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#### Abstract

In this article, we study local zeta functions attached to Laurent polynomials over $p$-adic fields, which are non-degenerate with respect to their Newton polytopes at infinity. As an application we obtain asymptotic expansions for $p$-adic oscillatory integrals attached to Laurent polynomials. We show the existence of two different asymptotic expansions for $p$-adic oscillatory integrals, one when the absolute value of the parameter approaches infinity, the other when the absolute value of the parameter approaches zero. These two asymptotic expansions are controlled by the poles of twisted local zeta functions of Igusa type.


## 1. Introduction

The local zeta functions for non-degenerate polynomials (or more generally for non-degenerate analytic functions) have been studied quite extensively. Initially these functions were studied by Varchenko in the Archimedean case, later Denef studied them in the non-Archimedean case, see e.g. [2], [4], [5], [8], [16], [19], [20], [23], [24], among others. In this article, we study local zeta functions attached to Laurent polynomials over $p$-adic fields, which are weakly non-degenerate with respect to their Newton polytopes at infinity, see Definition 2.4. This notion of non-degeneracy is weaker than the standard non-degeneracy condition of Khovanskii, see Definition 2.5 and Example 2.6. By using a variation of toric resolution of singularities, we show the existence of a meromorphic continuation for these zeta functions as rational functions of $q^{-s}$, see Theorem 3.3. We also extend Igusa's stationary phase method for oscillatory integrals (and certain

[^0]exponential sums) depending on a $p$-adic parameter to the case of Laurent polynomials, see Theorem 4.2. Here, a new and interesting phenomenon occurs: there are two different asymptotic expansions for $p$-adic oscillatory integrals, one when the absolute value of the parameter approaches infinity, the other when the absolute value of the parameter approaches zero. These two asymptotic expansions are controlled by the poles of twisted local zeta functions.

The classical local zeta functions are connected with polynomial congruences $\bmod p^{m}$. In the case of Laurent polynomials the corresponding local zeta functions control the asymptotic behavior of the volumes of 'tubular neighborhoods' attached to the polynomials, see Theorem 3.8.

There are several important differences between the classical local zeta functions for non-degenerate polynomials and the local zeta functions studied here. First, the classical local zeta functions have only poles with negative real parts while the local zeta functions for Laurent polynomials have poles with positive and negative real parts. This fact makes more difficult the determination of the actual poles of these new local zeta functions. Second, the convergence of the integral defining the local zeta function (see Definition 3.2) is not a straightforward matter due to the presence of 'denominators.'

Finally we want to comment that our initial motivation was to find $p$-adic counterparts of certain estimates for exponential sums attached to non-degenerate Laurent polynomials over finite fields due to Adolphson and Sperber [1] and Denef and Loeser [6], see Corollary 4.3.
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## 2. Newton Polytopes, Non-degeneracy Conditions and Toric Manifolds

In this section, we review some basic results on toric manifolds, and nondegeneracy conditions for Laurent polynomials over a local field of characteristic zero. The results needed here are variations of the ones given in [14]-[15], [17], in the Archimedean setting. The material needed to adapt these results to the $p$-adic setting can be found in [12], [18].

### 2.1. Newton polytopes

We set $\mathbb{R}_{+}:=\{x \in \mathbb{R} ; x \geqslant 0\}$. Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denote the usual inner product of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and identify the dual space of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ itself by means of it.

Let $K$ be a local field of characteristic zero. Let

$$
f(x)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} a_{m} x^{m} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{-1}\right]
$$

be a non-constant Laurent polynomial. Set $\operatorname{supp}(f):=\left\{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} ; a_{m} \neq 0\right\}$. We define the Newton polytope $\Gamma_{\infty}(f):=\Gamma_{\infty}$ of $f$ at infinity as the convex hull of $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Note that, if $\operatorname{supp}(f)=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right\}$, then

$$
\Gamma_{\infty}=\operatorname{conv}\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l}\right)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i} m_{i} ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i}=1\right\}
$$

In combinatorics a set like $\Gamma_{\infty}$ is typically called a rational (or lattice) polytope (i.e. a compact polyhedron). From now on, we will use just polytope to mean rational polytope and assume that $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{\infty}=n$.

### 2.1.1 Faces

Let $H$ be the hyperplane $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ;\langle a, x\rangle=b\right\}$. Then $H$ determines two closed half-spaces:

$$
H^{+}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ;\langle a, x\rangle \geq b\right\}
$$

and

$$
H^{-}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ;\langle a, x\rangle \leq b\right\}
$$

We say that $H$ is a supporting hyperplane of $\Gamma_{\infty}$, if $\Gamma_{\infty} \cap H \neq \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{\infty}$ is contained in one of the closed half-spaces determined by $H$.

The dimension of a face $\tau$ of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ is the dimension of its affine span, and its codimension is $\operatorname{cod}(\tau)=n-\operatorname{dim}(\tau)$. A face of codimension 1 is a facet. Faces of dimension 0 and 1 are called vertices and edges respectively. We denote by $\operatorname{vert}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}\right)$ the set of vertices of $\Gamma_{\infty}$. A face of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ different from $\Gamma_{\infty}$ is called proper.

Given $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we define

$$
d\left(a, \Gamma_{\infty}\right):=d(a)=\inf \left\{\langle a, x\rangle ; x \in \Gamma_{\infty}\right\}
$$

Note, that since a convex polytope is the convex hull of its vertices, we can take the infimum as $v$ varies in $\operatorname{vert}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}\right)$, which is a finite set, hence

$$
d(a)=\min \left\{\langle a, x\rangle ; x \in \operatorname{vert}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}\right)\right\}
$$

and $d(a)=\left\langle a, x_{0}\right\rangle$ for some $x_{0} \in \operatorname{vert}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}\right)$.
2.1.2 Primitive vectors and facets

Given a supporting hyperplane $H$ containing a facet of $\Gamma_{\infty}$, there exists a unique vector $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ perpendicular to $H$ and directed into the polytope. This vector is called the inward normal to $H$. A vector $a=$ $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is called primitive if g.c.d. $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=1$. Every facet has a unique primitive inward vector. We denote the set of all these vectors as $\mathfrak{D}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}\right)$.

### 2.2. Cones and fans

We now review the construction of conical subdivisions of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Such constructions are simple variations of some wellknown ones, see e.g. [10], [15, The main example, Section 1.2], [22, Chapter 7 ], we also use [5], [19], for this reason we do not give proofs.

We recall that the cone strictly spanned by the vectors $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ is the set $\Delta^{\circ}=\left\{\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{r} a_{r} ; \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \lambda_{i}>0\right\}$. Notice that the topological closure of $\Delta^{\circ}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\left\{\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{r} a_{r} ; \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \lambda_{i} \geq 0\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

c.f. Lemma 2.1. This set is typically called a convex polyhedral cone. If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}, \Delta^{\circ}$ and $\Delta$ are called simplicial cones. If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, we say $\Delta^{\circ}$ and $\Delta$ are rational cones. If $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}$ is a subset of a basis of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, we call $\Delta^{\circ}$ and $\Delta$ simple cones. The justification for this 'unusual' approach is the following. The computation and the obtention of explicit formulas of local zeta functions require 'open cones', see Remark 3.5 (ii) and [5], [20], [24], while calculations using toroidal resolution of singularities require 'closed cones'.

We define the first meet locus of $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ as

$$
F\left(a, \Gamma_{\infty}\right):=F(a)=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{\infty} ;\langle a, x\rangle=d(a)\right\}
$$

Note that $F(a)$ is a face of $\Gamma_{\infty}$, and that $F(0)=\Gamma_{\infty}$.

