UTMS 2003-22

May 19, 2003

On centralizers of parabolic subgroups in Coxeter groups

by

Koji Nuida



UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES KOMABA, TOKYO, JAPAN

On centralizers of parabolic subgroups in Coxeter groups

Koji Nuida

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

Abstract

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system (not necessarily of finite rank), and put $T = \bigcup_{w \in W} wSw^{-1}$. For any subset I of S, the centralizer $Z_W(W_I)$ of the parabolic subgroup W_I is decomposed as $\langle T \cap Z_W(W_I) \rangle \rtimes G_I$, where $\langle T \cap Z_W(W_I) \rangle$ is a Coxeter group, and G_I is a complementary subgroup whose structure is described by using a certain graph constructed from the information about finite parabolic subgroups only.

Key words: Coxeter group, parabolic subgroup, centralizer, root system, fundamental groupoid

1 Introduction

We analyze the structure of the centralizer of an arbitrary parabolic subgroup in an arbitrary Coxeter group (not necessarily of finite rank). Namely, let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. A parabolic subgroup of W is the subgroup \overline{Email} address: nuida@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp (Koji Nuida).

Preprint submitted to Elsevier Science

27 May 2003

generated by a subset I of S, and denoted by W_I . First we decompose the centralizer $Z_W(W_I)$ of W_I in W in the following manner:

$$Z_W(W_I) = W_{I^{\text{iso}}} \times (W(\Phi_I^{\perp}) \rtimes G_I) \ (= (W_{I^{\text{iso}}} \times W(\Phi_I^{\perp})) \rtimes G_I).$$

Here I^{iso} is the set of all elements of I isolated in the Coxeter graph of W_I , hence $W_{I^{\text{iso}}}$ forms an elementary abelian 2-group. The remaining factors are defined in terms of the reflection representation V and the root system $\Phi = \Phi^+ \sqcup \Phi^- \subset V$ for W with simple system $\Pi = \{\alpha_s \mid s \in S\}$ (cf. Section 2). Let Φ_I^{\perp} be the set of all roots orthogonal to $\Pi_I = \{\alpha_s \mid s \in I\}$. Then $W(\Phi_I^{\perp})$ is the subgroup of W generated by all reflections with respect to the roots in Φ_I^{\perp} , which is also a Coxeter group due to a result by Deodhar [7] or Dyer [8]. If Φ is well understood, the Coxeter generators of $W(\Phi_I^{\perp})$ can be determined using a result by Deodhar [7]. In a subsequent paper [14], we will give a new method to give the Coxeter generators of $W(\Phi_I^{\perp})$ concretely, even if Φ is difficult to describe. Note that the factor $\langle T \cap Z_W(W_I) \rangle$ in Abstract coincides with $W_{I^{\text{iso}}} \times W(\Phi_I^{\perp})$.

In this paper, we focus on the final factor G_I , consisting of all $w \in W$ such that (1) $w \cdot \alpha_s = \alpha_s$ for all $s \in I^{\text{iso}}$, (2) $w \cdot \alpha_s = \pm \alpha_s$ for all $s \in I$ and (3) $w \cdot (\Phi_I^{\perp} \cap \Phi^+) = \Phi_I^{\perp} \cap \Phi^+$. We determine G_I using a graph \mathcal{G} , which we call the *transition graph* in this paper. The construction of \mathcal{G} is based on the knowledge of Coxeter systems of finite type only. Our result shows that G_I is a quotient of the fundamental group of \mathcal{G} (which is a free group), and gives a presentation of G_I in terms of paths in \mathcal{G} and automorphisms of a subgraph \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G} . Furthermore, it is shown that G_I acts on $W(\Phi_I^{\perp})$ as automorphisms of the Coxeter graph of $W(\Phi_I^{\perp})$.

In some cases, the structure of $Z_W(W_I)$ has been known. If I = S, then it is well known that $Z_W(W_I)$, namely the center of W, is generated by the longest elements $w_0(J)$ of W_J where J runs over all connected components of S of finite type such that $w_0(J)$ acts on Π_J as multiplication by -1, and is an elementary abelian 2-group. If I consists of a single element s, Brink [2] showed that $Z_W(W_I)$ (= $Z_W(s)$) is the semidirect product of a certain Coxeter group by a free group. Our results generalize these cases. Recently, we learned that Bahls and Mihalik [1] described $Z_W(W_I)$ if (W, S) is even (that is, every product ss' of two generators has even or infinite order) by a different approach.

There are some results on related topics; the normalizers and commensurators of parabolic subgroups in Coxeter groups have been examined by Brink and Howlett [3] and Paris [16] respectively. Further, the centralizers of parabolic subgroups in Artin groups of certain types have also been described by Paris [15].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some terminology and basic properties of Coxeter groups and groupoids, which we use in the analysis of G_I , and show some lemmas used in the following sections. In Section 3, we show the decomposition of $Z_W(W_I)$ as described above, together with some remarks on the first two factors. Note that $W_{I^{1so}}$, $W(\Phi_I^{\perp})$ and G_I are denoted by $W_{[x]^{1so}}$, $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$ and $G_{x,x}$ respectively in the text, by taking x as in the previous paragraph. In Section 4, we define the transition graph \mathcal{G} and its subgraph \mathcal{H} . In Section 5, we examine the group G_I . To do this, we define a groupoid anti-homomorphism g from the fundamental groupoid of \mathcal{G} to a certain subgroupoid G' of G having the same vertex groups as G. Then we show that g is surjective, and give a generating set of the kernel of g as a normal subgroupoid, in terms of paths of \mathcal{G} and automorphisms of \mathcal{H} . This yields a presentation of G_I . Finally, Section 6 deals with an example in full; we compute $Z_W(W_I)$ for an affine Coxeter group using the results of previous sections. Moreover, we also recover the preceding results on special cases mentioned above.

2 Background material

A group W is called a Coxeter group (or a pair (W, S) is called a Coxeter system) if W is presented as

$$W = \langle S \mid (ss')^{m_{s,s'}} = 1 \ (s, s' \in S, m_{s,s'} < \infty) \rangle$$

for certain $(m_{s,s'})_{s,s'\in S}$ such that $m_{s,s} = 1$ for all $s \in S$ and $m_{s,s'} = m_{s',s} \in \{2,3,\ldots\} \sqcup \{\infty\}$ for all $s,s' \in S$, $s \neq s'$ (cf. [11]). The Coxeter graph Γ of (W,S) is the simple, undirected graph on S which has an edge between s and s' labeled $m_{s,s'}$ if and only if $m_{s,s'} \geq 3$ (these labels are usually omitted for the case $m_{s,s'} = 3$). For $I \subset S$, a "connected component of I" means the vertex set of a connected component of Γ_I , where Γ_I is the restriction of Γ to I.

Let V be a real vector space with a symmetric bilinear form \langle , \rangle , and let $\Pi = \{\alpha_s \mid s \in S\} \subset V$. Then, as in [10], Π is called a *root basis* if

$$\langle \alpha_s, \alpha_{s'} \rangle = -\cos(\pi/m_{s,s'})$$
 if $m_{s,s'} < \infty$, $\langle \alpha_s, \alpha_{s'} \rangle \leqslant -1$ if $m_{s,s'} = \infty$

and $0 \in V$ cannot be written as a nontrivial positive linear combination of elements of Π (note that S is assumed in [10] to be a finite set, but the following properties also hold for the case $|S| = \infty$). For any root basis Π , an action of W on V is well defined by $s \cdot v = v - 2 \langle \alpha_s, v \rangle \alpha_s, s \in S, v \in V$. Note that this action preserves the bilinear form. Let $\Phi = W \cdot \Pi$. Then we have $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-, \Phi^+ \cap \Phi^- = \emptyset$, where Φ^+ is the set of all $\gamma \in \Phi$ which is written as a positive linear combination of elements of Π and $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$. Φ is called a root system of (W, S), and every element of Φ^+ , Φ^- is called a *positive*, negative root respectively. Any element of Π is called a simple root. For $\Psi \subset \Phi$, define $\Psi^{\pm} = \Psi \cap \Phi^{\pm}$. For a root γ , we write $\gamma > 0$, $\gamma < 0$ instead of $\gamma \in \Phi^+$, $\gamma \in \Phi^-$ respectively. Further, for $w \in W$, let $\Phi^+_w = \{\gamma \in \Phi^+ \mid w \cdot \gamma < 0\}$. Then it is shown in [10] that $|\Phi^+_w| = \ell(w)$, where $\ell(w)$, the length of w, is the minimal number k such that $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k$ for some $s_i \in S$. This implies the following:

Lemma 2.1. (i) Let $w_1, w_2 \in W$. Then

$$\ell(w_1w_2) = \ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2) - 2 \left| \Phi_{w_1}^+ \cap \Phi_{w_2^{-1}}^+ \right|.$$

Hence $\ell(w_1w_2) = \ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$ if and only if $\Phi_{w_1}^+ \cap \Phi_{w_2^{-1}}^+ = \emptyset$. (ii) If $\Phi_{w_1}^+ = \Phi_{w_2}^+$, then $w_1 = w_2$.

Proof. For $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \{+, -\}$, let $\Phi_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3}$ be the set of all $\gamma \in \Phi^{\varepsilon_3}$ such that $w_2 \cdot \gamma \in \Phi^{\varepsilon_2}, w_1 w_2 \cdot \gamma \in \Phi^{\varepsilon_1}$. Then we have

$$\Phi_{w_1w_2}^+ = \Phi_{-++} \sqcup \Phi_{--+}, \ \Phi_{w_1}^+ = w_2 \cdot (\Phi_{-++} \sqcup \Phi_{-+-}), \ \Phi_{w_2}^+ = \Phi_{+-+} \sqcup \Phi_{--+},$$

so $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2) - \ell(w_1w_2) = |\Phi_{-+-}| + |\Phi_{+-+}|$. Further, we have

$$\Phi_{-+-} = w_2^{-1} \cdot (\Phi_{w_1}^+ \cap \Phi_{w_2^{-1}}^+), \ \Phi_{+-+} = -\Phi_{-+-},$$

so we have $\ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2) - \ell(w_1w_2) = 2 \left| \Phi_{w_1}^+ \cap \Phi_{w_2^{-1}}^+ \right|$, as required. Hence (i) holds. For (ii), we have $\ell(w_1w_2^{-1}) = 0$ by (i), so $w_1w_2^{-1} = 1$.

As shown in [11], for any Coxeter system (W, S), there are a reflection representation space V and a root basis II such that II is a basis of V (as a vector space) and $\langle \alpha_s, \alpha_{s'} \rangle = -1$ whenever $m_{s,s'} = \infty$. In this case, the root system Φ is called *standard*. From now on, Φ is assumed to be standard unless otherwise specified.

For $v = \sum_{s \in S} c_s \alpha_s \in V$, write $[\alpha_s] v = c_s$ and let supp v be the set of all $s \in S$ such that $c_s \neq 0$.

For any $\gamma = w \cdot \alpha_s \in \Phi$, the *reflection* $s_{\gamma} \in W$ about γ is defined as $s_{\gamma} = wsw^{-1}$ and acts on V by $s_{\gamma} \cdot v = v - 2 \langle v, \gamma \rangle \gamma$. Then:

Proposition 2.2 (cf. [11]). (i) Let $w \in W$, $\gamma \in \Phi^+$. Then $\ell(ws_{\gamma}) > \ell(w)$ if $w \cdot \gamma > 0$, and $\ell(ws_{\gamma}) < \ell(w)$ otherwise.

(ii) For $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Phi$ and $w \in W$, $ws_{\gamma}w^{-1} = s_{\gamma'}$ if and only if $w \cdot \gamma = \pm \gamma'$.

Further, there are two useful theorems about reflections: the former is Theorem 5.4 of [6], and the latter is a special case of Theorem 1.20 of [18].

Theorem 2.3. If $w \in W$, $w^2 = 1$, then w can be written as a product of reflections about pairwise orthogonal positive roots (so these reflections commute with each other). Hence $w \cdot \gamma = -\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Phi$ whenever $w^2 = 1$, $w \neq 1$.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $|W| < \infty$, $w \in W$ and w fixes some roots $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ of W. Then w can be written as a product of reflections which also fix all γ_i .

For $\Psi \subset \Phi$, let $W(\Psi)$ be the subgroup of W generated by all $s_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Psi$ (such subgroup is called a *reflection subgroup*) and let $\overline{\Psi} = W(\Psi) \cdot \Psi$. Then:

Theorem 2.5 ([7]). $W(\Psi)$ is a Coxeter group with (not necessarily standard) root system $\overline{\Psi}$ and length function $\tilde{\ell}$ such that $\tilde{\ell}(w) = \left| \Phi_w^+ \cap \overline{\Psi} \right|$.

