^{\sigma^{-2j-1}} & p^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* This lemma immediately follows by induction on n. We note that

$$p^{m} = \mathcal{F}^{(m)}(\mathcal{V}^{(m)})^{\sigma^{m}} = \mathcal{V}^{(m)}(\mathcal{F}^{(m)})^{\sigma^{-m}}$$

for every natural number m.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let M be a Dieudonné module associated with a T satisfying the symmetry (6) and  $TT^{\sigma^i} = 0$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then for all  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$F^{2n+1}M \subset p^n M$$
 and  $V^{2n+1}M \subset p^n M$ .

We need a general lemma to understand ES(M) for each M as in Corollary 3.4. For a K[F, V]-submodule S of N = M/pM, there uniquely exists an A-submodule  $\widetilde{S}$  of M such that  $pM \subset \widetilde{S} \subset M$  and  $\widetilde{S}/pM = S$ . Indeed the W(K)-module

$$\widetilde{S} := \{ x \in M | x \bmod p \in S \}$$

is stable under the actions of F and V.

Lemma 3.5. We have

(1)  $\widetilde{V^{-1}S} = \frac{1}{p}(F\widetilde{S} \cap pM),$ 

(2)  $\widetilde{FS} = F\widetilde{S} + pM$ . In particular if  $VM \subset \widetilde{S}$ , then  $\widetilde{FS} = F\widetilde{S}$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from the direct calculation:

$$\widetilde{V^{-1}S} = \{x \in M | (x \mod p) \in V^{-1}S\} = \{x \in M | (Vx \mod p) \in S\}$$
  
=  $V^{-1}\{Vx \in VM | (Vx \mod p) \in S\} = V^{-1}(\tilde{S} \cap VM) = p^{-1}(F\tilde{S} \cap pM).$ 

Any element x of  $\widetilde{FS} = \{x \in M | x \mod p \in FS\}$  is of the form

$$x = pm + Fs$$

for some  $m \in M$  and for some  $s \in \tilde{S}$ . That is to say,  $\widetilde{FS} \subset F\tilde{S} + pM$ . Conversely  $F\tilde{S} + pM$  is contained in  $\widetilde{FS}$  by definition.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let M be as in Corollary 3.4. We have

(1)  $(\widetilde{V^{-1}F})^{j}N = \frac{1}{p^{j}}(F^{2j}M \cap pF^{2j-2}M \cap \dots \cap p^{j}M),$ (2)  $VM \subset (\widetilde{V^{-1}F})^{j}N$ 

for all  $j \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* First we show (1) implies (2). It suffices to show  $p^j VM \subset p^l F^{2j-2l}M$  for all integral number  $0 \leq l \leq j$ . It is equivalent to  $V^{2j-2l+1}M \subset p^{j-l}M$ , which holds by Corollary 3.4.

We show (1) by induction on j. For j = 0, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that this lemma is true for j - 1 with  $j \ge 1$ . Then it follows  $VM \subset (V^{-1}F)^{j-1}N$ .

Applying the second statement of Lemma 3.5 (2) for  $S = (V^{-1}F)^{j-1}N$ , we have

$$\widetilde{F(V^{-1}F)^{j-1}N} = F\left\{ (V^{-1}F)^{j-1}N \right\} = \frac{1}{p^{j-1}} (F^{2j-1}M \cap pF^{2j-3}M \cap \dots \cap p^{j-1}FM).$$
(10)

by the hypothesis of induction. Then by Lemma 3.5(1) and the equation (10), we have

$$(\widetilde{V^{-1}F})^{j}N = p^{-1}(F\left\{F(\widetilde{V^{-1}F})^{j}N\right\} \cap pM)$$
$$= \frac{1}{p^{j}}(F^{2j}M \cap pF^{2j-2}M \cap \dots \cap p^{j}M)$$

as required.

Since N = M/pM is of finite length, we have a stabilizing filtration

$$\cdots \subset (V^{-1}F)^2 N \subset (V^{-1}F) N \subset N.$$

Hence  $(V^{-1}F)^{\infty}N$  is defined. Let us introduce an invariant of N.

**Definition 3.7.** We set

$$c(N) := \dim N / (V^{-1}F)^{\infty} N.$$

**Remark 3.8.** By Proposition 3.6 (2), we have  $c(N) = \dim FN/F(V^{-1}F)^{\infty}N$  under the same assumption as in Proposition 3.6.

Let  $\mathcal{F}'^{(2n+2)}$  be a  $g \times g$ -matrix with entries in W(K):

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2n+2)}/p^n = \begin{pmatrix} p & p\varepsilon^{-1}(T+T^{\sigma^2}+\dots+T^{\sigma^{2n}})w\\ \varepsilon w(T^{\sigma}+T^{\sigma^3}+\dots+T^{\sigma^{2n+1}}) & p \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (11)

We denote by  $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{F}^{\prime(m)}$  the W(K)-submodule of M generated by entries of the vector

$$(X_1,\cdots,X_g,Y_1,\cdots,Y_g)\mathcal{F}'^{(m)}$$

Then immediately it follows from Proposition 3.6:

**Corollary 3.9.** Let M be as in Corollary 3.4 and N be M/pM. Then we obtain

$$(\widetilde{V^{-1}F})^{j}N = \frac{1}{p} \left( \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{F}^{\prime(2)} \cap \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{F}^{\prime(4)} \cap \dots \cap \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{F}^{\prime(2j)} \cap pM \right)$$
(12)

and therefore

$$\dim (V^{-1}F)^{j}N = g + \dim \ker \overline{T}^{\sigma} \cap \ker \overline{T}^{\sigma^{3}} \cap \dots \cap \ker \overline{T}^{\sigma^{2j-1}}$$

with  $\overline{T} := T \mod p$ .

*Proof.* The first statement is a paraphrase of Proposition 3.6 (1). For the second, we investigate the composite  $\phi$  of the natural inclusion and the natural projection:

$$\phi: (V^{-1}F)^j N \hookrightarrow N \to K < Y_1, \cdots, Y_q > .$$

By Proposition 3.6 (2) the map  $\phi$  is surjective, since  $Y_i \in VM$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, g$ . By the equations (11) and (12), the dimension of the kernel of  $\phi$  is calculated by using only the first g column vectors of  $\mathcal{F}^{(2i)}$   $(i = 1, \dots, j)$ . Explicitly it equals

$$\dim \ker \overline{T}^{\sigma} \cap \ker \overline{T}^{\sigma^3} \cap \dots \cap \ker \overline{T}^{\sigma^{2j-1}}$$

as required.

This corollary enable us to calculate the invariant c(N).

**Lemma 3.10.** Let c be an integer with  $0 \le c \le [g/2]$ . For a matrix  $T = (t_{ij})$  satisfying  $Tw = {}^t(Tw)$  with  $t_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \le g - c$  or j > c, we consider the Dieudonné module M associated with T. Then it follows  $c(N) \le c$  with N := M/pM.

*Proof.* For a matrix T as above, it is clear that  $TT^{\sigma^i} = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $c(N) \leq c$  follows immediately from Corollary 3.9.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let M be as in Corollary 3.4. Set  $\varphi := ES(M)$ . Then it follows

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(g - c(N)) = 0, \\ \varphi(g - c(N) + 1) = 1 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let j be the minimal integer such that  $(V^{-1}F)^{j}N = (V^{-1}F)^{\infty}N$ . Then  $(V^{-1}F)^{j+1}N =$  $(V^{-1}F)^j N$  implies  $F^2(V^{-1}F)^j N = 0$  and therefore  $\varphi(g - c(N)) = 0$ . Suppose  $\varphi(g - c(N) + 1) = 0$ . Then taking a final filtration

$$0 = N_0 \subset \cdots \subset N_g \subset \cdots \subset N_{2g} = N,$$

we have

$$FN_{g-c(N)+1} = 0. (13)$$

By the definition of j, it follows

$$N_{2g-c(N)} = (V^{-1}F)^j N \subsetneq N_{2g-c(N)+1} \subset (V^{-1}F)^{j-1} N.$$
(14)

Since  $FN_{2q-c(N)+1} = N_{q-c(N)+1}$  by Remark 3.8, the equation (13) implies

$$(V^{-1}F)N_{2g-c(N)+1} = N_{2g-c(N)+1},$$

which contradicts (14) and  $(V^{-1}F)^{j}N = (V^{-1}F)(V^{-1}F)^{j-1}N$ . Hence  $\varphi(g-c(N)+1)$  has to be 1. 

#### Moduli Space $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$ 4

In this section, we investigate the fine moduli space  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$ , which has already been introduced in [12, 9.11]. In particular we construct a finite étale morphism from  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  ( $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ ) to the space  $N_{g,c}$  of some matrices (see Definition 4.8 (i)). By using this morphism, we show that  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  is non-singular and irreducible (Corollary 4.10).

For each  $c \leq [g/2]$ , let  $\Lambda_c$  be the set of the equivalence classes of polarizations  $\mu$  on  $E^g$  such that ker  $\mu \simeq \alpha_p^{\oplus 2c}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** For  $\mu \in \Lambda_c$  let  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  be the fine moduli scheme of isogenies

$$\rho: (E^g, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$$

of polarized supersingular abelian varieties such that

- (i)  $\mu = \rho^* \lambda$ ,
- (ii)  $\lambda$  is a principal polarization.

For a given  $c \leq [g/2]$ , corresponding to the dual of  $(E^g, \mu)$ , we take a quasi-polarized superspecial Dieudonné module  $(M_1, \langle , \rangle_{M_1})$  satisfying  $M_1/M_1^t \simeq K^{\oplus 2c}$ , which will be shown to be unique up to isomorphism in Lemma 4.3 below.

**Definition 4.2.** For  $(M_1, \langle , \rangle_{M_1})$  as above, we define the moduli space  $\mathcal{N}_c$  of isogenies of quasi-polarized Dieudonné modules

$$(M, \langle , \rangle) \subset (M_1, \langle , \rangle_{M_1})$$

satisfying

- (i)  $\langle , \rangle$  is the restriction to M of  $\langle , \rangle_{M_1}$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle , \rangle$  is a principal quasi-polarization.