We define an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by taking

$$
a \sim a^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow F(a)=F\left(a^{\prime}\right)
$$

If $\tau$ is a face of $\Gamma_{\infty}$, we define the cone associated to $\tau$ as

$$
\Delta_{\tau}^{\circ}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} ; F(a)=\tau\right\} .
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $\tau$ be a proper face of $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Then
(1) $\Delta_{\tau}^{\circ}$ is a relatively open in the vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ spanned by $\Delta_{\tau}^{\circ}$.
(2) The topological closure $\Delta_{\tau}$ of $\Delta_{\tau}^{\circ}$ is a rational convex polyhedral cone with vertex at the origin, and

$$
\Delta_{\tau}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; F(a) \supset \tau\right\}
$$

(3) $\operatorname{dim} \Delta_{\tau}^{\circ}=\operatorname{dim} \Delta_{\tau}=n-\operatorname{dim} \tau$.
(4) The function $d(\cdot)$ is linear on $\Delta_{\tau}$.

We recall that a rational strongly convex polyhedral cone $\Delta$ is cone of form (2.1) with vertex at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and with $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. It is also useful to recall that $\Delta$ is the solution set of a system of inequalities of the form $A x \leq 0$, where $A$ is a matrix with integer entries and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

We recall that a fan $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite collection of rational strongly convex polyhedral cones $\left\{\Delta_{i} ; i \in I\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that: (i) if $\Delta_{i} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\Delta$ is a face of $\Delta_{i}$, then $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}$; (ii) if $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2} \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}$ is a face of $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. The support of $\mathcal{L}$ is $|\mathcal{L}|:=\cup_{i \in I} \Delta_{i}$. A fan $\mathcal{L}$ is called simplicial (resp. simple ) if all its cones are simplicial (resp. simple). A fan $\mathcal{L}$ is called subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$, if every cone in $\mathcal{L}$ is contained in an equivalence class of $\sim$. We denote by $\operatorname{edges}(\mathcal{L})$, the set of all edges (generators) of the cones in $\mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 2.2. The closures $\Delta_{\tau}$ of the cones associated to the faces of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ form a simplicial fan $\mathcal{F}$ subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Moreover, we have the following:
(i) Let $\tau$ be a proper face of $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Then the map

$$
\left\{\text { faces of } \Gamma_{\infty} \text { that contain } \tau\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\text { non-empty faces of } \Delta_{\tau}\right\}
$$

$$
\sigma \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta_{\sigma}
$$

is one-to-one and onto.
(ii) Let $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ be faces of $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Suppose that $\tau_{1}$ is a facet of $\tau_{2}$, i.e. $\tau_{1}$ has codimension one in $\tau_{2}$, then $\Delta_{\tau_{2}}$ is a facet of $\Delta_{\tau_{1}}$.

Lemma 2.3. (i) Let $\tau$ be a proper face of $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}$ be the facets of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ containing $\tau$. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ be the unique primitive inward vectors to $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}$ respectively. Then

$$
\Delta_{\tau}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} a_{i} ; \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda_{i} \geq 0\right\} \text { and } \Delta_{\tau}^{\circ}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} a_{i} ; \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_{i}>0\right\}
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{dim} \Delta_{\tau}^{\circ}=\operatorname{dim} \Delta_{\tau}=n-\operatorname{dim} \tau$.

From the above discussion, we conclude that $\left\{\Delta_{\tau}\right\}$ is a fan subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$ with support $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We now note that if $\Delta_{\tau} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \neq \emptyset$, then $\Delta_{\tau} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ is a strongly convex polyhedral cone. We denote by $\operatorname{Faces}\left(\Delta_{\tau} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ the set of all the faces of cone $\Delta_{\tau} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. Then $\cup_{\Delta_{\tau} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \neq \emptyset}$ Faces $\left(\Delta_{\tau} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ is a fan subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$ with support $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. Set $\Delta_{\tau}^{+}$to be a face of $\Delta_{\tau} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \neq \emptyset$, which is also a cone, then each cone $\Delta_{\tau}^{+}$can be partitioned into a finite number of simplicial cones $\Delta_{\tau, i}^{+}$. By adding new rays, each simplicial cone can be partitioned further into a finite number of simple cones, see e.g. [13]. In this way we construct a simple fan $\mathcal{F}$ subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$. From now on, we fix a simple fan $\mathcal{F}$ subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$ with support $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.

Set $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ to be the cone $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ and its faces. We will say that $\mathcal{F}$ is trivial if $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{0}$.

Given a fan subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$ with support $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, it is possible to obtain a conical partition of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ (subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$ ) into open cones. This type of partitions play a central role in explicit calculations of local zeta functions.

### 2.3. Khovanskii non-degeneracy condition

Given $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, we define the face function of $f(x)=\sum_{m} a_{m} x^{m}$ with respect to $a$ as

$$
f_{a}(x)=\sum_{m \in F\left(a, \Gamma_{\infty}\right)} a_{m} x^{m}
$$

We set $T^{n}(K):=\left\{x \in K^{n} ; x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \neq 0\right\}$, for the $n$-dimensional torus considered as a $K$-analytic manifold.

Definition 2.4. Let $f(x)=\sum_{m} a_{m} x^{m} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}^{-1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{n}^{-1}\right]$ be a non-constant Laurent polynomial, and let $\Gamma_{\infty}$ be its Newton polytope. We say that $f$ is non-degenerate with respect to $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, if the system of equations

$$
\left\{f_{a}(x)=0, \nabla f_{a}(x)=0\right\}
$$

has no solutions in $T^{n}(K)$. We say that $f$ is weakly non-degenerate with respect to $\Gamma_{\infty}$, if $f_{a}$ is non-degenerate with respect to any $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.

We recall the standard non-degeneracy condition of Khovanskii.
Definition 2.5. Given a face $\tau$ of $\Gamma_{\infty}$, the face function of $f$ with respect to $\tau$ is $f_{\tau}(x):=\sum_{l \in \tau} c_{l} x^{l}$. We say that $f$ is non-degenerate with respect to $\Gamma_{\infty}$, if for every face $\tau$ of $\Gamma_{\infty}$, including $\Gamma_{\infty}$ itself, the system of equations

$$
\left\{f_{\tau}(x)=0, \nabla f_{\tau}(x)=0\right\}
$$

has no solutions in $T^{n}(K)$.
Example 2.6. Take $f(x, y)=\left(x^{-1}-y\right)^{2}+x^{2}$. Then $\Gamma_{\infty}$ is a triangle with vertices at $(-2,0),(0,2),(2,0)$. The facet $\tau_{1}$ containing the points $(-2,0),(0,2)$ has $(1,-1)$ as inward vector, the facet $\tau_{2}$ containing the points $(0,2),(2,0)$ has $(-1,-1)$ as inward vector, and the facet $\tau_{3}$ containing the points $(-2,0),(2,0)$ has $(0,1)$ as inward vector. Note that the fan $\mathcal{F}$ is trivial. In addition, $f$ is degenerate with respect to $\Gamma_{\infty}$, but $f$ is weakly non-degenerate with respect to $\Gamma_{\infty}$.

### 2.4. Toric manifolds

Let $A=\left\{a_{i, j}\right\} \in G L(n, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\operatorname{det} A= \pm 1$. We associate to $A$ a birational morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{A}: \quad\left(K^{\times}\right)^{n} \rightarrow \\
&\left(K^{\times}\right)^{n} \\
&\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{1}^{a_{1,1}} \cdots z_{n}^{a_{1, n}}, \ldots, z_{1}^{a_{n, 1}} \cdots z_{n}^{a_{n, n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\Psi_{A}$ is a group homomorphism of the algebraic group $\left(K^{\times}\right)^{n}$. It is clear that $\Psi_{A} \circ \Psi_{B}=\Psi_{A B}$ and $\Psi_{A}^{-1}=\Psi_{A^{-1}}$. In addition, if there exists
a subset $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $a_{i, j} \geq 0$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $j \in J$, then $\Psi_{A}$ extends to

$$
\left\{z \in K^{n} ; i \notin J \Rightarrow z_{i} \neq 0\right\} \rightarrow K^{n}
$$

In particular, if $a_{i, j} \geq 0$ for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $\Psi_{A}$ extends to a birational morphism $\Psi_{A}: K^{n} \rightarrow K^{n}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the fixed simple fan subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$ with support $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and let $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ be the trivial fan as before. Let $\Delta$ be an $n$-dimensional simple cone in $\mathcal{F}$ and let $\tau$ be the vertex of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ such that $F(a)=\tau$ for any $a \in \Delta$. Assume that $\Delta$ is spanned by a basis $a_{j}=\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. We set $A:=\left\{a_{i, j}\right\}$ and identify $A$ with $\Delta$, in particular $\Psi_{A}:=\Psi_{\Delta}$.