Further, let $W^{\Psi} = \{ w \in W \mid \Phi_w^+ \cap \overline{\Psi} = \emptyset \}$. Then Theorem 4.1 of [12], stated only for Weyl groups, is improved for arbitrary Coxeter groups by similar proof:

Theorem 2.6. Each $w \in W$ is written uniquely as $w = w^{\Psi}w_{\Psi}, w^{\Psi} \in W^{\Psi},$ $w_{\Psi} \in W(\Psi)$. Further, w^{Ψ} is the unique element of minimal length in $wW(\Psi)$.

In particular, let $\Pi_I = \{\alpha_s \mid s \in I\}$ for each $I \subset S$. Then $W(\Pi_I)$, W^{Π_I} are denoted by W_I , W^I respectively. W_I is called a *parabolic subgroup*, and (W_I, I) forms a Coxeter system with root system $\Phi_I = W_I \cdot \Pi_I$ (this is standard whenever Φ is), root basis Π_I and length function $\ell \mid_{W_I}$. Note that W is the direct product of all W_I where I runs over all connected components of S; so (W, S) is called *irreducible* if S is connected. Now we give a simple proof of the following well-known facts:

Proposition 2.7. (i) $\Phi_I = \{\gamma \in \Phi \mid \text{supp } \gamma \subset I\}$ for all $I \subset S$. (ii) supp γ is connected for all $\gamma \in \Phi$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \Phi$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \gamma \subset I$. Put $w = (s_{\gamma})^{I}$. Then $w \cdot \alpha_{s} > 0$ for all $s \in I$, while $w \cdot \alpha_{s} = \alpha_{s} - 2 \langle \gamma, \alpha_{s} \rangle \gamma > 0$ for all $s \in S \smallsetminus I$ since $s \notin \operatorname{supp} \gamma$. These yield $\Phi_{w}^{+} = \emptyset$ and so w = 1, therefore $s_{\gamma} \in W_{I}$. Then $s_{\gamma} \cdot \gamma' = -\gamma'$ for some $\gamma' \in \Phi_{I}$ by Theorem 2.3. This implies $\gamma = \pm \gamma'$ and so $\gamma \in \Phi_{I}$. The converse is obvious, so (i) holds. Further, (i) implies $\gamma \in \Phi_{\operatorname{supp}\gamma}$, so (ii) follows.

Note that $\Phi_{w^I}^+ \cap \Phi_{w_I^{-1}}^+ = \emptyset$ for any $w \in W$, so $\ell(w) = \ell(w^I) + \ell(w_I)$ by Lemma 2.1 (i). Further, we have the following:

Proposition 2.8. $\Phi_{w_I}^+ = \Phi_w^+ \cap \Phi_I^+$ for $w \in W$, $I \subset S$.

Proof. $\Phi_{w_I}^+ \subset \Phi_I^+$ by Proposition 2.7 (i). Further, note that $w^I \cdot \gamma$ is positive, negative whenever $\gamma \in \Phi_I^+$, $\gamma \in \Phi_I^-$ respectively. Then for $\gamma \in \Phi_I^+$, $w^I w_I \cdot \gamma < 0$ if and only if $w_I \cdot \gamma < 0$. Hence our claim holds.

For $I \,\subset S$, we say that I is of finite type if $|W_I| < \infty$, or equivalently $|\Phi_I| < \infty$. For such I, let $w_0(I)$ denote the longest element of W_I ; this satisfies $\Phi_{w_0(I)}^+ = \Phi_I^+$. Moreover, it is known (cf. [18]) that $w_0(I) \cdot \Pi_I = -\Pi_I$; so we can define a permutation $\sigma_I : I \to I$ by $w_0(I) \cdot \alpha_s = -\alpha_{\sigma_I(s)}$ for any $s \in I$. Since $w_0(I)$ is involutive and preserves the bilinear form, this σ_I is an involutive graph automorphism of Γ_I . Note that for $I \subset S$ of finite type, $w_0(I) = w_0(I_1) \cdots w_0(I_k)$ and $\sigma_{I_i} = \sigma_I \mid_{I_i}$ for all i, where I_1, \ldots, I_k are the connected components of I. Now a certain numbering on S and the action of σ_S for each finite irreducible Coxeter system (cf. [11] for the classification) are listed in Fig. 1; each $\sigma_S(s_i)$ is denoted there by $\overline{s_i}$, and $\overline{s_i}$ is omitted if $\overline{s_i} = s_i$.

Now we have the following proposition, which is a slight improvement of a result proved by Deodhar in the proof of [6], Proposition 4.2:

Proposition 2.9. Let $I \subsetneq J \subset S$ and suppose that J is connected and not of finite type. Then $|\Phi_J \setminus \Phi_I| = \infty$. In addition, if $|J| < \infty$, then there exist infinitely many $\gamma \in \Phi^+$ such that supp $\gamma = J$.

Proof. First, suppose $|J| < \infty$, so the power set of J is also finite. Since $|\Phi_J| = \infty$, there is some $J' \subset J$ such that $|\Psi_{J'}| = \infty$, where $\Psi_{J'} = \{\gamma \in \Phi \mid \text{supp } \gamma = J'\}$. Take such J' as large as possible with respect to inclusion, and assume $J' \neq J$. Then there is some $s \in J \setminus J'$ adjacent to an element of J' since J is connected. Now the action of s maps $\Psi_{J'}$ injectively into $\Psi_{J'\cup\{s\}}$, while $|\Psi_{J'\cup\{s\}}| < \infty$ by the choice of J'. But this is contradiction, so we have



Fig. 1. Actions of longest elements

J' = J, as required.

On the other hand, suppose $|J| = \infty$ and let $s \in J \setminus I$. Take a maximal tree on Γ_J . For $t \in J$, let $s = s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_k = t$ be the unique reduced path in this maximal tree from s to t, and let $\gamma_t = s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_1 \cdot \alpha_s$. Then we have $\operatorname{supp} \gamma_t = \{s, s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ and so all γ_t are distinct and satisfy $\gamma_t \in \Phi_J \setminus \Phi_I$. Hence we have $|\Phi_J \setminus \Phi_I| = \infty$.

In the rest of this section, we recall the terminology on groupoids (cf. [4], [9]). A groupoid is a small category such that every morphism is invertible; in other words, a family $G = \{G_{x,y}\}_{x,y \in V(G)}$ of sets with index set $V(G) \times V(G)$ for which a partial multiplication is defined and satisfies associativity, existence of identities and inverses. We often identify such G with the (disjoint) union of all $G_{x,y}$, $x, y \in V(G)$. The partial multiplication $w_1w_2 \in G_{x,z}$ is defined for $w_1 \in G_{x,y}$, $w_2 \in G_{y,z}$. The identity exists uniquely in each $G_{x,x}$. For $w \in G_{x,y}$, its inverse w^{-1} is unique and belongs to $G_{y,x}$.

A subgroupoid H of G is a subcategory of G which forms a groupoid. Such His called full if $H_{i,j} = G_{i,j}$ for all $i, j \in V(H)$, called wide if V(H) = V(G), and called normal if H is wide and $gxg^{-1} \in H_{j,j}$ for all $x \in H_{i,i}, g \in G_{j,i}$. Further, any full subgroupoid $H \subset G$ is called the restriction of G to V(H). Then for a groupoid G, the restriction of G to V', where V' is one of the maximal subsets of V(G) such that $G_{x,y} \neq \emptyset$ for all $x, y \in V'$, is called a connected component of G. G is called connected if G consists of only one connected component.

The intersection of subgroupoids H_{λ} , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of G is defined naturally, and also forms a subgroupoid of G. This becomes normal in G whenever all H_{λ} are. Further, for any subset $X \subset G$, the subgroupoid of G generated by X is the intersection of all subgroupoids of G containing X (or equivalently, the smallest subgroupoid of G containing X). The normal subgroupoid of G generated by X is defined similarly.

A groupoid homomorphism $G \to G'$ is a covariant functor of groupoids G, G'considered as categories, while a groupoid anti-homomorphism is similar but it is contravariant instead of covariant. Note that for a groupoid homomorphism $f: G \to G'$, its image f(G) may not be a subgroupoid of G', but it forms a subgroupoid whenever f maps V(G) injectively to V(G'). On the other hand, its kernel ker f, the inverse image of identities of G', always forms a normal subgroupoid of G.

For a groupoid G and its normal subgroupoid N, the quotient groupoid G/Nis defined as follows. Let V(G/N) be the set of all equivalence classes [x] in V(G), where x is equivalent to y if and only if $N_{x,y} \neq \emptyset$. Further, let [w] be the equivalence class of $w \in G$ in G, where w is equivalent to w' if and only if w = uw'v for some $u, v \in N$. Then define

$$(G/N)_{[x],[y]} = \{[w] \mid w \in G_{x',y'} \text{ for some } x' \in [x], y' \in [y]\}.$$

Now for $[w_1] \in (G/N)_{[x],[y]}$ and $[w_2] \in (G/N)_{[y],[z]}$, define $[w_1][w_2] = [w_1'w_2']$ where $w_1' \in [w_1]$, $w_2' \in [w_2]$ and $w_1'w_2' \in G$ is defined. Then this multiplication makes G/N a groupoid.

The groupoid version of "The First Isomorphism Theorem" is as follows:

Theorem 2.10 (cf. [4], [9]). Let $f : G \to G'$ be a groupoid homomorphism such that f is injective on V(G). Then f induces an isomorphism $\overline{f}: G/\ker f \to f(G)$.

One of the important examples of groupoids is the fundamental groupoid of a graph, which is used in the following sections. Let \mathcal{G} be any undirected graph with vertex set $V(\mathcal{G})$. We define an equivalence relation ~ on directed paths of \mathcal{G} ; ~ is generated by the relation

$$e_1 \cdots e_{k-1} e_k e_k^{-1} e_{k+1} \cdots e_n \sim e_1 \cdots e_{k-1} e_{k+1} \cdots e_n,$$

where each e_i is a directed edge (that is, a directed path of length one) and e_k^{-1} is the opposite edge of e_k . This relation \sim is called the *homotopy equiv*alence. Then the concatenation of paths induces a partial multiplication of homotopy classes, and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G})_{x,y}\}_{x,y\in V(\mathcal{G})}$ forms a groupoid with this multiplication, where $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G})_{x,y}$ is the set of all homotopy classes of paths from x to y. $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G})$ is called the fundamental groupoid of \mathcal{G} .

3 Decomposition into three factors

In this section, we show that the centralizer of W_I admits a decomposition $W_{[x]^{iso}} \times (W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}) \rtimes G_{x,x})$ as described in Introduction. This is done in two steps; Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.5. Further, we examine the first two factors $W_{[x]^{iso}}$ and $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$. The remaining factor $G_{x,x}$ is described in Section 5.

We prepare some notations. In order to deal with the case $|I| = \infty$ as well, we fix a family Ω of index sets such that for each $I \subset S$, there is a unique $\Lambda \in \Omega$ having the same cardinality with I. Then let $S^{(\Lambda)}$ be the set of all injective maps (" Λ -tuples" with no repetitions) $x : \Lambda \to S$ for each $\Lambda \in \Omega$, and let $S^{(*)}$ be the union of all $S^{(\Lambda)}$. For $x \in S^{(\Lambda)}$, write $x_{\lambda} = x(\lambda)$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and let $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda$, $\ell(x) = |\Lambda|$ and $[x] = \{x_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda(x)\}$. Note that $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(y)$ whenever $\ell(x) = \ell(y)$.

If $\ell(x) < \infty$, then we take $\Lambda(x) = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell(x)\}$. So we write $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell(x)})$, and $[x] = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell(x)}\}$ in this case.

For $I \subset S$, let I^{iso} be the set of all $s \in I$ which commutes with every $s' \in I$,

or equivalently, the set of all isolated vertices of Γ_I . Let $x, y \in S^{(*)}$. Then define

$$C_{x,y} = \begin{cases} \{w \in W \mid \alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = \pm w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda(x)\} & \text{ if } \ell(x) = \ell(y), \\ \emptyset & \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the condition $\alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = \pm w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}$ is equivalent to $x_{\lambda} = wy_{\lambda}w^{-1}$, so the centralizer of each W_I occurs as $C_{x,x}$ by taking $x \in S^{(*)}$ such that [x] = I. Further, define $C'_{x,y} = C_{x,y} \cap W^{[y]^{\text{iso}}}$ and $C''_{x,y} = C_{x,y} \cap W^{[y]}$; that is,

$$C'_{x,y} = \{ w \in C_{x,y} \mid \alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}} \text{ if } y_{\lambda} \in [y]^{\text{iso}} \},\$$
$$C''_{x,y} = \{ w \in W \mid \alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda(x) \}$$

whenever $\ell(x) = \ell(y)$.

Proposition 3.1. $C = \{C_{x,y}\}_{x,y}, C' = \{C'_{x,y}\}_{x,y} \text{ and } C'' = \{C''_{x,y}\}_{x,y} \text{ are groupoids on } S^{(*)}.$

Proof. By definition, the claim is obvious for C and C''.