For each  $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ , there exists a purely inseparable morphism from  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  to  $\mathcal{N}_c$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$  by the same argument as [12, 7.4, 7.16], which is based on Li's theory of  $\alpha$ -sheaves ([11, §3]).

We define a non-commutative ring

$$H := W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})[F, V]/(F - V).$$
(15)

Let  $\hat{M}_1$  denote the skeleton of  $M_1$ , i.e.,

$$\hat{M}_1 := \{ v \in M_1 \mid (F - V)v = 0 \}.$$

Then  $\hat{M}_1$  is a *H*-module and we have  $M_1 = \hat{M}_1 \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})} W(K)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** The quasi-polarized superspecial Dieudonné module  $(M_1, \langle , \rangle_{M_1})$  as above has A-generators  $x_1, \dots, x_q$  with  $x_i \in \hat{M}_1$  such that

$$\langle x_i, F^2 x_{g+1-j} \rangle = \varepsilon \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle x_i, F x_{g+1-j} \rangle = 0 \quad for \ 1 \le i, j \le c, \langle x_i, F x_{g+1-j} \rangle = \varepsilon \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle x_i, x_{g+1-j} \rangle = 0 \quad for \ c < i, j \le [g/2], \langle x_i, F x_{g+1-j} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle x_i, x_{g+1-j} \rangle = 0 \quad otherwise.$$
 (16)

In particular, such  $(M_1, \langle , \rangle_{M_1})$  are unique up to isomorphism.

*Proof.* Applying [12, Proposition 6.1] and then [12, Remark 6.1], we have A-generators  $x_1, \dots, x_g$  as above.

**Definition 4.4.** We denote by  $\tilde{\Phi}_c = \tilde{\Phi}(M_1)$  the set of  $(x_1, \dots, x_g)$  with  $x_i \in \hat{M}_1$  satisfying (16). We say two elements  $(x_1, \dots, x_g)$  and  $(x'_1, \dots, x'_g)$  of  $\tilde{\Phi}(M_1)$  are equivalent if  $x_i \equiv x'_i \mod pM_1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, g$ . Let  $\Phi_c = \Phi(M_1)$  be a set of representatives of equivalence classes of elements of  $\tilde{\Phi}(M_1)$  inductively chosen such that  $(x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \Phi(M_1)$  implies  $(x_2, \dots, x_{g-1}) \in \Phi(M'_1)$  for the principally quasi-polarized superspecial Dieudonné module  $M'_1$  generated by  $x_2, \dots, x_{g-1}$ .

Since  $\tilde{M}_1/p\tilde{M}_1$  is a finite set, the set  $\Phi_c$  is finite.

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $\Theta = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$  be an element of  $\Phi_c$ . For an  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ -algebra R, let  $V^{\Theta}(R)$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{N}_c(R)$  consisting M which has generators  $X_1, \dots, X_g$  of the form

$$\begin{cases} X_i = x_i + \sum_{j=g-c+1}^{g} \alpha_{ij} x_j & \text{for } i = 1, \cdots, c, \\ X_i = x_i & \text{for } i = c+1, \cdots, g-c, \\ X_i = F x_i^{(p)} & \text{for } i = g-c+1, \cdots, g \end{cases}$$
(17)

with  $\alpha_{ij} \in W(R)$  and

$$\langle X_i, FX_{g+1-j}^{(p)} \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle X_i, X_j \rangle = 0$$
 (18)

for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq g$ .

First we show:

**Lemma 4.6.** The functor  $V^{\Theta}$  is represented by an affine space of dimension c(c+1)/2.

*Proof.* We show that  $V^{\Theta}$  is represented by

$$\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^4}[\xi_{ij}] / (\xi_{ij} - \xi_{g+1-j,g+1-i})$$
(19)

where the  $\xi_{ij}$  are variables corresponding to  $\overline{\alpha}_{ij} := \alpha_{ij} \mod p$ . Here the  $\alpha_{ij}$  are coefficients of  $X_i$ , see (17). Any element of  $V^{\Theta}$  is determined only by  $\overline{\alpha}_{ij}$ . It suffices to show for any element of  $V^{\Theta}$  as in (17) there are only relations  $\overline{\alpha}_{ij} = \overline{\alpha}_{g+1-j,g+1-i}$ . The relations come only from the conditions (18). The non-trivial relations are

$$\langle X_i, X_{q+1-j} \rangle = 0$$

for  $0 \le i \le c$  and  $g + 1 - c \le j \le g$ . Hence the calculation

$$\langle X_i, X_{g+1-j} \rangle = p^{-1} \left( \alpha_{ij} - \alpha_{g+1-j,g+1-i} \right)$$

ends the proof.

Let us denote the affine scheme (19) by the same symbol  $V^{\Theta}$ .

Lemma 4.7. It follows that

$$\mathcal{N}_c = \bigcup_{\Theta \in \Phi_c} V^{\Theta}.$$

*Proof.* The case of c = 0 is obvious because c = 0 implies M is a superspecial Dieudonné module. We suppose that  $c \ge 1$ .

We show this lemma by induction of g. Let R be an arbitrary  $F_{p^4}$ -algebra. Set  $A_R = W(R)H$ . Let  $M \subset M_1$  be an element of  $\mathcal{N}_c(R)$ . Choose a  $(x'_1, \dots, x'_g) \in \Phi_c$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a surjective homomorphism

$$M \to A_R x'_1.$$

Then we have a self-dual complex

$$C^{\cdot}: A_R F x'_q \to M \to A_R x'_1$$

We take the cohomology  $M' := H^1(C^{\cdot})$  of  $C^{\cdot}$ . It is a supersingular Dieudonné module equipped with the principal quasi-polarization. Moreover if we put  $M'_1 := A_R < x'_2, \cdots, x'_{g-1} >$ , it follows that  $(M' \subset M'_1)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{N}_{c-1}(R)$  for genus g-2. Then by the assumption of induction, there exist  $(x''_2, \cdots, x''_{g-1}) \in \Phi(M'_1)$  and generators  $X'_2, \cdots, X'_{g-1}$  of M' such that

$$\begin{cases} X'_i = x''_i + \sum_{j=g-c+1}^{g-1} \alpha'_{ij} x''_j & \text{ for } i = 2, \cdots, c, \\ X'_i = x''_i & \text{ for } i = c+1, \cdots, g-c, \\ X'_i = F x''_i^{(p)} & \text{ for } i = g-c+1, \cdots, g-1. \end{cases}$$

with  $\alpha'_{ij} \in W(R)$  and

$$\langle X'_i, FX'^{(p)}_{g+1-i} \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \langle X'_i, X'_j \rangle = 0$$

for all  $2 \leq i, j \leq g - 1$ .

By the definition of  $\Phi(M_1)$ , we can find an element  $(x_1, \dots, x_g)$  of  $\Phi(M_1)$  satisfying  $x_1 \equiv x'_1 \mod p$ ,  $x_g \equiv x'_q \mod p$  and  $x_i = x''_i$   $(2 \le i \le g - 1)$ .

The Dieudonné module Q generated by  $x_i (i = c+1, \dots, g-c)$  is a principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné submodule of M such that M/Q is a free Dieudonné module. We put  $X_g = Fx_g^{(p)}$ . For each i  $(2 \le i \le c)$ , we choose a lift  $X''_i$  of  $X'_i$  such that the coefficient of  $x_g$  is in W(R), since  $Fx_g^{(p)}$  is in M. Then there exists an element  $X''_1$  of M such that  $X''_1$  is mapped to  $x_1$ by the map  $M \to A_R x_1$ ,  $X''_1$  have not terms of  $x_i$   $(i = 2, \dots, g-c)$  and  $x_i$ -coefficients of  $X''_1$   $(i = g - c + 1, \dots, g)$  are in W(R). We can choose an element  $\beta$  of W(R) such that  $X_1 := X''_1 - \beta X_g$  satisfies  $\langle X_1, FX_1^{(p)} \rangle = 0$ . Set  $X_i = X''_i - \langle FX_1^{(p)}, X''_i \rangle X_g$  for  $i = 2, \dots, c$ . For  $g - c + 1 \le i \le g - 1$ , we put  $X_i = Fx_i^{(p)}$ . Thus we have generators  $X_1, \dots, X_g$  of M satisfying (17) and (18).

From now on, let us take generators  $X_1, \dots, X_g$  of M satisfying (17) and (18) for each point  $(M \subset M_1)$  of  $V^{\Theta}$ . Let R be an  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ -algebra. For a point  $(M \subset M_1) \in V^{\Theta}(R)$ , let us define a  $g \times g$ -matrix  $T = (t_{ij})$  by

$$FX_i^{(p)} - VX_i^{(p^{-1})} = \sum_{j=i+1}^g t_{ji}X_j.$$
(20)

Then  $t_{ij} \in W(R)$ . By the equation (18), the matrix Tw is automatically symmetric. We note that  $t_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \leq g - c$  or j > c. This means that M has a symplectic basis

$$\{X_1, \cdots, X_g, Y_1, \cdots, Y_g\}$$

for which the display of M is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}T & -\varepsilon^{-1}w\\ \varepsilon w & 0\end{array}\right)$$

with T of the form

For such a M, we can apply all results in Section 3.

**Definition 4.8.** (i) An affine scheme  $N_{q,c}$  is defined by

$$N_{g,c}(R) := \left\{ \mathfrak{T} = (\mathfrak{t}_{ij}) \in M_g(R) \mid \mathfrak{T}w = {}^t(\mathfrak{T}w), \ \mathfrak{t}_{ij} = 0 \ (i \le g - c \text{ or } j > c) \right\}$$

with  $w = (\delta_{i,g+1-j})$ .

