# Dixmier Approximation and Symmetric Amenability for $C^*$ -Algebras

By Narutaka Ozawa

Abstract. We study some general properties of tracial  $C^*$ -algebras. In the first part, we consider Dixmier type approximation theorem and characterize symmetric amenability for  $C^*$ -algebras. In the second part, we consider continuous bundles of tracial von Neumann algebras and classify some of them.

# 1. Introduction

The general study of tracial states on C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras has a long history, but recently it gained a renewed interest in connection with the ongoing classification program for finite nuclear C\*-algebras. In this note, we record several facts about tracial C\*-algebras which may be useful in the future study. The results are two-fold. First, we consider Dixmier type approximation property for C\*-algebras and relate it to symmetric amenability. The Dixmier approximation theorem (Theorem III.5.1 in [Di]) states a fundamental fact about von Neumann algebras that for any von Neumann algebra N and any element  $a \in N$ , the norm-closed convex hull of  $\{uau^* : u \in \mathcal{U}(N)\}$ meets the center  $\mathcal{Z}(N)$  of N. Here  $\mathcal{U}(N)$  denotes the unitary group of N. If N is moreover a finite von Neumann algebra, then this intersection is a singleton and consists of ctr(a). Here ctr denotes the center-valued trace, which is the unique conditional expectation from N onto  $\mathcal{Z}(N)$  that satis fies  $\operatorname{ctr}(xy) = \operatorname{ctr}(yx)$ . It is proved by Haagerup and Zsido ([HZ]) that the Dixmier approximation theorem holds for simple C\*-algebras having at most one tracial states (and obviously does not for simple C\*-algebras having more than one tracial states). Recall that a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra A has the quotient tracial state property (QTS property) if every non-zero quotient

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L05, 46L10.

Key words: Dixmier approximation, symmetric amenability, continuous bundles of von Neumann algebras.

Partially supported by JSPS (23540233).

C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra of A has a tracial state ([Mu]). We denote by T(A) the space of the tracial states on A, equipped with the weak<sup>\*</sup>-topology.

THEOREM 1. For a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A, the following are equivalent.

- (i) The C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra A has the QTS property.
- (ii) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a \in A$  that satisfy  $\sup_{\tau \in T(A)} |\tau(a)| < \varepsilon$ , there are k and  $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $\|\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k u_i a u_i^*\| < \varepsilon$ .

Unlike the case for von Neumann algebras, there is no bound of k in terms of  $\varepsilon$  and ||a|| that works for an arbitrary element a in a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra (see Section 3, where we study a relation between trace zero elements and commutators). Recall that a Banach algebra A is said to be *amenable* if there is a net  $(\Delta_n)_n$ , called an approximate diagonal, in the algebraic tensor product  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$  (we reserve the symbol  $\otimes$  for the minimal tensor product) such that

- (1)  $\sup_n \|\Delta_n\|_{\wedge} < +\infty$ ,
- (2)  $(m(\Delta_n))_n$  is an approximate identity,
- (3)  $\lim_n \|a \cdot \Delta_n \Delta_n \cdot a\|_{\wedge} = 0$  for every  $a \in A$ .

Here  $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge}$  is the projective norm on  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$ , m:  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A \to A$  is the multiplication, and  $a \cdot (\sum_{i} x_i \otimes y_i) = \sum_{i} ax_i \otimes y_i$  and  $(\sum_{i} x_i \otimes y_i) \cdot a = \sum_{i} x_i \otimes y_i a$ . The celebrated theorem of Connes–Haagerup ([Co, Ha1]) states that a C\*-algebra A is amenable as a Banach algebra if and only if it is nuclear. The Banach algebra A is said to be symmetrically amenable ([Jo]) if the approximate diagonal  $(\Delta_n)_n$  can be taken symmetric under the flip  $x \otimes y \to y \otimes x$ . We characterize symmetric amenability for C\*-algebras.

THEOREM 2. For a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A, the following are equivalent.

- (i) The C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra A is nuclear and has the QTS property.
- (ii) The C\*-algebra A has an approximate diagonal  $\Delta_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} x_i(n)^* \otimes x_i(n)$  such that  $\lim_n \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \|x_i(n)\|^2 = 1$ ,  $\operatorname{m}(\Delta_n) = 1$ , and  $\lim_n \|1 \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} x_i(n)x_i(n)^*\| = 0$ .

(iii) The C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra A is symmetrically amenable.

(iv) The C\*-algebra A has a symmetric approximate diagonal  $(\Delta_n)_n$  in

$$\{\sum_{i} x_i^* \otimes x_i \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A : \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 \le 1\}$$

Recall that a unital C\*-algebra A is strongly amenable if there is an approximate diagonal that consists of convex combinations of  $\{u^* \otimes u : u \in \mathcal{U}(A)\}$ . This property is formally stronger than symmetric amenability, but it is unclear whether there is really a gap between these properties.

Second, we describe what is the C\*-completion  $\overline{A}^{u}$  of a unital C\*-algebra A under the uniform 2-norm. This work is strongly influenced by the recent works of Kirchberg–Rørdam ([KR]), Sato ([Sa2]), and Toms–White–Winter ([TWW]), who studied the central sequence algebra of a C\*-algebra modulo uniformly 2-norm null sequences, in order to extend Matui–Sato's result ([MS]) from C\*-algebras with finitely many extremal tracial states to more general ones. In fact, our result is very similar to theirs (particularly to Kirchberg–Rørdam's). Let A be a C\*-algebra and  $S \subset T(A)$  be a non-empty metrizable closed face. The reason we assume S be metrizable is because it makes the description of the boundary measures simpler. We define the uniform 2-norm on A corresponding to S by

$$||a||_{2,S} = \sup\{\tau(a^*a)^{1/2} : \tau \in S\}.$$

The uniform 2-norm satisfies

$$||ab||_{2,S} \le \min\{||a|| ||b||_{2,S}, ||a||_{2,S} ||b||\}$$
 and  $\sup_{\tau \in S} |\tau(a)| \le ||a||_{2,S}.$ 

The C\*-completion  $\overline{A}^{u}$  is defined to be the C\*-algebra of the norm-bounded uniform 2-norm Cauchy sequences, modulo the ideal of the uniform 2-norm null sequences. For  $\tau \in T(A)$ , we denote by  $\pi_{\tau}$  the corresponding GNS representation and also  $||a||_{2,\tau} = \tau(a^*a)^{1/2}$ . Let  $N = (\bigoplus_{\tau \in S} \pi_{\tau})(A)''$  be the enveloping von Neumann algebra with respect to S. When S = T(A), it is the finite summand  $A_{f}^{**}$  of the second dual von Neumann algebra  $A^{**}$ . The tracial state  $\tau \in S$  and the GNS representation  $\pi_{\tau}$  extend normally on N. For the center-valued trace ctr:  $N \to \mathcal{Z}(N)$ , one has  $||a||_{2,S} = || \operatorname{ctr}(a^*a) ||^{1/2}$  and  $\overline{A}^{u}$  coincides with the closure  $\overline{A}^{st}$  of A in N with respect to the strict topology associated with the Hilbert  $\mathcal{Z}(N)$ -module (N, ctr).

Recall that the trace space T(A) of a unital C\*-algebra is a Choquet simplex and so is the closed face S. We denote by Aff(S) the space of the affine continuous functions on S and consider the function system  $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S) =$  $\{f|_{\partial S} : f \in \mathrm{Aff}(S)\}$  in  $B(\partial S)$ , where  $B(\partial S)$  denotes the C\*-algebra of the bounded Borel functions on  $\partial S$ . For every  $a \in A$ , the formula  $\hat{a}(\tau) = \tau(a)$ defines a function  $\hat{a}$  in Aff(S) (or  $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S)$ ). We note that  $\{\hat{a} : a \in A\}$ is dense in Aff(S) (in fact equal, see [CP]). Let  $\mathcal{M}^1_+(\partial S)$  be the space of the probability measures on the extreme boundary  $\partial S$  of S. Since S is a metrizable Choquet simplex, every  $\tau \in S$  has a unique representing measure  $\mu_{\tau} \in \mathcal{M}^1_+(\partial S)$ , which satisfies

$$\tau(a) = \int \lambda(a) \, d\mu_{\tau}(\lambda) = \int \hat{a}(\lambda) \, d\mu_{\tau}(\lambda)$$

for every  $a \in A$  (Theorem II.3.16 in [Al]). The center  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S))$  is defined to be

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S)) = \{ f \in B(\partial S) : f \,\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S) \subset \mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S) \} \subset \mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S).$$

When  $\partial S$  is closed (i.e., when S is a Bauer simplex), one has  $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S) = C(\partial S)$ and  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S)) = C(\partial S)$ . However in general, the center  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S))$  can be trivial (see Section II.7 in [Al]).

THEOREM 3. Let A, S, and N be as above. Then, there is a unital \*-homomorphism  $\theta: B(\partial S) \to \mathcal{Z}(N)$  with ultraweakly dense range such that  $\theta(\hat{a}) = \operatorname{ctr}(a)$  and

$$\tau(\theta(f)a) = \int f(\lambda)\lambda(a) \, d\mu_{\tau}(\lambda) = \int f\hat{a} \, d\mu_{\tau}(\lambda)$$

for every  $a \in A$  and  $\tau \in S$ . One has

$$\overline{A}^{\mathrm{st}} = \{ x \in N : \operatorname{ctr}(xA) \subset \theta(\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S)), \ \operatorname{ctr}(x^*x) \in \theta(\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S)) \}.$$

In particular,

$$\overline{A}^{\mathrm{st}} \cap \mathcal{Z}(N) = \{\theta(f) : f \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(S))\}.$$

Moreover, if  $\partial S$  is closed, then for every  $\tau \in \partial S$ , one has  $\pi_{\tau}(\overline{A}^{st}) = \pi_{\tau}(N) = \pi_{\tau}(A)''$ .