Let $w_1 \in C'_{x,y}$, $w_2 \in C'_{y,z}$ and $z_{\lambda} \in [z]^{\text{iso}}$. Since w_2 preserves the bilinear form, we have $y_{\lambda} \in [y]^{\text{iso}}$ and so $\alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = w_1 w_2 \cdot \alpha_{z_{\lambda}}$. This implies $w_1 w_2 \in C'_{x,z}$. Similarly, we have $w_1^{-1} \in C'_{y,x}$; hence C' is also a groupoid.

Corollary 3.2. $C_{x,x} = W_{[x]^{iso}} \times C'_{x,x}$ for every $x \in S^{(*)}$.

Proof. Note that $C'_{x,x}$ forms a group by this proposition; then our claim is deduced by $W_{[x]^{iso}} \subset Z(C_{x,x})$ and $C'_{x,x} = C_{x,x} \cap W^{[x]^{iso}}$.

Note that $W_{[x]^{iso}}$ is an elementary abelian 2-group generated by $[x]^{iso}$. For $I \subset S$, let Φ_I^{\perp} denote the set of all roots $\gamma \in \Phi$ which are orthogonal to every $\alpha_s \in \Pi_I$. Then the following lemma follows immediately from definition of $C_{x,y}$:

Lemma 3.3. $\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp} = w \cdot \Phi_{[y]}^{\perp}$ for any $x, y \in S^{(*)}$, $w \in C_{x,y}$.

Note that for any $x \in S^{(*)}$, the reflection subgroup $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$ (cf. Section 2) is a subgroup of $C''_{x,x}$, so $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}) \cdot \Phi_{[x]}^{\perp} = \Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}$ by this lemma. Now define $G_{x,y} = C'_{x,y} \cap W^{\Phi_{[y]}^{\perp}}$ for $x, y \in S^{(*)}$; that is,

$$G_{x,y} = \{ w \in C'_{x,y} \mid \Phi^+_w \cap \Phi^\perp_{[y]} = \emptyset \}.$$

Lemma 3.4. $G_{x,y} = \{ w \in C'_{x,y} \mid (\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^{+} = w \cdot (\Phi_{[y]}^{\perp})^{+} \}$ for any $x, y \in S^{(*)}$. Hence $G = \{G_{x,y}\}_{x,y}$ is a wide subgroupoid of $C'_{x,x}$.

Proof. Let $w \in G_{x,y}$. Then $\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp} = w \cdot \Phi_{[y]}^{\perp}$ by Lemma 3.3, so $w \cdot (\Phi_{[y]}^{\perp})^+ \subset (\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^+$ by definition. This implies $w \cdot (\Phi_{[y]}^{\perp})^- \subset (\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^-$. Hence we have $(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^{\pm} = w \cdot (\Phi_{[y]}^{\perp})^{\pm}$ respectively. The converse is clear.

Theorem 3.5. $C'_{x,x} = W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}) \rtimes G_{x,x}$ for every $x \in S^{(*)}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, we have $ws_{\gamma}w^{-1} = s_{w\cdot\gamma} \in W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$ for all $w \in C'_{x,x}$, $\gamma \in \Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}$; thus $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$ is normal in $C'_{x,x}$, while $G_{x,x}$ is a subgroup of $C'_{x,x}$ by Lemma 3.4. Further, Theorem 2.6 implies that each $w \in C'_{x,x}$ is written uniquely as $w = w'w'', w' \in G_{x,x}, w'' \in W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$. Hence our claim holds. \Box

In the rest of this section, we examine the factor $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$ and the action of $G_{x,x}$ on $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$. By Theorem 2.5, $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$ is a Coxeter group with root system $\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}$ (note that $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}) \cdot \Phi_{[x]}^{\perp} = \Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}$). Moreover, its Coxeter generator is determined by the result of [7]. Namely, let $\widetilde{\Pi}_x$ denote the set of all $\gamma \in (\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^+$ which cannot be written as a positive linear combination of other elements of $(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^+$, and let $\tilde{S}_x = \{s_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \tilde{\Pi}_x\}$. Then:

Proposition 3.6. $(W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}), \tilde{S}_x)$ is a Coxeter system with (not necessarily standard) root system $\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}$ and length function $\tilde{\ell}_x$ such that $\tilde{\ell}_x(w) = \left| \Phi_w^+ \cap \Phi_{[x]}^{\perp} \right|$.

According to [14], the set Π_x can be determined concretely (note that Π_x can become infinite even for the case $|S| < \infty$; cf. [14] for detail), even if the root system of original (W, S) is not well understood. Moreover, we have the following:

Proposition 3.7. Each $w \in G_{x,x}$ acts on $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$ as an automorphism of the Coxeter graph $\tilde{\Gamma}_x$ of $(W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}), \tilde{S}_x)$. Moreover, this yields a group homomorphism $G_{x,x} \to \operatorname{Aut} \tilde{\Gamma}_x$. In particular, the semidirect product $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}) \rtimes G_{x,x}$ becomes direct whenever $\operatorname{Aut} \tilde{\Gamma}_x = 1$.

Proof. First, we show $w \cdot \gamma \in \widetilde{\Pi}_x$ for all $w \in G_{x,x}$, $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Pi}_x$. We have $w \cdot \gamma \in (\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^+$ since $\gamma \in (\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^+$. Assume $w \cdot \gamma \notin \widetilde{\Pi}_x$. Then $w \cdot \gamma$ can be written as a positive linear combination of $\gamma' \in (\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^+$, $\gamma' \neq w \cdot \gamma$. This implies that γ is also written as a positive linear combination of $w^{-1} \cdot \gamma'$, and we have $w^{-1} \cdot \gamma' \in (\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})^+$, $w^{-1} \cdot \gamma' \neq \gamma$. Thus $\gamma \notin \widetilde{\Pi}_x$, but this is contradiction. Hence $w \cdot \gamma \in \widetilde{\Pi}_x$.

Let $w \in G_{x,x}$. Then for any $\gamma \in \Pi_x$, we have $ws_{\gamma}w^{-1} = s_{w\cdot\gamma}$ and $w \cdot \gamma \in \Pi_x$ as above. This implies that w induces a permutation $\sigma_w : s_{\gamma} \mapsto ws_{\gamma}w^{-1}$ on \tilde{S}_x . Further, $\sigma_w\sigma_{w'} = \sigma_{ww'}$ and $\sigma_1 = \operatorname{id}_{\tilde{S}_x}$ by definition, while $\sigma_w(s_{\gamma})\sigma_w(s_{\gamma'}) = ws_{\gamma}s_{\gamma'}w^{-1}$ and $s_{\gamma}s_{\gamma'}$ have the same order. Hence $w \mapsto \sigma_w$ is a group homomorphism from $G_{x,x}$ to $\operatorname{Aut}\widetilde{\Gamma}_x$.

4 Transition graph

In this section, we define an undirected graph \mathcal{G} on $S^{(*)}$, which we call the *transition graph*. This graph is constructed from the information about actions of the longest elements of finite parabolic subgroups only. In later sections, \mathcal{G} and its subgraph \mathcal{H} are used for describing the structure of the centralizers.

In what follows, it is important that we work with ordered tuples $x \in S^{(*)}$. Similar arguments appeared in [3] or [16], but they dealt with subsets of S only, in which the order was not relevant.

For $I, J \subset S$, let $I_{\sim J}$ denote the union of all connected components of $I \cup J$ containing some $s \in J$. We write $I_{\sim s}$ as a shorthand for $I_{\sim \{s\}}$. Further, if $x \in S^{(*)}$, then we write $x_{\sim J}$ instead of $[x]_{\sim J}$. Now define

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(x,s) \mid x \in S^{(*)}, s \in S \setminus [x], x_{\sim s} \text{ is of finite type}\}.$$

For $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B}$, put

$$w_x^s = w_0(x_{\sim s})w_0(x_{\sim s} \smallsetminus \{s\}).$$

Then, since $w_0(I) \cdot \Pi_I = -\Pi_I$ for any $I \subset S$ of finite type, there is a unique $y \in S^{(*)}$ such that $\ell(y) = \ell(x)$ and $\alpha_{y_\lambda} = w_x^s \cdot \alpha_{x_\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(x)$. Now define $\varphi(x,s) = (\varphi_v(x,s), \varphi_l(x,s))$, where

$$\varphi_v(x,s) = y, \ \varphi_l(x,s) = \sigma_{x_{\sim s}}(s)$$

(cf. Section 2 for definition of σ). Then:

Remark 4.1. $[x] \sqcup \{s\} = [\varphi_v(x,s)] \sqcup \{\varphi_l(x,s)\}$ for any $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 4.2. If $I \subset J \subset S$ and J is of finite type, then $(w_0(J)w_0(I))^{-1} = w_0(J)w_0(\sigma_J(I))$ and $\Phi^+_{w_0(J)w_0(I)} = \Phi^+_J \smallsetminus \Phi^+_I$.

Proof. We show $\Phi_{w_0(J)w_0(I)}^+ = \Phi_{w_0(\sigma_J(I))w_0(J)}^+$; then we have $w_0(J)w_0(I) = w_0(\sigma_J(I))w_0(J)$ and so $(w_0(J)w_0(I))^{-1} = w_0(J)w_0(\sigma_J(I))$ since the longest elements are involutive.

Obviously, we have $\Phi_{w_0(J)w_0(I)}^+ \subset \Phi_J^+$, $\Phi_{w_0(\sigma_J(I))w_0(J)}^+ \subset \Phi_J^+$. Let $\gamma \in \Phi_J^+$. Then $w_0(J)w_0(I) \cdot \gamma < 0$ if and only if $w_0(I) \cdot \gamma > 0$ (since $w_0(I) \cdot \gamma \in \Phi_J$), or equivalently $\gamma \notin \Phi_I^+$. Thus we have $\Phi_{w_0(J)w_0(I)}^+ = \Phi_J^+ \smallsetminus \Phi_I^+$. Similarly, $w_0(\sigma_J(I))w_0(J) \cdot \gamma < 0$ if and only if $w_0(J) \cdot \gamma \notin \Phi_{\sigma_J(I)}^-$ (since $w_0(J) \cdot \gamma < 0$), or equivalently $\gamma \notin \Phi_I^+$; thus $\Phi_{w_0(\sigma_J(I))w_0(J)}^+ = \Phi_J^+ \smallsetminus \Phi_I^+$. Hence we have $\Phi_{w_0(J)w_0(I)}^+ = \Phi_{w_0(\sigma_J(I))w_0(J)}^+$, as required. \Box

Corollary 4.3. φ is an involution on \mathcal{B} . Further, $w_{\varphi_v(x,s)}^{\varphi_l(x,s)} = (w_x^s)^{-1}$ for any $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. For $(x, s) \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$x_{\sim s} = \sigma_{x_{\sim s}}(x_{\sim s} \smallsetminus \{s\}) \sqcup \{\varphi_l(x,s)\},$$
$$[\varphi_v(x,s)] = \sigma_{x_{\sim s}}(x_{\sim s} \smallsetminus \{s\}) \sqcup ([x] \smallsetminus x_{\sim s})$$

by definition of φ . Then $\varphi_v(x,s)_{\sim \varphi_l(x,s)} = x_{\sim s}$ and so $\varphi(x,s) \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus φ maps \mathcal{B} to itself. Further, $\varphi_l(\varphi_v(x,s),\varphi_l(x,s)) = s$ since $\sigma_{x\sim s}$ is involutive. Finally, the previous lemma yields

$$(w_x^s)^{-1} = w_0(x_{\sim s})w_0(\sigma_{x_{\sim s}}(x_{\sim s} \smallsetminus \{s\}))$$
$$= w_0(\varphi_v(x,s)_{\sim \varphi_l(x,s)})w_0(\varphi_v(x,s)_{\sim \varphi_l(x,s)} \smallsetminus \{\varphi_l(x,s)\}) = w_{\varphi_v(x,s)}^{\varphi_l(x,s)}$$

which implies $\varphi_v(\varphi_v(x,s),\varphi_l(x,s)) = x$ by definition.

Let

$$\mathcal{B}^{\varphi} = \{ (x,s) \in \mathcal{B} \mid \varphi(x,s) = (x,s) \}.$$

Then by Remark 4.1, $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B}^{\varphi}$ if and only if $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\varphi_v(x,s) = x$. Now let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ be the directed graph on $S^{(*)}$ having an edge e_x^s from x to $\varphi_v(x,s)$ with label s for each $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B} \smallsetminus \mathcal{B}^{\varphi}$. Then the above corollary implies that for each edge e_x^s , the edge $e_{\varphi_v(x,s)}^{\varphi_l(x,s)}$, denoted by $(e_x^s)^{-1}$, exists and goes from $\varphi_v(x,s)$ to x. Note that $((e_x^s)^{-1})^{-1} = e_x^s$. Then let \mathcal{H} be the undirected graph on $S^{(*)}$ obtained from $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by identifying each edge e_x^s with its inverse.