(ii) Let  $h^{\Theta}$  denote the morphism from  $V^{\Theta}$  to  $N_{g,c}$  sending  $(M \subset M_1)$  to  $\overline{T} = (\overline{t}_{ij})$  defined by equation (20). Here  $\overline{t}_{ij} := t_{ij} \mod p$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** The morphism  $h^{\Theta}: V^{\Theta} \to N_{q,c}$  is finite and étale.

*Proof.* With the notation in the proof of Lemma 4.6, the morphism  $h^{\Theta}$  is given by

$$V^{\Theta} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^4}[\xi_{ij}] / (\xi_{ij} - \xi_{g+1-j,g+1-i}) \to N_{g,c} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^4}[\overline{t}_{ij}] / (\overline{t}_{ij} - \overline{t}_{g+1-j,g+1-i})$$

which on affine rings corresponds to the homomorphism sending  $\overline{t}_{ij}$  to  $\xi_{ij}^{p^2} - \xi_{ij}$  by the equations (20).

**Corollary 4.10.** The moduli space  $\mathcal{N}_c$  and therefore  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  ( $\forall \mu \in \Lambda_c$ ) are projective non-singular geometrically integral varieties of dimension c(c+1)/2.

*Proof.* The moduli space  $\mathcal{N}_c$  is a closed subvariety of the Grassmann variety  $\operatorname{Gr}_{c,2c}$ , since the condition of polarization is closed. Hence  $\mathcal{N}_c$  is projective.

Since  $\mathcal{N}_c$  is covered by affine space  $V^{\Theta}$ , it suffices to show  $\mathcal{N}_c$  is connected. We show this by induction of g. Indeed by the proof of Lemma 4.7,  $\mathcal{N}_c$  is a fiber space of  $\mathcal{N}_{c-1}$  for genus g-2. The fiber of each point is given by the fiber of  $V^{\Theta} \to V^{\Theta'}$  for some  $\Theta = \{x_1, \dots, x_g\}$  with  $\Theta' = \{x_2, \dots, x_{g-1}\}$ . Hence each fiber is identified with the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^c$  with coordinates  $\overline{\alpha}_{1i}$  $(i = g - c + 1, \dots, g)$ , which is connected.

We will use the next lemma when we construct the morphism  $\Psi_c$  mentioned in the introduction.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let c and c' be integers with  $c < c' \leq [g/2]$ . For any element  $\rho : (E^g, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$ of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}(K)$  with  $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ , there exists an element  $\rho' : (E^g, \mu') \to (Y, \lambda)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu'}(K)$  with  $\mu' \in \Lambda_{c'}$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show this lemma only for c' = c+1. Let M be the associated quasi-polarized Dieudonné module  $\mathbb{D}(Y)$ . By Lemma 4.7, corresponding to  $\rho^t : Y^t \to (E^g)^t$ , we have an inclusion

 $M \subset M_1$ 

with  $M_1$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_g$  for an element  $(x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \Phi_c$ .

Let us define elements  $x'_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, g)$  of  $M_1 \otimes \operatorname{frac}(W(K))$  by  $x'_{c'} = F^{-1}x_{c'}$  and  $x'_i = x_i$ for  $i \neq c'$  and denote by  $M'_1$  the principally quasi-polarized superspecial Dieudonné module generated by  $x'_1, \dots, x'_q$ . Then  $M \subset M'_1$  is an element of  $\mathcal{N}_{c'}$ .

Let  $f^t$  be the isogeny  $E^g \to E^g$  corresponding to  $M_1 \subset M'_1$ . Then

$$o \circ f : (E^g, \mu') \to (Y, \lambda)$$

with  $\mu' := (\rho \circ f)^* \lambda$  is an element of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu'}(K)$ .

**Definition 4.12.** For an elementary series  $\varphi$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}(\varphi)$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  consisting of

$$(E^g,\mu) \to (Y,\lambda)$$

with  $ES(Y) = \varphi$ .

In the next section, we will show that  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$  ( $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ ) for some  $c \leq [g/2]$ .

# 5 Ekedahl-Oort stratification contained in supersingular locus

In this section, we give a lower bound of number of irreducible components of Ekedahl-Oort strata contained in supersingular locus  $W_{\sigma}$ .

#### 5.1 Oort's criterion

In this subsection, we determine which  $S_{\varphi}$  is contained in supersingular locus  $W_{\sigma}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** For any principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné module M with  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$ with  $\varphi = ES(M)$ , we have

 $F^{2n+1}M \subset p^n M$  and  $V^{2n+1}M \subset p^n M$ 

for all  $n \geq 0$ . In particular M is supersingular.

*Proof.* Let M be a principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné module satisfying  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$  with  $\varphi = ES(M)$ . Put N = M/pM.

We show the next claim by induction of n. Claim. For any  $l \leq n$ , we have  $F^{2l+1}M \subset p^l M$ . Moreover it follows

(n-i) 
$$(V^{-1}F)^n FN = \sum_{l=0}^n p^{-l} F^{2l+1}M,$$

(*n*-ii) dim
$$(V^{-1}F)^n FN \le g + [(g+1)/2].$$

Proof of Claim. It is obvious for n = 0. Assume it holds for n. Let us compute  $(V^{-1}F)^{n+1}FN$ . Since  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$  implies

$$\varphi(g + [(g+1)/2]) = \varphi(2g - [g/2]) = g + \varphi([g/2]) - [g/2] = [(g+1)/2],$$

from (n-(ii)) we get

$$\dim F(V^{-1}F)^n FN \le [(g+1)/2]$$

and therefore  $F^2(V^{-1}F)^n FN = 0$ . This means

$$F\left\{F(\widetilde{V^{-1}F)^n}FN\right\} \subset pM \tag{21}$$

with

$$F(V^{-1}F)^n FN = pM + \sum_{l=0}^n p^{-l}F^{2l+2}M$$

by Lemma 3.5 (2). In particular, we have  $F^{2(n+1)+1}M \subset p^{n+1}M$ . Applying Lemma 3.5 (1) for  $S = F(V^{-1}F)^n FN$ , we obtain

$$(V^{-1}F)^{n+1}FN = \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} p^{-l}F^{2l+1}M.$$

By the inclusion (21), we have

$$\dim(V^{-1}F)^{n+1}FN = g + \dim F(V^{-1}F)^n FN,$$

which is at most g + [(g+1)/2].

For a Dieudonné submodule Q of M, let us denote by  $\perp Q$  the Dieudonné submodule of  $M \otimes \operatorname{frac}(W(K))$ :

$$\{v \in M \otimes \operatorname{frac}(W(K)) | \langle v, Q \rangle \subset pM \}.$$

Then by the inclusion  $F^{2n+1}M \subset p^n M$ , we obtain

$$V^{2n+1}M = p^{n-1}V^{2n+1}(p^{-n+1}M) = p^{n-1}V^{2n+1}(\perp p^n M)$$
  

$$\subset p^{n-1}V^{2n+1}(\perp F^{2n+1}M) = p^{n-1}V^{2n+1}(V^{-(2n+1)} \perp M)$$
  

$$= p^{n-1}(\perp M) = p^n M.$$

By  $[2, \text{Chapter IV } \S5]$ , the property

$$F^{2n+1}M \subset p^n M \quad (\forall n = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

implies that M is supersingular.

The following proposition is due to F. Oort<sup>1</sup>. Because his proof is not published, we give a proof here.

**Proposition 5.2 (Oort's criterion).** We have  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$  if and only if  $S_{\varphi} \subset W_{\sigma}$ .

Proof. If  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) \neq 0$ , then there exists a curve C with generic point in  $S_{\varphi}$  and a special point in  $S_{\varphi_d}$  with d < [(g+1)/2], where  $\varphi_d$  is the elementary series with exactly d zeros and (g-d) ones. Recall the intersection of  $S_{\varphi_d}$  and  $W_{\sigma}$  is empty, by the classification of p-divisible groups with a-number g-1 in [17, §8]. Since the supersingular locus  $W_{\sigma}$  is closed, it has to follow that  $S_{\varphi} \notin W_{\sigma}$ .

Suppose  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$ . Then every principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné module M with  $ES(M) = \varphi$  is supersingular by Lemma 5.1.

### 5.2 Construction of the morphism $\Psi_c$

Let us construct the morphism  $\Psi_c$  mentioned in the introduction.

Assume K is a perfect field containing  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** Let M be a principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné module over W(K) satisfying  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$  with  $\varphi := ES(M)$ . Then there exists a quasi-polarized superspecial Dieudonné module  $M_1$  such that  $(M \subset M_1)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{N}_c(K)$  for some c (see Definition 4.2 for  $\mathcal{N}_c$ ). Moreover we can take  $S^0(M)$  as  $M_1$ .

*Proof.* For any M as above, M is supersingular by Proposition 5.2. It suffices to show that  $S^0(M)/S_0(M)$  is a K-vector space.

Recall that  $V^{2n+1}M$  are contained in  $p^n M$  for all  $n \ge 0$  (Lemma 5.1). Then we have

$$F^{i}V^{g-1-i}M \subset F^{g-2}M \qquad 0 \le \forall i \le g-1.$$

$$\tag{22}$$

Indeed  $F^i V^{g-1-i} M \subset F^{g-2} M$  is equivalent to  $V^{2g-3-2i} \subset p^{g-2-i} M$ .

Since  $S^{0}(M) = F^{1-g}(F, V)^{g-1} M$  ([11, Corollary 1.7]), we have

$$FS^0(M) \subset M,$$

by the inclusion (22). Since  $S_0(M)$  is the biggest superspecial Dieudonné module contained in M, we have  $FS^0(M) \subset S_0(M)$ , which implies that  $S^0(M)/S_0(M)$  is a K-vector space.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Private communication.

**Definition 5.4.** We define a map  $\gamma$  from the set of principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné module over W(K) to  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  by

$$\gamma(M) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{length}_K S^0(M) / S_0(M).$$

**Proposition 5.5.** Let M be as in Proposition 5.3. Put N = M/pM. Then we have an equation

$$\gamma(M) = c(N).$$

(See Definition 3.7 for c(N).) In particular,  $\gamma(M)$  is an invariant of N.