Takesaki and Tomiyama ([TT]) have studied the structure of a C\*-algebra, for which the set of pure states is closed in the state space, by using a continuous bundle of C\*-algebras (see also [Fe]). We carry out a similar study in Section 5 for a C\*-algebra A, for which  $\partial S$  is closed, in terms of a continuous W\*-bundle, and present W\*-analogues of a few results for C\*-bundles obtained in [HRW, DW]. In particular, we give a criterion for a continuous W\*-bundle over a compact space K with all fibers isomorphic to the hyperfinite II<sub>1</sub> factor  $\mathcal{R}$  to be isomorphic to the trivial bundle  $C_{\sigma}(K,\mathcal{R})$ , the C\*-algebra of the norm-bounded and ultrastrongly continuous functions from K into  $\mathcal{R}$ . We denote the evaluation map at  $\lambda \in K$  by  $\mathrm{ev}_{\lambda} \colon C_{\sigma}(K,\mathcal{R}) \to \mathcal{R}$ . As an application, we show that  $\overline{A}^{\mathrm{st}} \cong C_{\sigma}(\partial S,\mathcal{R})$  for certain A.

THEOREM 4. Let A be a separable C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra and  $S \subset T(A)$  be a closed face. Assume that  $\pi_{\tau}(A)'' \cong \mathcal{R}$  for all  $\tau \in \partial S$  and that  $\partial S$  is a compact space with finite covering dimension. Then, one can coordinatize the isomorphisms  $\pi_{\tau}(A)'' = \mathcal{R}$  in such a way that they together give rise to a \*-homomorphism  $\pi: A \to C_{\sigma}(\partial S, \mathcal{R})$  such that  $\pi_{\tau} = ev_{\tau} \circ \pi$ . The image of  $\pi$  is dense with respect to the uniform 2-norm.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to Yasuhiko Sato for many illuminating conversations. The author was partially supported by JSPS (23540233).

## 2. QTS Property and Symmetric Amenability

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Ad (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Although the proof becomes a bit shorter if we use Theorem 5 in [HZ], we give here a more direct proof of this implication. Let  $a \in A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given as in condition (ii). Let  $\varepsilon_0 = \sup_{\tau \in T(A)} |\tau(a)| < \varepsilon$ . We decompose the second dual von Neumann algebra  $A^{**}$  into the finite summand  $A_{f}^{**}$  and the properly infinite summand  $A_{\infty}^{**}$ . We denote the corresponding embedding of A by  $\pi_f$  and  $\pi_{\infty}$ , and the center-valued trace of  $A_{f}^{**}$  by ctr. We note that  $\|\operatorname{ctr}(\pi_f(a))\| = \varepsilon_0$ . By the Dixmier approximation theorem, there are  $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathcal{U}(A_{f}^{**})$ 

such that  $\|\operatorname{ctr}(\pi_{\mathrm{f}}(a)) - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}\pi_{\mathrm{f}}(a)v_{i}^{*}\| < \varepsilon - \varepsilon_{0}$ . On the other hand, by Halpern's theorem ([Hal]), there are  $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{l} \in \mathcal{U}(A_{\infty}^{**})$  such that  $\|\frac{1}{l}\sum_{j=1}^{l} w_{i}\pi_{\infty}(a)w_{i}^{*}\| < \varepsilon$ . Before giving the detail of the proof of this fact, we finish the proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). By allowing multiplicity, we may assume that k = l and consider  $u_{i} = v_{i} \oplus w_{i} \in A^{**}$ . Then,  $\|\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}au_{i}^{*}\| < \varepsilon$ in  $A^{**}$ . For each *i*, take a net  $(u_{i}(\lambda))_{\lambda}$  of unitary elements in A which converges to  $u_{i} \in A^{**}$  in the ultrastrong\*-topology. By the Hahn-Banach theorem,  $\operatorname{conv}\{\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}(\lambda)au_{i}(\lambda)^{*}\}_{\lambda}$  contains an element of norm less than  $\varepsilon$ .

Now, we explain how to apply Halpern's theorem. Let Z (resp. I) be the center (resp. strong radical) of  $A_{\infty}^{**}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the directed set of all finite partitions of unity by projections in Z, and  $\lambda = \{p_{\lambda,i}\}_i \in \Lambda$  be given. Applying the QTS property to the non-zero \*-homomorphism  $A \ni x \mapsto$  $p_{\lambda,i}(\pi_{\infty}(x) + I) \in p_{\lambda,i}((\pi_{\infty}(A) + I)/I)$ , one obtains a (tracial) state  $\tau_{\lambda,i}$  on  $\pi_{\infty}(A) + I$  such that  $\tau_{\lambda,i}(p_{\lambda,i}) = 1$ ,  $\tau_{\lambda,i}(I) = 0$ , and  $|\tau_{\lambda,i}(\pi_{\infty}(a))| \leq \varepsilon_0$ . Let  $\tilde{\tau}_{\lambda,i}$  be a state extension of it on  $p_{\lambda,i}A_{\infty}^{**}$ . We define the linear map  $\varphi_{\lambda} \colon A_{\infty}^{**} \to$ Z by  $\varphi_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{i} \tilde{\tau}_{\lambda,i}(x)p_{\lambda,i}$ , and take a limit point  $\varphi \colon A_{\infty}^{**} \to Z$ . The map  $\varphi$  is a unital positive Z-linear map such that  $\varphi(I) = 0$  and  $\|\varphi(\pi_{\infty}(a))\| \leq \varepsilon_0$ . By Halpern's theorem (Theorem 4.12 in [Hal]), the norm-closed convex hull of the unitary conjugations of  $\pi_{\infty}(a)$  contains  $\varphi(\pi_{\infty}(a))$ .

Ad (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that there is a closed two-sided proper ideal I in A such that A/I does not have a tracial state. Let  $e_n$  be the approximate unit of I. Then, one has  $\tau(1 - e_n) \searrow 0$  for every  $\tau \in T(A)$ . By Dini's theorem, there is n such that  $q = 1 - e_n$  satisfies  $\tau(q) < 1/2$  for all  $\tau \in T(A)$ . By condition (ii), there are  $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $\|\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k u_i q u_i^*\| < 1/2$ , which is in contradiction with the fact that  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k u_i q u_i^* \in 1 + I$ .  $\Box$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. The implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is obvious and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is standard: Since amenability implies nuclearity by Connes's theorem ([Co]), we only have to prove the QTS property. Let  $(\Delta_n)_n$  be a symmetric approximate diagonal and define  $m_{\Delta}(a) = \sum_i x_i a y_i$  for  $\Delta = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$  and  $a \in A$ . Then, for any proper ideal I in A and a state  $\varphi$  on A such that  $\varphi(I) = 0$ , any limit point  $\tau$  of  $(\varphi \circ m_{\Delta_n})_n$  is a bounded trace such that  $\tau(I) = 0$  and  $\tau(1) = 1$ . By polar decomposition, one obtains a tracial state on A which vanishes on I.

We prove the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Since A is nuclear, it is amenable thanks to Haagerup's theorem (Theorem 3.1 in [Ha1]). Moreover,

there is an approximate diagonal  $(\Delta'_n)_n$  in the convex hull of  $\{x^* \otimes x : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . We note that  $\varepsilon_n := \|1 - \mathrm{m}(\Delta'_n)\| \to 0$ . We fix n for the moment and write  $\Delta'_n = \sum_i x_i^* \otimes x_i$ . By replacing  $x_i$  with  $x_i \mathrm{m}(\Delta'_n)^{-1/2}$ , we may assume  $\mathrm{m}(\Delta'_n) = 1$  but  $\sum_i \|x_i\|^2 \leq (1 - \varepsilon_n)^{-1}$ . Since  $\tau(\sum_i x_i x_i^*) = 1$  for all  $\tau \in T(A)$ , Theorem 1 provides  $u_1, \ldots, u_l \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $\|\frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_i u_j x_i x_i^* u_j^*\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon_n$ . Thus,  $\Delta_n = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i,j} x_i^* u_j^* \otimes u_j x_i$  satisfies condition (ii). Now, rewrite  $\Delta_n$  as  $\sum_i y_i^* \otimes y_i$ . Then,  $\Delta_n^{\sharp} = (\sum_i \|y_i\|^2)^{-2} \sum_{i,j} y_i^* y_j \otimes y_j^* y_i$  is a symmetric approximate diagonal that meets condition (iv).  $\Box$ 

### 3. Trace Zero Elements and Commutators

In this section, we consider the trace zero elements in a C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra. A simple application of the Hahn–Banach theorem implies that  $a \in A$  satisfies  $\tau(a) = 0$  for all  $\tau \in T(A)$  if and only if it belongs to the norm-closure of the subspace [A, A] spanned by commutators [b, c] = bc - cb,  $b, c \in A$ . Moreover, such a can be written as a convergent sum of commutators ([CP]). There are many works as to how uniformly this happens ([PP, Fa, FH, Ma, Po] just to name a few). The following fact is rather standard.