When we draw the picture of \mathcal{H} , an edge, obtained from e_x^s and its inverse, is represented as an edge with labels s close to the vertex x and $\varphi_l(x,s)$ close to $\varphi_v(x,s)$; moreover, for the case $s = \varphi_l(x,s)$, the repeated s's may be replaced by a single s. See Fig. 2 below for example.

For $x \in S^{(*)}$, define

 $\operatorname{CO}(x) = \{ A \subset \Lambda(x) \mid x_A \text{ is a union of connected components of } [x] \},$ $\operatorname{CO}_{<\infty}^{>1}(x) = \{ A \in \operatorname{CO}(x) \mid x_A \text{ is of finite type, } x_A^{\text{iso}} = \emptyset \},$

where

$$x_A = \{ x_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A \}.$$

These families form elementary abelian 2-groups with symmetric difference of sets as multiplication. This multiplication of A and A' is written as AA'. Further, for $A \in CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x)$, let x^A be the unique element of $S^{(*)}$ satisfying $\ell(x^A) = \ell(x), \ (x^A)_{\lambda} = \sigma_{x_A}(x_{\lambda})$ for all $\lambda \in A$ and $(x^A)_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in$ $\Lambda(x) \smallsetminus A$. Then we have $(x^A)_{\lambda} = w_0(x_A)x_{\lambda}w_0(x_A)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(x)$ since x_A is a union of connected components of [x].

Lemma 4.4. Let $x \in S^{(*)}$ and $A, A' \in CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x)$. Then: (i) $CO(x^A) = CO(x)$ and $CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x^A) = CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x)$. (ii) $(x^A)^{A'} = x^{AA'}$. **Proof.** By the above remark, we have $m_{(x^A)_{\lambda},(x^A)_{\mu}} = m_{x_{\lambda},x_{\mu}}$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(x)$; hence (i) holds. Further, (ii) follows immediately from the fact that $AA' \in \operatorname{CO}_{<\infty}^{>1}(x)$ and $\sigma_{x_A}(x_{\lambda}) = \sigma_{x_{A'}}(x_{\lambda})$ for any $\lambda \in A \cap A'$.

For any graph \mathcal{G}' with vertex set $V(\mathcal{G}')$ and $x \in V(\mathcal{G}')$, let $\mathcal{G}'_{\sim x}$ denote the connected component of \mathcal{G}' containing x. Then for $x \in S^{(*)}$, let

$$\mathcal{A}_x = \{ A \in \mathrm{CO}_{<\infty}^{>1}(x) \mid x^A \in V(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}) \}.$$

Now let $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the graph obtained from $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by adding the edge e_x^A , for each $x \in S^{(*)}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$, from x to x^A with label A. Since $\mathcal{A}_{x^A} = \mathcal{A}_x$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$ (as checked in Lemma 5.15 of the next section), the edge $e_{x^A}^A$, denoted by $(e_x^A)^{-1}$, exists and goes from x^A to x for any edge e_x^A . Note that $((e_x^A)^{-1})^{-1} = e_x^A$. Then let \mathcal{G} be the undirected graph obtained from $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ by identifying each edge with its inverse, so \mathcal{G} contains \mathcal{H} as a subgraph. Note that $V(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x}) = V(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$. Further, as showed in the next section, the structure of the factor $G_{x,x}$ is indeed deduced from only the connected component $\mathcal{G}_{\sim x}$; so we need to compute only the component $\mathcal{G}_{\sim x}$, not the whole of \mathcal{G} .

Example 4.5. Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system of type B_5 with numbering on S in Fig. 1, and let $x = (s_1, s_3, s_4)$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\sim x}$ is as in Fig. 2, where $x' = (s_1, s_4, s_3), y = (s_4, s_1, s_2)$ and $y' = (s_4, s_2, s_1)$. (For simplicity, every loop e_z^{\emptyset} is omitted in this figure.)

For example, $(x, s_5) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\varphi(x, s_5) = (x', s_5)$; in fact, $x_{\sim s_5} = \{s_3, s_4, s_5\}$ is of finite type, and we have

$$\sigma_{\{s_3,s_4,s_5\}}\sigma_{\{s_3,s_4\}}(s_3) = \sigma_{\{s_3,s_4,s_5\}}(s_4) = s_4,$$

$$\sigma_{\{s_3,s_4,s_5\}}\sigma_{\{s_3,s_4\}}(s_4) = \sigma_{\{s_3,s_4,s_5\}}(s_3) = s_3$$

Fig. 2. Transition graph for Example 4.5

(cf. Fig. 1). On the other hand, we have $CO_{<\infty}^{>1}(y) = \{\emptyset, \{2,3\}\}$, and $y_{\{2,3\}} = \{s_1, s_2\}, \sigma_{\{s_1, s_2\}}(s_1) = s_2, \sigma_{\{s_1, s_2\}}(s_2) = s_1$. Then $y^{\{2,3\}} = y'$. Other edges are obtained similarly (note that $(y, s_5), (y', s_5) \in \mathcal{B}^{\varphi}$).

5 The factor $G_{x,x}$

In this section, the remaining factor $G_{x,x}$ of the decomposition is examined. We give a presentation of this group by using the graph \mathcal{G} defined in Section 4.

The main results of this section, which we prove later, are as follows. First, we introduce a subgroupoid H of G; define

$$H = G \cap C'',$$

so H is a wide subgroupoid of G and C''. Then:

Proposition 5.1. H is a normal subgroupoid of G.

Further, let G' be the wide subgroupoid of G such that $G'_{x,y} = G_{x,y}$ if $H_{x,y} \neq \emptyset$, $G'_{x,y} = \emptyset$ otherwise. Then $H \subset G'$ and $G'_{x,x} = G_{x,x}$ for all $x \in S^{(*)}$, so we treat G' instead of G in this section.

For any undirected graph \mathcal{G}' , let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}')$ $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}')_{x,y})$ denote the set of all directed paths of \mathcal{G}' (from x to y, respectively), and let $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G}')$ denote the fundamental groupoid of \mathcal{G}' (cf. Section 2). The homotopy class of $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}')$ is denoted by [p]. Secondly, we define groupoid anti-homomorphisms $g : \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G}) \to G'$ and $h : \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H}) \to H$ which is the restriction of g, and show that these are both surjective. Recall that $w_x^s = w_0(x_{\sim s})w_0(x_{\sim s} \smallsetminus \{s\})$ for $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B}$ and let

$$w_x^A = w_0(x_A)$$

for each $A \in CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x)$. Then:

Theorem 5.2. (i) $w_x^s \in H_{\varphi_v(x,s),x}$ for any $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}^{\varphi}$.

(ii) $w_x^A \in G_{x^A,x}$ for any $A \in CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x)$.

(iii) There exists a unique groupoid anti-homomorphism h from $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H})$ to H which sends each $[e_x^s]$ to w_x^s . Moreover, this map is identity on $S^{(*)}$ and surjective.

(iv) There exists a unique groupoid anti-homomorphism g from $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G})$ to G'which is an extension of h and sends each $\left[e_x^A\right]$ to w_x^A . Moreover, this map is identity on $S^{(*)}$ and surjective.

By this theorem, G', H are anti-isomorphic to the quotients $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G})/\ker g$, $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H})/\ker h$ respectively. In particular, let g_x , h_x be the restriction of g, h to $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})$, $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ respectively. Then $G_{x,x}$, $H_{x,x}$ are anti-isomorphic to $(\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})/\ker g_x)_{x,x}$, $(\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})/\ker h_x)_{x,x}$ respectively. So thirdly, we describe the structure of $\ker g_x$, $\ker h_x$. For $I \subset S$, let $\mathcal{H}^{(I)}$ be the 'restriction' of \mathcal{H} to I; that is, the subgraph of \mathcal{H} consisting of all $y \in S^{(*)}$ such that $[y] \subset I$ and all e_y^s such that $[y] \cup \{s\} \subset I$. Note that $(e_y^s)^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}^{(I)}$ if and only if $e_y^s \in \mathcal{H}^{(I)}$. Then:

Theorem 5.3. (i) ker h_x is generated as a normal subgroupoid by all [p], $p \in R_1(x)$, where $R_1(x)$ is the set of all nontrivial simple closed reduced paths

 $p = e_{y_1}^{s_1} \cdots e_{y_n}^{s_n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ contained in some $\mathcal{H}^{(I)}$ such that $|I \smallsetminus [y_1]| = 2$ and $I_{\sim \{s_1, s_2\}}$ is of finite type.

(ii) ker g_x is generated as a normal subgroupoid by all [p], $p \in R_1(x) \cup R_2(x) \cup R_3(x)$, where $R_2(x)$ consists of all paths $e_y^A e_{y^A}^{A'}(e_y^{AA'})^{-1}$, $y \in V(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})$, $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}_x$, and $R_3(x)$ consists of all paths $e_y^s e_{\varphi_v(y,s)}^A(e_{y^A}^s)^{-1}(e_y^A)^{-1}$, $y \in V(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})$, $s \in S$, $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$.

Now certain presentations of $(\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})/\ker g_x)_{x,x}$ and $(\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})/\ker h_x)_{x,x}$, therefore of $G_{x,x}$ and $H_{x,x}$, are obtained from these results. Let T_x be a maximal tree in $H_{\sim x}$ (this is also a maximal tree in $\mathcal{G}_{\sim x}$). For each $y \in V(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})$, let p_y be the unique reduced path in T_x from x to y. Moreover, let $E(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})$, $E(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ denote the set of all directed edges of $\mathcal{G}_{\sim x}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ respectively; so every element of $R_i(x)$, i = 1, 2, 3 can be regarded as a word on $E(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})$. Then Theorem 5.17 of [5] yields the following:

Theorem 5.4. (i) $(\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})/\ker h_x)_{x,x}$ has the following presentation:

$$\left\langle E(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}) \mid \{ee^{-1} \mid e \in E(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})\} \cup \{e \mid e \in T_x\} \cup R_1(x) \right\rangle.$$

(ii) $(\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})/\ker g_x)_{x,x}$ has the following presentation:

$$\left\langle E(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x}) \mid \{ee^{-1} \mid e \in E(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})\} \cup \{e \mid e \in T_x\} \cup R_1(x) \cup R_2(x) \cup R_3(x)\right\rangle.$$

Moreover, in each presentation, a generator $e \in E(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})$ corresponds to the coset containing $[p_y e p_z^{-1}]$ where e is an edge from y to z.

Finally, in order to simplify the above presentation of $G_{x,x}$, a certain smaller generating set of $G_{x,x}$ and their multiplication are described in Corollary 5.19.

From now on, we start proving the above results.

Lemma 5.5. If $G_{x,y} \neq \emptyset$, then CO(x) = CO(y) and $CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x) = CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(y)$.

Proof. Take any $w \in G_{x,y}$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(x)$, we have

$$\left\langle \alpha_{x_{\lambda}}, \alpha_{x_{\mu}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \pm w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}, \pm w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\mu}} \right\rangle = \pm \left\langle \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}, \alpha_{y_{\mu}} \right\rangle,$$

and so $\langle \alpha_{x_{\lambda}}, \alpha_{x_{\mu}} \rangle = \langle \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}, \alpha_{y_{\mu}} \rangle$ since they have the same signature. Thus we have $m_{x_{\lambda}, x_{\mu}} = m_{y_{\lambda}, y_{\mu}}$ and so our claim holds.

Remark 5.6. By this proof, each $w \in C_{x,y}$ induces a graph isomorphism $y_{\lambda} \mapsto x_{\lambda}$ from $\Gamma_{[y]}$ to $\Gamma_{[x]}$.

Owing to this lemma, we define a groupoid $CO^{>1}_{<\infty}$ on the set of equivalence classes in $S^{(*)}$ by

$$(\mathrm{CO}^{>1}_{<\infty})_{\overline{x},\overline{y}} = \mathrm{CO}^{>1}_{<\infty}(x)$$
 if $\overline{x} = \overline{y}$, $(\mathrm{CO}^{>1}_{<\infty})_{\overline{x},\overline{y}} = \emptyset$ otherwise,

where x is defined to be equivalent to y if and only if $G_{x,y} \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 5.7. The map $G \to CO^{>1}_{<\infty}$, $G_{x,y} \ni w \mapsto A_w \in (CO^{>1}_{<\infty})_{\overline{x}}$ is a groupoid homomorphism, where

$$A_w = \{\lambda \in \Lambda(x) \mid \alpha_{x_\lambda} = -w \cdot \alpha_{y_\lambda}\}.$$

Proof. Let $w \in G_{x,y}$. If y_{λ} is adjacent to y_{μ} (or equivalently $\langle \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}, \alpha_{y_{\mu}} \rangle < 0$), then $\lambda \in A_w$ if and only if $\mu \in A_w$ since $\langle w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}, w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\mu}} \rangle = \langle \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}, \alpha_{y_{\mu}} \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha_{x_{\lambda}}, \alpha_{x_{\mu}} \rangle \leq 0$. This implies $A_w \in CO(y)$.