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.3, we have  $(M \subset S^0(M)) \in \mathcal{N}_c(K)$  with  $c = \gamma(M)$ . By Lemma 4.7, we can choose A-generators  $X_1, \dots, X_g$  of M such that

- (i)  $X_1, \dots, X_g, Y_1, \dots, Y_g$  is a symplectic basis of M with  $Y_i := \varepsilon^{-1} V X_{g+1-i}$ ,
- (ii) for an element  $(x_1, \dots, x_g)$  of  $\Phi(S^0(M))$  (see Definition 4.4), we have

$$\begin{cases} X_i = x_i + \sum_{j=g-c+1}^g \alpha_{ij} x_j & \text{for } i = 1, \cdots, c, \\ X_i = x_i & \text{for } i = c+1, \cdots, g-c, \\ X_i = F x_i & \text{for } i = g-c+1, \cdots, g. \end{cases}$$

Recall  $S^0(M) = F^{1-g}(F, V)^{g-1}M$ . Namely  $S^0(M)$  is generated over W(K) by  $F^{-j}V^jX_i$  $(0 \le j \le g-1 \text{ and } i = 1, \cdots, g)$ . Then

$$S := \{X_1, \cdots, X_{g-c}, Fx_{g-c+1}, \cdots, Fx_g\}$$

and

$$F^{-l}V^{l-1}(F-V)X_i$$
  $(1 \le l \le g-1, \text{ and } i = 1, \cdots, g)$ 

generate  $S^0(M)$  over W(K).

By using the equations

$$(F - V)X_i = \sum_{j=g-c+1}^{g} t_{ji}Fx_j \qquad (1 \le i \le c),$$

the superspecial Dieudonné module  $S^0(M)$  is generated by elements of S and

$$F^{-l}V^{l-1}(F-V)X_i = \sum_{j=g-c+1}^{g} t_{ji}^{\sigma^{-(2l-1)}} x_j$$

for all  $l = 1, \dots, g - 1$ . Here the matrix  $T = (t_{ij})$  is of the form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{g-c+1,1} & \cdots & t_{g-c+1,c} \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ t_{g,1} & \cdots & t_{g,c} \end{pmatrix}$$

On the other hand,  $S^0(M)$  is generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_g, Fx_1, \dots, Fx_g$  over W(K). Hence the column vectors of all of

$$\overline{T}^{\sigma^{-1}}, \ \overline{T}^{\sigma^{-3}}, \ \cdots, \ \overline{T}^{\sigma^{-(2g-3)}}$$

generate a K-vector space of dimension c. This is equivalent to c(N) = c by Corollary 3.9 **Theorem 5.6.** For each  $c \leq \lfloor g/2 \rfloor$ , there exists a canonical quasi-finite surjective morphism

$$\Psi_c: \prod_{\mu \in \Lambda_c} \mathcal{T}_{\mu} \to \prod_{\varphi(g-c)=0} S_{\varphi}.$$

Here  $\coprod_{\varphi(g-c)=0} S_{\varphi}$  stands for the closed subscheme of the supersingular locus  $W_{\sigma}$  whose closed points correspond to abelian varieties Y satisfying  $\varphi(g-c) = 0$  with  $\varphi = ES(Y)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show the image of the canonical quasi-finite morphism

$$\coprod_{\mu \in \Lambda_c} \mathcal{T}_{\mu} \to W_{\sigma}$$

is precisely equal to

$$\coprod_{\varphi(g-c)=0} S_{\varphi}$$

Let  $E^g \to Y$  be a point of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}(K)$  ( $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ ) with a perfect field K. Set  $M := \mathbb{D}(Y)$  and N := M/pM. By Lemma 4.7, there exists a  $\Theta \in \Phi_c$  such that  $(M \subset M_1) \in V^{\Theta}(K)$ . Let  $T = (t_{ij})$  be the associated matrix  $h^{\Theta}(M \subset M_1)$  (see Definition 4.8 (ii)). By Proposition 3.11, we have  $\varphi(g - c(N)) = 0$ . Since  $c(N) \leq c$  (Lemma 3.10), we have  $\varphi(g - c) = 0$ .

Let  $(Y, \lambda)$  be a principally polarized abelian variety satisfying  $\varphi(g-c) = 0$  with  $\varphi := ES(M)$ and  $M := \mathbb{D}(Y)$ . Then the condition  $c \leq [g/2]$  implies  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$ . Applying Proposition 5.3, we get an element of  $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma(M)}$ :

$$M \subset S^0(M).$$

By Proposition 5.5 and Proposition 3.11, we have

$$\gamma(M) = c(N) \le c.$$

Hence  $(Y, \lambda)$  is in the image of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda_c$  by Lemma 4.11.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let  $(Y, \lambda)$  be a geometric point of  $W_{\sigma}$  in images of two different  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu'}$  with  $\mu, \mu' \in \Lambda_c$ . Then we have  $\gamma(\mathbb{D}(Y)) < c$ .

Proof. Assume  $\gamma(\mathbb{D}(Y))$  was equal to c. Let us denote by  $\rho_0$  the minimal isogeny  $E^g \to Y$ , which is unique up to isomorphism. By the assumption,  $\rho_0$  is of degree  $p^c$ . Set  $\mu_0 = \rho_0^* \lambda$ . Let  $\rho: (E^g, \mu) \to (Y, \lambda)$  be a point of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$ . Then  $\rho$  has to factor  $\rho_0$  by the minimality of  $\rho_0$ . Since  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho$  have the same degree,  $\rho$  and  $\rho_0$  are the same up to automorphism of  $E^g$ , that is to say,  $\mu$  is equivalent to  $\mu_0$ . By the same reason,  $\mu'$  is equivalent to  $\mu_0$ , which contradicts.

Let us recall the definition of class numbers of the quaternion unitary group G:

$$G = \{h \in GL_g(B) \mid {}^t\overline{h}h = \lambda(h)1_g, \lambda(h) \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

with the quaternion algebra B ramified only at p and  $\infty$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition 5.8.** For  $0 \le c \le [g/2]$ , the class number  $H_{g,c}$  is defined to be

Here  $P_{c,f}$  is the product of parahoric subgroups  $\prod_{l:\text{prime}} P_l$  defined by

$$\delta_l^{-1} P_l \delta_l := \{ h \in GL_g(\mathcal{O}_{B,l}) \mid {}^t \overline{h} f_l h = \lambda(h) f_l \}$$

where  $f_l = 1_g$ ,  $\delta_l = 1_g$  for  $l \neq p$  and

$$f_p := \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{g-2c}, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ -F & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \cdots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ -F & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_c)$$
(23)

with an element  $\delta_p$  of  $GL_g(\mathcal{O}_{B,p})$  satisfying  ${}^t\overline{\delta}_p\delta_p = f_p$ . For example, it suffices to take

$$\delta_p = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{g-2c}, \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} a & bF \\ b & aF \end{pmatrix}, \cdots, \begin{pmatrix} a & bF \\ b & aF \end{pmatrix}}_c)$$

where  $a, b \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$  is defined by  $a = y^{-1}$  and  $b = y^{-1}x$  with a solution  $(x, y) \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})^{\oplus 2}$  of

$$\begin{cases} x^{\sigma}x = -1, \\ y^{\sigma}y = x^{\sigma} + x \end{cases}$$

By using the class number  $H_{g,c}$ , we have a lower bound of the number of irreducible components of each Ekedahl-Oort stratum  $S_{\varphi}$  contained in supersingular locus  $W_{\sigma}$ .

**Proposition 5.9.** Assume  $\varphi(g-c) = 0$  and  $\varphi(g-c+1) = 1$  with  $c \leq \lfloor g/2 \rfloor$ . Then the number of irreducible components of  $S_{\varphi}$  is greater than or equal to the class number  $H_{g,c}$ .

Proof. For each  $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ , there is at least one irreducible component Z of  $S_{\varphi}$  such that there is a surjective map from an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}(\varphi)$  to Z. By Proposition 3.11, Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.7, there is no other  $\mu' \in \Lambda_c$  such that there is a surjective map from an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu'}(\varphi)$  to Z. Hence the number of irreducible components of  $S_{\varphi}$  is at least  $\sharp \Lambda_c$ .

By the same argument as  $[9, \S 2]$  and [12, Chapter 8], we have a canonical bijection

$$\Lambda_c \xrightarrow{\sim} G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_f) / P_{c,f}.$$

Hence the number  $\sharp \Lambda_c$  is equal to the class number  $H_{g,c}$ .

In the next section, it will be shown that the number of irreducible components of  $S_{\varphi}$  equals the class number  $H_{q,c}$ .