THEOREM 5. There is a constant C > 0 which satisfies the following. Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a \in A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $\sup_{\tau \in T(A)} |\tau(a)| < \varepsilon$ . Then, there are  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b_i$  and  $c_i$  in A such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k \|b_i\| \|c_i\| \le C \|a\|$ and  $\|a - \sum_{i=1}^k [b_i, c_i]\| < \varepsilon$ .

Unlike the case for von Neumann algebras, there is no bound on k in terms of  $\varepsilon$  and ||a|| that works for general C\*-algebras. A counterexample is constructed by Pedersen and Petersen (Lemma 3.5 in [PP]: the element  $x_n-y_n \in [A_n, A_n]$  constructed there has the property that  $||(x_n-y_n)-z|| \ge 1$ for any sum z of n self-commutators). This also means that k in Theorem 1 depends on the particular element a in A. Nevertheless one can bound kunder some regularity condition. Recall that A is said to be  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable if  $A \cong \mathcal{Z} \otimes A$  for the Jiang–Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$ . The Jiang–Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a simple C\*-algebra which is an inductive limit of prime dimension drop algebras and such that  $\mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes \infty}$  (Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 4 in [JS]).

THEOREM 6. There is a constant C > 0 which satisfies the following. Let A be an exact  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra, and  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a \in A$  be such

that  $\sup_{\tau \in T(A)} |\tau(a)| < \varepsilon$ . Then, for every  $R \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are b(r) and c(r) in A such that  $\sum_{r=1}^{R} \|b(r)\| \|c(r)\| \leq C \|a\|$  and  $\|a - \sum_{r=1}^{R} [b(r), c(r)]\| < \varepsilon + C \|a\| R^{-1/2}$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. Let  $a \in A$ . We denote by ctr the centervalued trace from the second dual von Neumann algebra  $A^{**}$  onto the center  $\mathcal{Z}(A_{\mathrm{f}}^{**})$  of the finite summand  $A_{\mathrm{f}}^{**}$  of  $A^{**}$ . One has  $\|\operatorname{ctr}(a)\| =$  $\sup_{\tau \in T(A)} |\tau(a)| < \varepsilon$  and  $a' := a - \operatorname{ctr}(a)$  has zero traces. By a theorem of Fack and de la Harpe, for  $C = 2 \cdot 12^2$  and m = 10, there are  $b_i, c_i \in A^{**}$ such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|b_i\| \|c_i\| \leq C \|a\|$  and  $a' = \sum_{i=1}^{m} [b_i, c_i]$ . See [Ma, Po] for a better estimate of C and m. By Kaplansky's density theorem, there is a net  $(b_i(\lambda))_{\lambda}$  in A such that  $\|b_i(\lambda)\| \leq \|b_i\|$  and  $b_i(\lambda) \to b_i$  ultrastrongly. Likewise for  $(c_i(\lambda))_{\lambda}$ . Since

$$\|\lim_{\lambda} (a - \sum_{i=1}^{m} [b_i(\lambda), c_i(\lambda)])\| = \|a - a'\| < \varepsilon,$$

there is  $a'' \in \operatorname{conv}\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} [b_i(\lambda), c_i(\lambda)]\}_{\lambda}$  which satisfies  $||a - a''|| < \varepsilon$ .  $\Box$ 

The proof of Theorem 6 is inspired by [Ha2] and uses the free semicircular system and random matrix argument of Haagerup–Thorbjørnsen ([HT]). Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$  be the Cuntz algebra generated by isometries  $l_i(r)$  such that  $l_i(r)^*l_j(s) = \delta_{i,j}\delta_{r,s}$ , and let  $S_i(r) := l_i(r) + l_i(r)^*$  be the corresponding semicircular system. We note that  $\mathfrak{C} := \mathbb{C}^*(\{S_i(r) : i, r\})$  is \*-isomorphic to the reduced free product of the copies of C([-2, 2]) with respect to the Lebesgue measure (see Section 2.6 in [VDN]), and the corresponding tracial state coincides with the restriction of the vacuum state on  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$  to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

LEMMA 7. Let  $b_i, c_i \in A$  be such that  $||b_i|| = ||c_i||$ . Then, for every  $R \in \mathbb{N}$ , letting  $\tilde{b}(r) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i(r) \otimes b_i$  and  $\tilde{c}(r) = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j(r) \otimes c_j$ , one has

$$\frac{1}{R}\sum_{r=1}^{R} \|\tilde{b}(r)\| \|\tilde{c}(r)\| \le 4\sum \|b_i\| \|c_i\|$$

and

$$\|1 \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{n} [b_i, c_i] - \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^{R} [\tilde{b}(r), \tilde{c}(r)]\| \le \frac{6}{\sqrt{R}} \sum_{i} \|b_i\| \|c_i\|.$$

**PROOF.** For every r, one has

$$\|\tilde{b}(r)\| \le \|\sum l_i(r) \otimes b_i\| + \|\sum l_i(r)^* \otimes b_i\|$$
  
=  $\|\sum b_i^* b_i\|^{1/2} + \|\sum b_i b_i^*\|^{1/2} \le 2(\sum \|b_i\|^2)^{1/2}$ 

and likewise for  $\tilde{c}(r)$ . It follows that  $\|\tilde{b}(r)\|\|\tilde{c}(r)\| \leq 4 \sum \|b_i\|\|c_i\|$ . Moreover,

$$\tilde{b}(r)\tilde{c}(r) = \sum_{i,j} (\delta_{i,j}1 + l_i(r)l_j(r) + l_i(r)l_j(r)^* + l_i(r)^*l_j(r)^*) \otimes b_i c_j,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{r,i,j} l_i(r) l_j(r) \otimes b_i c_j\| &= \|\sum_{r,i,j} c_j^* b_i^* b_i c_j\|^{1/2} \le R^{1/2} \sum_i \|b_i\| \|c_i\|, \\ \|\sum_{r,i,j} l_i(r)^* l_j(r)^* \otimes b_i c_j\| &= \|\sum_{r,i,j} b_i c_j c_j^* b_i^*\|^{1/2} \le R^{1/2} \sum_i \|b_i\| \|c_i\|, \\ \|\sum_{r,i,j} l_i(r) l_j(r)^* \otimes b_i c_j\| &= \max_r \|\sum_{i,j} l_i(r) l_j(r)^* \otimes b_i c_j\| \le \sum_i \|b_i\| \|c_i\|. \end{split}$$

Likewise for  $\tilde{c}(r)\tilde{b}(r)$ , and one obtains the conclusion.  $\Box$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 6. Let  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$  be such that  $\sup_{\tau \in T(A)} |\tau(a)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z} \cong \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes \infty}$ , we may assume that  $A = \mathcal{Z} \otimes A_0$  and  $a \in A_0$ . By Theorem 5, there are  $b_i, c_i$  such that  $||b_i|| = ||c_i||$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^k ||b_i|| ||c_i|| \le C ||a||$ , and  $||a - \sum_{i=1}^k [b_i, c_i]|| < \varepsilon$ . Recall the theorem of Haagerup and Thorbjørnsen ([HT]) which states that the C\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$  can be embedded into  $\prod \mathfrak{M}_n / \bigoplus \mathfrak{M}_n$ . By exactness of  $A_0$ , there is a canonical \*-isomorphism

$$(\prod \mathbb{M}_n / \bigoplus \mathbb{M}_n) \otimes A_0 \cong ((\prod \mathbb{M}_n) \otimes A_0) / (\bigoplus \mathbb{M}_n \otimes A_0).$$

Lemma 7, combined with this fact, implies that there are matrices  $s_i^{(n)}(r) \in \mathbb{M}_n$  such that  $\tilde{b}^{(n)}(r) = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i^{(n)}(r) \otimes b_i$  and  $\tilde{c}^{(n)}(r) = \sum_{j=1}^k s_j^{(n)}(r) \otimes c_j$  satisfy

$$\limsup_{n} \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \|\tilde{b}^{(n)}(r)\| \|\tilde{c}^{(n)}(r)\| \le 4 \sum \|b_i\| \|c_i\| \le 4C \|a\|$$

and

$$\limsup_{n} \|1 \otimes a - \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^{R} [\tilde{b}^{(n)}(r), \tilde{c}^{(n)}(r)]\| \le \varepsilon + \frac{6C \|a\|}{\sqrt{R}}.$$

For every relatively prime  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , the Jiang–Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  contains the prime dimension drop algebra

$$I(p,q) = \{ f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{M}_p \otimes \mathbb{M}_q) : f(0) \in \mathbb{M}_p \otimes \mathbb{C}1, f(1) \in \mathbb{C}1 \otimes \mathbb{M}_q \}$$

and hence  $t\mathbb{M}_q$  and  $(1-t)\mathbb{M}_p$  also, where  $t \in I(p,q)$  is the identity function on [0, 1]. It follows that there are  $b(r), c(r), b'(r), c'(r) \in \mathbb{Z} \otimes A_0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{R}\sum_{r=1}^{R} (\|b(r)\|\|c(r)\| + \|b'(r)\|\|c'(r)\|) < 9C\|a\|$$

and

$$\|a - \frac{1}{R}\sum_{r=1}^{R} ([b(r), c(r)] + [b'(r), c'(r)])\| < \varepsilon + \frac{7C\|a\|}{\sqrt{R}}.$$

Here, we note that  $||t \otimes x + (1-t) \otimes y|| = \max\{||x||, ||y||\}$  for any x and y.  $\Box$ 