If $y_{\lambda} \in y_{A_w}^{\text{iso}}$, then we have $y_{\lambda} \in [y]^{\text{iso}}$ and $\alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = -w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}$, but this is impossible since $w \in C'_{x,y}$. So $y_{A_w}^{\text{iso}} = \emptyset$. Further, we have $\Phi^+_{y_{A_w}} \subset \Phi^+_w$, so $y_{A_w}^{\text{iso}}$ is of finite type since $\left|\Phi^+_{y_{A_w}}\right| \leq |\Phi^+_w| = \ell(w) < \infty$. Thus we have $A_w \in \text{CO}^{>1}_{<\infty}(y)$ and so this map is well-defined.

The rest of our claim, namely $A_{ww'} = A_w A_{w'}$ for $w \in G_{x,y}$, $w' \in G_{y,z}$ and $A_{w^{-1}} = A_w \ (= A_w^{-1})$, immediately follows from definition.

Note that $H = G \cap C''$ is the kernel of this homomorphism, so Proposition 5.1 follows.

Lemma 5.8. Let $x \in S^{(*)}$, $I \subset S$ and suppose $w \in W_{[x] \cup I}$, $w \cdot \Pi_{[x]} \subset \Pi$ and $\Pi_I \subset \Phi_w^+$. Then $[x] \cap I = \emptyset$, $x_{\sim I}$ is of finite type and $w = w_0(x_{\sim I})w_0(x_{\sim I} \smallsetminus I)$.

Proof. $[x] \cap I = \emptyset$ and $w \in C''_{y,x}$ for some $y \in S^{(*)}$ by the hypothesis. Now we show $\Phi^+_w = \Phi^+_{x_{\sim I}} \smallsetminus \Phi^+_{x_{\sim I} \smallsetminus I}$.

Since $w \in W_{[x]\cup I}$, we have $\Phi_w^+ \subset \Phi_{[x]\cup I}^+$. If $\gamma \in \Phi_{[x]\cup I}^+$ and $w \cdot \gamma < 0$, then supp $\gamma \cap I \neq \emptyset$ since $w \in C_{y,x}''$, and so supp $\gamma \subset x_{\sim I}$ since supp γ is connected. Thus $\gamma \in \Phi_{x_{\sim I}}^+ \smallsetminus \Phi_{x_{\sim I} \sim I}^+$. Conversely, suppose $\gamma \in \Phi_{x_{\sim I}}^+ \smallsetminus \Phi_{x_{\sim I} \sim I}^+$. Take any $s \in$ supp $\gamma \cap I$. Then we have $[\alpha_t] w \cdot \alpha_s \neq 0$ for some $t \in S \smallsetminus [y]$; otherwise, we have $\alpha_s = w^{-1} w \cdot \alpha_s \in w^{-1} \cdot \Phi_{[y]} \subset \Phi_{[x]}$ since $w \in C_{y,x}''$, but this is contradiction. Then, since $[\alpha_t] w \cdot \alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = 0$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda(x)$ and $[\alpha_t] w \cdot \alpha_{s'} \leq 0$ for any $s' \in I$, we have $[\alpha_t] w \cdot \gamma < 0$ and so $w \cdot \gamma < 0$. Thus $\Phi_w^+ = \Phi_{x_{\sim I}}^+ \smallsetminus \Phi_{x_{\sim I} \sim I}^+$.

Since $|\Phi_w^+| = \ell(w) < \infty$ and $x_{\sim I} \smallsetminus I \subsetneq x_{\sim I}$, Proposition 2.9 implies that $x_{\sim I}$ is of finite type. Further, Lemma 4.2 implies that $\Phi_{w_0(x_{\sim I})w_0(x_{\sim I} \smallsetminus I)}^+ = \Phi_w^+$, so we have $w = w_0(x_{\sim I})w_0(x_{\sim I} \smallsetminus I)$.

Proposition 5.9. Let $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $(x,s) \in \mathcal{B}^{\varphi}$ if and only if $\Phi_{w_x}^+ \cap \Phi_{[x]}^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose $\varphi(x,s) = (x,s)$. Then we have $w_{\varphi_v(x,s)}^{\varphi_l(x,s)} = w_x^s$ and so $(w_x^s)^2 = 1$. So by Theorem 2.3, $w_x^s \cdot \gamma = -\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Phi_{x\sim s}^+$. Now we have

$$\langle \gamma, \alpha_{x_{\lambda}} \rangle = \langle w_x^s \cdot \gamma, w_x^s \cdot \alpha_{x_{\lambda}} \rangle = \langle -\gamma, \alpha_{\varphi_v(x,s)_{\lambda}} \rangle = - \langle \gamma, \alpha_{x_{\lambda}} \rangle$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda(x)$ since $\varphi_v(x,s) = x$, so $\gamma \in \Phi_{w_x^s}^+ \cap \Phi_{[x]}^\perp$.

Conversely, suppose $\gamma \in \Phi_{w_x^s}^+ \cap \Phi_{[x]}^\perp$, so $\gamma \in \Phi_{x_{\sim s}}^+$ and $s_{\gamma} \in C_{x,x}''$. Further,

since $\gamma \in \Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}$, we have

$$1 = \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = \sum_{t \in \text{supp } \gamma} ([\alpha_t] \gamma) \langle \gamma, \alpha_t \rangle = ([\alpha_s] \gamma) \langle \gamma, \alpha_s \rangle$$

and so $\langle \gamma, \alpha_s \rangle > 0$. This implies $s_{\gamma} \cdot \alpha_s < 0$; in fact, this is obvious if $\gamma = \alpha_s$. On the other hand, if $\gamma \neq \alpha_s$, then $[\alpha_t] \gamma > 0$ for some $t \in S \setminus \{s\}$, so we have

$$[\alpha_t](s_{\gamma} \cdot \alpha_s) = [\alpha_t](\alpha_s - 2\langle \gamma, \alpha_s \rangle \gamma) = -2\langle \gamma, \alpha_s \rangle [\alpha_t] \gamma < 0.$$

Thus $s_{\gamma} \cdot \alpha_s < 0$. Hence we have $s_{\gamma} = w_x^s$ by Lemma 5.8, and so $\varphi_v(x,s) = x$ since $s_{\gamma} \in C''_{x,x}$.

Then Theorem 5.2 (i) now follows immediately; for such (x, s), we have $w_x^s \in C_{\varphi_v(x,s),x}''$ by definition of φ_v , while $\Phi_{w_x^s}^+ \cap \Phi_{[x]}^\perp = \emptyset$ by Proposition 5.9. Hence $w_x^s \in H_{\varphi_v(x,s),x}$. Moreover, since $(w_x^s)^{-1} = w_{\varphi_v(x,s)}^{\varphi_l(x,s)}$, the groupoid antihomomorphism h, as in Theorem 5.2 (iii), exists uniquely and is identity on $S^{(*)}$ (by the fact that $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H})$ is a free groupoid on \mathcal{H} ; cf. [4], [9]). For each $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$, we write h(p) as a shorthand for h([p]). Now we show that h is surjective, which completes Theorem 5.2 (iii). For any $p = e_{x_1}^{s_1} e_{x_2}^{s_2} \cdots e_{x_n}^{s_n} \in$ $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$, we say that p is nondegenerate if $\ell(h(p)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(w_{x_i}^{s_i})$, degenerate otherwise. Note that $\ell(h(p)) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(w_{x_i}^{s_i})$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 5.10. Suppose $w \in C''_{x,y}$, $I \subset S$ and $\Pi_I \subset \Phi^+_w$. Then $[y] \cap I = \emptyset$ and $J = y_{\sim I}$ is of finite type. Further, $\ell(w) = \ell(w^J) + \ell(w_J)$, $\Phi^+_{w_J} = \Phi^+_w \cap \Phi^+_J$, $w_J = w_0(J)w_0(J \smallsetminus I)$ and $w_J \in C''_{z,y}$ for some $z \in S^{(*)}$.

Proof. $[y] \cap I = \emptyset$ since $\Pi_I \subset \Phi_w^+$ and $w \in C''_{x,y}$. Further, $\ell(w) = \ell(w^J) + \ell(w_J)$ and $\Phi_{w_J}^+ = \Phi_w^+ \cap \Phi_J^+$ by Proposition 2.8. So $\Pi_I \subset \Phi_{w_J}^+$. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(y), y_{\lambda} \in J$. Then we have

$$\alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}} = w^J w_J \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}} = \sum_{t \in J} ([\alpha_t] w_J \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}) w^J \cdot \alpha_t.$$

Since $w^J \cdot \alpha_t > 0$ for all $t \in J$ and $\alpha_{y_\lambda} \notin \Phi_w^+ \supset \Phi_{w_J}^+$, the right side of the above equality is a nonnegative linear combination of positive roots, while the left side is a simple root. This means that $[\alpha_t] w_J \cdot \alpha_{y_\lambda} > 0$ for exactly one $t \in J$; that is, $w_J \cdot \alpha_{y_\lambda}$ is a simple root. Thus we have $w_J \in C_{z,y}''$ for some $z \in S^{(*)}$. Now Lemma 5.8 implies that J is of finite type and $w_J = w_0(J)w_0(J \setminus I)$, as required.

The surjectivity of h is deduced from the following:

Corollary 5.11. For any $w \in H_{x,y}$, there exists a nondegenerate path $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{y,x}$ such that h(p) = w. Further, if $s \in S$ and $w \cdot \alpha_s < 0$, then we can take such p containing e_y^s as its first edge; in particular, $(y,s) \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}^{\varphi}$.

Proof. The case $\ell(w) = 0$ is obvious, so suppose $\ell(w) > 0$. Let $s \in S$, $w \cdot \alpha_s < 0$. Since $w \in C''_{x,y}$, Lemma 5.10 implies that $(y,s) \in \mathcal{B}$, $\ell(w(w_y^s)^{-1}) = \ell(w) - \ell(w_y^s) < \ell(w)$ and $\Phi_{w_y^s}^+ = \Phi_w^+ \cap \Phi_{y\sim s}^+$. Then we have $\Phi_{w_y^s}^+ \cap \Phi_{[y]}^\perp \subset \Phi_w^+ \cap \Phi_{[y]}^\perp = \emptyset$ since $w \in H_{x,y}$. So we have $(y,s) \notin \mathcal{B}^{\varphi}$ by Proposition 5.9, and $w_y^s \in H_{\varphi_v(y,s),y}$, therefore $w(w_y^s)^{-1} \in H_{x,\varphi_v(y,s)}$. Now by induction on $\ell(w)$, there is a nondegenerate $p' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{\varphi_v(y,s),x}$ such that $h(p') = w(w_y^s)^{-1}$. Then $p = e_y^s p'$ is a required path (note that h is an *anti*-homomorphism).

Remark 5.12. Although Proposition 5.5 of [6] is similar to Corollary 5.11, they have the following differences. In this corollary we deal with transition between *ordered tuples*, while [6] deals with transition between subsets of S

only. Moreover, this corollary gives a decomposition of w in H, while [6] only gives a decomposition in C'', a larger groupoid than H.

Remark 5.13. $\mathcal{A}_x = \{A \in \mathrm{CO}^{>1}_{<\infty}(x) \mid H_{x^A,x} \neq \emptyset\}$ since h is surjective.

On the other hand, Theorem 5.2 (ii) is deduced from the following:

Lemma 5.14. $w_x^A \in G_{x^A,x}$ and $A_{w_x^A} = A$ for any $A \in CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x)$.

Proof. Since $x_A^{iso} = \emptyset$, we have $w_0(x_A) \in C'_{x^A,x}$ by definition of x^A , while $\Phi^+_{w_0(x_A)} \cap \Phi^{\perp}_{[x]} = \emptyset$ since $w_0(x_A) \in W_{[x]}$. Thus $w_0(x_A) \in G_{x^A,x}$. $A_{w_0(x_A)} = A$ is obvious.

Now we show Theorem 5.2 (iv).

Lemma 5.15. (i) If $G_{x,y} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{A}_x = \mathcal{A}_y$. (So $\mathcal{A}_{x^A} = \mathcal{A}_x$ for any $A \in CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x)$ by Lemma 5.14.) (ii) $A_w \in \mathcal{A}_x$ for any $w \in G'_{x,y}$.