There is an estimate of the class number  $H_{g,c}$  by the mass  $\mathfrak{m}_{g,c}$  of G for genus  $f_p$  at p:

$$H_{g,c} \ge 2\mathfrak{m}_{g,c}.$$

Here the mass  $\mathfrak{m}_{q,c}$  is defined to be

$$\sum_{h \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbb{A}_f)/P_{c,f}} \frac{1}{\sharp (hP_{c,f}h^{-1} \cap G(\mathbb{Q}))},$$

which in fact termwise equals

$$\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_c} \frac{1}{\sharp \operatorname{Aut}(E^g, \mu)}.$$

Furthermore we have the mass formula:

**Lemma 5.10.** The mass  $\mathfrak{m}_{g,c}$  is equal to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{g} \frac{(2i-1)!\zeta(2i)}{(2\pi)^{2i}} \cdot \binom{g}{2c}_{p^2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{g-2c} (p^i + (-1)^i) \prod_{i=1}^{c} (p^{4i-2} - 1)$$

with the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  and the q-binomial coefficients

$$\binom{g}{r}_{q} := \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{g} (q^{i} - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} (q^{i} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{g-r} (q^{i} - 1)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q].$$

*Proof.* For g = 1, this is none other than Deuring's mass formula [3] because c has to be 0. Suppose  $g \ge 2$ . Since the class number  $M_g(B)$  is one for  $g \ge 2$  (Eichler [4] and also see [9, Theorem 2.1]), the mass  $\mathfrak{m}_{g,c}$  is equal to that associated with the group without similitude

$$G^1 = \{ h \in GL_g(B) \mid {}^t\overline{h}h = 1_g \}.$$

Applying Prasad's mass formula [19] to this group  $G^1$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{m}_{g,c} = \prod_{i=1}^{g} \frac{(2i-1)!}{(2\pi)^{2i}} \cdot \prod_{l \neq p} \frac{l^{g(2g+1)}}{\sharp Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{F}_l)} \cdot \frac{p^{(\dim \overline{M}_p + g(2g+1))/2}}{\sharp \overline{M}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)}$$
(24)

where  $\overline{M}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the Levi subgroup of  $\overline{P}_p := P_p \mod p$ . Then  $\overline{M}_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is isomorphic to the subgroup of  $GL_g(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$  consisting X satisfying

$${}^{t}X^{\sigma}f_{p}X = f_{p}$$

in  $M_g(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[F]/(Fa = a^{\sigma}F, a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}))$ . Then from the direct computation by using the block expression of matrices, we have

$$\overline{M}_p(\mathbb{F}_p) = U_{g-2c}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \times Sp_{2c}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$$

with the unitary group

$$U_m(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) := \{ A \in M_m(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) | {}^t A^{\sigma} A = 1_m \}$$

in the notation of [1]. In particular we have dim  $\overline{M}_p = (g-2c)^2 + 2(2c^2+c)$ . By the formulae

$$\sharp Sp_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^{m(2m+1)} \prod_{i=1}^m (1-q^{-2i}),$$
  
$$\sharp U_m(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) = q^{m^2} \prod_{i=1}^m (1-(-1)^i q^{-i}),$$

(see [1, Chapter 1] for example), we obtain the desired equality.

By Proposition 5.9 and Lemma 5.10, it follows:

**Corollary 5.11.** For any elementary series  $\varphi$  satisfying  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$ , the Ekedahl-Oort stratum  $S_{\varphi}$  is reducible for sufficient large p's.

**Remark 5.12.** In the notation of [12, 4.6,4.7],  $H_{g,0}$  is the class number  $H_g(p, 1)$  of G for the principal genus and  $H_{g,[g/2]}$  is the class number  $H_g(1, p)$  of G. Also compare Lemma 5.10 with the computation (Proposition 9 of [7] (I)) of the mass  $\mathfrak{m}_{g,0}$ . Although K. Hashimoto and T. Ibukiyama explicitly calculate  $H_{2,0}$  and  $H_{2,1}$  in [7], it seems difficult to get the explicit formula of class numbers  $H_{g,c}$  for higher g's. See [9], [10] and [8] for closer investigations for g = 2. However for any elementary series  $\varphi$  satisfying  $\varphi(g-c) = 0$  and  $\varphi(g-c+1) = 1$ , the number of irreducible components of  $S_{\varphi}$  as a stack is equal to the mass  $\mathfrak{m}_{g,c}$  (by using Theorem 6.19 below). In other words, for a natural number n such that (n, p) = 1 and  $n \geq 3$ , the number of irreducible components of a variant  $S_{\varphi,n}$  with level n-structure is equal to  $\sharp Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \mathfrak{m}_{g,c}$ .

#### 5.3 Examples

In this subsection, we give some examples of  $S_{\varphi}$  contained in  $W_{\sigma}$ . By using such examples, we can give a geometric proof of Proposition 3.11, which was used only in the proof of Theorem 5.6.

**Lemma 5.13.** For a natural number r less than or equal to [g/2], let M be a supersingular Dieudonné module associated with a  $g \times g$ -matrix  $T = (t_{ij})$  of rank r with  $t_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \leq g - r$  or for j > r. Then we have

$$ES(M) = \varphi_r^{\mathrm{top}}$$

with

$$\varphi_r^{\operatorname{top}} := (0, \cdots, 0, 1, 2, \cdots, r).$$

Furthermore we have  $\gamma(M) = r$ .

*Proof.* By  $\operatorname{rk} T = r$  and Corollary 3.9, we see that  $F(V^{-1}F)(M/pM)$  is generated by

$$FX_{r+1}, \cdots, FX_q$$

and therefore

$$\dim F(V^{-1}F)(M/pM) = g - r$$
  
 $F^{2}(V^{-1}F)(M/pM) = 0.$ 

Since T is of rank r, we have  $\varphi(g) = r$  and therefore ES(M) has to be  $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 2, \dots, r)$ .

**Corollary 5.14.** For the generic point  $(E^g \to \mathcal{Y})$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$   $(\mu \in \Lambda_c)$ , we obtain

$$ES(\mathcal{Y}) = \varphi_c^{\mathrm{top}}.$$

Next we investigate, for  $c \leq [g/2]$ , the Ekedahl-Oort stratum with elementary series

$$\varphi_c^{\text{bot}} := (0, \cdots, 0, \underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{c}). \tag{25}$$

Let  $T(t_1, \dots, t_c)$  be  $g \times g$ -matrix of rank 1 for  $t_1, \dots, t_c \in W(K)$  with  $t_1 \neq 0$ :

$$T(t_1, \cdots, t_c) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_c & \cdots & t_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ t_c^2/t_1 & \cdots & t_c \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (26)

Also we introduce a polynomial  $J(\overline{t}_1, \dots, \overline{t}_c)$  in  $\overline{t}_1, \dots, \overline{t}_c$  with  $\overline{t}_i := t_i \mod p$ :

$$J(\overline{t}_1, \cdots, \overline{t}_c) := \det \begin{pmatrix} \overline{t}_1 & \overline{t}_2 & \cdots & \overline{t}_c \\ \overline{t}_1^{\sigma^2} & \overline{t}_2^{\sigma^2} & \cdots & \overline{t}_c^{\sigma^2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \overline{t}_1^{\sigma^{2c-2}} & \overline{t}_2^{\sigma^{2c-2}} & \cdots & \overline{t}_c^{\sigma^{2c-2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (27)

**Lemma 5.15.** Assume  $M = M_T$  for  $T = T(t_1, \dots, t_c)$  and  $J(\overline{t}_1, \dots, \overline{t}_c) \neq 0$ . Then

- (1)  $\dim(V^{-1}F)^j N = 2g j$  for  $j = 0, 1, \cdots, c$ ,
- (2) dim  $F(V^{-1}F)^j N = g j$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, c$ ,
- (3) dim  $F^2(V^{-1}F)^j N = 1$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, c-1$ ,

$$(4) \ F^2 (V^{-1}F)^c N = 0.$$

*Proof.* (1) By Corollary 3.9 and the assumption  $J(\overline{t}_1, \dots, \overline{t}_c) \neq 0$ , it follows

$$\dim(V^{-1}F)^{j}N = g + \dim \ker \overline{T}^{\sigma} \cap \ker \overline{T}^{\sigma^{3}} \cap \dots \cap \ker \overline{T}^{\sigma^{2j-1}}$$
  
= 2g - j.

- (2) immediately follows from (1) and Proposition 3.6 (2).
- (3) Since we have

$$\dim F^2 (V^{-1}F)^{c-1} N \le \dim F^2 (V^{-1}F)^j N \le \dim F^2 N = 1$$

for all  $0 \le j \le c-1$ , it suffices to show  $F^2(V^{-1}F)^{c-1}N \ne 0$ . By Lemma 3.5 (2) and Proposition 3.6 (2), we see

$$\{\widetilde{F^2(V^{-1}F)^{c-1}N}\} = \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{F}'^{(2)} \cap \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{F}'^{(4)} \cap \dots \cap \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{F}'^{(2c)} + pM.$$

This is not contained in pM by the assumption  $J(\overline{t}_1, \dots, \overline{t}_c) \neq 0$ .

(4) Since  $F(V^{-1}F)^c N$  is generated by  $FX_{c+1}, \cdots, FX_g$  by Corollary 3.9 and

$$FX_i = VX_i$$

for all  $i = c+1, \cdots, g$ , we have  $F(V^{-1}F)^c N = V(V^{-1}F)^c N$  and therefore  $F^2(V^{-1}F)^c N = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

By the lemma above and Definition 2.6, we obtain:

**Proposition 5.16.** Let  $T = T(t_1, \dots, t_c)$  and  $M_T$  be the associated Dieudonné module. If  $J(\overline{t}_1, \dots, \overline{t}_c) \neq 0$ , then it follows that

$$ES(M_T) = \varphi_c^{\text{bot}}$$

We also have  $\gamma(M_T) = c$ .

**Corollary 5.17.** There exists a quasi-finite surjective morphism from  $T'_{2c}$  defined in the proof of [12, Proposition 9.11] to each connected component of  $S_{\varphi_c^{\text{bot}}}$ . Moreover we have a finite étale morphism from  $T'_{2c}$  to

Spec 
$$\mathbb{F}_{p^4}\left[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_c, \frac{1}{J(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_c)}\right]$$
.

Let us re-prove Proposition 3.11.

**Lemma 5.18.** For an integer  $n \geq 3$  with (n, p) = 1, let  $W_{\sigma,n}$  be the supersingular locus in  $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,n}$ . We denote by  $\Omega_n$  the subvariety of  $W_{\sigma,n}$  consisting abelian varieties with elementary series  $\varphi$  satisfying  $\varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0$ . Let f be a morphism from an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme S to  $\Omega_n$  and  $\mathcal{X} \to S$  be the corresponding family of principally polarized supersingular abelian varieties with level n structure. Then the map  $\gamma$  from S to  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  sending  $s \in S$  to

$$\gamma(s) := \gamma(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{s}})) = \frac{1}{2} \deg(S^0(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{s}}) \to S_0(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{s}}))$$

is lower semi-continuous. Here  $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{s}}$  is the abelian variety  $\mathcal{X}_{s} \otimes_{k(s)} \overline{k(s)}$ .