Let  $(A_n)_n$  be a sequence of C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras and  $\mathcal{U}$  be a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ . We denote by

$$\prod A_n = \{ (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} : a_n \in A_n, \, \sup_n ||a_n|| < +\infty \}$$

the  $\ell_{\infty}$ -direct sum of  $(A_n)$ , and by

$$\prod A_n / \mathcal{U} = (\prod A_n) / \{ (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty : \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|a_n\| = 0 \}$$

the ultraproduct of  $A_n$ . For every m, we view  $\tau \in T(A_m)$  as an element of  $T(\prod A_n)$  by  $\tau((a_n)_n) = \tau(a_m)$ . For each  $(\tau_n)_n \in \prod T(A_n)$ , there is a corresponding tracial state  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} := \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \tau_n$  on  $\prod A_n/\mathcal{U}$ , defined by

$$\tau_{\mathcal{U}}((a_n)_n) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \tau_n(a_n).$$

The set of tracial states that arise in this way is denoted by  $\prod T(A_n)/\mathcal{U}$ . We note that as soon as  $\partial T(\prod A_n/\mathcal{U})$  is infinite, the inclusion  $\prod T(A_n)/\mathcal{U} \subset$  $T(\prod A_n/\mathcal{U})$  is proper (see [BF]). Moreover, if we take  $A_n$  to be the counterexamples of Pedersen and Petersen ([PP]), then  $\prod T(A_n)/\mathcal{U}$  (resp.  $\operatorname{conv} \bigsqcup T(A_n)$ ) is not weak\*-dense in  $T(\prod A_n/\mathcal{U})$  (resp.  $T(\prod A_n)$ ). The following theorem is proved by Sato [Sa1] (see also [Rø]) in the case where A is a simple nuclear C\*-algebra having finitely many extremal tracial states.

THEOREM 8. Let  $(A_n)_n$  be a sequence of exact  $\mathbb{Z}$ -stable C\*-algebras and  $\mathcal{U}$  be a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\prod T(A_n)/\mathcal{U}$  (resp. conv $\bigsqcup T(A_n)$ ) is weak\*-dense in  $T(\prod A_n/\mathcal{U})$  (resp.  $T(\prod A_n)$ ). In particular, for every  $\tau \in T(\prod A_n/\mathcal{U})$  and every separable C\*-subalgebra  $B \subset \prod A_n/\mathcal{U}$ , there is  $\tau' \in \prod T(A_n)/\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\tau|_B = \tau'|_B$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 8. Let A be either  $\prod A_n$  or  $\prod A_n/\mathcal{U}$ , and denote by  $\Sigma \subset T(A)$  either conv $(\bigsqcup T(A_n))$  or  $\prod T(A_n)/\mathcal{U}$  accordingly. Suppose that the conclusion of the theorem is false for  $\Sigma \subset T(A)$ . Then, by the Hahn–Banach theorem, there are  $\tau$  in T(A) and a self-adjoint element  $a_0$ in A such that  $\gamma := \tau(a_0) - \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma(a_0) > 0$ . Let  $\alpha = (|\inf_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma(a_0)| - \tau(a_0)) \lor 0$ , and take  $b \in A_+$  such that  $\tau(b) = \alpha$  and  $||b|| < \alpha + \gamma$ . It follows that  $a = a_0 + b$  satisfies  $\sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} |\sigma(a)| < \tau(a)$ . Now, expand  $a \in A$  as  $(a_n)_n$ . We may assume that  $||a_n|| \leq ||a||$  for all n. Let  $I \in \mathcal{U}$  (or  $I = \mathbb{N}$  in case  $A = \prod A_n$ ) be such that  $\varepsilon_0 := \sup_{n \in I} \sup_{\sigma \in T(A_n)} \sigma(a_n) < \tau(a)$ . Let  $R \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that  $\varepsilon_1 := \varepsilon_0 + C ||a|| R^{-1/2} < \tau(a)$ . Then, by Theorem 6, for each  $n \in I$  there are  $b_n(r), c_n(r) \in A_n$  such that  $\sum_{r=1}^R ||b_n(r)|| ||c_n(r)|| \leq C ||a||$ and  $||a_n - \sum_{r=1}^R [b_n(r), c_n(r)]|| \leq \varepsilon_1$ . It follows that for  $b(r) = (b_n(r))_n$  and  $c(r) = (c_n(r))_n \in A$ , one has

$$\tau(a) = \tau(a - \sum_{r=1}^{R} [b(r), c(r)]) \le ||a - \sum_{r=1}^{R} [b(r), c(r)]|| < \tau(a),$$

which is a contradiction. This proves the first half of the theorem.

For the second half, let  $\tau$  and B be given. Take a dense sequence  $(x(i))_{i=0}^{\infty}$  in B and expand them as  $x(i) = (x_n(i))_n$ . By the first half, for every m, there is  $(\tau_n^{(m)})_n \in \prod T(A_n)$  such that  $|\tau(x(i)) - \tau_{\mathcal{U}}^{(m)}(x(i))| < m^{-1}$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, m$ . Let

$$I_m = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |\tau(x(i)) - \tau_n^{(m)}(x_n(i))| < m^{-1} \text{ for all } i = 0, \dots, m \} \in \mathcal{U}$$

(so  $I_0 = \mathbb{N}$ ), and  $J_m = \bigcap_{l=0}^m I_l \in \mathcal{U}$ . We define  $\tau_n$  to be  $\tau_n^{(m)}$  for  $n \in J_m \setminus J_{m+1}$ . It is not too hard to check  $\tau = \tau_{\mathcal{U}}$  on B.  $\Box$ 

In passing, we record the following fact.

LEMMA 9. Let A be a (non-separable) C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra and  $X \subset A$  be a separable subset. Then there is a separable C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebra  $B \subset A$  that contains X and such that the restriction from T(A) to T(B) is onto.

Proof. We may assume that A is unital. We first claim that for every  $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a separable C\*-subalgebra C which satisfies the following property: for every  $\tau \in T(C)$  there is  $\sigma \in T(A)$  such that  $\max_i |\tau(x_i) - \sigma(x_i)| < \varepsilon$ . Indeed if this were not true, then for every C there is  $\tau_C \in T(C)$  such that  $\max_i |\tau_C(x_i) - \sigma(x_i)| \ge \varepsilon$  for all  $\sigma \in T(A)$ . The set of separable  $C^*$ -subalgebras of A is upward directed and one can find a limit point  $\tau$  of  $\{\tau_C\}$ . Then, we arrive at a contradiction that  $\tau \in T(A)$ satisfies  $\max_i |\tau(x_i) - \sigma(x_i)| \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $\sigma \in T(A)$ . We next claim that for every separable C\*-subalgebra  $B_0 \subset A$ , there is a separable C\*-subalgebra  $B_1 \subset A$  that contains  $B_0$  and such that  $\operatorname{Res}_{B_0} T(B_1) = \operatorname{Res}_{B_0} T(A)$  in  $T(B_0)$ , where Res is the restriction map. Take a dense sequence  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ in  $B_0$ , and let  $C_0 = B_0$ . By the previous discussion, there is an increasing sequence of separable C\*-subalgebras  $C_0 \subset C_1 \subset \cdots$  such that for every  $\tau \in T(C_n)$  there is  $\sigma \in T(A)$  satisfying  $|\tau(x_i) - \sigma(x_i)| < n^{-1}$  for i = 11,..., n. Now, letting  $B_1 = \overline{\bigcup_n C_n}$  and we are done. Finally, we iterate this construction and obtain  $X \subset B_0 \subset B_1 \subset \cdots$  such that  $\operatorname{Res}_{B_n} T(B_{n+1}) =$  $\operatorname{Res}_{B_n} T(A)$ . The separable C\*-subalgebra  $B = \bigcup B_n$  satisfies the desired property.  $\Box$ 

Murphy ([Mu]) presents a non-separable example of a unital non-simple C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra with a unique faithful tracial state and asks whether a separable example of such exists. The above lemma answers it. There is another example, which is moreover nuclear. Kirchberg ([Ki]) proves that the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$  (or any other unital separable exact C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra) is a subquotient of the CAR algebra  $\mathbb{M}_{2^{\infty}}$ . Namely, there are C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebras J and B in  $\mathbb{M}_{2^{\infty}}$  such that J is hereditary in  $\mathbb{M}_{2^{\infty}}$  and is an ideal in B such that  $B/J = \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ . It follows that B is a unital separable nuclear non-simple C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra with a unique faithful tracial state.

## 4. Uniform 2-Norm and the Completion

Recall  $S \subset T(A)$ ,  $N = (\bigoplus_{\tau \in S} \pi_{\tau})(A)''$ , and the center-valued trace ctr:  $N \to \mathcal{Z}(N)$ . Since S is a closed face of T(A), any normal tracial state on N restricts to a tracial state on A which belongs to S. Hence, one has

$$||a||_{2,S} = \sup\{||a||_{2,\tau} : \tau \in S\} = \sup\{||a||_{2,\tau} : \tau \in \partial S\} = ||\operatorname{ctr}(a^*a)||^{1/2}.$$

Since S is a metrizable closed face of the Choquet simplex T(A), it is also a Choquet simplex and there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence

$$S \ni \tau \longleftrightarrow \mu_{\tau} \in \mathcal{M}^{1}_{+}(\partial S), \ \tau(a) = \int \lambda(a) \, d\mu_{\tau}(\lambda) \text{ for } a \in A.$$

By uniqueness of the representing measure  $\mu_{\tau}$ , this correspondence is an affine transformation and extends uniquely to a linear order isomorphism between their linear spans.