We attach to $\Delta$ a copy $K_{\Delta}^{n}$ of $K^{n}$ with coordinates $y_{\Delta}:=y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.y_{n}\right)$ and define the projection morphisms

$$
\begin{array}{rlcc}
\sigma_{\Delta}: & K_{\Delta}^{n} & \rightarrow & K^{n} \\
\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) & \rightarrow & \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),
\end{array}
$$

with $x_{i}=\prod_{j} y_{j}^{a_{i, j}}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Thus $\sigma_{\Delta}(y)=\Psi_{\Delta}(y)$. We now take $\bigsqcup_{\operatorname{dim}(\Delta)=n}^{\Delta \in \mathcal{F}} K_{\Delta}^{n}$ and define an equivalence relation in this disjoint union. Take $z_{\Delta} \in K_{\Delta}^{n}$ and $z_{\Delta^{\prime}} \in K_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{n}$. We define $z_{\Delta} \sim z_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ if the birational map $\Psi_{\Delta^{\prime-1} \Delta}: K_{\Delta}^{n} \rightarrow K_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{n}$ is well defined on $z_{\Delta} \in K_{\Delta}^{n}$ and $z_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\Psi_{\Delta^{\prime}-1}\left(z_{\Delta}\right)$. Then $\sim$ is an equivalence relation, c.f. [17, p. 72]. Let $X(\mathcal{F})$ be the quotient space $\bigsqcup_{\operatorname{dim}(\Delta)=n}^{\Delta \in \mathcal{F}} K_{\Delta}^{n} / \sim$. As the gluing maps are $K$-bianalytic maps, $X(\mathcal{F})$ is a $K$-analytic manifold (in the sense of Serre) with coordinate charts $\left(K_{\Delta}^{n}, \sigma_{\Delta}\right)$. We also have a canonical projection map $\sigma: X(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow K^{n}$ defined by $\left.\sigma\right|_{K_{\Delta}^{n}}([y])=\sigma_{\Delta}(y)$ where $[y]$ is the equivalence class of $y \in K_{\Delta}^{n}$. This map is proper. In [17, p. 75-79] this fact is proved, in the complex setting, using sequences, this proof can be adapted to the case of $p$-adic fields.

We have a canonical embedding morphism $i_{\Delta}:\left(K^{\times}\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(K_{\Delta}^{\times}\right)^{n}$ defined by $i_{\Delta}(z)=\Psi_{\Delta^{-1}}(z)$. This is compatible with $\sim$ and thus we have an embedding morphism $i:\left(K^{\times}\right)^{n} \rightarrow X(\mathcal{F})$. The image is an open dense subset of $X(\mathcal{F})$, this image is an $n$-dimensional $K$-analytic torus.

Let $T^{n}(K)=\left\{x \in K^{n} ; x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \neq 0\right\}$ be the $n$-dimensional $K$-analytic torus. Then, the mapping $\sigma: \sigma^{-1}\left(T^{n}(K)\right) \rightarrow T^{n}(K)$ is a $K$-analytic isomorphism.

It is well-known that one can define the toric manifold $X(\mathcal{F})$ associated to a simple fan $\mathcal{F}$ as an algebraic variety over $K$, and that the morphism induced by a subdivision is a proper morphism of algebraic varieties, c.f. [13, Chapter I, Theorems 6,7,8]. Thus, since $X\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=K^{n}, \sigma: X(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow K^{n}$ is a proper morphism of algebraic varieties. By considering the $K$-analytic manifolds associated we obtain a morphism $\sigma: X(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow K^{n}$ of $K$-analytic manifolds.

### 2.4.1 Resolution of singularities

For $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, we set $\|a\|:=a_{1}+\ldots+a_{n}$. Take $f(x)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{m} x^{m} \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{-1}\right]$ a non constant Laurent polynomial and define

$$
f: T^{n}(K) \rightarrow K
$$

The pair $(X(\mathcal{F}), \sigma)$ works as an embedded resolution of singularities for $f$. In this section we give explicit formulas for $f \circ \sigma$ and $\sigma^{*}\left(d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}\right)$ around a point of $X(\mathcal{F})$.

Let $\Delta$ be an $n$-dimensional cone in $\mathcal{F}$ spanned by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and let $\tau$ be the vertex of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ such that $F(a)=\tau$ for any $a \in \Delta$. By the explicit description of $\sigma_{\Delta}$ above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Delta}(y):=\left(f \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)(y)=\sum_{m \in \operatorname{supp}(f)} c_{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}^{\left\langle a_{j}, m\right\rangle} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\left\langle a_{j}, m\right\rangle \geq d\left(a_{j}\right)$ by the definition of $d\left(a_{j}\right)$. The equalities for all $j$ hold if and only if the set $\{m\}$ coincides with the vertex $\tau$. This implies that $f_{\Delta}(y)$ is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)(y)=\varepsilon(y)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}^{d\left(a_{j}\right)}\right), \varepsilon(y) \in K\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right], \varepsilon(0) \neq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, there exists a neighborhood $V_{0} \subset K_{\Delta}^{n}$ of the origin such that $|\varepsilon(y)|_{K}=|\varepsilon(0)|_{K} \neq 0$ for any $y \in V_{0}$. The above description of $\sigma_{\Delta}$ also implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\Delta}^{*}\left(d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}\right)=( \pm 1)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}^{\left\|a_{j}\right\|-1}\right) d y_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d y_{n} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $y \in V_{0}$.
Let $b \neq 0$ be a point of $K_{\Delta}^{n} \backslash\left(K_{\Delta}^{\times}\right)^{n}$. By renaming the coordinates, we assume $b=\left(0, \ldots, 0, b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ with $b_{i} \in K^{\times}$for $r+1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be the face of $\Delta$ spanned by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ and let $\tau^{\prime}$ be the face of $\Gamma_{\infty}$ such that $F(a)=\tau^{\prime}$ for all $a \in \Delta^{\prime}$. Then, for $m \in \operatorname{supp}(f),\left\langle a_{j}, m\right\rangle=d\left(a_{j}\right)$ holds for all $j \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ if and only if $m \in \tau^{\prime}$. Hence we may write (2.2) as

$$
\left(f \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)(y)=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} y_{j}^{d\left(a_{j}\right)}\right)\left(f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(y)+h_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(y)\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(y) & =\sum_{m \notin \tau^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{supp}(f)} c_{m} \prod_{j=r+1}^{n} y_{j}^{\left\langle a_{j}, m\right\rangle} \in K\left[y_{r+1}, y_{r+1}^{-1}, \ldots, y_{n}, y_{n}^{-1}\right] \\
h_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(y) & \in \sum_{j=1}^{r} y_{j} K\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}, y_{r+1}, y_{r+1}^{-1}, \ldots, y_{n}, y_{n}^{-1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $h_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(b)=0$. Two cases happen: (i) $f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(b) \neq 0$, (ii) $f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(b)=$ 0 . In the first case,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)(y)=\varepsilon(y)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} y_{j}^{d\left(a_{j}\right)}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \varepsilon(y) \in K\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}, y_{r+1}, y_{r+1}^{-1}, \ldots, y_{n}, y_{n}^{-1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

with $\varepsilon(b) \neq 0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\Delta}^{*}\left(d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}\right)=\eta(y)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} y_{j}^{\left\|a_{j}\right\|-1}\right) d y_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d y_{n}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \eta(y) \in K\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}, y_{r+1}, y_{r+1}^{-1}, \ldots, y_{n}, y_{n}^{-1}\right], \eta(b) \neq 0
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, there exists an open neighborhood $V_{b} \subset K_{\Delta}^{n}$ of $b$ such that $|\varepsilon(y)|_{K}=|\varepsilon(b)|_{K}$ and $|\eta(y)|_{K}=|\eta(b)|_{K}$ for $y \in V_{b}$.