*Proof.* By a version with level structure of Proposition 5.3, there is a proper surjective morphism

$$\coprod_{\mu \in \Lambda_{[g/2],n}} \mathcal{T}_{\mu} \to \Omega_n$$

where  $\Lambda_{[g/2],n}$  is the set

{polarizations 
$$\mu$$
 on  $E^g \mid \ker(\mu) \simeq \alpha_p^{2c}$ }/ $\operatorname{Aut}(E^g, \theta)$ 

with level *n*-structure  $\theta$ . Then it suffices to show that only for families on  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$ .

By definition, closed points of an affine open subvariety  $V^{\Theta}$  of  $\mathcal{N}_{[g/2]}$  correspond to principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné modules generated by

$$X_i = \sum_{j \ge i} \alpha_{ij} F^e x_j \qquad (e = 0 \text{ or } 1)$$

with  $\alpha_{ij} \in A$  and  $\alpha_{ii} = 1$  (see the equations (17)).

For any quasi-polarized superspecial Dieudonné module N, the condition

 $M \subset N$ 

is equivalent to

$$X_i \in N$$
 for all  $i = 0, \cdots, g - 1$ ,

which is a closed condition in the parameter space of  $\alpha_{ij}$ . Since  $M \subset N$  implies  $\gamma(M) \leq$ length N/M and for each non-negative integer m there are only finitely many superspecial Dieudonné modules N satisfying  $M \subset N \subset M \otimes \operatorname{frac} W(K)$  and length N/M = m, we have the semi-continuity of  $\gamma$ .

**Lemma 5.19.** For each elementary series  $\varphi$  satisfying

$$\varphi(g-c) = 0, \qquad \varphi(g-c+1) = 1,$$
(28)

any generic point  $\eta$  of  $S_{\varphi}$  satisfies

 $\gamma(\eta) = c.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  satisfy the equations (28). It follows

$$\varphi_c^{\text{bot}} \prec \varphi \prec \varphi_c^{\text{top}}.$$

Then by [17, Proposition 11.1], the Zariski closure of any irreducible component of  $S_{\varphi}$  contains an irreducible component of  $S_{\varphi_c^{\text{bot}}}$  and the Zariski closure of any irreducible component of  $S_{\varphi_c^{\text{top}}}$ contains an irreducible component of  $S_{\varphi}$ . Using the last statements in Lemma 5.13 and Proposition 5.16, at the generic point  $\eta$  of each irreducible component of  $S_{\varphi}$ , we have  $\gamma(\eta) = c$ , by Lemma 5.18.

**Proposition 5.20.** For any point  $s = (Y, \lambda)$  of  $S_{\varphi}$  with  $\varphi$  satisfying (28), we have  $\gamma(s) = c$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 5.5 means the invariant  $\gamma(s) = \gamma(\mathbb{D}(Y \otimes_K \overline{K}))$  of a principally polarized abelian variety Y over K is determined by the isomorphism class of Y[p]. Then by Proposition 5.19, it follows

$$\gamma(s) = \gamma(\eta) = c$$

with  $\eta$  in Proposition 5.19.

# 6 The number of irreducible components of $S_{\varphi}$

In this section, we prove the main theorem (Theorem 6.19) mentioned in the introduction.

Given an elementary series  $\varphi$ , we have to determine what kind of matrix T gives  $M_T$  such that  $\varphi = \varphi(M_T)$ . It seems difficult to write down explicitly which T is associated with  $M_T$  with  $\varphi = \varphi(M_T)$ . (It was possible for the two cases:  $\varphi = \varphi_c^{\text{bot}}$  and  $\varphi_c^{\text{top}}$ . See Lemma 5.13 and Proposition 5.16.) However it is relatively easy to determine the form of the matrix giving the Dieudonné module associated with each generic point of  $S_{\varphi}$ . This is done by introducing the subspace  $L_s$  of  $N_{g,c}$ . (Here s is a combinatorial data determined by  $\varphi$ .) The main theorem follows from a close investigation of the structure of  $L_s$ , for example the a-number stratification on  $L_s$ .

We will use three sets:

**Definition 6.1.** (i)  $I_g = \{\varphi : \text{ elementary series } | \varphi([(g+1)/2]) = 0\}.$ 

- (ii)  $J_g = \{(r; s_1, \dots, s_r) \mid \sum_{i=1}^r s_i \leq [g/2], s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \ (\forall i = 1, \dots, r)\}.$  For an element of  $s = (r; s_1, \dots, s_r)$  of  $J_g$ , we put  $s_0 = g \sum_{j=1}^r s_j$ .
- (iii) Let  $P_g$  be the set of monotonically increasing functions  $\pi$  satisfying  $\pi(1) = 0$ ,  $\pi(g) \pi(g 1) \le [g/2]$  and for all  $a = 2, \dots, g 1$

$$\pi(a+1) - \pi(a) > \pi(a) - \pi(a-1)$$

unless  $\pi(a) = 0$ .

There are canonical bijections  $\nu: I_g \to J_g$  and  $\beta: J_g \to P_g$  defined as:

**Definition 6.2.** (i) Let  $\nu$  denote the map from  $I_g$  to  $J_g$  sending  $\varphi$  to

$$\nu(\varphi) := (r(\varphi); s_1(\varphi), \cdots, s_r(\varphi))$$

defined by

$$\begin{cases} r(\varphi) := \varphi(g), \\ s_i(\varphi) := \sharp \{ j \in \{1, \cdots, g\} \mid \varphi(j) = i \}. \end{cases}$$

(ii) Let  $\beta$  be the map from  $J_g$  to  $P_g$  sending  $s = (r; s_1, \cdots, s_r)$  to  $\beta_s$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} \beta_s(a) := 0 & \text{for } a \le g - r, \\ \beta_s(a) := \sum_{i=0}^{a-g+r-1} (a - g + r - i)s_{r-i} & \text{for } a > g - r. \end{cases}$$

Let us introduce an invariant of elementary series  $\varphi$ .

**Definition 6.3.** We define a map

$$\alpha: I_g \to \operatorname{Map}(\{1, \cdots, g\}, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

in the following way. For an element  $\varphi$  of  $I_g$ , we associate  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  defined by

$$\alpha_{\varphi}(a) := \operatorname{codim}_{\overline{S}_{\varphi}} \overline{S}_{\varphi}(a)$$

with a-number loci  $\overline{S}_{\varphi}(a) = \overline{S}_{\varphi} \cap T_a$  on  $\overline{S}_{\varphi}$ . Here  $T_a$  is the closed subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}_g$  consisting of principally polarized abelian variety X with  $a(X) \ge a$  (see [5] for the structure of  $T_a$ ).

In this section, we will show that  $\alpha = \beta \circ \nu$ . First we see:

**Lemma 6.4.** For any  $\varphi \in I_g$ , we have

$$\alpha_{\varphi}(a) \le \beta_{\nu(\varphi)}(a) \tag{29}$$

for all a.

*Proof.* By [17, Proposition 11.1],  $\overline{S}_{\varphi}(a)$  contains  $\overline{S}_{\varphi'}$  with elementary series  $\varphi'$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} \varphi'(j) = \varphi(j) & \text{if } \varphi(j) \le g - a \\ \varphi'(j) = g - a & \text{if } \varphi(j) > g - a \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have  $\operatorname{codim}_{\overline{S}_{\varphi}} \overline{S}_{\varphi'} = \beta_{\nu(\varphi)}(a)$  by loc. cit.

**Definition 6.5.** Let V be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space of dimension g. Fix a flag

$$\{0\} = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_g = V$$

with dim  $V_l = l$  and a basis  $X_{g+1-l}, \dots, X_g$  of  $V_l$  for each  $l = 0, \dots, g$ . For any  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra R, we denote by  $V_R$  and  $V_{l,R}$  by  $V \otimes R$  and  $V_l \otimes R$  respectively.

(i) For  $s = (r; s_1, \dots, s_r) \in J_g$ , we define the closed subscheme  $L_s$  of  $N_{g,c}$  as follows. For any  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra R, the set  $L_s(R)$  of R-valued points consists of  $T \in N_{g,c}(R)$  satisfying

$$\dim_{R/m}(V_{g-c_i,R}T \mod mV) \le i-1$$

for any  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$  and for any maximal ideal m of R with  $c := c_1$  and

$$\begin{cases} c_i := s_i + s_{i+1} + \dots + s_r & (i = 1, 2, \dots, r), \\ c_{r+1} := 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $V_{l,R}T$  stands for the image of  $V_{l,R}$  by the right multiplication of the matrix  $T = (t_{ij})$ :

$$X_i \cdot T := \sum_{j=1}^g t_{ji} X_j$$

(ii) Let  $L_s^0$  denote subvariety of  $L_s$  whose *R*-valued points correspond to matrices

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{r} T(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \cdots, a_{i,c_i})$$

with  $a_{i1} \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  and  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  for all i, j. Here  $T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_c)$  is the matrix of rank 1 defined as the equation (26).

**Remark 6.6.** In general, for each elementary series  $\varphi$ , the action of  $T \in L^0_s$   $(s = \nu(\varphi))$  on

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_g$$

does not coincide with the action of F on the lower half of a final filtration (see §2.3) for M with  $ES(M) = \varphi$ :

$$0 = N'_0 \subset N'_1 \subset \cdots \subset N'_q.$$

All that we can say is

$$\dim V_l T = \max\{ i \mid s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_i \le l \} = \varphi(l) = \dim FN'_l.$$

**Proposition 6.7.** (1)  $L_s^0$  is dense in  $L_s$ .

- (2) For any  $s = (r; s_1, \dots, s_r) \in J_q$ , the variety  $L_s$  is irreducible and of dimension  $\beta_s(g)$ .
- (3) Let  $L_s(a)$  be the subvariety of  $L_s$  consisting of elements T of rank g a. Then we have

$$\operatorname{codim}_{L_s} L_s(a) = \beta_s(a)$$

*Proof.* By definition,  $L_s^0$  is isomorphic to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} (\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^{c_i - 1}).$$

Hence (2) immediately follows from (1), since we have in general

$$\sum_{i=g-a+1}^r c_i = \beta_s(a).$$

We show (1) by induction of r. For an element T of  $L_s^0$ , let l be the maximal integer such that  $X_l T \neq 0$ . There are two cases.