LEMMA 10. For every  $\tau \in S$ , there is a normal \*-isomorphism  $\theta_{\tau}$ :  $L^{\infty}(\partial S, \mu_{\tau}) \to \mathcal{Z}(\pi_{\tau}(A)'')$  such that

$$\tau(\theta_{\tau}(f)a) = \int f(\lambda)\lambda(a) \, d\mu_{\tau}(\lambda)$$

for  $a \in A$ .

PROOF. Let  $f \in L^{\infty}(\partial S, \mu_{\tau})$  be given. The right hand side of the claimed equality defines a tracial linear functional on A whose modulus is dominated by a scalar multiple of  $\tau$ . Hence, by Sakai's Radon–Nikodym theorem, there is a unique  $\theta_{\tau}(f) \in \mathcal{Z}(\pi_{\tau}(N))$  that satisfies the claimed equality. This defines a unital normal positive map  $\theta_{\tau}$  from  $L^{\infty}(\partial S, \mu_{\tau})$ into  $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_{\tau}(A)'')$ . Next, let  $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\pi_{\tau}(N))_{+}$  be given. Then, the tracial linear functional  $z\tau$  on A defined by  $(z\tau)(a) = \tau(az)$  is dominated by  $||z||\tau$ . Hence one has  $\mu_{z\tau} \leq ||z||\mu_{\tau}$  and  $z = \theta_{\tau}(d\mu_{z\tau}/d\mu_{\tau})$  with  $d\mu_{z\tau}/d\mu_{\tau} \in L^{\infty}(\partial S, \mu_{\tau})$ . This proves  $\theta_{\tau}$  is a positive linear isomorphism such that  $\mu_{\theta_{\tau}(f)\tau} = f\mu_{\tau}$ . Therefore, one has  $\mu_{\theta_{\tau}(fg)\tau} = fg\mu_{\tau} = f\mu_{\theta_{\tau}(g)\tau} = \mu_{\theta_{\tau}(f)\theta_{\tau}(g)\tau}$ , which proves  $\theta_{\tau}(fg) = \theta_{\tau}(f)\theta_{\tau}(g)$ .  $\Box$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. We first find the \*-homomorphism  $\theta$ :  $B(\partial S) \to \mathcal{Z}(N)$  that satisfies

$$\tau(\theta(f)a) = \int f(\lambda)\lambda(a) \, d\mu_{\tau}(\lambda)$$

for every  $a \in A$  and  $\tau \in S$ , or equivalently,  $\pi_{\tau}(\theta(f)) = \theta_{\tau}(f)$  in  $\pi_{\tau}(A)''$ . For this, it suffices to show that the maps  $\theta_{\tau}|_{B(\partial S)}$ , given in Lemma 10, are compatible over  $\tau \in S$ . We recall that associated with the representation  $\pi_{\tau}$ , there is a unique central projection  $p_{\tau} \in \mathcal{Z}(N)$  such that  $(1-p_{\tau})N = \ker \pi_{\tau}$ . Since  $p_{\tau} \vee p_{\sigma} = p_{(\tau+\sigma)/2}$ , the family  $\{p_{\tau} : \tau \in S\}$  is upward directed and  $\sup_{\tau} p_{\tau} = 1$ . We will show that if  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are such that  $\tau \leq C\sigma$  for some C > 1, then  $\theta_{\tau}(f) = p_{\tau}\theta_{\sigma}(f)$  in  $\mathcal{Z}(N)$ . We note that  $p_{\tau}$  is the support projection of  $d\tau/d\sigma \in \mathcal{Z}(N)$ . For every  $f \in B(\partial S)$ , one has

$$\sigma(\theta_{\sigma}(\frac{d\mu_{\tau}}{d\mu_{\sigma}}f)a) = \int (\frac{d\mu_{\tau}}{d\mu_{\sigma}}f)(\lambda)\lambda(a) d\mu_{\sigma}(\lambda)$$
$$= \int f(\lambda)\lambda(a) d\mu_{\tau}(\lambda)$$
$$= \tau(\theta_{\tau}(f)a)$$
$$= \sigma(\frac{d\tau}{d\sigma}\theta_{\tau}(f)a).$$

This implies  $\theta_{\sigma}(\frac{d\mu_{\tau}}{d\mu_{\sigma}}f) = \frac{d\tau}{d\sigma}\theta_{\tau}(f)$  for every f. In particular,  $\theta_{\sigma}(\frac{d\mu_{\tau}}{d\mu_{\sigma}}) = \frac{d\tau}{d\sigma}$ and  $p_{\tau}\theta_{\sigma}(f) = \theta_{\tau}(f)$  in  $\mathcal{Z}(N)$ . Therefore, we may glue  $\{\theta_{\tau}\}_{\tau\in S}$  together and obtain a globally defined \*-homomorphism  $\theta: B(\partial S) \to \mathcal{Z}(N)$ . Since  $\tau(\theta(\hat{a})) = \int \hat{a}(\lambda) d\mu_{\tau}(\lambda) = \tau(a)$  for every  $\tau \in S$ , one has  $\theta(\hat{a}) = \operatorname{ctr}(a)$  for every  $a \in A$ . This proves the first part of the theorem.

For the second part, it suffices to prove

$$\overline{A}^{\mathrm{st}} \supset \{x \in N : \operatorname{ctr}(xA) \subset \mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(\partial S), \ \operatorname{ctr}(x^*x) \in \mathcal{A}\mathrm{ff}(\partial S)\},\$$

as the converse inclusion is trivial. Take x from the set in the right hand side. We will prove a stronger assertion that if a net  $(b_j)_j$  in A converges to x ultrastrongly in N, then x is contained in the strict closure of the convex hull of  $\{b_j : j\}$ . We note that  $\operatorname{Aff}(S) \ni f \mapsto f|_{\partial S} \in \operatorname{Aff}(\partial S)$  is an affine order isomorphism and that every positive norm-one linear functional  $\mu$  on  $\operatorname{Aff}(S)$  is given by the evaluation at a point  $\tau_{\mu} \in S$ . (Indeed by the Hahn–Banach theorem, we may regard  $\mu$  as a state on C(S), which is a probability measure on S by the Riesz–Markov theorem. The point  $\tau_{\mu} = \int \lambda d\mu(\lambda)$  satisfies  $f(\tau_{\mu}) = \mu(f)$  for  $f \in \operatorname{Aff}(S)$ .) Thus, one has  $\operatorname{ctr}((b_j - x)^*(b_j - x)) \to 0$  weakly in  $\operatorname{Aff}(\partial S)$ . Therefore, by the Hahn– Banach theorem, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a finite sequence  $\alpha_j \ge 0$ ,  $\sum \alpha_j = 1$  such that  $\|\sum_j \alpha_j \operatorname{ctr}((b_j - x)^*(b_j - x))\| < \varepsilon$ . By reindexing, we assume  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ . Let  $b = \sum \alpha_j b_j$ . We note that

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^{1/2} & \cdots & \alpha_m^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^{1/2} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m^{1/2} b_m \end{bmatrix} =: rc.$$

Hence,  $b^*b = c^*r^*rc \le ||r||^2c^*c = \sum \alpha_j b_j^*b_j$ . It follows that

$$\operatorname{ctr}((b-x)^*(b-x)) = \operatorname{ctr}(b^*b - b^*x - x^*b + x^*x)$$
  

$$\leq \operatorname{ctr}(\sum \alpha_j b_j^* b_j - \sum \alpha_j b_j^* x - x^* \sum \alpha_j b_j + x^*x)$$
  

$$= \operatorname{ctr}(\sum \alpha_j (b_j - x)^* (b_j - x))$$
  

$$< \varepsilon.$$

This proves the claimed inclusion. The last assertion will be proved in more general setting as Theorem 11.  $\Box$ 

# 5. Continuous W\*-Bundles

Let K be a metrizable compact Hausdorff topological space. We call M a (tracial) continuous  $W^*$ -bundle over K if the following axiom hold:

- (1) There is a unital positive faithful tracial map  $E: M \to C(K)$ .
- (2) The closed unit ball of M is complete with respect to the uniform 2-norm

$$||x||_{2,\mathbf{u}} = ||E(x^*x)^{1/2}||.$$

(3) C(K) is contained in the center of M and E is a conditional expectation.

In case M satisfies only conditions (1) and (2), we say it is a continuous quasi-W<sup>\*</sup>-bundle. If we denote by  $\pi_E$  the GNS representation of M on the Hilbert C(K)-module  $L^2(M, E)$ , condition (2) is equivalent to that  $\pi_E(M)$ is strictly closed in  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(M, E))$ . For each point  $\lambda \in K$ , we denote by  $\pi_{\lambda}$  the GNS representation for the tracial state  $\tau_{\lambda} := \operatorname{ev}_{\lambda} \circ E$ , and also

 $||x||_{2,\lambda} = \tau_{\lambda}(x^*x)^{1/2}$ . We call each  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)$  a fiber of M. A caveat is in order: the system  $(M, K, \pi_{\lambda}(M))$  need not be a continuous C\*-bundle because ker  $\pi_{\lambda}$  may not coincide with  $C_0(K \setminus {\lambda})M$ —rather it coincides with the strict closure of that. In particular, for  $x \in M$ , the map  $\lambda \mapsto ||\pi_{\lambda}(x)||$  need not be upper semi-continuous (but it is lower semi-continuous). The strict completion  $\overline{A}^{\text{st}}$  studied in Section 4 is a continuous quasi-W\*-bundle over S, and by Theorem 3, it is a continuous W\*-bundle over  $\partial S$  if  $\partial S$  is closed in S. Conversely, if each fiber  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)$  is a factor, then K can be viewed as a closed subset of the extreme boundary of T(M) and hence the closed convex hull S of K is a metrizable closed face of T(M) such that  $\partial S = K$ .