Suppose that $f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(b)=0$. We claim that there exists $l \in\{r+1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\frac{\partial f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}}{\partial y_{l}}(b) \neq 0$. Choose $b_{i} \in K^{\times}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and set

$$
\widetilde{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}, b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in\left(K^{\times}\right)^{n}
$$

Put $f_{\tau^{\prime}}(x)=\sum_{m \in \tau^{\prime}} c_{m} x^{m}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\tau^{\prime}} \circ \sigma_{\Delta}(y)=f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(y) \prod_{j=1}^{r} y_{j}^{d\left(a_{j}\right)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $f_{\tau^{\prime}} \circ \sigma_{\Delta}(\widetilde{b})=0$. Since $\sigma_{\Delta}:\left(K^{\times}\right)^{n} \rightarrow T^{n}(K)$ is an isomorphism of $K$-analytic manifolds, the non-degeneracy of $f$ implies $\nabla\left(f_{\tau^{\prime}} \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)(\widetilde{b}) \neq 0$. Since $f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(y) \in K\left[y_{r+1}, y_{r+1}^{-1}, \ldots, y_{n}, y_{n}^{-1}\right]$, we have $f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(\widetilde{b})=f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(b)=$ 0 and $\frac{\partial f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}}{\partial y_{l}}(\widetilde{b})=\frac{\partial f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}}{\partial y_{l}}(b)$ for $r+1 \leq l \leq n$. By (2.7), we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial\left(f_{\tau^{\prime}} \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)}{\partial y_{l}}(\widetilde{b})= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 1 \leq l \leq r \\ \frac{\partial f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}}{\partial y_{l}}(b) \prod_{j=1}^{r} b_{j}^{d\left(a_{j}\right)} & \text { if } \quad r+1 \leq l \leq n\end{cases}
$$

This implies the desired claim. By renaming the coordinates if necessary, we assume $\frac{\partial f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}}{\partial y_{r+1}}(b) \neq 0$. Since $\frac{\partial h_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}}{\partial y_{r+1}}(b)=0$, letting $y_{r+1}^{\prime}=f_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(y)+h_{\Delta, \tau^{\prime}}(y)$ and $y_{j}^{\prime}=y_{j}$ for $j \neq r+1$, we see that $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}, y_{r+1}^{\prime}, y_{r+2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ becomes a coordinate system in a neighborhood $V_{b}$ of $b$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} y_{j}^{\prime d\left(a_{j}\right)}\right) y_{r+1}^{\prime} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4), we also obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\Delta}^{*}\left(d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}\right) \\
& =\eta\left(y^{\prime}\right)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} y_{j}^{\prime\left\|a_{j}\right\|-1}\right) d y_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \ldots \wedge d y_{n}^{\prime} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ is a $K$-analytic function on $V_{b}$ such that $\left|\eta\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right|_{K} \neq 0$, where $b^{\prime}$ denotes the coordinates of $b$ with respect to the new coordinate system $y^{\prime}$. There exists an open neighborhood $V_{b}^{\prime} \subset V_{b}$ of $b^{\prime}$ such that $\left|\eta\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{K}=$ $\left|\eta\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right|_{K} \neq 0$ for any $y^{\prime} \in V_{b}^{\prime}$.

Finally, suppose that $b \in\left(K_{\Delta}^{\times}\right)^{n}$, which implies $\sigma_{\Delta}(b) \neq 0$. If $f\left(\sigma_{\Delta}(b)\right)=0$, by using the weak non-degeneracy of $f$ with respect to
$\Gamma_{\infty}$ there exists $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\sigma_{\Delta}(b)\right) \neq 0$. Now, since $\left.\sigma_{\Delta}\right|_{T^{n}(K)}$ is a $K$-analytic isomorphism, we may define a new coordinate system $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ on a neighborhood $V_{b}$ of $b$ as follows:

$$
\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\left(f \circ \sigma_{\Delta}, x_{1} \circ \sigma_{\Delta}, \ldots, x_{i-1} \circ \sigma_{\Delta}, x_{i+1} \circ \sigma_{\Delta}, \ldots, x_{n} \circ \sigma_{\Delta},\right)
$$

With this new coordinate system we have

$$
\sigma_{\Delta}^{*}\left(d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}\right)=(-1)^{i-1}\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\sigma_{\Delta}(b)\right)\right]^{-1} d y_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \ldots \wedge d y_{n}^{\prime}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)(y)=y_{1}^{\prime} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\Delta}^{*}\left(d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}\right)=\eta\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \ldots \wedge d y_{n}^{\prime} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\eta\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ a $K$-analytic function defined on $V_{b}$ such that $|\eta(b)|_{K} \neq 0$ and $\left|\eta\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{K}=\left|\eta\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right|_{K}$ for any $y \in V_{b}$.

If $f\left(\sigma_{\Delta}(b)\right) \neq 0$, we define a new coordinate system $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ by $y_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i} \circ \sigma_{\Delta}$. Then there exists a neighborhood $V_{b}$ of $b$ such that $\left|\left(f \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)(y)\right|_{K}=\left|\left(f \circ \sigma_{\Delta}\right)(b)\right|_{K}$ and $\sigma_{\Delta}^{*}\left(d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}\right)=d y_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d y_{n}$ for any $y \in V_{b}$.

### 2.5. A hypothesis on the critical locus of $f$

We consider $f$ as a regular function on $T^{n}(K)$. The critical set of $f$ is $C_{f}:=C_{f}(K)=T^{n}(K) \cap\{\nabla f(x)=0\}$. Later on we will use the following hypothesis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{f} \subset f^{-1}(0) \tag{H1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $b \in X(\mathcal{F})$ and $a=\sigma(b)$. If $f(a) \neq 0$, by hypothesis H1, there is a local coordinate system of the form $y^{\prime}=\left(f(a)^{-1} f(x)-1, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ in a neighborhood $V_{b}$ of $b$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
(f \circ \sigma)(y) & =f(a)\left(1+y_{1}^{\prime}\right), \\
\sigma^{*}\left(d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}\right) & =\eta\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \ldots \wedge d y_{n}^{\prime}, \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left|\eta\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{K}=\left|\eta\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right|_{K}$ for any $y \in V_{b}$.

## 3. Local Zeta Functions

In this section we attach to a Laurent polynomial in $n$ variables a local zeta function and show that it has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane. We also give some results about the poles of the meromorphic continuation.

### 3.1. Quasicharacters

Let $K$ be a $p$-adic field, i.e. $\left[K: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]<\infty$, where $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ denotes the field of $p$-adic numbers. Let $R_{K}$ be the valuation ring of $K, P_{K}$ the maximal ideal of $R_{K}$, and $\bar{K}=R_{K} / P_{K}$ the residue field of $K$. The cardinality of the residue field of $K$ is denoted by $q$, thus $\bar{K}=\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For $z \in K$, ord $(z) \in \mathbb{Z} \cup\{+\infty\}$ denotes the valuation of $z$, and $|z|_{K}=q^{-\operatorname{ord}(z)}$, ac $z=z \mathfrak{p}^{-\operatorname{ord}(z)}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a fixed uniformizing parameter of $R_{K}$.

We equip $K^{n}$ with the norm $\left\|\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\|_{K}:=\max \left(\left|x_{1}\right|_{K}, \ldots,\left|x_{n}\right|_{K}\right)$. Then $\left(K^{n},\|\cdot\|_{K}\right)$ is a complete metric space and the metric topology is equal to the product topology.

Let $\omega$ be a quasicharacter of $K^{\times}$, i.e. a continuous homomorphism from $K^{\times}$into $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. The set of quasicharacters form an Abelian group denoted as $\Omega\left(K^{\times}\right)$. We define an element $\omega_{s}$ of $\Omega\left(K^{\times}\right)$for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$ as $\omega_{s}(x)=|x|_{K}^{s}=q^{-\operatorname{sord}(x)}$. If, for every $\omega$ in $\Omega\left(K^{\times}\right)$, we choose $s \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\omega(\mathfrak{p})=q^{-s}$, then $\omega(x)=\omega_{s}(x) \chi(a c x)$ in which $\chi:=\left.\omega\right|_{R_{K}^{\times}}$. We denote the conductor of $\chi$ as $c(\chi)$. Hence $\Omega\left(K^{\times}\right)$is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} /(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / \ln q) \times\left(R_{K}^{\times}\right)^{*}$, where $\left(R_{K}^{\times}\right)^{*}$ is the group of characters of $R_{K}^{\times}$, and $\Omega\left(K^{\times}\right)$is a one dimensional complex manifold. We note that $\sigma(\omega):=$ $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ depends only on $\omega$, and $|\omega(x)|=\omega_{\sigma(\omega)}(x)$. Given an interval $(a, b)$, we define an open subset of $\Omega\left(K^{\times}\right)$by

$$
\Omega_{(a, b)}\left(K^{\times}\right)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega\left(K^{\times}\right) ; \sigma(\omega) \in(a, b)\right\} .
$$

For further details we refer the reader to [12].

### 3.2. Meromorphic continuation of local zeta functions

The following result will be used later frequently.

Lemma 3.1. Take $a \in K, \omega \in \Omega\left(K^{\times}\right)$and $N \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Take also $n, e \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n>0$, and put $\chi=\left.\omega\right|_{R_{K}^{\times}}$. Then
$\int_{a+\mathfrak{p}^{e} R_{K} \backslash\{0\}} \omega(z)^{N}|z|_{K}^{n-1}|d z|=\left\{\begin{array}{lcc}\left(1-q^{-1}\right) \frac{q^{-e n-e N s}}{1-q^{-n-N s}} & \text { if } & a \in \mathfrak{p}^{e} R_{K} \\ \chi^{N}=1\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll}q^{-e} \omega(a)^{N}|a|_{K}^{n-1} & \text { if } \begin{array}{c}a \notin \mathfrak{p}^{e} R_{K} \\ \left.\chi^{N}\right|_{U^{\prime}}=1 \\ 0\end{array} \\ \text { all other cases, }\end{array}$
in which $U^{\prime}=1+\mathfrak{p}^{e} a^{-1} R_{K}$. In addition, the integral converges on $\operatorname{Re}(s)>$ $\frac{-n}{N}$, if $N>0$, and on $\operatorname{Re}(s)<\frac{n}{|N|}$, if $N<0$. In addition, if $N=0$ the above integral converges to a non-zero value.

Proof. The proof of the lemma is an easy variation of the one given for Lemma 8.2.1 in [12].

We recall that a locally constant function on $K^{n}$ with compact support is called a Bruhat-Schwartz function, these functions form a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space denoted as $S\left(K^{n}\right)$.

For $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, set $\|a\|=a_{1}+\ldots+a_{n}$ as before, and

$$
\mathcal{P}(a):= \begin{cases}\left\{-\frac{\|a\|}{d(a)}+\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1 \mathbb{Z}}}{d(a) \ln q}\right\} & \text { if } \quad d(a) \neq 0 \\ \varnothing & \text { if } d(a)=0\end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the fixed simple fan subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$ as before. Denote by $\operatorname{edges}(\mathcal{F})$, the set of all edges of the cones in $\mathcal{F}$ as before. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(\mathcal{F}):=\bigcup_{\substack{a \in \operatorname{edges}(\mathcal{F}) \\
d(a) \neq 0}}\left\{\frac{\|a\|}{-d(a)} ; d(a)<0\right\}, \\
& B(\mathcal{F}):=\bigcup_{\substack{a \in \operatorname{edgecs}(\mathcal{F}) \\
d(a) \neq 0}}\left\{\frac{\|a\|}{-d(a)} ; d(a)>0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\alpha:=\alpha(\mathcal{F})= \begin{cases}\min _{\gamma \in A(\mathcal{F})} \gamma, & \text { if } \quad A(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset \\ +\infty, & \text { if } \quad A(\mathcal{F})=\emptyset\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\beta:=\beta(\mathcal{F})=\max _{\gamma \in B(\mathcal{F}) \cup\{-1\}} \gamma
$$

Definition 3.2. Given $f$ a Laurent polynomial, $\Phi$ a Bruhat-Schwartz function, and $\omega \in \Omega\left(K^{\times}\right)$, we attach to these data the following local zeta function:

$$
Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f)=Z_{\Phi}(s, \chi, f)=\int_{T^{n}(K)} \Phi(x) \omega(f(x))|d x|
$$

where $|d x|$ is the normalized Haar measure of $K^{n}$, which is the measure induced by an $n$-degree differential form $d x$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f$ be weakly non- degenerate Laurent polynomial with respect to $\Gamma_{\infty}$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fixed simple, non trivial, fan subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) $Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f)$ converges for $\omega \in \Omega_{(\beta, \alpha)}\left(K^{\times}\right)$.
(ii) $Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\Omega\left(K^{\times}\right)$as a rational function of $\omega(q)$, and the poles belong to

$$
\bigcup_{a \in \operatorname{edges}(\mathcal{F})} \mathcal{P}(a) \cup\left\{-1+\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{Z}}{\ln q}\right\}
$$

In addition, the multiplicity of any pole $i s \leq n$.
Proof. We pick a pair $(X(\mathcal{F}), \sigma)$ as in Section 2.4 and use all the notation introduced there. By using the fact that $\sigma: \sigma^{-1}\left(T^{n}(K)\right) \rightarrow$ $T^{n}(K)$ is a $K$-analytic isomorphism, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f) & =\int_{T^{n}(K)} \Phi(x) \omega(f(x))|d x| \\
& =\int_{\sigma^{-1}\left(T^{n}(K)\right)} \Phi \circ \sigma(y) \omega(f \circ \sigma(y))\left|\sigma^{*}(d x)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sigma$ is a proper map and $S=\operatorname{supp}(\Phi)$ is compact open, we see that $\sigma^{-1}(S)$ is a compact subset of $X(\mathcal{F})$. For every point $b \in \sigma^{-1}(S)$ there exists a neighborhood $V_{b}$ such that (2.2 )-(2.11) hold, and by the compactness of $\sigma^{-1}(S)$, there is a finite covering of $\sigma^{-1}(S)$, say $U_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, M$, where all these formulas hold. Now by taking $U_{1}, U_{2} \backslash U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k} \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{k-1} U_{i}$, etc., we may assume that the $U_{i}$ are already disjoint and non-empty. After embedding each of these subsets in $K^{n}$ and decomposing them into cosets modulo $P_{K}^{e}$, where $e$ is a fixed natural number, we get a disjoint open covering $V_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, M^{\prime}$ of $S \cap T^{n}(K)$ such that each $V_{i}=c_{i}+\left(P_{K}^{e}\right)^{n}$, $c_{i} \in K^{n}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, M^{\prime}$. In addition, we choose the open sets $V_{i}$ 's in such way that $\omega(\varepsilon(y))$ is constant on $V_{i}$.

Therefore $Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f)$ becomes a finite sum of integrals of the following types: First, if (2.3)-(2.4) or (2.5)-(2.6) hold, then

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0}(\omega)= & q^{-e(n-r)} \Phi(\sigma(b)) \omega(\varepsilon(b))|\eta(b)|_{K}  \tag{3.1}\\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{r} \int_{c_{j}+\mathfrak{p}^{e} R_{K} \backslash\{0\}} \omega\left(y_{j}\right)^{d\left(a_{j}\right)}\left|y_{j}\right|_{K}^{\left\|a_{j}\right\|-1}\left|d y_{j}\right|,
\end{align*}
$$

where $b$ is point in $X(\mathcal{F}), c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in K^{n}, e \in \mathbb{N}$, and $1 \leq r \leq n$. We include (2.3)-(2.4) and (2.5)-(2.6) in the same case by allowing $r=n$; Second, if (2.8)-(2.9) hold, then

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1}(\omega)= & q^{-e(n-r-1)} \Phi\left(\sigma\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right)\left|\eta\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right|_{K}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \times\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r} \int_{c_{j}+\mathfrak{p}^{e} R_{K} \backslash\{0\}} \omega\left(y_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{d\left(a_{j}\right)}\left|y_{j}^{\prime}\right|_{K}^{\left\|a_{j}\right\|-1}\left|d y_{j}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \times\left(\int_{c_{r+1}+\mathfrak{p}^{e} R_{K} \backslash\{0\}} \omega\left(y_{r+1}^{\prime}\right)\left|d y_{r+1}^{\prime}\right|\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq n-1$; Third, if (2.10)-(2.11) hold, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=q^{-(n-1) e} \Phi\left(\sigma\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right)\left|\eta\left(b^{\prime}\right)\right|_{K} \int_{c_{1}+\mathfrak{p}^{e} R_{K}} \omega\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left|d y_{1}^{\prime}\right| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we note if $f \circ \sigma(b) \neq 0$, then by the discussion at the last paragraph of Section 2.4.1, the corresponding integral is a holomorphic function of $s$.

The parts (i)-(ii) follow by applying Lemma 3.1 to integrals (3.1)-(3.3). $\square$
REmARK 3.4. Let $f(x)=\sum c_{m} x^{m}$ be a weakly non-degenerate Laurent polynomial with coefficients in $R_{K}^{\times}$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{0}$, and that $\Phi$ is the characteristic function of $R_{K}^{n}$, and $\omega=\omega_{s}$. Then the first meet locus of any integer vector in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ is a point, say $m_{0}=\left(m_{0,1}, \ldots, m_{0, n}\right)$. In addition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\Phi}\left(\omega_{s}, f\right) & =\sum_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{a_{1}} 1}|f(x)|_{K}^{s}|d x| \\
& =\left(1-q^{-1}\right)^{n} \sum_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} q^{-\|a\|-\left\langle a, m_{0}\right\rangle s}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1-q^{-1}}{1-q^{-1-m_{0, i} s}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not difficult to show that in general case, we have

$$
Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f)=\frac{L\left(q^{-s}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-q^{-1-m_{0, i} s}\right)}
$$

where $L\left(q^{-s}\right)$ is a polynomial in $q^{-s}$ with rational coefficients.
Remark 3.5. (i) Take $f(x, y)=x^{-2}-2 x^{-1} y+y^{2}+x^{2}$, as in Example 2.6, then $\mathcal{F}$ is the trivial fan. Take $\Phi$ the characteristic function of $\left(\mathfrak{p} R_{K}\right)^{2}$, and $\omega=\omega_{s}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\Phi}\left(\omega_{s}, f\right)= & \int_{\left(\mathfrak{p} R_{K} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}}|f(x, y)|_{K}^{s}|d x d y| \\
= & \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{a} R_{K}^{\times} \times \mathfrak{p}^{b} R_{K}^{\times}}|f(x, y)|_{K}^{s}|d x d y|=\frac{\left(1-q^{-1}\right) q^{-2+2 s}}{1-q^{-1+2 s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the integral converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s)<\frac{1}{2}$. Thus local zeta functions $Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f)$ may have poles with positive real parts.
(ii) In dimension 2 , an explicit formula for $Z_{\Phi}\left(\omega_{s}, f\right)$, when $\Phi$ is the characteristic function of $\left(R_{K} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}$, similar to the one given in [5] holds. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a simple conical partition of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$ subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Then, the intersection of $\mathcal{L}$ with the first quadrant of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ gives a simple conical partition of the first quadrant, in addition, the corresponding skeleton is the union the vectors in edges $(\mathcal{L})$ contained in the first quadrant and the vectors of a canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This simple construction does not work in dimensions greater than two.

From now on, we will assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is not trivial.

### 3.3. Some additional remarks on poles of local zeta functions

As a consequence of Theorem 3.3, the mapping $\Phi \rightarrow Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f)$ defines a meromorphic distribution on $S\left(K^{n}\right)$. Denote this functional by $Z_{\bullet}(\omega)$. The set of poles of $Z_{\bullet}(\omega)$ is the set of poles of all the meromorphic functions $Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f)$ when $\Phi$ runs through $S\left(K^{n}\right)$.

Lemma 3.6. Assume that $A(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$. Given $l \in \mathbb{N}$, with $1 \leq l \leq n$, define

$$
\mathcal{L}_{l}(\alpha)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Delta \in \mathcal{F} ; \Delta \text { has exactly } l \text { edges, } a_{k} \\
\text { satisfying } \frac{\left\|a_{k}\right\|}{-d\left(a_{k}\right)}=\alpha \text { for } k=1, \ldots, l
\end{array}\right\}
$$

If $\max _{l}\left\{\mathcal{L}_{l}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset\right\}=n$, then $Z_{\bullet}(\omega)$ has a pole $s$ with multiplicity $n$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(s)=\alpha$.

Proof. We use all the notation introduced in Paragraph 2.4.1. Pick $\Phi>0$ (later we will impose more restrictions on $\Phi$ ) and $\omega=\omega_{s}$. To prove the result, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \alpha}\left(1-q^{s-\alpha}\right)^{n} Z_{\Phi}\left(\omega_{s}, f\right)>0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Z_{\Phi}(\omega, f)$ is a finite sum of integrals of types $J_{i}\left(\omega_{s}\right), i=0,1,2$, see (3.1)-(3.3), it is sufficient to show the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \alpha}\left(1-q^{s-\alpha}\right)^{n} J_{i}\left(\omega_{s}\right) \geq 0, i=0,1,2 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \alpha}\left(1-q^{s-\alpha}\right)^{n} J_{0}\left(\omega_{s}\right)>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta$ be a cone in $\mathcal{L}_{n}(\alpha)$ spanned by $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, with $\frac{\left\|a_{i}\right\|}{-d\left(a_{i}\right)}=\alpha$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Take $b$ in $X(\mathcal{F})$ to be the origin of the chart $\left(K_{\Delta}^{n}, \sigma_{\Delta}\right)$ corresponding to $\Delta$ and use formulas (2.3)-(2.4). Furthermore, we pick $\Phi$ in such a way that the neighborhood $V_{0}$ of the origin where (2.5)-(2.9) are valid be equal to $\left(\mathfrak{p}^{e} R_{K}\right)^{n}$. Then by using Lemma $3.1, J_{0}\left(\omega_{s}\right)$ equals

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(1-q^{-1}\right)^{n} \Phi(\sigma(b))|\varepsilon(b)|_{K}^{s}|\eta(b)|_{K} q^{-e\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} d\left(a_{j}\right)\right\}(s-\alpha)}}{\left(1-q^{s-\alpha}\right)^{n}}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \times\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{d\left(a_{j}\right) \\
\varsigma_{j} \neq 1, \varsigma_{j}}}\left(1-\varsigma_{j} q^{s-\alpha}\right)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{s \rightarrow \alpha}\left(1-q^{s-\alpha}\right)^{n} J_{0}\left(\omega_{s}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\frac{\left(1-q^{-1}\right)^{n} \Phi(\sigma(b))|\varepsilon(b)|_{K}^{\alpha}|\eta(b)|_{K}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|d\left(a_{j}\right)\right|}>0
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the previous limit does not depend on the branch of the complex logarithm used to defined the complex power of $q$. By using a similar reasoning, one verifies that

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \alpha}\left(1-q^{s-\alpha}\right)^{n} J_{1}\left(\omega_{s}\right)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \alpha}\left(1-q^{s-\alpha}\right)^{n} J_{2}\left(\omega_{s}\right)=0 .
$$

On the other hand, if $\Delta \notin \mathcal{L}_{n}(\alpha)$, then $\lim _{s \rightarrow \alpha}\left(1-q^{s-\alpha}\right)^{n} J_{i}\left(\omega_{s}\right)=0$, for $i=0,1,2$.

Lemma 3.7. Assume that $B(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$. Given $l \in \mathbb{N}$, with $1 \leq l \leq n$, define

$$
\mathcal{M}_{l}(\beta)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Delta \in \mathcal{F} ; \Delta \text { has exactly } l \text { edges, } a_{k}, \text { satisfying } \\
\frac{\left\|a_{k}\right\|}{-d\left(a_{k}\right)}=\beta, \text { for } k=1, \ldots, l .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

If $\max _{l}\left\{\mathcal{M}_{l}\left(\beta_{f}\right) \neq \emptyset\right\}=n$, then $Z_{\bullet}(\omega)$ has a pole $s$ of multiplicity $n$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(s)=\beta$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.6.

### 3.4. Volumes of tubes

The classical local zeta functions attached to polynomials are connected with the number of solutions of polynomial congruences. The local zeta functions attached to Laurent polynomials are connected with the volumes of certain tubes determined by the Laurent polynomial.

ThEOREM 3.8. Let $f$ be a Laurent polynomial which is weakly nondegenerate with respect to $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Set for $m \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
V_{-m}(f, \Phi):=\operatorname{vol}\left(\left\{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\Phi) \cap T^{n}(K) ;|f(x)|_{K}=q^{-m}\right\}\right)
$$

and

$$
V_{m}(f, \Phi):=\operatorname{vol}\left(\left\{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\Phi) \cap T^{n}(K) ;|f(x)|_{K}=q^{m}\right\}\right)
$$

Then the following assertions hold.
(i) Assume that $Z_{\bullet}(\omega)$ has at least one pole with negative real part. Then for $m$ big enough, $V_{-m}(f, \Phi)$ has an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$
V_{-m}(f, \Phi)=\sum_{\gamma} c_{m}(\gamma, f) m^{j_{\gamma}} q^{\gamma m}
$$

where $\gamma$ runs through all of the poles of $Z_{\Phi}\left(s, \chi_{\text {triv }}, f\right)$ for which $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \in$ $B(\mathcal{F}), j_{\gamma} \leq$ (the multiplicity of $\left.\gamma\right)-1$, and the $c_{m}(\gamma, f)$ are complex constants. Furthermore

$$
V_{-m}(f, \Phi) \leq C m^{n-1} q^{m \beta} \text { for } m \geq 0
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant.
(ii) Assume that $Z_{\bullet}(\omega)$ has at least one pole with positive real part. If $|f|_{K}$ is not bounded on $\operatorname{supp}(\Phi) \cap T^{n}(K)$, then for $m$ big enough, $V_{m}(f, \Phi)$ has an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$
V_{m}(f, \Phi)=\sum_{\gamma} c_{m}(\gamma, f) m^{j_{\gamma}} q^{-\gamma m}
$$

where $\gamma$ runs through all of the poles of $Z_{\Phi}\left(s, \chi_{\text {triv }}, f\right)$ for which $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \in$ $A(\mathcal{F}), j_{\gamma} \leq$ (the multiplicity of $\left.\gamma\right)-1$, and the $c_{m}(\gamma, f)$ are complex constants. Furthermore

$$
V_{m}(f, \Phi) \leq C m^{n-1} q^{-m \alpha}, \text { for } m \geq 0
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant.

Proof. We first note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{\Phi}\left(s, \chi_{\text {triv }}, f\right) \\
& =\int_{T^{n}(K)} \Phi(x)|f(x)|_{K}^{s}|d x| \\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{vol}\left(\left\{x \in \operatorname{supp}(\Phi) ;|f(x)|_{K}=q^{-m}\right\} t^{m}\right), \text { with } t:=q^{-s},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\beta<\operatorname{Re}(s)<\alpha$. Now, the announced results follow from Theorem 3.3 by expanding $Z_{\Phi}\left(s, \chi_{\text {triv }}, f\right)$ into partial fractions over the complex numbers. Since two variables $t, t^{-1}$ are involved in the calculations and since we will need this technique later, we present here some details. For the sake of simplicity, we give the proof of the case $n=2$, the generalization to arbitrary $n$ is straightforward.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, we write $m=|m| \operatorname{sgn}(m)=|m|( \pm 1)$. We also set $U_{f}:=\left\{\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}: \varsigma^{f}=1\right\}$ for $f \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$. By using the identity

$$
1-q^{-e} t^{ \pm f}=\left(1-q^{\frac{-e}{f}} t^{ \pm 1}\right) \prod_{\varsigma \in U_{f} \backslash\{1\}}\left(1-q^{\frac{-e}{f}} \varsigma t^{ \pm 1}\right), e, f \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}
$$

we have

$$
\frac{1}{1-q^{-\left\|a_{k}\right\|} t^{d\left(a_{k}\right)}}=\frac{1}{1-q^{-\left\|a_{k}\right\|} t^{\left|d\left(a_{k}\right)\right|( \pm 1)}}=\sum_{\varsigma \in U_{\left|d\left(a_{k}\right)\right|}} c_{\varsigma}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} q^{-\left\|a_{k}\right\|}\left|d\left(a_{k}\right)\right|{ }_{\varsigma} t^{ \pm l}\right)
$$

for some constants $c_{\varsigma} \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\pm l=l\left\{\operatorname{sgn}\left(d\left(a_{k}\right)\right)\right\}$. If $\frac{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|} \neq \frac{-\left\|a_{j}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{j}\right)\right|}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\left(1-q^{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|} t^{d\left(a_{i}\right)}\right)\left(1-q^{-\left\|a_{j}\right\|} t^{d\left(a_{j}\right)}\right)} & =\sum_{\varsigma \in U_{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|}} d_{\varsigma}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} q^{\frac{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|} l}{ }_{\varsigma}{ }^{l} t^{ \pm l}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\varsigma \in U_{\mid d\left(a_{j}\right)} \mid} h_{\varsigma}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} q^{\frac{-\left\|a_{j}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{j}\right)\right|} l}{ }^{l}{ }^{l} t^{ \pm l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $d_{\varsigma}, h_{\varsigma} \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\frac{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|}=\frac{-\left\|a_{j}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{j}\right)\right|}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|} t^{d\left(a_{i}\right)}\right)\left(1-q^{-\left\|a_{j}\right\|} t^{d\left(a_{j}\right)}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{\varsigma \in U_{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|^{\cap U}}{ }_{\left|d\left(a_{j}\right)\right|}}\left\{\frac{d_{\varsigma}}{\left(1-q^{\frac{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|}} \varsigma t^{ \pm 1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{f_{\varsigma}}{1-q^{\frac{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|}{d d\left(a_{i}\right) \mid}} \varsigma t^{ \pm 1}}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{\varsigma \in U_{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|}} g_{\varsigma}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} q^{\left.\frac{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|}{ }_{\varsigma}{ }^{l} t^{ \pm l}\right)}\right. \\
& \varsigma \notin U_{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|} \cap U_{\left|d\left(a_{j}\right)\right|} \\
& +\sum_{\varsigma \in U_{|d(a j)|}} h_{\varsigma}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} q^{\frac{-\left\|a_{j}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{j}\right)\right|} l}{ }_{\varsigma} t^{ \pm l}\right) \\
& \varsigma \notin U_{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|} \cap U_{\left|d\left(a_{j}\right)\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $d_{\varsigma}, f_{\varsigma}, g_{\varsigma}, h_{\varsigma} \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that

$$
\frac{1}{\left(1-q^{\frac{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|}{\left|d\left(a_{i}\right)\right|}} \varsigma^{ \pm \pm 1}\right)^{2}}=\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty}(l+1) q^{\frac{-\left\|a_{i}\right\|}{d d\left(a_{i}\right) \mid} l}{ }_{\varsigma} t^{ \pm} t^{ \pm l}
$$

Therefore for $m$ big enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{-m}(f, \Phi)=\sum_{\gamma} c_{m}(\gamma, f) m^{j_{\gamma}} q^{\gamma m} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ runs through all of the poles of $Z_{\Phi}\left(s, \chi_{\text {triv }}, f\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \in$ $B(\mathcal{F}), j_{\gamma} \leq($ the multiplicity of $\gamma)-1$, and the $c(m, \gamma)$ are complex constants. The first part follows from (3.9). The second part is established in a similar form.

### 3.5. Vanishing of local zeta functions

Theorem 3.9. Let $f$ be a weakly non-degenerate Laurent polynomial satisfying $C_{f} \subset f^{-1}(0)$. There exists a constant $e(\Phi) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $Z_{\Phi}(s, \chi, f)=0$ unless $c(\chi) \leq e(\Phi)$.

Proof. The proof follows from formulas (2.3)-(2.12), Lemma 3.1, by using the same argument given by Igusa for Theorem 8.4.1 in [12].

## 4. Oscillatory Integrals

In this section we extend Igusa's stationary phase method for $p$-adic oscillatory integrals ([11], [12], [3]) to the case of non-degenerate Laurent polynomials.

### 4.1. Additive characters

Given

$$
z=\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} z_{n} p^{n} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}, \text { with } z_{n} \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\} \text { and } z_{n_{0}} \neq 0
$$

we set

$$
\{z\}_{p}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } \\
n_{0} \geq 0 \\
\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{-1} z_{n} p^{n} & \text { if }
\end{array} n_{0}<0\right.
$$

the fractional part of $z$. Then $\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\{z\}_{p}\right), z \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$, is an additive character on $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ trivial on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ but not on $p^{-1} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

We recall that there exists an integer $d \geq 0$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for $|z|_{K} \leq q^{d}$ but $\operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(z_{0}\right) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ for some $z_{0}$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|_{K}=q^{d+1}$. The integer $d$ is called the exponent of the different of $K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$. It is known that $d \geq e-1$, where $e$ is the ramification index of $K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$, see e.g. [21, Chap. VIII, Corollary of Proposition 1]. The additive character

$$
\varkappa(z)=\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{-d} z\right)\right\}_{p}\right), z \in K
$$

is a standard character of $K$, i.e. $\varkappa$ is trivial on $R_{K}$ but not on $P_{K}^{-1}$. For our purposes, it is more convenient to use

$$
\Psi(z)=\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}_{K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}(z)\right\}_{p}\right), z \in K
$$

instead of $\varkappa(\cdot)$. This particular choice is due to the fact that we use Denef's approach for estimating oscillatory integrals, see [3, Proposition 1.4.4].

### 4.2. Asymptotic expansion of oscillatory integrals

Given $\Phi \in S\left(K^{n}\right)$ and $f$ a Laurent polynomial as before, we define

$$
E_{\Phi}(z, f)=E_{\Phi}(z)=\int_{T^{n}(K)} \Phi(x) \Psi(z f(x))|d x|
$$

for $z=u \mathfrak{p}^{-m}$, with $u \in R_{K}^{\times}$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call a such integral an oscillatory integral.

Let $\operatorname{Coeff}_{t^{k}} Z_{\Phi}(s, \chi, f)$ denote the coefficient $c_{k}$ in the power expansion of $Z_{\Phi}(s, \chi, f)$ in the variable $t=q^{-s}$.

Proposition 4.1. With the above notation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\Phi}\left(u \mathfrak{p}^{-m}\right) & =Z_{\Phi}\left(0, \chi_{\text {triv }}\right)+\text { Coeff }_{t^{m-1}} \frac{(t-q) Z_{\Phi}\left(s, \chi_{\text {triv }}\right)}{(q-1)(1-t)} \\
& +\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{\text {triv }}} g_{\chi^{-1}} \chi(u) \text { Coeff }_{t^{m-c(\chi)}} Z_{\Phi}(s, \chi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c(\chi)$ denotes the conductor of $\chi$, and $g_{\chi}$ denotes the Gaussian sum

$$
g_{\chi}=(q-1)^{-1} q^{1-c(\chi)} \sum_{v \in\left(R_{K} / P_{K}^{c(\chi)}\right)^{\times}} \chi(v) \Psi\left(v / \mathfrak{p}^{c(\chi)}\right)
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1.4.4 in [3].
TheOrem 4.2. Let $f$ be a Laurent polynomial which is weakly nondegenerate with respect to $\Gamma_{\infty}$. Assume that $C_{f} \subset f^{-1}(0)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a nontrivial simple fan subordinated to $\Gamma_{\infty}$ as before. Then the following assertions hold.
(i) Assume that $Z_{\bullet}(\omega)$ has at least one pole with negative real part. Then for $|z|_{K}$ big enough $E_{\Phi}(z)$ is a finite $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of functions of the form

$$
\chi(a c z)|z|_{K}^{\lambda}\left(\log _{q}|z|_{K}\right)^{j_{\lambda}}
$$

with coefficients independent of $z$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ a pole with negative real part of $\left(1-q^{-s-1}\right) Z_{\Phi}\left(s, \chi_{\text {triv }}\right)$ or of $Z_{\Phi}(s, \chi), \chi \neq \chi_{\text {triv }}$, and with $j_{\lambda} \leq$ (multiplicity
of pole $\lambda$ ) -1 . Moreover all the poles $\lambda$, with negative real part, appear effectively in this linear combination.
(ii) Furthermore,

$$
\left|E_{\Phi}(z)\right| \leq C(K)|z|_{K}^{\beta}\left(\log _{q}|z|_{K}\right)^{n-1}
$$

for $|z|_{K}$ big enough, where $C(K)$ is a positive constant.
(iii) Assume that $Z_{\bullet}(\omega)$ has at least one pole with positive real part. Then for $|z|_{K}$ small enough $E_{\Phi}(z)-Z_{\Phi}\left(0, \chi_{\text {triv }}\right)$ is a finite $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of functions of the form

$$
\chi(a c z)|z|_{K}^{\lambda}\left(\log _{q}|z|_{K}\right)^{j_{\lambda}}
$$

with coefficients independent of $z$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ a pole with positive real part of $Z_{\Phi}(s, \chi)$, and with $j_{\lambda} \leq($ multiplicity of pole $\lambda)-1$. Moreover all the poles $\lambda$, with positive real part, appear effectively in this linear combination.
(iv) Furthermore,

$$
\left|E_{\Phi}(z)-Z_{\Phi}\left(0, \chi_{t r i v}\right)\right| \leq C(K)|z|_{K}^{\alpha}\left(\log _{q}|z|_{K}\right)^{n-1}
$$

for $|z|_{K}$ small enough, where $C(K)$ is a positive constant.
Proof. The results follow from Theorem 3.3, Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 3.9, by writing $Z_{\Phi}(s, \chi)$ in partial fractions, as in the proof of Theorem 3.8.

In general $E_{\Phi}(z, f)$ cannot be expressed as a finite sum of exponential sums mod $\mathfrak{p}^{m}$. The following result shows that, under additional hypotheses, $E_{\Phi}(z, f)$ becomes an exponential sum $\bmod \mathfrak{p}^{m}$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $f(x)=\frac{\hat{f}(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{i}^{x_{i}}}, 1 \leq r \leq n-1$, be a nondegenerate Laurent polynomial as before. Set

$$
S_{m}(f):=q^{-m n} \sum_{x \in\left(R_{K}^{\times} / P_{K}^{m}\right)^{r} \times\left(R_{K} / P_{K}^{m}\right)^{n-r}} \Psi(z f(x)),
$$

where $z=u \mathfrak{p}^{-m}$, with $u \in R_{K}^{\times}$and $m \geq 1$. Then, for $m$ big enough,

$$
\left|S_{m}(f)\right| \leq C m^{n-1} q^{m \beta}
$$

Proof. Take $\Phi$ to be the characteristic function of $\left(R_{K}^{\times}\right)^{r} \times R_{K}^{n-r}$, then $E_{\Phi}(z, f)=S_{m}(f)$. Now the result follows from Theorem 4.2 (i).
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