**Case 1:**  $X_l T = \sum_{j=g-l+1}^g t_{g+1-j} X_j$  with  $t_l \neq 0$ . Put

$$T' := T - T(t_l, t_{l-1}, \cdots, t_1).$$

Then T' is in  $L_{s'}$  with  $s' = (r-1; s_2, \cdots, s_r)$ . By the hypothesis of induction, T' has a generalization  $\mathcal{T}'_x$  to  $L^0_{s'}$   $(x \in \overline{K})$ . Namely  $\mathcal{T}'_0 = T'$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_x \in L^0_{s'}$  for  $x \neq 0$ . We construct a generalization  $\mathcal{T}''_x$  of  $T(t_l, t_{l-1}, \cdots, t_1)$  to  $L^0_{(1;c_1)}$  by adding  $x^2$  to the  $(g - c_1 + c_2)$ 

 $1, c_1$ )-th entry,

$${}^{t}(0,\cdots,0,\sqrt{t_{l}}x,\frac{t_{l-1}}{\sqrt{t_{l}}}x,\cdots,\frac{t_{1}}{\sqrt{t_{l}}}x)$$

to the  $c_1$ -th column vector and

$$\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{t_l}}x, \cdots, \frac{t_{l-1}}{\sqrt{t_l}}x, \sqrt{t_l}x, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$$

to the  $(g - c_1 + 1)$ -th row vector.

Then we have a generalization  $\mathcal{T}_x := \mathcal{T}'_x + \mathcal{T}''_x$  of T to  $L^0_s$ . Case 2:  $X_l T = \sum_{j=g-l'+1}^g t_{g+1-j} X_j$  with  $t'_l \neq 0$  and l' < l. Then l' has to be at most  $c_2$ . Let

$$T(t_1, \cdots, t_{l'}; t_{l'+1}, \cdots, t_{l'+l-1})$$

be the matrix of rank 2 with the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_1 & \cdots & t_{l'} & & \\ & \vdots & & \\ t_{l'+l-1} & \cdots & t_l & \cdots & t_{l'} \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & 0 \\ & & & t_{l'+l-1} & & t_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Set  $T' = T - T(t_1, \cdots, t_{l'}; t_{l'+1}, \cdots, t_{l'+l-1}).$ 

As a generalization of  $T(t_1, \dots, t_{l'}; t_{l'+1}, \dots, t_{l'+l-1})$ , we can take matrix  $\mathcal{T}''_x$  of rank 2 with the same (i, j)-th entries at  $i \leq g - l' + 1$  or  $j \geq l'$  as

$$T(t_1, \cdots, t_{l'}; t_{l'+1}, \cdots, t_{l'+l-1}) + xT(t_{l'}, t_{l'+1}, \cdots, t_{l-1}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{l'}).$$

Then we have a generalization  $\mathcal{T}_x := T' + \mathcal{T}''_x$  of T to matrices of Case 1.

Let us prove (3). For any  $s = (r; s_1, \dots, s_r) \in J_g$ , by the proof of (1), any matrix T of rank g - a in  $L_s$  has a generalization to a family of elements of  $L_{s'}^0$  with

$$s' := (g - a; s_1, \cdots, s_{g-a-1}, s'_{g-a}), \qquad s'_{g-a} := \sum_{j=g-a}^r s_j.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{codim}_{L_s} L_s(a) = \dim L_s - \dim L_{s'} = \beta_s(a).$$

**Definition 6.8.** For an element  $s = (r; s_1, \dots, s_r)$  of  $J_g$ , we define an elementary series  $\mathcal{ES}(s)$  as the elementary series of the generic point of  $L_s$ . Then we have a well-defined map

$$\mathcal{ES}: J_g \to I_g.$$

We will use the important fact:

**Proposition 6.9.** Let  $\varphi' = \mathcal{ES}(s)$  for  $s \in J_g$ . Then all Dieudonné modules with elementary series  $\varphi'$  have displays

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \tilde{T} & -\varepsilon^{-1}w \\ \varepsilon w & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

for some  $T \in L^0_s$  where  $\tilde{T}$  is a lift of T. Moreover the set of such T's is dense in  $L^0_s$ .

In order to show this proposition, we need two lemmas:

**Lemma 6.10.** Let  $(M \subset M_1)$  be an element of  $V^{\Theta}(K)$  which is mapped to  $T \in N_{g,c}(K)$  through the map in Definition 4.8 (ii). With the notation of Definition 4.5, the first cohomology  $M' := H^1(C)$  of the self-dual complex

$$C^{\cdot}: AFx_q \to M \to Ax_1,$$

which is a principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné module of genus g-2, is associated with the matrix  $\tilde{T}'$  which is obtained by removing the first and the last column vectors and the top and the bottom row vectors from  $\tilde{T}$ .

Proof of Lemma 6.10. It obviously follows from the definition of the morphism  $h^{\Theta}: V^{\Theta} \to N_{g,c}$  (Definition 4.8).

In the next lemma, for a principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné module M, if we say

$$C^{\cdot}: Ay \to M \to Ax$$

is a self-dual complex, it is supposed to satisfy, in addition to the self-duality,

- (i) (F V)x = 0 and (F V)y = 0,
- (ii)  $M \to Ax$  is surjective and  $Ay \to M$  is injective,
- (iii)  $H^1(C^{\cdot})$  is a free Dieudonné module.

**Lemma 6.11.** For given elementary series  $\varphi$  of length g and  $\varphi'$  of length g-2, assume there exist a principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné module M and a self-dual complex

$$C^{\cdot}: \quad Ay \to M \to Ax$$

such that we have

$$\begin{cases} ES(M) = \varphi, \\ ES(H^1(C^{\cdot})) = \varphi'. \end{cases}$$

Then for any principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné module  $M_0$  with  $ES(M_0) = \varphi$ , there exists a self-dual complex

$$C_0^{\cdot}: Ay_0 \to M_0 \to Ax_0$$

such that

$$ES(H^1(C_0^{\cdot})) = \varphi'.$$

Proof of Lemma 6.11. For any  $M_0$  as above, since  $ES(M_0) = \varphi$ , we have an isomorphism

$$M_0/pM_0 \simeq M/pM.$$

By the existence of complex  $C: Ay \to M \to Ax$ , we have a self-dual complex

$$C_{0,p}^{\cdot}: Ay/pAy \to M_0/pM_0 \to Ax/pAx.$$

Taking a lift  $M_0 \to Ax_0$  of  $M_0/pM_0 \to Ax/pAx$  and combining its dual, say  $Ay_0 \to M_0$ , we have a self-dual complex

$$C_0^{\cdot}: \quad Ay_0 \to M_0 \to Ax_0,$$

which is a lift of  $C_{0,p}^{\cdot}$ . Since  $H^1(C_0^{\cdot}) \mod p = H^1(C_{0,p}^{\cdot})$ , we have  $ES(H^1(C_0^{\cdot})) = \varphi'$ .

*Proof.* Let us show Proposition 6.9 by induction of g. For the generic point of  $L_s^0$ , the associated Dieudonné module  $\mathcal{M}$  has the elementary series  $\varphi' = \mathcal{ES}(s)$  by definition. We have a self-dual complex

$$C^{\cdot}: AFx_q \to \mathcal{M} \to Ax_1$$

for some  $(x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \Phi_{c_1}$  and the matrix of  $\mathcal{M}' := H^1(C)$  gives the generic point of  $L^0_{s'}$  with

$$\begin{cases} s' := (r; s_1, \cdots, s_{r-1}, s_r - 1) & \text{if } s_r > 1, \\ s' := (r - 1; s_1, \cdots, s_{r-1}) & \text{if } s_r = 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $r = ES(\mathcal{M})(g)$ , by Lemma 6.10. By the hypothesis of induction, all principally quasipolarized supersingular Dieudonné modules M' with the same elementary series as  $\mathcal{M}'$  correspond to elements of  $L^0_{s'}$ .

By Lemma 6.11, for any principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné module M with the same elementary series as  $\mathcal{M}$ , there exists a self-dual complex

$$C_0^{\cdot}: Ay \to M \to Ax$$

such that  $H^1(C_0)$  is associated with an element of  $L_{s'}^0$ .

Then, by Lemma 6.10 and the fact that  $ES(M_{\tilde{T}})(g) = \operatorname{rk} T$  for any T, the Dieudonné module M has to be associated with a matrix in  $L_s^0$  for a certain element  $(x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \Phi_{c_1}$  with  $x_1 = x$  and  $Fx_g = y$ ,

The task we should do is to show that  $\nu(\varphi') = s$  in Proposition 6.9, that is,  $\nu \circ \mathcal{ES} = \mathrm{id}_{J_g}$ . The next is the key for this purpose.