THEOREM 11. Let M be a continuous W\*-bundle over K. Then,  $\pi_{\lambda}(M) = \pi_{\lambda}(M)''$  for every  $\lambda \in K$ . Moreover, if a bounded function  $f: K \ni \lambda \mapsto f(\lambda) \in \pi_{\lambda}(M)$  is continuous in the following sense: for every  $\lambda_0 \in K$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are a neighborhood O of  $\lambda_0$  and  $c \in M$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in O} \|\pi_{\lambda}(c) - f(\lambda)\|_{2,\lambda} < \varepsilon;$$

then there is  $a \in M$  such that  $\pi_{\lambda}(a) = f(\lambda)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\lambda \in K$  be given. By Pedersen's up-down theorem (Theorem 2.4.4 in [Pe]), it suffices to show that  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)$  is closed in  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)''$ under monotone sequential limits. Let  $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be an increasing sequence in  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)_+$  such that  $x_n \nearrow x$  in  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)''$ . We may assume that  $||x_n - x||_{2,\lambda} < 2^{-n}$ . We lift  $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  to an increasing sequence  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in M such that  $a_n \leq ||x|| + 1$ . Let  $b_n = a_n - a_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\tau_{\lambda}(b_n^*b_n) < 4^{-n+2}$ , there is  $f_n \in C(K)_+$  such that  $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$ ,  $f_n(\lambda) = 1$ , and  $||E(b_n^*b_n)f_n^2|| \leq 4^{-n+2}$ . It follows that the series  $a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n f_n$  is convergent in the uniform 2-norm. Moreover, since  $a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k f_k \leq a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k = a_n \leq ||x|| + 1$ , the series is norm bounded. Therefore, the series converges in M, by the completeness of the closed unit ball of M. The limit point a satisfies  $\pi_{\lambda}(a) = x$ .

We prove the second half. Let us fix n for a while. For each  $\lambda$ , there is  $b_{\lambda} \in M$  such that  $||b_{\lambda}|| \leq ||f(\lambda)||$  and  $\pi_{\lambda}(b_{\lambda}) = f(\lambda)$ . By continuity, there is a neighborhood  $O_{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$  such that  $||\pi_{\tau}(b_{\lambda}) - f(\tau)||_{2,\tau} < n^{-1}$  for  $\tau \in O_{\lambda}$ . Since K is compact, it is covered by a finite family  $\{O_{\lambda_i}\}$ . Let  $g_i \in C(K) \subset \mathcal{Z}(M)$  be a partition of unity subordinated by it. Then,  $a_n :=$  $\sum_i g_i b_{\lambda_i} \in M$  satisfies  $||a_n|| \leq ||f||_{\infty}$  and  $\sup_{\tau} ||\pi_{\tau}(a_n) - f(\tau)||_{2,\tau} < n^{-1}$ . It follows that  $(a_n)$  is a norm bounded and Cauchy in the uniform 2-norm. Hence it converges to  $a \in M$  such that  $\pi_{\lambda}(a) = f(\lambda)$  for every  $\lambda \in K$ .  $\Box$ 

The following is a W\*-analogue of the result for C\*-algebras in [HRW], and is essentially the same as Proposition 7.7 in [KR].

COROLLARY 12. Let M be a continuous  $W^*$ -bundle over K. Assume that each fiber  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)$  has the McDuff property and that K has finite covering dimension. Then, for every k, there is an approximately central approximately multiplicative embedding of  $\mathbb{M}_k$  into M, namely a net of unital completely positive maps  $\varphi_n \colon \mathbb{M}_k \to M$  such that  $\limsup_n \|\varphi_n(xy) - \varphi_n(x)\varphi_n(y)\|_{2,u} = 0$  and  $\limsup_n \|[\varphi_n(x), a]\|_{2,u} = 0$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_k$ and  $a \in M$ .

PROOF. The proof is particularly easy when K is zero-dimensional: Since  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)$  is McDuff, there is an approximately central embedding of  $\mathbb{M}_k$ into  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)$ . We lift it to a unital completely positive map  $\psi_{\lambda} \colon \mathbb{M}_k \to M$ . It is almost multiplicative on a neighborhood  $O_{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$ . Since K is compact and zero-dimensional, there is a partition of K into finitely many clopen subsets  $\{V_i\}$  such that  $V_i \subset O_{\lambda_i}$ . By Theorem 11, one can define  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{M}_k \to M$  by the relation  $\pi_{\lambda} \circ \varphi = \pi_{\lambda} \circ \psi_{\lambda_i}$  for  $\lambda \in V_i$ . The case  $0 < \dim K < +\infty$  is more complicated but follows from a standard argument involving orderzero maps. See Section 7 in [KR] (or [Sa2, TWW]) for the detail.  $\Box$ 

Every separable hyperfinite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state has a trace preserving embedding into the separable hyperfinite II<sub>1</sub> factor  $\mathcal{R}$ . We consider coordinatization of such embeddings for strictly separable fiberwise hyperfinite continuous quasi-W<sup>\*</sup>-bundle. We define the C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra  $C_{\sigma}(K,\mathcal{R})$  to be the subalgebra of  $\ell_{\infty}(K,\mathcal{R})$  which consists of those norm-bounded functions  $f: K \to \mathcal{R}$  that are continuous from K into  $L^2(\mathcal{R}, \tau_{\mathcal{R}})$ .

THEOREM 13. Let M be a strictly separable continuous quasi-W<sup>\*</sup>bundle over K such that  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)''$  is hyperfinite for every  $\lambda \in K$ . Then, there are an embedding  $\theta \colon M \hookrightarrow C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$  and embeddings  $\iota_{\lambda} \colon \pi_{\lambda}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that  $\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda} \circ \theta = \iota_{\lambda} \circ \pi_{\lambda}$ . If M is moreover a continuous W<sup>\*</sup>-bundle, then one has

$$\theta(M) = \{ f \in C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R}) : f(\lambda) \in (\iota_{\lambda} \circ \pi_{\lambda})(M)'' \}.$$

Recall the fact that if  $(A, \tau)$  is a separable hyperfinite von Neumann algebra with a distinguished tracial state, then a trace-preserving embedding of A into the tracial ultrapower  $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}$  of the hyperfinite II<sub>1</sub> factor is unique up to unitary conjugacy (see [Ju]). For every *n*-tuples  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in P$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in Q$  in hyperfinite II<sub>1</sub> factors P and Q, we define

$$d(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \inf_{\pi, \rho} \max_i \|\pi(x_i) - \rho(y_i)\|_2,$$

where the infimum runs over all trace-preserving embeddings of P and Qinto  $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}$ . Then, d is a pseudo-metric and it depends on  $(W^*(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}), \tau)$ , i.e., the joint distribution of  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  with respect to  $\tau_P$ , rather than the specific embedding of  $W^*(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\})$  into P. Once \*-isomorphisms  $P \cong Q \cong \mathcal{R}$  are fixed, P and Q are embedded into  $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}$  as constant sequences and

$$d(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}^\omega)} \max_i \|\operatorname{Ad}_U(x_i) - y_i\|_2.$$

It follows that

$$d(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{y_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \inf_{\pi} \max_i \|\pi(x_i) - y_i\|_2,$$

where infimum runs over all trace-preserving \*-homomorphisms  $\pi$  from  $W^*(\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\})$  into Q, or over all \*-isomorphisms  $\pi$  from P onto Q. If M is a continuous quasi-W\*-bundle, then for every  $a_1,\ldots,a_n \in M$ , the map

$$K \ni \lambda \mapsto \{\pi_\lambda(a_i)\}_{i=1}^n$$

is continuous with respect to d.

LEMMA 14. Let  $N = C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$  or any other continuous  $W^*$ -bundle over K such that  $\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(N) \cong \mathcal{R}$  for every  $\lambda \in K$  and such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an approximately central approximately multiplicative embedding of  $\mathbb{M}_k$  into N. Let M be a continuous quasi- $W^*$ -bundle over K such that  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)''$  is hyperfinite for every  $\lambda \in K$ , and let  $F_0 \subset F_1$  be finite subsets in the unit ball of M and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Assume that there is a map  $\theta_0$  from  $F_0$  into the unit ball of N such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in K} d(\{\pi_{\lambda}(a)\}_{a \in F_0}, \{\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(\theta_0(a))\}_{a \in F_0}) < \varepsilon.$$

Then, for every  $\delta > 0$ , there is a map  $\theta_1$  from  $F_1$  into the unit ball of N such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in K} d(\{\pi_{\lambda}(a)\}_{a \in F_1}, \{\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(\theta_1(a))\}_{a \in F_1}) < \delta$$

and

$$\max_{a\in F_0} \|\theta_1(a) - \theta_0(a)\|_{2,\mathrm{u}} < \varepsilon.$$

Here the symbol  $ev_{\lambda}$ , instead of  $\pi_{\lambda}$ , is used for the N side to make a distinction from the M side.