Proof. (i) Take any $w \in G_{x,y}$, and let $A \in \mathcal{A}_y$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda(y)$, let ε_{λ} be + or - such that $\alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = \varepsilon_{\lambda} w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}}$. If $\lambda \notin A$, then we have

$$\alpha_{(x^A)_{\lambda}} = \alpha_{x_{\lambda}} = \varepsilon_{\lambda} w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\lambda}} = \varepsilon_{\lambda} w \cdot \alpha_{(y^A)_{\lambda}}.$$

Suppose $\lambda \in A$, and let $\sigma_{y_A}(y_\lambda) = y_\mu$. Then we have $\sigma_{x_A}(x_\lambda) = x_\mu$ by Remark 5.6. Further, $\varepsilon_\lambda = \varepsilon_\mu$ since y_λ and y_μ belong the same connected component of [y]. So we have

$$\alpha_{(x^A)_{\lambda}} = \alpha_{x_{\mu}} = \varepsilon_{\lambda} w \cdot \alpha_{y_{\mu}} = \varepsilon_{\lambda} w \cdot \alpha_{(y^A)_{\lambda}}.$$

Moreover, $\Phi_w^+ \cap \Phi_{[y^A]}^\perp = \Phi_w^+ \cap \Phi_{[y]}^\perp = \emptyset$ since $w \in G_{x,y}$. Thus $w \in G_{x^A,y^A}$. We distinguish this w by writing w'. Then the above argument shows $A_{w'} = A_w$.

Since $A \in \mathcal{A}_y$, there is a path $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{y,y^A}$. Now we have $w'h(p)w^{-1} \in G_{x^A,y^A}H_{y^A,y}G_{y,x} \subset G_{x^A,x}$ and $A_{w'h(p)w^{-1}} = A_w \emptyset(A_w)^{-1} = \emptyset$, so $w'h(p)w^{-1} \in H_{x^A,x}$. This implies $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$, so we have $\mathcal{A}_y \subset \mathcal{A}_x$. The converse is similar.

(ii) $w_x^{A_w} \in G_{x^{A_w},x}$ by Lemma 5.14, while some $w' \in H_{x,y}$ exists by definition of G'. Then we have $w_x^{A_w} w w'^{-1} \in G_{x^{A_w},x}$ and $A_{w_x^{A_w} w w'^{-1}} = A_w A_w \emptyset = \emptyset$, so $w_x^{A_w} w w'^{-1} \in H_{x^{A_w},x}$. This implies $A_w \in \mathcal{A}_x$.

Proof of Theorem 5.2 (iv). Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$. Then by Lemmas 5.14 and 5.15, we have $w_x^A \in G'_{x^A,x}$ (since $H_{x^A,x} \neq \emptyset$) and $(w_x^A)^{-1} = w_{x^A}^A$ (since $(x^A)_A = x_A$). So, similarly to the case of h, such g exists uniquely and is identity on $S^{(*)}$. We write $g(p), p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})$ as a shorthand for g([p]).

Now we show that g is surjective. Let $w \in G'_{x,y}$. Then $w_x^{A_w} \in G_{x^{A_w},x}$ and $A_{w_x^{A_w}w} = A_w A_w = \emptyset$. So we have $w_x^{A_w}w \in H_{x^{A_w},y}$ and then $w_x^{A_w}w = h(p)$ for some $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{y,x^{A_w}}$ since h is surjective. Further, the edge $e_x^{A_w}$ exists by Lemma 5.15 (ii). Thus we have $p' = p(e_x^{A_w})^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})_{y,x}$ and $g(p') = (w_x^{A_w})^{-1}h(p) = w$. Hence g is surjective. \Box

From now on, we prove Theorem 5.3. Note that $\mathcal{A}_x = \mathcal{A}_y$ whenever $y \in V(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x}) = V(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$, by Lemma 5.15 (i).

Lemma 5.16. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$ and $e_y^s \in \mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$. Then $e_{y^A}^s \in \mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ and $\varphi_v(y^A, s) = \varphi_v(y,s)^A$. Further, $w_y^s = w_{y^A}^s$ in W and $w_{\varphi_v(y,s)}^A w_y^s (w_y^A)^{-1} = w_{y^A}^s$ in G.

Proof. Put $\varphi(y,s) = (z,s')$. Then we have $w_y^s \in C''_{z^A,y^A}$ similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.15 (i), while $w_y^s \cdot \alpha_s < 0$. So Lemma 5.8 implies that $(y^A,s) \in \mathcal{B}$,

 $w_y^s = w_{y^A}^s$ and $\varphi_v(y^A, s) = z^A$. Now $y \neq z$ implies $y^A \neq z^A$, so $(y^A, s) \notin \mathcal{B}^{\varphi}$. Further, since $y \in V(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$, we have $y^A \in V(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ and so $e_{y^A}^s \in \mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$.

Now we have $w_z^A w_y^s (w_y^A)^{-1} \in G_{z^A, z} H_{z, y} G_{y, y^A} \subset G_{z^A, y^A}$. Further, since $w_y^A \in W_{[y]}$, we have $(w_y^A)^{-1} \cdot \alpha_s \in \Phi_{y_{\sim s}}^+ \setminus \Phi_{y_{\sim s} \smallsetminus \{s\}}^+$ and so $w_y^s (w_y^A)^{-1} \cdot \alpha_s \in \Phi_{z_{\sim s'}}^- \setminus \Phi_{z_{\sim s'} \smallsetminus \{s'\}}^-$, therefore $w_z^A w_y^s (w_y^A)^{-1} \cdot \alpha_s < 0$. Then, since $w_z^A w_y^s (w_y^A)^{-1} \in W_{[y^A] \cup \{s\}}$, Lemma 5.8 implies that $w_z^A w_y^s (w_y^A)^{-1} = w_{y^A}^s$, as required.

Corollary 5.17. (i) For any edge e_y^s of $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$, $e_{y^A}^s$ is also an edge of $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ from y^A to $\varphi_v(y,s)^A$ and $e_{\varphi_v(y,s)^A}^{\varphi_v(y,s)_A} = (e_{y^A}^s)^{-1}$. Hence we can define $\rho_A \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ by $\rho_A(y) = y^A$ and $\rho_A(e_y^s) = e_{y^A}^s$, and it induces a groupoid automorphism $\tilde{\rho}_A$ on $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$. (ii) $w_z^A h(p)(w_y^A)^{-1} = h(\tilde{\rho}_A(p))$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})_{y,z}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_y$. (iii) \mathcal{A}_x is a subgroup of $\operatorname{CO}_{<\infty}^{>1}(x)$.

(iv) Both $\mathcal{A}_x \ni A \mapsto \rho_A \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}, \ \mathcal{A}_x \ni A \mapsto \widetilde{\rho}_A \in \operatorname{Aut}\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ are group homomorphisms.

Proof. For any edge e_y^s of $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$, we have $\varphi_v(y^A, s) = \varphi_v(y, s)^A$ by Lemma 5.16. So $[\varphi_v(y^A, s)] = [\varphi_v(y, s)]$, while $[y^A] \cup \{s\} = [y] \cup \{s\}$. Then we have $\varphi_l(y^A, s) = \varphi_l(y, s)$ by Remark 4.1; thus (i) holds. (ii) is deduced by repeated use of Lemma 5.16.

Now we show (iii). Let $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}_x$. Then some $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{x,x^A}$ exists, so $\rho_{A'}(p) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{x^{A'},x^{AA'}}$. Thus we have $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{x^{A'},x^{AA'}} \neq \emptyset$, therefore $x^{AA'} \in V(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x^{A'}}) = V(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ since $A' \in \mathcal{A}_x$. This implies that $AA' \in \mathcal{A}_x$; so (iii) holds. Finally, (iv) immediately follows from definition.

Proof of Theorem 5.3 (i). Let N_x be the normal subgroupoid of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$

generated by all $[p], p \in R_1(x)$. Then we show ker $h_x = N_x$.

Claim 1. $N_x \subset \ker h_x$.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $p \in R_1(x)$. Then p is of length at least two since \mathcal{H} has no loops. Now $s_2 \neq \varphi_l(y_1, s_1)$ since p is reduced, and so $s_2 \notin [y_2] \cup \{\varphi_l(y_1, s_1)\} =$ $[y_1] \cup \{s_1\}$, therefore $I = [y_1] \cup \{s_1, s_2\}$. This implies that $I \smallsetminus [y_i] \subset J$ for all i by induction, where $J = I_{\sim \{s_1, s_2\}}$. Thus we have $h(p) \in W_J$, while $h(p) \in H_{y_1, y_1}$ and J is of finite type. So Theorem 2.4 implies that h(p) can be written as a product of reflections in W_J which fix $\prod_{[y_1] \cap J}$ pointwise. Now since no element of $[y_1] \smallsetminus J$ is adjacent to an element of J, these reflections in fact fix $\prod_{[y_1]}$ pointwise. This yields $h(p) \in W(\Phi_{[y_1]}^{\perp}) \cap H_{y_1,y_1}$, while $W(\Phi_{[y_1]}^{\perp}) \cap H_{y_1,y_1} = 1$ by Theorem 3.5. Hence h(p) = 1. (End of proof of Claim 1)

We show ker $h_x \,\subset N_x$. Let $p = e_{y_1}^{s_1} \cdots e_{y_n}^{s_n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ such that h(p) = 1 (so pis a closed path). We show $[p] \in N_x$ by induction on $|p| = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(w_{y_i}^{s_i})$. This is obvious when |p| = 0, so suppose |p| > 0. Then p is degenerate since h(p) = 1, while $e_{y_1}^{s_1}$ is nondegenerate. So there is some index $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ such that $p^{(1,k)}$ is nondegenerate and $p^{(1,k+1)}$ is degenerate, where $p^{(i,j)} = e_{y_i}^{s_i} e_{y_{i+1}}^{s_{i+1}} \cdots e_{y_j}^{s_j}$ for any indices i, j. Put $w = h(p^{(1,k)})^{-1}$.

If $s_{k+1} = \varphi_l(y_k, s_k)$, then we have $[p] = \left[p^{(1,k-1)}p^{(k+2,n)}\right] \in N_x$ by induction. So we may assume $s_{k+1} \notin [y_{k+1}] \cup \{\varphi_l(y_k, s_k)\} = [y_k] \cup \{s_k\}.$

Claim 2. $w \cdot \alpha_{\varphi_l(y_k,s_k)} < 0$ and $w \cdot \alpha_{s_{k+1}} < 0$.

Proof of Claim 2. Since $p^{(1,k-1)}$ is nondegenerate, Lemma 2.1 (i) implies that $\Phi^+_{h(p^{(1,k-1)})^{-1}} \cap \Phi^+_{w_{y_k}^{s_k}} = \emptyset$, while $(w_{y_k}^{s_k})^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{\varphi_l(y_k,s_k)} < 0$. Thus we have

$$-w \cdot \alpha_{\varphi_l(y_k,s_k)} = h(p^{(1,k-1)})^{-1} \cdot (-(w^{s_k}_{y_k})^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{\varphi_l(y_k,s_k)}) > 0.$$

On the other hand, $\ell(h(p^{(1,k+1)})) < \ell(w^{-1}) + \ell(w^{s_{k+1}}_{y_{k+1}})$ by the choice of k, and so $\Phi^+_w \cap \Phi^+_{w^{s_{k+1}}_{y_{k+1}}} \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.1 (i). This implies that $w \cdot \alpha_{s_{k+1}} < 0$ since $w \in C_{y_1,y_{k+1}}'' \text{ and } \Phi_{w_{y_{k+1}}}^+ \subset \Phi_{(y_{k+1})\sim_{s_{k+1}}}^+. \quad \text{(End of proof of Claim 2)}$ Put $I = [y_{k+1}] \cup \{\varphi_l(y_k, s_k), s_{k+1}\}$ and $J = I_{\sim\{\varphi_l(y_k, s_k), s_{k+1}\}}.$ Then Lemma 5.10 implies that J is of finite type, $w_J \cdot \alpha_{\varphi_l}(y_k, s_k) < 0, w_J \cdot \alpha_{s_{k+1}} < 0, w_J \in H_{z,y_{k+1}}$ and $w^J \in H_{y_1,z}$ for some $z \in S^{(*)}$. Further, by Corollary 5.11, there are nondegenerate paths $q_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{z,y_1}, (e_{y_k}^{s_k})^{-1}q_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{y_{k+1},z}$ such that $h(q_1) = w^J, h((e_{y_k}^{s_k})^{-1}q_2) = w_J$ and $(e_{y_k}^{s_k})^{-1}q_2$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}^{(I)}$ (since $w_J \in W_I$). Note that $\left|(e_{y_k}^{s_k})^{-1}q_2q_1\right| = \ell(w^J) + \ell(w_J) = \ell(w)$. Similarly, there is a nondegenerate path $e_{y_{k+1}}^{s_{k+1}}q_3 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{y_{k+1,z}}$ contained in $\mathcal{H}^{(I)}$ and satisfying $h(e_{y_{k+1}}^{s_{k+1}}q_3) = w_J$. Note that $\left|e_{y_{k+1}}^{s_{k+1}}q_3q_2^{-1}e_{y_k}^{s_k}\right| \in N_x$.

Proof of Claim 3. By the above argument, $p' = e_{y_{k+1}}^{s_{k+1}} q_3 q_2^{-1} e_{y_k}^{s_k} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ is a closed path contained in $\mathcal{H}^{(I)}$, $|I \smallsetminus [y_{k+1}]| = 2$ and $I_{\sim I \smallsetminus [y_{k+1}]} = J$ is of finite type. Now we can write $[p'] = [p_1 c_1 p_1^{-1} \cdots p_m c_m p_m^{-1}]$, where $p_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}^{(I)})$ and $c_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}^{(I)})$ is a nontrivial simple closed reduced path. Thus $c_i \in R_1(x)$. Hence we have $[p'] \in N_x$. (End of proof of Claim 3) **Claim 4.** $[p^{(1,k-1)}q_2q_1] \in N_x$ and $[q_1^{-1}q_3^{-1}p^{(k+2,n)}] \in N_x$.

Proof of Claim 4. By the choice of q_1, q_2 , we have

$$h(p^{(1,k-1)}q_2q_1) = w^J(w_J w_{y_k}^{s_k})h(p^{(1,k-1)}) = wh(p^{(1,k)}) = 1,$$

while $h(p^{(k+1,n)}) = h(p)h(p^{(1,k)})^{-1} = w$ implies that

$$\left| p^{(1,k-1)} q_2 q_1 \right| = \left| p^{(1,k-1)} \right| + \left(\ell(w) - \left| e^{s_k}_{y_k} \right| \right) \leqslant \left| p^{(1,k-1)} \right| + \left| p^{(k+1,n)} \right| - \left| e^{s_k}_{y_k} \right| < |p|.$$

Thus $\left[p^{(1,k-1)}q_2q_1\right] \in N_x$ by induction. Similarly, we have

$$h(q_1^{-1}q_3^{-1}p^{(k+2,n)}) = (w(w_{y_{k+1}}^{s_{k+1}})^{-1})(w_{y_{k+1}}^{s_{k+1}}(w_J)^{-1})(w^J)^{-1} = 1,$$

$$\left|q_1^{-1}q_3^{-1}p^{(k+2,n)}\right| = (\ell(w) - \left|e_{y_{k+1}}^{s_{k+1}}\right|) + \left|p^{(k+2,n)}\right| < |p|,$$

so $\left[q_1^{-1}q_3^{-1}p^{(k+2,n)}\right] \in N_x$ by induction. (End of proof of Claim 4)

Since

$$[p] = \left[p^{(1,k-1)}q_2q_1\right] \\ \cdot \left[q_1^{-1}q_2^{-1}e_{y_k}^{s_k}\right] \left[e_{y_{k+1}}^{s_{k+1}}q_3q_2^{-1}e_{y_k}^{s_k}\right] \left[q_1^{-1}q_2^{-1}e_{y_k}^{s_k}\right]^{-1} \cdot \left[q_1^{-1}q_3^{-1}p^{(k+2,n)}\right],$$

we have $[p] \in N_x$ by Claims 3 and 4. Hence ker $h_x \subset N_x$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3 (ii). Let N_x be the normal subgroupoid of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})$ generated by all $[p], p \in R_1(x) \cup R_2(x) \cup R_3(x)$. Then we show ker $g_x = N_x$.

First, we show $N_x \subset \ker g_x$. Theorem 5.3 (i) shows that $[p] \in \ker h_x \subset \ker g_x$ if $p \in R_1(x)$. For $p = e_y^A e_{y^A}^{A'} (e_y^{AA'})^{-1} \in R_2(x)$, we have $w_0(y_{AA'}) = w_0(y_{A'})w_0(y_A)$, $w_0((y^A)_{A'}) = w_0(y_{A'})$ since A, A' are unions of connected components of [y]. So we have g(p) = 1. Further, by Lemma 5.16, we have g(p) = 1 for any $p \in R_3(x)$. Thus $N_x \subset \ker g_x$.

Conversely, we show ker $g_x \subset N_x$. Take any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim x})$ such that g(p) = 1, and we show $[p] \in N_x$. Note that $N_x \subset \ker g_x$ as proved above. So by repeated use of elements of $R_3(x)$, we may assume that p is of the form $p'e_y^{A_1}e_{y^{A_1}}^{A_2}\cdots e_{y^{A_1\cdots A_{k-1}}}^{A_k}$, $p' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ without changing whether $[p] \in N_x$ or not.

Since $g(p) = 1 \in H$, we have $\emptyset = A_{g(p)} = A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k$. Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_y^{A_1} e_{y^{A_1}}^{A_2} \cdots e_{y^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-1}}}^{A_k} \end{bmatrix}$$

= $\begin{bmatrix} e_y^{A_1} e_{y^{A_1}}^{A_2} \cdots e_{y^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-2}}}^{A_{k-1}} e_{y^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-1}}}^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-1}} \end{bmatrix}$
= $\begin{bmatrix} e_y^{A_1} \cdots e_{y^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-3}}}^{A_{k-2}} e_{y^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-2}}}^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_y^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-2}} e_{y^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-2}}}^{A_{k-1}} (e_y^{A_1 \cdots A_{k-1}})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$

and so $\left[e_y^{A_1}e_{y^{A_1}}^{A_2}\cdots e_{y^{A_1\cdots A_{k-1}}}^{A_k}\right] \in N_x$ by induction on k. Since $N_x \subset \ker g_x$, this implies h(p') = 1; so $[p'] \in \ker h_x \subset N_x$ by Theorem 5.3 (i). Hence we have $[p] \in N_x$, as required.

Finally, we examine the structure of $G_{x,x}$ more precisely. Recall that $H_{x,x}$

is normal in $G_{x,x}$.

Lemma 5.18. The map $G_{x,x}/H_{x,x} \ni wH_{x,x} \mapsto A_w \in \mathcal{A}_x$ is an isomorphism of groups.

Proof. The well-definedness and injectivity of this map are deduced from the definition of H and Lemma 5.15 (ii). For $A \in \mathcal{A}_x$, we have $h(p)^{-1}w_x^A \in G_{x,x}$ and $A_{h(p)^{-1}w_x^A} = A$ for some $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{x,x^A}$ since $x^A \in V(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$; thus this map is surjective.

Corollary 5.19. Suppose that the group \mathcal{A}_x is generated by certain elements A_{ν} . For each ν , let $\tilde{p}_{\nu} = p_{x^{A_{\nu}}}(e_x^{A_{\nu}})^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})_{x,x}$. (i) $G_{x,x}$ is generated by all h(q), $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{x,x}$ and all $g(\tilde{p}_{\nu})$. (ii) $g(\tilde{p}_{\nu})h(q)g(\tilde{p}_{\nu})^{-1} = h(\tilde{\rho}_{A_{\nu}}(p_{x^{A_{\nu}}}^{-1}qp_{x^{A_{\nu}}}))$. (iii) $g(\tilde{p}_{\nu})^2 = h(p_{x^{A_{\nu}}}\tilde{\rho}_{A_{\nu}}(p_{x^{A_{\nu}}}))$. (iv) $g(\tilde{p}_{\nu_1})^{-1}g(\tilde{p}_{\nu_2})^{-1}g(\tilde{p}_{\nu_1})g(\tilde{p}_{\nu_2}) = h(p_{x^{A_{\nu_2}}}\tilde{\rho}_{A_{\nu_2}}(p_{x^{A_{\nu_1}}})\tilde{\rho}_{A_{\nu_1}}(p_{x^{A_{\nu_2}}})^{-1}p_{x^{A_{\nu_1}}}^{-1})$.

Proof. Let $w \in G_{x,x}$. Then $A_w = A_{\nu_1} \cdots A_{\nu_k}$ for some indices ν_1, \ldots, ν_k by the hypothesis. Since $A_{g(\tilde{p}_{\nu})} = A_{\nu}$, we have $wg(\tilde{p}_{\nu_1})^{-1} \cdots (\tilde{p}_{\nu_k})^{-1} \in H_{x,x}$, and so it is written as $h(q), q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{x,x}$ since h is surjective. Hence (i) holds. Further, (ii)-(iv) are deduced from Corollary 5.17 by direct computing. \Box

6 Examples

Example 6.1. (W, S) is of type \widetilde{B}_7 and $x = (1, 2, 4, 5, 8) \in S^{(*)}$, as in Fig. 3 (in this section we write *i* as a shorthand for s_i). Then we compute the centralizer $C_{x,x}$ of $W_{\{1,2,4,5,8\}}$.



Fig. 3. Coxeter graph of type $\widetilde{B_7}$

1. Fig. 3 implies $[x]^{iso} = \{1, 2, 8\}$. So by Corollary 3.2,

 $C_{x,x} = W_{\{1,2,8\}} \times C'_{x,x} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \times C'_{x,x}.$

2. The graph $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ is as in Fig. 4. In this case, $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ has no parallel edges,



Fig. 4. Connected component of \mathcal{H}

so let e(y, z) denote the unique directed edge of it from y to z. Now we give a presentation of $H_{x,x}$ by using Theorem 5.4. Let T_x be a maximal tree as in Fig. 5.

To determine $R_1(x)$, we have only to consider $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}^{(I)}$ for $I = S \setminus \{s\}$, $\begin{bmatrix} I & \frac{4 & 6}{6} & \text{II} & \frac{3 & 3}{3} & \text{III} & \frac{6 & 4}{4} & \text{IV} \\ & & & & & \\ 3 \\ & & & & \\ 3 \\ & & & \\ V & \frac{1}{4 & 6} & \text{VI} & \frac{3}{3 & 3} & \text{VII} & \text{VIII} \end{bmatrix}$

Fig. 5. Maximal tree in Fig. 4

 $s \in S$ since $\ell(x) + 2 = 7 = |S| - 1$. For example, if s = 4, then we obtain $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}^{(I)}$ from $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ by deleting four vertices II, III, VI, VII and six edges

e(I, II), e(II, III), e(III, IV), e(V, VI), e(VI, VII), e(VII, VIII). By similar argument, $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}^{(I)}$ is nonempty for s = 3, 4, 6, 7, as in Fig. 6, while this is empty for s = 1, 2, 5, 8.

Thus we have $R_1(x) = \{c_1, c_2\}$, where



Fig. 6. Subgraphs $\mathcal{H}^{(I)}_{\sim x}$ of Fig. 4

$$c_{1} = e(I, VIII)e(VIII, IV)e(IV, V)e(V, I),$$

$$c_{2} = e(I, II)e(II, III)e(III, IV)e(IV, VIII)e(VIII, VII)e(VII, V)e(V, I)$$

(note that in this case, every proper subset of S is of finite type). Now, by Theorem 5.4, $H_{x,x}$ is anti-isomorphic to

$$\left\langle E(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}) \mid \{ee^{-1} \mid e \in E(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})\} \cup \{e \mid e \in T_x\} \cup \{c_1, c_2\}\right\rangle$$

$$\simeq \left\langle e(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{VIII}), e(\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{V}), e(\mathrm{VII}, \mathrm{VIII}) \right\rangle$$

$$\mid e(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{VIII})e(\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{V}) = 1, e(\mathrm{VII}, \mathrm{VIII})^{-1} = 1\right\rangle$$

$$\simeq \left\langle e(\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{V}) \mid \right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}.$$

(6.1)

Further, e(IV, V) in this presentation corresponds to $h(q) \in H_{x,x}$, where

$$q = p_{\mathrm{IV}}e(\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{V})p_{\mathrm{V}}^{-1} = e(\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III})e(\mathrm{III}, \mathrm{IV})e(\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{V})e(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{I})e(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II})$$

so $H_{x,x}$ is the free group of rank one generated by h(q).

3. We describe the structure of $G_{x,x}$ by using Corollary 5.19. First, it follows from Fig. 3 that each $A \in CO(x)$ is a union of some of $\{1\}$, $\{2\}$, $\{3,4\}$, $\{5\}$. Then we have $CO^{>1}_{<\infty}(x) = \{\emptyset, \{3,4\}\}$. Put $A_0 = \{3,4\}$. Then we have $x^{A_0} =$ (1,2,5,4,8) = VII and so $\mathcal{A}_x = \{\emptyset, A_0\}$. Let $\tilde{p}_{A_0} = p_{x^{A_0}}(e_x^{A_0})^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G})_{x,x}$.

By Corollary 5.19 (i), $G_{x,x}$ is generated by h(q) and $g(\tilde{p}_{A_0})$ since $H_{x,x}$ is generated by h(q). Further, by that corollary, we have

$$g(\tilde{p}_{A_0})^2 = h(p_{x^{A_0}}\tilde{\rho}_{A_0}(p_{x^{A_0}}))$$
$$= h(p_{x^{A_0}}e(\text{VII},\text{VIII})e(\text{VIII},\text{IV})e(\text{IV},\text{III})e(\text{III},\text{II}))$$

and this equals to 1; in fact, in the presentation (6.1), the path in the right side of the above equality is equal to e(VII, VIII) and then vanishes. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{split} g(\tilde{p}_{A_0})h(q)g(\tilde{p}_{A_0})^{-1} \\ &= h(\tilde{\rho}_{A_0}(p_{x^{A_0}}^{-1}qp_{x^{A_0}})) \\ &= h(\tilde{\rho}_{A_0}(e(\text{VII},\text{VI})e(\text{VI},\text{V})e(\text{V},\text{I})e(\text{I},\text{II}) \\ &\quad \cdot e(\text{II},\text{III})e(\text{III},\text{IV})e(\text{IV},\text{V})e(\text{V},\text{VI})e(\text{VI},\text{VII}))) \\ &= h(e(\text{II},\text{III})e(\text{III},\text{IV})e(\text{IV},\text{VIII})e(\text{VIII},\text{VII}) \\ &\quad \cdot e(\text{VII},\text{VI})e(\text{VI},\text{V})e(\text{V},\text{IV})e(\text{IV},\text{III})e(\text{III},\text{II})) \end{split}$$

and this equals to $h(q)^{-1}$ by (6.1). Thus we have

$$G_{x,x} = \left\langle h(q), g(\tilde{p}_{A_0}) \mid g(\tilde{p}_{A_0})^2 = 1, g(\tilde{p}_{A_0})h(q)g(\tilde{p}_{A_0})^{-1} = h(q)^{-1} \right\rangle.$$

This implies that $\{1, g(\tilde{p}_{A_0})\}$ forms a subgroup of $G_{x,x}$ isomorphic to \mathcal{A}_x , so we have

$$G_{x,x} \simeq H_{x,x} \rtimes \mathcal{A}_x \simeq \mathbb{Z} \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on \mathbb{Z} as multiplication by -1. Moreover, put $a = g(\tilde{p}_{A_0})$ and b = ah(q). Then we have

$$G_{x,x} = \left\langle a, b \mid a^2 = 1, b^2 = 1 \right\rangle \simeq \widetilde{A_1}$$

4. We determine the structure of the Coxeter system $(W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}), \tilde{S}_x)$. According to the result of [14], or by direct computing, we have

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_x = \{\widetilde{\gamma}, h(q) \cdot \widetilde{\gamma}\}, \text{ where } \widetilde{\gamma} = \sqrt{2}\alpha_7 + \alpha_8 = s_7 \cdot \alpha_8$$

Put $\beta = \tilde{\gamma}, \, \beta' = h(q) \cdot \tilde{\gamma}$. Then $\beta' = \sqrt{2} \, \delta - \beta$, where

$$\delta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + 2\alpha_7 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_8$$

is the null root of \widetilde{B}_7 .

This implies $\langle \beta, \beta' \rangle = -1$, so $(W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}), \tilde{S}_x)$ is of type \widetilde{A}_1 . Further, we have $g(\tilde{p}_{A_0}) \cdot \beta = \beta', h(q) \cdot \beta = \beta'$, and so Proposition 3.7 implies $g(\tilde{p}_{A_0}) \cdot \beta' = \beta$, $h(q) \cdot \beta' = \beta$. Then

$$a \cdot \beta = \beta', \ a \cdot \beta' = \beta, \ b \cdot \beta = \beta, \ b \cdot \beta' = \beta'.$$

So we have $C'_{x,x} \simeq \widetilde{A_1} \rtimes \widetilde{A_1}$, where one of the generators of right $\widetilde{A_1}$ (that is, b) acts trivially on left $\widetilde{A_1}$ and the another (that is, a) acts as an involution of the Coxeter graph of left $\widetilde{A_1}$.

Summarizing, we have $C_{x,x} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \times (\widetilde{A}_1 \rtimes \widetilde{A}_1).$

In this example, $G_{x,x}$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product of $H_{x,x}$ by \mathcal{A}_x , and $H_{x,x}$ forms a free group. But these properties may fail in general.

Let (W, S) be as in Fig. 7 and let x = (1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8). Then, similarly to



Fig. 7. Coxeter graph of another example

the previous example, it can be shown that

$$W_{[x]^{\text{iso}}} = 1, \ W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}) = 1, \ G_{x,x} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2, \ H_{x,x} \simeq (2\mathbb{Z})^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Thus $H_{x,x}$ is not a free group, and $G_{x,x}$ is not isomorphic to any semidirect product of $H_{x,x}$ by a group, since $G_{x,x}$ has no subgroup isomorphic to $G_{x,x}/H_{x,x} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.

At last of this section, we give some remarks on the preceding results mentioned in Introduction.

First, let $x \in S^{(*)}$, [x] = S. Then by definition, $W_{[x]^{iso}}$ is generated by all $w_0(J)$ where J runs over the connected components of S satisfying |J| = 1, while obviously $W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}) = 1$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ consists of only a single point x and so $H_{x,x} = 1$. This implies that $\mathcal{A}_x = \{A \subset \mathrm{CO}^{>1}_{<\infty}(x) \mid x^A = x\}$, so \mathcal{A}_x is generated (as a group) by all $A \subset \Lambda(x)$ such that x_A is a connected component of [x] of finite type, $|x_A| \ge 2$ and $\sigma_{x_A} = \mathrm{id}_{x_A}$. Hence by Corollary 5.19 (i), the well-known result on $Z_W(W_S)$ is in fact recovered.

Secondly, we also recover the result of Brink [2] by using our result; we check that $Z_W(s)$ $(s \in S)$ is the semidirect product of $W(\Phi_{\{s\}}^{\perp} \cup \{\alpha_s\})$ by a group isomorphic to the fundamental group of the odd Coxeter graph of (W, S). The odd Coxeter graph Γ^{odd} is the subgraph of Γ obtained by deleting all edges labeled an even number or ∞ . Now for x = (s), we have

$$W_{[x]^{\mathrm{iso}}} \times W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp}) = \langle s \rangle \times W(\Phi_{\{s\}}^{\perp}) = W(\Phi_{\{s\}}^{\perp} \cup \{\alpha_s\}).$$

On the other hand, we have $G_{x,x} = H_{x,x}$ since $\mathcal{A}_x = \{\emptyset\}$. Further, $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ is considered as a connected component of Γ^{odd} containing s; in fact, each $y \in V(\mathcal{H}_{\sim x})$ is identified with $t \in S$ such that y = (t), and then y is adjacent to z = (t') if and only if $(y,t') \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}^{\varphi}$, or equivalently $t \neq t'$ and $m_{t,t'}$ is odd (cf. Fig. 1). Now we have $R_1(x) = \emptyset$; in fact, if $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})_{y,y}$ satisfies the condition for $R_1(x)$, then p is a nontrivial cycle in Γ_I , but this is impossible since $I_{\sim y}$ is of finite type. This implies that ker h_x is trivial, so $H_{x,x}$ is antiisomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H})_{x,x}$ which is a free group, as required.

Finally, we deal with the case where (W, S) is even (that is, every $m_{s,s'}$ is even or ∞) considered in the recent work by Bahls and Mihalik [1]. Our approach is different from that in [1], but gives the same generators of $Z_W(W_I)$ as [1] as follows.

In this case, $\mathcal{H}_{\sim x}$ consists of only a single point x for any $x \in S^{(*)}$, by Fig. 1. Now similarly to the case of $Z_W(W_S)$, $G_{x,x}$ is generated by all $w_0(J)$ where J runs over the connected components of [x] of finite type satisfying $|J| \ge 2$ and $\sigma_J = \mathrm{id}_J$. Since (W, S) is even, a connected component J satisfies this condition if and only if $J = \{s, s'\}$ for some $s, s' \in S$ such that $m_{s,s'}$ is an even number greater than 2. For such J, we have $w_0(J) = (ss')^{m_{s,s'}/2} \in W_{[x]}$, and so $G_{x,x}$ is contained in the center of $C_{x,x}$. Note that $G_{x,x}$ is the direct products of copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \simeq A_1$ and so is an even Coxeter group. These generators $w_0(J)$ along with the generators of the remaining factor $W_{[x]^{\mathrm{iso}}} \times W(\Phi_{[x]}^{\perp})$ given by the result in [14] coincide with the generators given in [1].

Acknowledgements

This paper is an enhancement of the materials in master's thesis by the author [13]. I thank my supervisor Prof. Itaru Terada, and Prof. Kazuhiko Koike, for their advice and encouragement. I also thank all persons who helped me.

References

- P. Bahls, M. Mihalik, Centralizers of parabolic subgroups of even Coxeter groups, preprint.
- B. Brink, On centralizers of reflections in Coxeter groups, Bull. London Math. Soc. 28 (1996) 465-470.
- B. Brink, R. B. Howlett, Normalizers of parabolic subgroups in Coxeter groups, Invent. Math. 136 (1999) 323-351.
- [4] R. Brown, Topology, Ellis Horwood, West Sussex, 1988.
- [5] D. E. Cohen, Combinatorial Group Theory: a Topological Approach, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1989.
- [6] V. V. Deodhar, On the root system of a Coxeter group, Comm. Algebra 10 (1982) 611-630.
- [7] V. V. Deodhar, A note on subgroups generated by reflections in Coxeter groups, Arch. Math. 53 (1989) 543-546.
- [8] M. Dyer, Reflection subgroups of Coxeter systems, J. Algebra 135 (1990) 57-73.
- [9] P. J. Higgins, Categories and Groupoids, Van Nostrand Reinhold, London, 1971.

- [10] R. B. Howlett, P. J. Rowley, D. E. Taylor, On outer automorphism groups of Coxeter groups, Manuscripta Math. 93 (1997) 499-513.
- [11] J. E. Humphreys, Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1990.
- [12] A. J. Idowu, A. O. Morris, Some combinatorial results for Weyl groups, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phylos. Soc. 101 (1987) 405-420.
- [13] K. Nuida, On Centralizers of Parabolic Subgroups in Coxeter Groups, master's thesis, Univ. Tokyo, 2003.
- [14] K. Nuida, On roots of Coxeter groups orthogonal to some simple roots, in preparation.
- [15] L. Paris, Centralizers of parabolic subgroups of Artin groups of type A_l , B_l and D_l , J. Algebra 196 (1997) 400-435.
- [16] L. Paris, Commensurators of parabolic subgroups of Coxeter groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997) 731-738.
- [17] T. A. Springer, Some remarks on involutions in Coxeter groups, Comm. Algebra 10 (1982) 631-636.
- [18] R. Steinberg, Endomorphisms of Linear Algebraic Groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., No.80, 1968.

Preprint Series, Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo

UTMS

- 2003–11 Jin Cheng and Masahiro Yamamoto: Global uniqueness in the inverse acoustic scattering problem within polygonal obstacles.
- 2003–12 Masahiro Yamamoto: One unique continuation for a linearized Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation.
- 2003–13 Yoshiyasu Yasutomi: Modified elastic wave equations on Riemannian and Kähler manifolds.
- 2003–14 V. G. Romanov and M. Yamamoto: On the determination of wave speed and potential in a hyperbolic equation by two measurements.
- 2003–15 Dang Dinh Ang, Dang Duc Trong and Masahiro Yamamoto: A Cauchy problem for elliptic equations: quasi-reversibility and error estimates.
- 2003–16 Shigeo Kusuoka: Stochastic Newton equation with reflecting boundary condition.
- 2003–17 Oleg Yu. imanuvilov, Victor Isakov and Masahiro Yamamoto: New realization of the pseudoconvexity and its application to an inverse problem.
- 2003–18 Yasuyuki Kawahigashi and Roberto Longo: Classification of two-dimensional local conformal nets with c < 1 and 2-cohomology vanishing for tensor categories.
- 2003–19 Oleg Yu. Imanuvilov and Masahiro Yamamoto: Carleman estimate for a stationary isotropic Lamé system and the applications.
- 2003–20 Takashi Tsuboi: Regular projectively Anosov flows on the seifert fibered 3manifolds.
- 2003–21 Takuya Sakasai: The Johnson homomorphism and the third rational cohomology group of the Torelli group.
- 2003–22 Koji Nuida: On centralizers of parabolic subgroups in Coxeter groups.

The Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences was established in the University of Tokyo in April, 1992. Formerly there were two departments of mathematics in the University of Tokyo: one in the Faculty of Science and the other in the College of Arts and Sciences. All faculty members of these two departments have moved to the new graduate school, as well as several members of the Department of Pure and Applied Sciences in the College of Arts and Sciences. In January, 1993, the preprint series of the former two departments of mathematics were unified as the Preprint Series of the Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo. For the information about the preprint series, please write to the preprint series office.

ADDRESS:

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo 3–8–1 Komaba Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, JAPAN TEL +81-3-5465-7001 FAX +81-3-5465-7012