**Proposition 6.12.** We have an equality:  $\beta = \alpha \circ \mathcal{ES}$ .

*Proof.* For  $s \in J_g$ , let  $\varphi' := \mathcal{ES}(s)$ . By Theorem 5.6 and Definition 4.12, there is a quasi-finite surjective morphism

$$\coprod_{\mu \in \Lambda_{c'}} \mathcal{T}_{\mu}(\varphi') \to S_{\varphi}$$

with c' satisfying  $\varphi'(g-c') = 0$  and  $\varphi'(g-c'+1) = 1$ , and a finite étale morphism

$$V^{\Theta} \to N_{q,c'}$$

for an affine covering  $\mathcal{N}_{c'} := \bigcup_{\Theta \in \Phi_{c'}} V^{\Theta}$ . Let  $V_{\varphi'}^{\Theta}$  be the inverse image of the closed subscheme  $L_s$  of  $N_{g,c'}$ . Corresponding to  $V_{\varphi'}^{\Theta}$ , we have a subscheme  $U_{\mu,\varphi'}^{\Theta}$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  for each  $\mu \in \Lambda_{c'}$ . By Proposition 6.9, we have a quasi-finite surjective morphism

$$\coprod_{\mu\in\Lambda_{c'}}\coprod_{\Theta\in\Phi_{c'}}U^{\Theta}_{\mu,\varphi'}\to\overline{S}_{\varphi'}$$

Hence in order to see the codimension  $\alpha_{\varphi'}(a)$  of  $\overline{S}_{\varphi'}(a)$  in  $\overline{S}_{\varphi'}$ , it suffices to investigate that of the *a*-number locus  $V_{\varphi'}^{\Theta}(a)$  in  $V_{\varphi'}^{\Theta}$  and therefore that of  $L_s(a)$  in  $L_s$ . It has already been calculated in Proposition 6.7 (3). Namely it follows

$$\alpha_{\varphi'}(a) = \operatorname{codim}_{L_s} L_s(a) = \beta_s(a)$$

for all  $a = 1, \cdots, g$ .

**Corollary 6.13.** (1) The map  $\alpha$  is injective and the image of  $\alpha$  is  $P_g$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{ES}$  is a bijection.

By Corollary 6.13, we obtain a commutative diagram of bijections:

$$J_g \xrightarrow{\mathcal{ES}} I_g \xrightarrow{\alpha} P_g$$

$$\nu \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \xi$$

$$J_g \xrightarrow{\beta} P_g$$

$$(30)$$

where  $\xi$  is defined to be  $\beta \circ \nu \circ \alpha^{-1}$ .

In order to prove that  $\xi$  is the identity map, we introduce a partial order on  $P_g$ .

**Definition 6.14.** For two element  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  of  $P_g$ , we write  $\pi_1 \leq \pi_2$  if and only if  $\pi_1(a) \leq \pi_2(a)$  for all  $a = 1, \dots, g$ .

**Lemma 6.15.** We have  $\xi(\pi) \ge \pi$  for all  $\pi \in P_g$ .

*Proof.* This is none other than Lemma 6.4, i.e.,  $\alpha_{\varphi} \leq \beta_{\nu(\varphi)}$ .

The next obvious lemma says that  $\xi$  is the identity map and therefore  $\alpha_{\varphi} = \beta_{\nu(\varphi)}$ .

**Lemma 6.16.** Let (P, <) be a finite partial ordered set. Any bijective map

$$\xi: P \to P$$

satisfying  $\xi(\pi) \ge \pi$  for all  $\pi \in P$ , is the identity map.

**Proposition 6.17.**  $\nu \circ \mathcal{ES} = \mathrm{id}_{J_q}$ .

*Proof.* It immediately follows from Proposition 6.12 and the commutative diagram (30), since  $\xi$  is the identity map.

**Corollary 6.18.** For a non-negative integer c at most [g/2], let  $\varphi$  be an elementary series satisfying  $\varphi(g-c) = 0$  and  $\varphi(g-c+1) = 1$ . Any principally quasi-polarized supersingular Dieudonné module M with  $ES(M) = \varphi$  has a display

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \tilde{T} & -\varepsilon^{-1}w\\ \varepsilon w & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

such that  $\tilde{T}$  is a lift of

$$T \in L_s^0, \qquad s = \nu(\varphi)$$

for a certain symplectic basis. Moreover the set of such T is dense in  $L^0_s$ .

We denote by  $L_s^{\text{gen}}$  the dense subscheme of  $L_s^0$  consisting of such T as in Corollary 6.18.

**Theorem 6.19.** Let c be a non-negative integer at most [g/2]. For any elementary series  $\varphi$  satisfying  $\varphi(g-c) = 0$  and  $\varphi(g-c+1) = 1$ , the number of irreducible component of  $S_{\varphi}$  is equal to the class number  $H_{g,c}$ .

*Proof.* For such an elementary series  $\varphi$ , we set  $s := \nu(\varphi) \in J_g$ . Let us denote by  $V_{\varphi}^{\Theta,\text{gen}}$  an irreducible component of the inverse image of  $L_s^{\text{gen}}$  by the morphism  $h^{\Theta} : V^{\Theta} \to N_{g,c}$ , and by  $U_{\mu,\varphi}^{\Theta,\text{gen}}$  the associated affine subscheme of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$  for each  $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ .

For any generic point  $\eta$  of  $S_{\varphi}$  associated with  $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ , its display is of the same form by Corollary 6.18. This means that they are isomorphic as principally quasi-polarized Dieudonné modules. Then for any  $\eta$  as above, by using the same affine subscheme  $U_{\mu,\varphi}^{\Theta,\text{gen}}$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}$ , we have the diagram:



where the image of f is corresponding to  $\eta$  by the second statement of Corollary 6.18. Hence there is only one  $\eta$  associated with  $\mu \in \Lambda_c$ .

# References

- R. W. Carter: Simple groups of Lie type. Pure and Applied Mathematics, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1972.
- [2] M. Demazure: Lectures on *p*-Divisible Groups. Lecture Notes in Math. **302** (1972).
- [3] M. Deuring: Die Typen der Multiplikatorenringe elliptischer Funktionenkörper. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 14 (1941), pp.197-272.
- [4] M. Eichler: Über die Idealklassenzahl hyperkomplexer Systeme. Math. Z. 43 (1938) pp.481-494.
- [5] G. van der Geer: Cycles on the Moduli Space of Abelian Varieties. In Moduli of curves and abelian varieties, 65–89, Aspects Math., E33, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1999.
- [6] S. Harashita: The *a*-number stratification of the moduli space of supersingular abelian varieties. to appear in Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra.
- K. Hashimoto and T. Ibukiyama: On class numbers of positive definite binary quaternion hermitian forms. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 27 (1980), pp.549-601. Part II, ibid. 28 (1981), pp.695-699. Part III, ibid. 30 (1983), pp.393-401.
- [8] T. Ibukiyama: On Automorphism Groups of Positive Definite Binary Quaternion Hermitian Lattices and New Mass Formula. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 15, Automorphic Forms and Geometry of Arithmetic Varieties (1989), pp. 301-349.
- [9] T. Ibukiyama, T. Katsura and F. Oort: Supersingular curves of genus two and class numbers. Compositio Math. 57 (1986), pp.127-152.
- [10] T. Katsura and F. Oort: Families of supersingular abelian surfaces. Compositio Math. 62 (1987), pp.107-167.
- [11] K.-Z. Li: Classification of Supersingular Abelian Varieties. Math. Ann. 283 (1989), pp.333-351.
- [12] K.-Z. Li and F. Oort: Moduli of Supersingular Abelian Varieties. Lecture Notes in Math. 1680 (1998).

- [13] P. Norman: An algorithm for computing local moduli of abelian varieties. Ann. of Math. 101 (1975), pp.499-509.
- [14] T. Oda: The first de Rham cohomology group and Dieudonné modules. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 4série, t.2 (1969), pp.63-135.
- [15] A. Ogus: Supersingular K3 crystals. Astérisque 64 (1979), pp.3-86.
- [16] F. Oort: Newton polygons and formal groups: Conjectures by Manin and Grothendieck. Ann. of Math. 152 (2000), pp.183-206, Springer - Verlag.
- [17] F. Oort: A stratification of a moduli space of abelian varieties. Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 195 (2002), pp.345-416 Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland.
- [18] F. Oort: Hecke orbits and stratifications in moduli spaces of abelian varieties. Manuscript. http://www.math.uu.nl/people/oort/
- [19] G. Prasad: Volumes of S-arithmetic quotients of semi-simple groups. Publ. Math. Inst. HES, tome 69 (1989), pp.91-114.
- [20] T. Shioda: Supersingular K3 surfaces. Lecture Notes in Math. 732, (1979), pp.564-591 Springer -Verlag.

May 13, 2004

Preprint Series, Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo

UTMS

- 2004–8 Masaaki Suzuki: On the Kernel of the Magnus representation of the Torelli group.
- 2004–9 Hiroshi Kawabi: Functional inequalities and an application for parabolic stochastic partial differential equations containing rotation.
- 2004–10 Takashi Taniguchi: On the zeta functions of prehomogeneous vector spaces for pair of simple algebras.
- 2004–11 Harutaka Koseki and Takayuki Oda : Matrix coefficients of representations of SU(2,2): the case of  $P_J$ -principal series —.
- 2004–12 Takeo Satoh: Twisted first homology groups of the automorphism group of a free group.
- 2004–13 M. K. Klibanov and M. Yamamoto: Lipschitz stability of an inverse problem for an acoustic equation.
- 2004–14 Teruhisa Tsuda: Universal characters, integrable chains and the Painlevé equations.
- 2004–15 Shushi Harashita: Ekedahl-Oort strata contained in the supersingular locus.
- 2004–12 Takao Satoh: Twisted first homology groups of the automorphism group of a free group.
- 2004–13 M. K. Klibanov and M. Yamamoto: Lipschitz stability of an inverse problem for an acoustic equation.
- 2004–14 Teruhisa Tsuda: Universal characters, integrable chains and the Painlevé equations.
- 2004–15 Shushi Harashita: Ekedahl-Oort strata contained in the supersingular locus.

The Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences was established in the University of Tokyo in April, 1992. Formerly there were two departments of mathematics in the University of Tokyo: one in the Faculty of Science and the other in the College of Arts and Sciences. All faculty members of these two departments have moved to the new graduate school, as well as several members of the Department of Pure and Applied Sciences in the College of Arts and Sciences. In January, 1993, the preprint series of the former two departments of mathematics were unified as the Preprint Series of the Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo. For the information about the preprint series, please write to the preprint series office.

## ADDRESS:

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo 3–8–1 Komaba Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, JAPAN TEL +81-3-5465-7001 FAX +81-3-5465-7012