PROOF. For each  $\lambda$ , there is a trace-preserving embedding  $\rho_{\lambda}$ :  $\pi_{\lambda}(M) \to \text{ev}_{\lambda}(N)$ . By the remarks preceding this lemma, we may assume that

$$\max_{a\in F_0} \|\rho_{\lambda}(\pi_{\lambda}(a)) - \operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(\theta_0(a))\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

For each  $a \in F_1$ , we lift  $(\rho_{\lambda} \circ \pi_{\lambda})(a) \in ev_{\lambda}(N)$  to  $a^{\lambda} \in N$  with  $||a^{\lambda}|| \leq 1$ . There is a neighborhood  $O_{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$  such that  $\tau \in O_{\lambda}$  implies

$$d(\{\pi_{\tau}(a)\}_{a\in F_1}, \{ev_{\tau}(a^{\lambda})\}_{a\in F_1}) < \delta$$

and

$$\max_{a\in F_0} \|\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(a^{\lambda}) - \operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(\theta_0(a))\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

By compactness, K is covered by a finite family  $\{O_{\lambda_j}\}$ . Take a partition of unity  $g_j \in C(K)$  subordinated by  $\{O_{\lambda_j}\}$ . Let  $h_0 = 0$  and  $h_j = \sum_{i=1}^j g_i$ . For each k, take an approximately central approximately multiplicative embedding  $\varphi_{k,n}$  of  $\mathbb{M}_k$  into N. Since the closed unit ball of  $\mathbb{M}_k$  is norm-compact, one has

$$\forall a \in N \quad \limsup_{n} \sup \{ \| [\varphi_{k,n}(x), a] \|_{2,u} : x \in \mathbb{M}_k, \| x \| \le 1 \} = 0.$$

For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we define  $p_t \in \mathbb{M}_k$  to be diag $(1, \ldots, 1, t - \lfloor t \rfloor, 0, \ldots, 0)$ , with 1s in the first  $\lfloor t \rfloor$  diagonal entries,  $t - \lfloor t \rfloor$  in the  $(\lfloor t \rfloor + 1)$ -th entry, and 0s

in the rest. It follows that  $t \mapsto p_t$  is continuous,  $0 \le p_t \le 1$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(p_t) = t$ , and  $\tau(p_t - p_t^2) \le (4k)^{-1}$ . We write  $p_{[s,t]} = p_t - p_s$ . With the help of Theorem 11, we define  $f_{k,n,j} \in N$  to be the element such that

$$\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(f_{k,n,j}) = \operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(\varphi_{k,n}(p_{[h_{j-1}(\lambda),h_j(\lambda)]})).$$

For  $a \in F_1$ , we define  $\theta_1^{k,n}(a) \in N$  by  $\theta_1^{k,n}(a) = \sum_j f_{k,n,j}^{1/2} a^{\lambda_j} f_{k,n,j}^{1/2}$ . Since  $F'_1 := F_1 \cup \{a^{\lambda_j} : a \in F_1, j\}$  is finite, it is not too hard to see

$$\limsup_{k} \sup_{n} \sup_{a \in F_0} \max_{0} \|\theta_1^{k,n}(a) - \theta_0(a)\|_{2,\mathbf{u}} < \varepsilon.$$

It remains to estimate

$$d(\{\pi_{\tau}(a)\}_{a\in F_1}, \{\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(\theta_1^{k,n}(a))\}_{a\in F_1}).$$

Let k be fixed for the moment. Since  $(\varphi_{k,n})_n$  is approximately multiplicative, there are unital \*-homomorphisms  $\psi_{k,n}^{\tau} \colon \mathbb{M}_k \to ev_{\tau}(N)$  such that

$$\limsup_{n} \sup_{\tau} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{M}_{k}, \|x\| \le 1} \|\operatorname{ev}_{\tau} \circ \varphi_{k,n}(x) - \psi_{k,n}^{\tau}(x)\|_{2} = 0.$$

Let  $E_{k,n}^{\tau}$  be the trace-preserving conditional expectation from  $\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(N)$  onto the relative commutant  $\psi_{k,n}^{\tau}(\mathbb{M}_k)' \cap \operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(N)$ , which is given by  $E_{k,n}^{\tau}(b) =$  $|G|^{-1} \sum_{u \in G} \psi_{k,n}^{\tau}(u) b \psi_{k,n}^{\tau}(u)^*$  for the group G of permutation matrices in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{M}_k)$ . It follows that

$$\limsup_{n} \sup_{\tau} \sup_{\tau} \|\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(b) - E_{k,n}^{\tau}(\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(b))\|_{2} = 0$$

for every  $b \in N$ . This implies

$$\limsup_{n} \sup_{j, \tau \in O_{\lambda_{j}}} \sup_{d(\{\pi_{\tau}(a)\}_{a \in F_{1}}, \{E_{k,n}^{\tau}(\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(a^{\lambda_{j}}))\}_{a \in F_{1}}) < \delta,$$

$$\limsup_{n} \sup_{j, \tau \in O_{\lambda_j}} d(\{\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(a^{\lambda_j})\}_{a \in F_1}, \{E_{k,n}^{\tau}(\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(a^{\lambda_j}))\}_{a \in F_1}) = 0,$$

and

$$\limsup_{n} \sup_{j,\tau \in O_{\lambda_j}} d(\{\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(\theta_1^{k,n}(a))\}_{a \in F_1}, \\ \{\sum_{j} \psi_{k,n}^{\tau}(p_{[h_{j-1}(\lambda),h_j(\lambda)]}) E_{k,n}^{\tau}(\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(a^{\lambda_j}))\}_{a \in F_1}) = 0.$$

If we view  $\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(N) = \mathbb{M}_{k}(\psi_{k,n}^{\tau}(\mathbb{M}_{k})' \cap \operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(N))$ , then  $a' = E_{k,n}^{\tau}(\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(a))$  looks like diag $(a', a', \ldots, a')$ , and  $\psi_{k,n}^{\tau}(p_{t})$  looks like diag $(1, \ldots, 1, t - \lfloor t \rfloor, 0 \ldots, 0)$ . Hence, one has

$$\sup_{\tau} d(\{\pi_{\tau}(a)\}_{a \in F_{1}}, \{\sum_{j} \psi_{k,n}^{\tau}(p_{[h_{j-1}(\lambda),h_{j}(\lambda)]}) E_{k,n}^{\tau}(\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(a^{\lambda_{j}}))\}_{a \in F_{1}})^{2} < \frac{2|\{O_{\lambda_{j}}\}|}{k} + \sum_{j} g_{j}(\tau) d(\{\pi_{\tau}(a)\}_{a \in F_{1}}, \{E_{k,n}^{\tau}(\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(a^{\lambda_{j}}))\}_{a \in F_{1}})^{2}.$$

Altogether, one has

$$\limsup_{k} \limsup_{n} \sup_{\tau} \sup_{\tau} d(\{\pi_{\tau}(a)\}_{a \in F_1}, \{\operatorname{ev}_{\tau}(\theta_1^{k,n}(a))\}_{a \in F_1}) < \delta.$$

Therefore, for some k, n, the map  $\theta_1 = \theta_1^{k,n}$  satisfies the desired properties.  $\Box$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 13. Let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a strictly dense sequence in the unit ball of M. We use Lemma 14 recursively and obtain sequences  $(\{\theta_n(a_i)\}_{i=1}^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda} d(\{ ev_{\lambda}(\theta_n(a_i)) \}_{i=1}^n, \{ \pi_{\lambda}(a_i) \}_{i=1}^n) < 2^{-n}$$

and

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,n-1} \|\theta_n(a_i) - \theta_{n-1}(a_i)\|_{2,\mathbf{u}} < 2^{-(n-1)}.$$

Then, each sequence  $(\theta_n(a_i))_{n=i}^{\infty}$  converges to an element  $\theta(a_i) \in C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$ . The map  $\theta$  extends to a \*-homomorphism from M into  $C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$ , and  $ev_{\lambda} \circ \theta$  factors through  $\pi_{\lambda}$ . This proves the first assertion. The second follows from Theorem 11.  $\Box$ 

We give a criterion for a continuous  $\mathcal{R}$ -bundle to be a trivial bundle.

THEOREM 15. Let M be a strictly separable continuous W<sup>\*</sup>-bundle over K such that  $\pi_{\lambda}(M) \cong \mathcal{R}$  for every  $\lambda \in K$ . Then, the following are equivalent.

(i)  $M \cong C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$  as a continuous W<sup>\*</sup>-bundle.

- (ii) There is a sequence  $(p_n)_n$  in M such that  $0 \le p_n \le 1$ ,  $||p_n p_n^2||_{2,u} \to 0$ ,  $||E(p_n) - 1/2|| \to 0$ , and  $||[p_n, a]||_{2,u} \to 0$  for all  $a \in M$ .
- (iii) For every k, there is an approximately central approximately multiplicative embedding of  $M_k$  into M.

PROOF. The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious. For (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii), we we observe that since  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)$ 's are all factors, the central sequence  $(p_n)_n$  satisfies  $||E(p_na) - E(p_n)E(a)|| \rightarrow 0$  for every  $a \in M$ . Indeed, let  $a \in M$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By the Dixmier approximation theorem and the proof of Theorem 3, there are  $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \mathcal{U}(M)$  such that  $||E(a) - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k u_i a u_i^*||_{2,\mathbf{u}} < \varepsilon$ . It follows that

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|E(p_n)E(a) - E(p_n a)\| &= \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|E(p_n E(a)) - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k E(u_i p_n a u_i^*)\| \\ &= \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|E(p_n (E(a) - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k u_i a u_i^*))\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary. For a given finite sequence  $(p_n)_{n=1}^m$ ,  $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$ , and  $\nu \in \{0,1\}^m$ , we define  $q_\nu \in M$  by

$$q_{\nu} = r_1^{1/2} \cdots r_{m-1}^{1/2} r_m r_{m-1}^{1/2} \cdots r_1^{1/2} \in M,$$

where  $r_i = p_i$  or  $1 - p_i$  depending on  $\nu(i) \in \{0, 1\}$ . We note that  $q_{\nu} \ge 0$ and  $\sum q_{\nu} = 1$ . By choosing  $(p_n)_{n=1}^m$  appropriately, we obtain an approximately central approximately multiplicative embedding of  $\ell_{\infty}(\{0, 1\}^m)$  into M. Now, condition (iii) follows by choosing at the local level approximately central approximately multiplicative embeddings of  $\mathbb{M}_k$  into  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)$  and glue them together, as in the proof of Lemma 14, by an approximately central approximately projective partition of unity.

The proof of (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is similar to that of Theorem 13. Let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (resp.  $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ) be a strictly dense sequence in the unit ball of M (resp.  $C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$ ). We recursively construct finite subsets  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots$  of M and maps  $\theta_n \colon F_n \to C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$  such that  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \subset F_n$ ,

$$\sup_{\lambda} d(\{\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(\theta_n(a))\}_{a \in F_n}, \{\pi_{\lambda}(a)\}_{a \in F_n}) < 2^{-n},$$

$$\max_{a \in F_{n-1}} \|\theta_n(a) - \theta_{n-1}(a)\|_{2,\mathbf{u}} < 2^{-(n-1)},$$

and  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\} \subset \theta_n(F_n)$ . Let  $F_0 = \emptyset$  and suppose that we have constructed up to n-1. Let  $F'_n = F_{n-1} \cup \{a_n\}$ . We use Lemma 14 and obtain a map  $\theta'_n \colon F'_n \to C_\sigma(K, \mathcal{R})$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda} d(\{\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(\theta_{n}'(a))\}_{a \in F_{n}'}, \{\pi_{\lambda}(a)\}_{a \in F_{n}'}) < 2^{-(n+1)}$$

and

$$\max_{a \in F_{n-1}} \|\theta'_n(a) - \theta_{n-1}(a)\|_{2,\mathbf{u}} < 2^{-(n-1)}.$$

We may assume that  $\theta'_n$  is injective and  $\theta'_n(F'_n)$  does not contain any of  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ . We use Lemma 14 again but this time to  $\theta'_n(F'_n) \subset \tilde{F} := \theta'_n(F'_n) \cup \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$  and  $(\theta'_n)^{-1}$ . Then, there is  $\psi : \tilde{F} \to M$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda} d(\{\pi_{\lambda}(\psi(b))\}_{b\in\tilde{F}}, \{\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(b)\}_{b\in\tilde{F}}) < 2^{-(n+1)}$$

and

$$\max_{a \in F'_n} \|a - \psi(\theta'_n(a))\|_{2,\mathbf{u}} < 2^{-(n+1)}.$$

Now, we set  $F_n = F'_n \cup \{\psi(b_1), \dots, \psi(b_n)\}$  (which can be assumed to be a disjoint union) and define  $\theta_n \colon F_n \to C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$  by  $\theta_n = \theta'_n$  on  $F'_n$  and  $\theta_n(\psi(b_i)) = b_i$ . One has

$$\sup_{\lambda} d(\{\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(\theta_{n}(a))\}_{a \in F_{n}}, \{\pi_{\lambda}(a)\}_{a \in F_{n}}) \\
\leq \sup_{\lambda} (d(\{\operatorname{ev}_{\lambda}(b)\}_{b \in \tilde{F}}, \{\pi_{\lambda}(\psi(b))\}_{b \in \tilde{F}}) + \max_{a \in F_{n}'} \|\pi_{\lambda}(\psi(\theta_{n}'(a))) - \pi_{\lambda}(a)\|_{2}) \\
< 2^{-n}$$

as desired. By taking the limit of  $(\theta_n)_n$ , one obtains a \*-isomorphism  $\theta$  from M onto  $C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$ .  $\Box$ 

By combining Corollary 12 and Theorem 15, one obtains the following  $W^*$ -analogue of Theorem 1.1 in [DW]. This also implies Theorem 4. It is unclear whether the finite-dimensionality assumption is essential.

COROLLARY 16. Let M be a strictly separable continuous  $W^*$ -bundle over K. If every fiber  $\pi_{\lambda}(M)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}$  and K has finite covering dimension, then  $M \cong C_{\sigma}(K, \mathcal{R})$  as a continuous  $W^*$ -bundle.

#### References

- [Al] Alfsen, E. M., Compact convex sets and boundary integrals, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 57. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1971.
- [BF] Bice, T. and I. Farah, Traces, ultrapowers and the Pedersen–Petersen C\*-algebras, Preprint. arXiv:1307.0111.
- [Co] Connes, A., On the cohomology of operator algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 28 (1978), 248–253.
- [CP] Cuntz, J. and G. K. Pedersen, Equivalence and traces on C\*-algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 33 (1979), 135–164.
- [DW] Dadarlat, M. and W. Winter, Trivialization of C(X)-algebras with strongly self-absorbing fibres, Bull. Soc. Math. France **136** (2008), 575–606.
- [Di] Dixmier, J., Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (algèbres de von Neumann), Cahiers Scientifiques, Fasc. XXV. Gauthier-Villars Éditeur, Paris, 1969.
- [Fa] Fack, T., Finite sums of commutators in C\*-algebras, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **32** (1982), 129–137.
- [FH] Fack, Th. and P. de la Harpe, Sommes de commutateurs dans les algèbres de von Neumann finies continues, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 30 (1980), 49–73.
- [Fe] Fell, J. M. G., The structure of algebras of operator fields, Acta Math. 106 (1961), 233–280.
- [Ha1] Haagerup, U., All nuclear C\*-algebras are amenable, Invent. Math. 74 (1983), 305–319.
- [Ha2] Haagerup, U., A new proof of the equivalence of injectivity and hyperfiniteness for factors on a separable Hilbert space, J. Funct. Anal. 62 (1985), 160–201.
- [HT] Haagerup, U. and S. Thorbjørnsen, A new application of random matrices:  $\text{Ext}(C^*_{\text{red}}(F_2))$  is not a group, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), 711–775.
- [HZ] Haagerup, U. and L. Zsido, Sur la propriété de Dixmier pour les C<sup>\*</sup>algèbres, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **298** (1984), 173–176.

- [Hal] Halpern, H., Essential central spectrum and range for elements of a von Neumann algebra, Pacific J. Math. **43** (1972), 349–380.
- [HRW] Hirshberg, I., Rørdam, M. and W. Winter,  $C_0(X)$ -algebras, stability and strongly self-absorbing C\*-algebras, Math. Ann. **339** (2007), 695–732.
- [JS] Jiang, X. and H. Su, On a simple unital projectionless C\*-algebra, Amer.
   J. Math. 121 (1999), 359–413.
- [Jo] Johnson, B. E., Symmetric amenability and the nonexistence of Lie and Jordan derivations, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **120** (1996), 455–473.
- [Ju] Jung, K., Amenability, tubularity, and embeddings into  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ , Math. Ann. **338** (2007), 241–248.
- [Ki] Kirchberg, E., On subalgebras of the CAR-algebra, J. Funct. Anal. 129 (1995), 35–63.
- [KR] Kirchberg, E. and M. Rørdam, Central sequence C\*-algebras and tensorial absorption of the Jiang-Su algebra, J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear. arXiv:1209.5311.
- [Ma] Marcoux, L. W., Sums of small number of commutators, J. Operator Theory **56** (2006), 111–142.
- [MS] Matui, H. and Y. Sato, Strict comparison and Z-absorption of nuclear C\*-algebras, Acta Math. **209** (2012), 179–196.
- [Mu] Murphy, G. J., Uniqueness of the trace and simplicity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 3563–3570.
- [Pe] Pedersen, G. K., C\*-algebras and their automorphism groups, London Mathematical Society Monographs, 14. Academic Press, Inc. London-New York, 1979. ix+416 pp.
- [PP] Pedersen, G. K. and N. H. Petersen, Ideals in a C\*-algebra, Math. Scand. 27 (1970), 193–204.
- [Po] Pop, C., Finite sums of commutators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 3039–3041.
- [Rø] Rørdam, M., The stable and the real rank of Z-absorbing C\*-algebras, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), 1065–1084.
- [Sa1] Sato, Y., Discrete amenable group actions on von Neumann algebras and invariant nuclear C<sup>\*</sup>-subalgebras, Preprint. arXiv:1104.4339.
- [Sa2] Sato, Y., Trace spaces of simple nuclear C\*-algebras with finite-dimensional extreme boundary, Preprint. arXiv:1209.3000.
- [TT] Tomiyama, J. and M. Takesaki, Applications of fibre bundles to the certain class of C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **13** (1961), 498–522.
- [TWW] Toms, A., White, S. and W. Winter, *Z*-stability and finite dimensional tracial boundaries, Preprint. arXiv:1209.3292.

[VDN] Voiculescu, D. V., Dykema, K. J. and A. Nica, Free random variables, CRM Monograph Series, 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. vi+70 pp.

(Received April 24, 2013)

RIMS, Kyoto University 606-8502 Kyoto, Japan E-mail: narutaka@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp