# On Isolated Log Canonical Singularities with Index One 

By Osamu Fujino

Dedicated to Professor Shihoko Ishii on the occasion of her sixtieth birthday


#### Abstract

We give a method to investigate isolated log canonical singularities with index one which are not log terminal. Our method depends on the minimal model program. One of the main purposes is to show that our invariant coincides with Ishii's Hodge theoretic invariant.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $P \in X$ be an $n$-dimensional isolated $\log$ canonical singularity with index one which is not $\log$ terminal. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective resolution such that $f$ is an isomorphism outside $P$ and that $\operatorname{Supp} f^{-1}(P)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on $Y$. Then we can write

$$
K_{Y}=f^{*} K_{X}+F-E
$$
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where $E$ and $F$ are effective Cartier divisors and have no common irreducible components. The divisor $E$ is sometimes called the essential divisor for $f$ (see [I2, Definition 7.4.3] and [I4, Definition 2.5]).

In [I1, Propositions 1.4 and 3.7], Shihoko Ishii proves

$$
R^{n-1} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \simeq H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}
$$

For details, see [I2, Propositions 5.3.11, 5.3.12, 7.1.13, 7.4.4, and Theorem 7.1.17]. In this paper, we prove that

$$
R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \simeq H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)
$$

for every $i>0$ (cf. Proposition 4.7) and that

$$
R^{n-1} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \simeq \mathbb{C}(P)
$$

(cf. Remark 4.8). Our proof depends on the minimal model theory and is different from Ishii's.

By Shihoko Ishii, the singularity $P \in X$ is said to be of type $(0, i)$ if

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{C} & \text { if } k=i \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $W$ is the weight filtration of the mixed Hodge structure on $H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C})$. Note that $E$ is a projective connected simple normal crossing variety. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} \operatorname{Gr}_{F}^{0} H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C}) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{F}^{0} \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F$ is the Hodge filtration. We also note that the type of $P \in X$ is independent of the choice of a resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ by [I1, Proposition 4.2] (see also [I2, Proposition 7.4.6]).

On the other hand, we define $\mu(P \in X)$ by

$$
\mu=\mu(P \in X)=\min \{\operatorname{dim} W \mid W \text { is a stratum of } E\}
$$

(see [F2, Definition 4.12]). We prove that $P \in X$ is of type $(0, \mu)$, that is, Ishii's Hodge theoretic invariant coincides with our invariant $\mu$ (cf. Theorem 5.5). It was first obtained by Shihoko Ishii in [I3].

By our method based on the minimal model program, we can prove the following properties of $E$. Let $E=\sum_{i} E_{i}$ be the irreducible decomposition. Then $\left.\sum_{i \neq i_{0}} E_{i}\right|_{E_{i_{0}}}$ has at most two connected components for every irreducible component $E_{i_{0}}$ of $E$ (cf. Remark 4.10). Let $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ be any two minimal strata of $E$. Then $W_{1}$ is birationally equivalent to $W_{2}$ (cf. 4.11 and Remark 4.10). These results seem to be out of reach by the Hodge theoretic method.

Let $\Gamma$ be the dual complex of $E$ and let $|\Gamma|$ be the topological realization of $\Gamma$. Then the dimension of $|\Gamma|$ is $n-1-\mu$ by the definition of $\mu$.

From now on, we assume that $\mu(P \in X)=0$. In this case, we can prove that

$$
H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \simeq H^{i}(|\Gamma|, \mathbb{C})
$$

for every $i$. Therefore, $P \in X$ is Cohen-Macaulay, equivalently, Gorenstein, if and only if

$$
H^{i}(|\Gamma|, \mathbb{C})= \begin{cases}\mathbb{C} & \text { if } \quad i=0, n-1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It is Theorem 4.12.
Anyway, by this paper, our approach based on the minimal model program (cf. [F2]) becomes compatible with Ishii's Hodge theoretic method in [I1], [I2], and [I4]. Our approach is more geometric than Ishii's. From our point of view, the main result of [IW] becomes almost obvious. We note that we do not use the notion of $D u$ Bois singularities, which is one of the main ingredients of Ishii's Hodge theoretic approach.

We summarize the contents of this paper. Section 2 is a preliminary section. In Section 2.1, we give a criterion of Cohen-Macaulayness. In Section 2.2, we investigate basic properties of dlt pairs. In Section 2.3, we explain the notion of $d l t$ blow-ups, which is very useful in the subsequent sections. Section 3 is devoted to the study of dlt pairs with torsion log canonical divisor. In Section 4, we investigate isolated lc singularities with index one which are not log terminal. In Section 5, we prove that our invariant $\mu$ coincides with Ishii's Hodge theoretic invariant. The main result (cf. Theorem 5.2) in Section 5 can be applied to special fibers of semi-stable minimal models for varieties with trivial canonical divisor (cf. [F6]).

Notation. Let $X$ be a normal variety and let $B$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ divisor such that $K_{X}+B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Then we can define the discrepancy
$a(E, X, B) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for every prime divisor $E$ over $X$. If $a(E, X, B) \geq-1$ (resp. $>-1$ ) for every $E$, then $(X, B)$ is called $\log$ canonical (resp. kawamata $\log$ terminal). We sometimes abbreviate log canonical (resp. kawamata log terminal) to $l c$ (resp. $k l t$ ). When $(X, 0)$ is klt, we simply say that $X$ is $\log$ terminal ( $l t$, for short).

Assume that $(X, B)$ is $\log$ canonical. If $E$ is a prime divisor over $X$ such that $a(E, X, B)=-1$, then $c_{X}(E)$ is called a log canonical center (lc center, for short) of $(X, B)$, where $c_{X}(E)$ is the closure of the image of $E$ on $X$.

Let $T$ be a simple normal crossing variety (cf. Definition 2.6) and let $T=\sum_{i \in I} T_{i}$ be the irreducible decomposition. Then a stratum of $T$ is an irreducible component of $T_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap T_{i_{k}}$ for some $\left\{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}\right\} \subset I$.

Let $r$ be a rational number. The integral part $\llcorner r\lrcorner$ is the largest integer $\leq r$ and the fractional part $\{r\}$ is defined by $r-\llcorner r\lrcorner$. We put $\ulcorner r\urcorner=-\llcorner-r\lrcorner$ and call it the round-up of $r$. Let $D=\sum_{i=1}^{r} d_{i} D_{i}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor where $D_{i}$ is a prime divisor for every $i$ and $D_{i} \neq D_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. We put $\llcorner D\lrcorner=\sum\left\llcorner d_{i}\right\lrcorner D_{i}$, $\ulcorner D\urcorner=\sum\left\ulcorner d_{i}\right\urcorner D_{i},\{D\}=\sum\left\{d_{i}\right\} D_{i}$, and $D^{=1}=\sum_{d_{i}=1} D_{i}$.
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In this paper, we will work over $\mathbb{C}$, the complex number field. We will freely make use of the standard notation and definition in $[\mathrm{KM}]$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we prove some preliminary results.

### 2.1. A criterion of Cohen-Macaulayness

The main purpose of this subsection is to prove Corollary 2.3, which seems to be well known to experts. Here, we give a global proof based on the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem for the reader's convenience. See also the arguments in [F5, 4.3.1].

Lemma 2.1. Let $X$ be a normal variety with an isolated singularity $P \in X$. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be any resolution. If $X$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=0$ for $0<i<n-1$, where $n=\operatorname{dim} X$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $X$ is projective. We consider the following spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(X, R^{q} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes L^{-1}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(Y, f^{*} L^{-1}\right)
$$

for a sufficiently ample line bundle $L$ on $X$. By the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, $H^{p+q}\left(Y, f^{*} L^{-1}\right)=0$ for $p+q<n$. On the other hand, $E_{2}^{p, 0}=H^{p}\left(X, L^{-1}\right)=0$ for $p<n$ since $X$ is Cohen-Macaulay. By using the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{2}^{1,0} \rightarrow E^{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{0,1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{2,0} \rightarrow E^{2} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

we obtain $E_{2}^{0,1} \simeq E_{2}^{2,0}=0$ when $n \geq 3$. This implies $R^{1} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=0$. We note that $\operatorname{Supp} R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \subset\{P\}$ for every $i>0$. Inductively, we obtain $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \simeq H^{0}\left(X, R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes L^{-1}\right)=E_{2}^{0, i} \simeq E_{\infty}^{0, i}=0$ for $0<i<n-1$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $X$ be a normal projective $n$-fold and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution. Assume that $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=0$ for $0<i<n-1$. Then $X$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove $H^{i}\left(X, L^{-1}\right)=0$ for any ample line bundle $L$ on $X$ for all $i<n$ (see [KM, Corollary 5.72]). We consider the spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(X, R^{q} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes L^{-1}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(Y, f^{*} L^{-1}\right)
$$

As before, $H^{p+q}\left(Y, f^{*} L^{-1}\right)=0$ for $p+q<n$ by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. By the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{2}^{1,0} \rightarrow E^{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{0,1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{2,0} \rightarrow E^{2} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

we obtain $H^{1}\left(X, L^{-1}\right)=0$ and $H^{2}\left(X, L^{-1}\right)=0$ if $n \geq 3$. Inductively, we can check that $H^{i}\left(X, L^{-1}\right)=E_{2}^{i, 0} \simeq E_{\infty}^{i, 0}=0$ for $i<n$. We finish the proof.

Combining the above two lemmas, we obtain the next corollary.
Corollary 2.3. Let $P \in X$ be a normal isolated singularity and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution. Then $X$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=0$ for $0<i<n-1$, where $n=\operatorname{dim} X$.

Proof. We shrink $X$ and assume that $X$ is affine. Then we compactify $X$ and may assume that $X$ is projective. Therefore, we can apply Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

### 2.2. Basic properties of dlt pairs

In this subsection, we prove supplementary results on dlt pairs. For the definition of dlt pairs, see [KM, Definition 2.37, Theorem 2.44]. See also [F4] for details of singularities of pairs.

The following proposition generalizes [FA, 17.5 Corollary], where it was only proved that $S$ is semi-normal and $S_{2}$. In the subsequent sections, we will use the arguments in the proof of Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 2.4 (cf. [F5, Theorem 4.4]). Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a dlt pair and let $\llcorner\Delta\lrcorner=: S=S_{1}+\cdots+S_{k}$ be the irreducible decomposition. We put $T=S_{1}+\cdots+S_{l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$. Then $T$ is semi-normal, Cohen-Macaulay, and has only Du Bois singularities.

Proof. We put $B=\{\Delta\}$. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution such that $K_{Y}+S^{\prime}+B^{\prime}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}+S+B\right)+E$ with the following properties: (i) $S^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.B^{\prime}\right)$ is the strict transform of $S$ (resp. $B$ ), (ii) $\operatorname{Supp}\left(S^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right) \cup$ $\operatorname{Exc}(f)$ and $\operatorname{Exc}(f)$ are simple normal crossing divisors on $Y$, (iii) $f$ is an isomorphism over the generic point of every lc center of $(X, S+B)$, and (iv) $\ulcorner E\urcorner \geq 0$. We write $S=T+U$. Let $T^{\prime}$ (resp. $U^{\prime}$ ) be the strict transform of $T$ (resp. $U$ ) on $Y$. We consider the following short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(-T^{\prime}+\ulcorner E\urcorner\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\ulcorner E\urcorner) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}\left(\left\ulcorner\left. E\right|_{T^{\prime}}\right\urcorner\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $-T^{\prime}+E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} K_{Y}+U^{\prime}+B^{\prime}$ and $E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} K_{Y}+S^{\prime}+B^{\prime}$, we have $-T^{\prime}+\ulcorner E\urcorner \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} K_{Y}+U^{\prime}+B^{\prime}+\{-E\}$ and $\ulcorner E\urcorner \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} K_{Y}+S^{\prime}+B^{\prime}+\{-E\}$. By
the vanishing theorem of Reid-Fukuda type (see, for example, [F5, Lemma 4.10]),

$$
R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(-T^{\prime}+\ulcorner E\urcorner\right)=R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\ulcorner E\urcorner)=0
$$

for every $i>0$. Note that we used the assumption that $f$ is an isomorphism over the generic point of every lc center of $(X, S+B)$. Therefore, we have

$$
0 \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(-T^{\prime}+\ulcorner E\urcorner\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}\left(\left\ulcorner\left. E\right|_{T^{\prime}}\right\urcorner\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}\left(\left\ulcorner\left. E\right|_{T^{\prime}}\right\urcorner\right)=0$ for all $i>0$. Note that $\ulcorner E\urcorner$ is effective and $f$-exceptional. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_{T} \simeq f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}} \simeq f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}\left(\left\ulcorner\left. E^{\prime}\right|_{T^{\prime}}\right\urcorner\right)$. Since $T^{\prime}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, $T$ is semi-normal. By the above vanishing result, we obtain $R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}\left(\left\ulcorner\left. E\right|_{T^{\prime}}\right\urcorner\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T}$ in the derived category. Therefore, the composition $\mathcal{O}_{T} \rightarrow R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}} \rightarrow R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}\left(\left\ulcorner\left. E\right|_{T^{\prime}}\right\urcorner\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Apply $R \mathcal{H o m}_{T}\left(\ldots, \omega_{T}^{\bullet}\right)$ to the quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{T} \rightarrow R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T}$. Then the composition $\omega_{T}^{\bullet} \rightarrow R f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \omega_{T}^{\bullet}$ is a quasi-isomorphism by the Grothendieck duality. By the vanishing theorem (see, for example, [F5, Lemma 2.33]), $R^{i} f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}}=0$ for $i>0$. Hence, $h^{i}\left(\omega_{T}^{\bullet}\right) \subseteq R^{i} f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}}^{\bullet} \simeq$ $R^{i+d} f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}}$, where $d=\operatorname{dim} T=\operatorname{dim} T^{\prime}$. Therefore, $h^{i}\left(\omega_{T}^{\bullet}\right)=0$ for $i>-d$. Thus, $T$ is Cohen-Macaulay. This argument is the same as the proof of Theorem 1 in [K2]. Since $T^{\prime}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, $T^{\prime}$ has only Du Bois singularities. The quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{T} \rightarrow R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T}$ implies that $T$ has only Du Bois singularities (cf. [K1, Corollary 2.4]). Since $T^{\prime}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on $Y$ and $\omega_{T^{\prime}}$ is an invertible sheaf on $T^{\prime}$, every associated prime of $\omega_{T^{\prime}}$ is the generic point of some irreducible component of $T^{\prime}$. By $f$, every irreducible component of $T^{\prime}$ is mapped birationally onto an irreducible component of $T$. Therefore, $f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}}$ is torsion-free on $T$. Since the composition $\omega_{T} \rightarrow f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}} \rightarrow \omega_{T}$ is an isomorphism, we obtain $f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}} \simeq \omega_{T}$. It is because $f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}}$ is torsion-free and $f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}}$ is generically isomorphic to $\omega_{T}$. By the Grothendieck duality,

$$
R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}} \simeq R \mathcal{H o m} m_{T}\left(R f_{*} \omega_{T^{\prime}}^{\bullet}, \omega_{T}^{\bullet}\right) \simeq R \mathcal{H o m}_{T}\left(\omega_{T}^{\bullet}, \omega_{T}^{\bullet}\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T}
$$

So, $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}=0$ for all $i>0$.
We obtain the following vanishing theorem in the proof of Proposition 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. Under the notation in the proof of Proposition 2.4, $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}=0$ for every $i>0$ and $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T}$.

We close this subsection with a useful lemma for simple normal crossing varieties.

Definition 2.6 (Normal crossing and simple normal crossing varieties). A variety $X$ has normal crossing singularities if, for every closed point $x \in X$,

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, x} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{C}\left[\left[x_{0}, \cdots, x_{N}\right]\right]}{\left(x_{0} \cdots x_{k}\right)}
$$

for some $0 \leq k \leq N$, where $N=\operatorname{dim} X$. Furthermore, if each irreducible component of $X$ is smooth, $X$ is called a simple normal crossing variety.

Lemma 2.7. Let $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ be a birational morphism between projective simple normal crossing varieties. Assume that there is a Zariski open subset $U_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.U_{2}\right)$ of $V_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V_{2}\right)$ such that $U_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.U_{2}\right)$ contains the generic point of any stratum of $V_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V_{2}\right)$ and that $f$ induces an isomorphism between $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$. Then $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}}=0$ for every $i>0$ and $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V_{2}}$.

Proof. We can write

$$
K_{V_{1}}=f^{*} K_{V_{2}}+E
$$

such that $E$ is $f$-exceptional. We consider the following commutative diagram

where $\nu_{1}: V_{1}^{\nu} \rightarrow V_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}: V_{2}^{\nu} \rightarrow V_{2}$ are the normalizations. We can write $K_{V_{1}}+\Theta_{1}=\nu_{1}^{*} K_{V_{1}}$ and $K_{V_{2}^{\nu}}+\Theta_{2}=\nu_{2}^{*} K_{V_{2}}$, where $\Theta_{1}$ and $\Theta_{2}$ are the conductor divisors. By pulling back $K_{V_{1}}=f^{*} K_{V_{2}}+E$ to $V_{1}^{\nu}$ by $\nu_{1}$, we have

$$
K_{V_{1}^{\nu}}+\Theta_{1}=\left(f^{\nu}\right)^{*}\left(K_{V_{2}^{\nu}}+\Theta_{2}\right)+\nu_{1}^{*} E .
$$

Note that $V_{2}^{\nu}$ is smooth and $\Theta_{2}$ is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor on $V_{2}^{\nu}$. By the assumption, $f^{\nu}$ is an isomorphism over the generic point of any lc center of the pair $\left(V_{2}^{\nu}, \Theta_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\nu_{1}^{*} E$ is effective since $K_{V_{2}^{\nu}}+\Theta_{2}$
is Cartier. Thus, we obtain that $E$ is effective. We can easily check that $f$ has connected fibers by the assumptions. Since $V_{2}$ is semi-normal and satisfies Serre's $S_{2}$ condition, we have $\mathcal{O}_{V_{2}} \simeq f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}}$ and $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}}\left(K_{V_{1}}\right) \simeq$ $\mathcal{O}_{V_{2}}\left(K_{V_{2}}\right)$. On the other hand, we obtain $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}}\left(K_{V_{1}}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$ by [F5, Lemma 2.33]. Therefore, $R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}}\left(K_{V_{1}}\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V_{2}}\left(K_{V_{2}}\right)$ in the derived category. Since $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are Gorenstein, we have $R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V_{2}}$ in the derived category by the Grothendieck duality (cf. the proof of Proposition 2.4).

### 2.3. Dlt blow-ups

Let us recall the notion of dlt blow-ups. Theorem 2.8 was first obtained by Christopher Hacon (cf. [F7, Section 10]). For a simplified proof, see [F6, Section 4].

THEOREM 2.8 (Dlt blow-up). Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a quasi-projective lc pair. Then we can construct a projective birational morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $K_{Y}+\Delta_{Y}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}+\Delta\right)$ with the following properties.
(a) $\left(Y, \Delta_{Y}\right)$ is $a \mathbb{Q}$-factorial dlt pair.
(b) $a(E, X, \Delta)=-1$ for every $f$-exceptional divisor $E$.

When $(X, \Delta)$ is dlt, we can make $f$ small and an isomorphism over the generic point of every lc center of $(X, \Delta)$.

Note that Theorem 2.8 was proved by the minimal model program with scaling (cf. [BCHM]).

As a corollary of Theorem 2.8, we obtain the following useful lemma.
Lemma 2.9. Let $P \in X$ be an isolated lc singularity with index one, where $X$ is quasi-projective. Then there exists a projective birational morphism $g: Z \rightarrow X$ such that $K_{Z}+D=g^{*} K_{X},(Z, D)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial dlt pair, $g$ is an isomorphism outside $P$, and $D$ is a reduced divisor on $Z$.

Remark 2.10. If $P \in X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, then $f^{-1}(P)$ is a divisor. So, we have $\operatorname{Supp} D=f^{-1}(P)$. In general, we have only $\operatorname{Supp} D \subset f^{-1}(P)$.

For non-degenerate isolated hypersurface $\log$ canonical singularities, we can use the toric geometry to construct dlt blow-ups as in Lemma 2.9 (see [FS, Section 6]).

## 3. Dlt Pairs with Torsion Log Canonical Divisor

This section is a supplement to [F1, Section 2] and [F2, Section 2]. We introduce a new invariant for dlt pairs with torsion $\log$ canonical divisor.

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, D)$ be a projective dlt pair such that $K_{X}+$ $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. We put

$$
\widetilde{\mu}=\widetilde{\mu}(X, D)=\min \{\operatorname{dim} W \mid W \text { is an lc center of }(X, D)\}
$$

It is related to the invariant $\mu$, which is defined in [F2] and will play important roles in the subsequent sections. See 4.11 below.

Remark 3.2. By [CKP, Theorem 1] or [G, Theorem 1.2], $K_{X}+D \equiv 0$ if and only if $K_{X}+D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.

As we pointed out in [FG], [F1, Section 2] works in any dimension by using the minimal model program with scaling (cf. [BCHM]). Therefore, we obtain the following proposition (cf. [F2, Proposition 2.4]).

Proposition 3.3. Let $(X, D)$ be a projective dlt pair such that $K_{X}+$ $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Let $W$ be any minimal lc center of $(X, D)$. Then $\operatorname{dim} W=$ $\widetilde{\mu}(X, D)$. Moreover, all the minimal lc centers of $(X, D)$ are birational each other and $\llcorner D\lrcorner$ has at most two connected components.

Sketch of the Proof. By Theorem 2.8, we may assume that $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. The induction on dimension and [F1, Proposition 2.1] implies the desired properties. More precisely, all the minimal lc centers are $B$ birational each other (cf. [F1, Definition 1.5]). Note that Proof of Claims in the proof of [F1, Lemma 4.9] may help us understand this proposition.

The next lemma is new. We will use it in Section 4.
Lemma 3.4. Let $(X, D)$ be an n-dimensional projective dlt pair such that $K_{X}+D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Assume that $\llcorner D\lrcorner \neq 0$. Then there exists an irreducible component $D_{0}$ of $\llcorner D\lrcorner$ such that $h^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \leq h^{i}\left(D_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{0}}\right)$ for every $i$.

Proof. By using the dlt blow-up (cf. Theorem 2.8), we can construct a small projective $\mathbb{Q}$-factorialization of $X$. So, by replacing $X$ with its $\mathbb{Q}$ factorialization, we may assume that $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. By the assumption,
$K_{X}+D-\varepsilon\llcorner D\lrcorner$ is not pseudo-effective for $0<\varepsilon \ll 1$. Let $H$ be an effective ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that $K_{X}+D-\varepsilon\llcorner D\lrcorner+H$ is nef and klt. Apply the minimal model program on $K_{X}+D-\varepsilon\llcorner D\lrcorner$ with scaling of $H$. Then we obtain a sequence of divisorial contractions and flips:

$$
X=X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow-\cdots \rightarrow X_{k},
$$

and an extremal Fano contraction $\varphi: X_{k} \rightarrow Z$ (cf. [F6, Section 2]). By the construction, there is an irreducible component $D_{0}$ of $\llcorner D\lrcorner$ such that the strict transform $D_{0}^{\prime}$ of $D_{0}$ on $X_{k}$ dominates $Z$. Since $X$ and $X_{k}$ have only rational singularities, we have $h^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=h^{i}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right)$ for every $i$. Since $R^{i} \varphi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}=0$ for every $i>0$, we have $h^{i}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\right)=h^{i}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)$ for every $i$. Since $D_{0}$ and $Z$ have only rational singularities (cf. [F3, Corollary 1.5], $h^{i}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right) \leq h^{i}\left(D_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{0}}\right)$ for every $i$ (see, for example, [PS, Theorem 2.29]). Therefore, we have the desired inequality $h^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \leq h^{i}\left(D_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{0}}\right)$ for every $i$.

Example 3.5. Let $X=\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and let $D$ be an elliptic curve on $X=\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then $(X, D)$ is a projective dlt pair such that $K_{X}+D \sim 0$. In this case, $h^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=0<h^{1}\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)=1$.

By combining the above results, we obtain the next proposition.
Proposition 3.6. Let $(X, D)$ be a projective dlt pair such that $K_{X}+$ $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. We assume that $\widetilde{\mu}(X, D)=0$. Then $h^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$. Moreover, $X$ is rationally connected.

Proof. If $\operatorname{dim} X=1$, then the statement is trivial since $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$. From now on, we assume that $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 2$. Since $\widetilde{\mu}(X, D)=0$, we obtain that $(X, D)$ is not klt. Thus we know $\llcorner D\lrcorner \neq 0$. Let $D_{0}$ be any irreducible component of $\llcorner D\lrcorner$. By adjunction, we obtain $\left.\left(K_{X}+D\right)\right|_{D_{0}}=K_{D_{0}}+B$ such that $\left(D_{0}, B\right)$ is dlt, $K_{D_{0}}+B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, and $\widetilde{\mu}\left(D_{0}, B\right)=0$ by Proposition 3.3. By the induction on dimension, we know that every irreducible component $D_{0}$ of $\llcorner D\lrcorner$ is rationally connected and $h^{i}\left(D_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{0}}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$. Thus, by Lemma 3.4, we have that $h^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$. In the proof of Lemma 3.4, $Z$ has only log terminal singularities by [F3, Corollary 4.5]. Since $D_{0}$ is rationally connected, so is $Z$ by [HM, Corollary 1.5]. On the other hand, the general fiber of $\varphi: X_{k} \rightarrow Z$ is rationally connected
(cf. [Z, Theorem 1] and [HM, Corollaries 1.3 and 1.5]). By [GHS, Corollary 1.3], $X_{k}$ is rationally connected. Thus, $X$ is rationally connected by [HM, Corollary 1.5].

By Proposition 3.6, we obtain a corollary: Corollary 3.7.
Corollary 3.7. Let $(X, D)$ be a projective dlt pair such that $K_{X}+$ $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be any resolution such that $K_{Y}+D_{Y}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}+D\right)$ and that $\operatorname{Supp} D_{Y}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on $Y$. Assume that $\widetilde{\mu}(X, D)=0$. Then every stratum of $D_{\bar{Y}}^{=1}$ is rationally connected. Moreover, $h^{i}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$ where $W$ is a stratum of $D_{\bar{Y}}{ }^{1}$.

Proof. Let $W$ be a stratum of $D_{Y}^{=1}$. Let $\pi: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ be a blow-up at $W$ and let $E_{W}$ be the exceptional divisor of $\pi$. Then it is sufficient to prove that $E_{W}$ is rationally connected and $h^{i}\left(E_{W}, \mathcal{O}_{E_{W}}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$. Therefore, by replacing $Y$ with $Y^{\prime}$, we may assume that $W$ is an irreducible component of $D_{Y}^{=1}$. We can construct a dlt blow-up $f^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ such that $K_{Y^{\prime}}+D_{Y^{\prime}}=f^{\prime *}\left(K_{X}+D\right)$ and that $f^{\prime-1} \circ f: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism at the generic point of $W$ (cf. [F6, Section 6]). Since $K_{Y^{\prime}}+D_{Y^{\prime}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and we can easily check that $\widetilde{\mu}\left(Y^{\prime}, D_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=0$ (cf. [F1, Claim $\left.\left.\left(A_{n}\right)\right]\right)$, we see that $W^{\prime}$, the strict transform of $W$, is rationally connected and $h^{i}\left(W^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{W^{\prime}}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$ by Proposition 3.6. Thus, $W$ is rationally connected (cf. [HM, Corollary 1.5]) and $h^{i}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$.

## 4. Isolated Log Canonical Singularities with Index One

In this section, we consider when an isolated $\log$ canonical singularity with index one is Cohen-Macaulay or not.
4.1. Let $P \in X$ be an $n$-dimensional isolated lc singularity with index one. By the algebraization theorem (cf. [HR], [A1, Corollary 1.6], and [A2, Theorem 3.8]), we always assume that $X$ is an algebraic variety in this paper (see also [I2, Theorems 3.2.3 and 3.2.4]). Assume that $P \in X$ is not lt. We consider a resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that (i) $f$ is an isomorphism outside $P \in X$, and (ii) $f^{-1}(P)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on $Y$. In this setting, we can write

$$
K_{Y}=f^{*} K_{X}+F-E,
$$

where $F$ and $E$ are both effective Cartier divisors without common irreducible components. In particular, $E$ is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor on $Y$.

Lemma 4.2. The cohomology group $H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$ is independent of $f$ for every $i$.

Proof. Let $f^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be another resolution with $K_{Y^{\prime}}=f^{\prime *} K_{X}+$ $F^{\prime}-E^{\prime}$ as in 4.1. By the weak factorization theorem (see [M, Theorem 5-4-1] or [AKMW, Theorem 0.3.1(6)]), we may assume that $\varphi: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ is a blow-up whose center $C \subset \operatorname{Supp} f^{-1}(P)$ is smooth, irreducible, and has simple normal crossing with $\operatorname{Supp} f^{-1}(P)$. It means that at each point $p \in \operatorname{Supp} f^{-1}(P)$ there exists a regular coordinate system $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ in a neighborhood $p \in U_{p}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Supp} f^{-1}(P) \cap U_{p}=\left\{\prod_{j \in J} x_{j}=0\right\}
$$

and $C \cap U_{p}=\left\{x_{i}=0\right.$ for $\left.i \in I\right\}$ for some subsets $I, J \subset\{1, \cdots, n\}$. Thus, we can directly check that $H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \simeq H^{i}\left(E^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}\right)$ for every $i$.
4.3. Let $\Gamma$ be the dual complex of $E$ and let $|\Gamma|$ be the topological realization of $\Gamma$. Note that the vertices of $\Gamma$ correspond to the components $E_{i}$, the edges correspond to $E_{i} \cap E_{j}$, and so on, where $E=\sum_{i} E_{i}$ is the irreducible decomposition of $E$. More precisely, $E$ defines a conical polyhedral complex $\Delta$ (see [KKMS, Chapter II, Definition 5]). By [KKMS, p. 70 Remark], we get a compact polyhedral complex $\Delta_{0}$ from $\Delta$. The dual complex $\Gamma$ of $E$ is essentially the same as this compact polyhedral complex $\Delta_{0}$ and $|\Gamma|=\left|\Delta_{0}\right|$ as topological spaces. See the construction of the dual complex in $[\mathrm{S}]$ and $[\mathrm{P}$, Section 2] for details. Therefore, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. The dual complex $\Gamma$ is well defined and $|\Gamma|$ is independent of $f$.

Proof. As we explained above, the well-definedness of $\Gamma$ is in [KKMS, Chapter II]. By the weak factorization theorem (see [M, Theorem 5-4-1]
or [AKMW, Theorem 0.3.1(6)]), we can easily check that the topological realization $|\Gamma|$ does not depend on $f$.

Remark 4.5. The paper [S] discusses the dual complex of Supp $f^{-1}(P)$ by the same method. Case 1) in the proof of [S, Lemma] is sufficient for our purposes. Note that we treat the dual complex $\Gamma$ of $E$. In general, $\operatorname{Supp} E \subsetneq \operatorname{Supp} f^{-1}(P)$.
4.6. Let $g: Z \rightarrow X$ be a projective birational morphism as in Lemma 2.9. Then we have $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z}(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D} \rightarrow 0$. By the vanishing theorem, we obtain $R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Z}\left(K_{Z}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$. Therefore, we have

$$
R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Z} \simeq R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{D} \simeq H^{i}\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)
$$

for every $i>0$. We note that $D$ is connected since $\mathcal{O}_{X} \simeq g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Z} \rightarrow g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{D}$ is surjective. By applying Corollary 2.5, we can construct a resolution $h$ : $Y \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$
K_{Y}+E-F=h^{*}\left(K_{Z}+D\right)=f^{*} K_{X}
$$

where $F$ and $E$ are both effective Cartier divisors without common irreducible components, $\operatorname{Supp} E$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, $f=g \circ h$, $h$ is an isomorphism outside $g^{-1}(P), h$ is an isomorphism over the generic point of any lc center of $(Z, D), R^{i} h_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E}=0$ for every $i>0$, and $h_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E} \simeq$ $\mathcal{O}_{D}$. Therefore, $H^{i}\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) \simeq H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$ for every $i$. Apply the principalization to the defining ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ of $f^{-1}(P)$. Then we obtain a sequence of blow-ups whose centers have simple normal crossing with $E$ (cf. [K1, Theorem 3.35]). In this process, $H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$ does not change for every $i$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 4.2). Therefore, we may assume that $f^{-1}(P)$ is a divisor on $Y$. We further take a sequence of blow-ups whose centers have simple normal crossing with $E$. Then we can make $\operatorname{Supp} f^{-1}(P)$ a simple normal crossing divisor on $Y$ (cf. [BEV, Corollary 7.9] or [K2, Proposition 6]). We note that we may assume that $f$ is an isomorphism outside $P \in X$. We also note that $R^{i} g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Z} \simeq R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ for every $i$ because $Z$ has only rational singularities. So, we obtain the next proposition.

Proposition 4.7. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution as in 4.1. Then $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \simeq H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$ for every $i>0$. Therefore, $P \in X$ is CohenMacaulay, equivalently, $P \in X$ is Gorenstein, if and only if $H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=0$ for $0<i<n-1$.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 2.3 by 4.6.

Remark 4.8. In $4.6,\left.\left(K_{Z}+D\right)\right|_{D}=K_{D} \sim 0$. Therefore, $H^{n-1}\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$ is dual to $H^{0}\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$, where $n=\operatorname{dim} X$. So, $R^{n-1} g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Z} \simeq \mathbb{C}(P)$. Thus, $P \in X$ is not a rational singularity.

Remark 4.9. Shihoko Ishii proves

$$
R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \simeq H^{i}\left(f^{-1}(P)_{\mathrm{red}}, \mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(P)_{\mathrm{red}}}\right)
$$

for every $i>0$ by the theory of Du Bois singularities (cf. [I1, Corollary 1.5, Theorem 2.3] and [I2, Proposition 7.1.13, Theorem 7.1.17]). For details, see [I1] and [I2].

By using the minimal model program with scaling, we can prove Proposition 4.7 without appealing to Lemma 4.2.

Remark 4.10. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ with $K_{Y}+E=f^{*} K_{X}+F$ be as in 4.1. Let $H$ be an effective $f$-ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $Y$ such that $(Y, E+H)$ is dlt and that $K_{Y}+E+H$ is nef over $X$. We can run the minimal model program on $K_{Y}+E$ over $X$ with scaling of $H$. Then we obtain a dlt blow-up $f^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ such that $\left(Y^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial dlt pair and that $K_{Y^{\prime}}+E^{\prime}=f^{\prime *} K_{X}$ where $E^{\prime}$ is the pushforward of $E$ on $Y^{\prime}$ (cf. [F6, Section 4]). We note that each step of the minimal model program

$$
Y \xrightarrow{ } Y \not Y_{1} \rightarrow Y_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y^{\prime}
$$

is an isomorphism at the generic point of any lc center of $(Y, E)$. By 4.6, $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \simeq R^{i} f_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}} \simeq R^{i} f_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}} \simeq H^{i}\left(E^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}\right)$ for every $i>0$. By taking a common resolution

such that $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) is an isomorphism over the generic point of any lc center of $(Y, E)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(Y^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and that $\operatorname{Exc}(\alpha), \operatorname{Exc}(\beta)$, and $\operatorname{Exc}(\alpha) \cup \operatorname{Exc}(\beta) \cup$

Supp $\alpha_{*}^{-1} E$ are simple normal crossing divisors on $W$, we can easily check that

$$
H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \simeq H^{i}\left(E^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}\right)
$$

for every $i$ because $R \alpha_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{E}$ and $R \beta_{*} \mathcal{O}_{T} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}$ (cf. Corollary 2.5). Note that $K_{W}+\Delta_{1}=\alpha^{*}\left(K_{Y}+E\right)$ and $K_{W}+\Delta_{2}=\beta^{*}\left(K_{Y^{\prime}}+E^{\prime}\right)$ with $\Delta_{1}^{=1}=T=\Delta_{2}^{=1}$ such that $T$ is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor on $W$. Therefore,

$$
H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \simeq H^{i}\left(E^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}}\right) \simeq R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}
$$

for $i>0$.
Let $E=\sum_{i} E_{i}$ be the irreducible decomposition and let $E^{\prime}=\sum_{i} E_{i}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding irreducible decomposition. Let $E_{i_{0}}$ be an irreducible component of $E$ and let $T_{i_{0}}$ be the strict transform of $E_{i_{0}}$ on $W$. By applying the connectedness lemma (cf. [KM, Theorem 5.48]) to $\alpha: T_{i_{0}} \rightarrow E_{i_{0}}$ and $\beta: T_{i_{0}} \rightarrow E_{i_{0}}^{\prime}$, we know that the number of the connected components of $\left.\sum_{i \neq i_{0}} E_{i}\right|_{E_{i_{0}}}$ coincides with that of $\left.\sum_{i \neq i_{0}} E_{i}^{\prime}\right|_{E_{i_{0}}^{\prime}}$. Therefore, $\left.\sum_{i \neq i_{0}} E_{i}\right|_{E_{i_{0}}}$ has at most two connected components by applying Proposition 3.3 to $\left(E_{i_{0}}^{\prime},\left.\sum_{i \neq i_{0}} E_{i}^{\prime}\right|_{E_{i_{0}}}\right)$. Note that $\left(E_{i_{0}}^{\prime},\left.\sum_{i \neq i_{0}} E_{i}^{\prime}\right|_{E_{i_{0}}^{\prime}}\right)$ is dlt and $K_{E_{i_{0}}^{\prime}}+\left.\sum_{i \neq i_{0}} E_{i}^{\prime}\right|_{E_{i_{0}}^{\prime}} \sim 0$.
4.11 (Invariant $\mu$ ). Let $P \in X$ be an isolated lc singularity with index one which is not lt. Let $g: Z \rightarrow X$ be a projective birational morphism such that $K_{Z}+D=g^{*} K_{X}$ and that $(Z, D)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial dlt pair. We define

$$
\mu=\mu(P \in X)=\min \{\operatorname{dim} W \mid W \text { is an lc center of }(Z, D)\}
$$

This invariant $\mu$ was first introduced in [F2, Definition 4.12]. Let $D=\sum_{i} D_{i}$ be the irreducible decomposition. Then $K_{D_{i}}+\Delta_{i}:=\left.\left(K_{Z}+D\right)\right|_{D_{i}} \sim 0$ and $\left(D_{i}, \Delta_{i}\right)$ is dlt. By applying Proposition 3.3 to each $\left(D_{i}, \Delta_{i}\right)$, every minimal lc center of $(Z, D)$ is $\mu$-dimensional and all the minimal lc centers are birational each other. Note that $D$ is connected.

Let $g^{\prime}: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be another projective birational morphism such that $K_{Z^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}=g^{\prime *} K_{X}$ and that $\left(Z^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial dlt pair. Then it is easy to see that $(Z, D) \rightarrow\left(Z^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ is $B$-birational. This means that there
is a common resolution

such that $\alpha^{*}\left(K_{Z}+D\right)=\beta^{*}\left(K_{Z^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}\right)$. Then we can easily check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \{\operatorname{dim} W \mid W \text { is an lc center of }(Z, D)\} \\
& =\min \left\{\operatorname{dim} W^{\prime} \mid W^{\prime} \text { is an lc center of }\left(Z^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

See, for example, the proof of [F1, Lemma 4.9]. Therefore, $\mu(P \in X)$ is well-defined. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ with $K_{Y}=f^{*} K_{X}+F-E$ be as in 4.1. Then it is easy to see that

$$
\mu=\mu(P \in X)=\min \{\operatorname{dim} W \mid W \text { is a stratum of } E\}
$$

by Remark 4.10 .
Now, the following theorem is not difficult to prove.
Theorem 4.12. We use the notation in 4.1. We assume $\mu(P \in X)=$ 0. Then $H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \simeq H^{i}(|\Gamma|, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, $P \in X$ is Cohen-Macaulay, equivalently, $P \in X$ is Gorenstein, if and only if

$$
H^{i}(|\Gamma|, \mathbb{C})= \begin{cases}\mathbb{C} & \text { for } i=0, n-1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We use the spectral sequence in 4.13 to calculate $H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$. By Corollary 3.7, $H^{q}\left(E^{[p]}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{[p]}}\right)=0$ for every $q>0$. Therefore, we obtain $E_{2}^{i, 0} \simeq H^{i}(|\Gamma|, \mathbb{C})$ for every $i$ and the spectral sequence degenerates at $E_{2}$. Thus we have $H^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \simeq H^{i}(|\Gamma|, \mathbb{C})$ for every $i$.
4.13. Let $E$ be a simple normal crossing variety and let $E=\sum_{i} E_{i}$ be the irreducible decomposition. We put $E^{[0]}=\coprod_{i} E_{i}, E^{[1]}=\coprod_{i, j}\left(E_{i} \cap E_{j}\right)$, $\cdots, E^{[p]}=\coprod_{i_{0}, \cdots, i_{p}}\left(E_{i_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap E_{i_{p}}\right), \cdots$. Let $a_{p}: E^{[p]} \rightarrow E$ be the obvious map. Then it is well known that

$$
\left(a_{0}\right)_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E^{[0]}} \rightarrow\left(a_{1}\right)_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E^{[1]}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left(a_{p}\right)_{*} \mathcal{O}_{E^{[p]}} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

is a resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{E}$. By taking the associated hypercohomology, we obtain a spectral sequence

$$
E_{1}^{p, q}=H^{q}\left(E^{[p]}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{[p]}}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)
$$

We close this section with the following obvious two propositions.
Proposition 4.14. We assume that the dimension of $X$ is $\geq 3$. By the above spectral sequence, if $P \in X$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then $H^{1}(|\Gamma|, \mathbb{C})=0$.

Proof. By the spectral sequence in 4.13, it is easy to see that $H^{1}(|\Gamma|, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ implies $H^{1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \neq 0$.

Proposition 4.15. Let $P \in X$ be an n-dimensional isolated lc singularity with index one which is not lt. If $P \in X$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{E}\right) & :=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} h^{i}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=1+(-1)^{n-1} \\
& =\sum_{p, q}(-1)^{p+q} \operatorname{dim} H^{q}\left(E^{[p]}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{[p]}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.16. Tsuchihashi's cusp singularities (cf. [T1] and [T2]) give us many examples of three dimensional index one isolated lc singularities with $\mu=0$ which are not Cohen-Macaulay.

## 5. Ishii's Hodge Theoretic Invariant

In this section, we give a Hodge theoretic characterization of our invariant $\mu$. It shows that our invariant $\mu$ coincides with Ishii's Hodge theoretic invariant.

Let us quickly recall Ishii's definition of singularities of type $(0, i)$. For the details, see [I2, Section 7] and [I4, 2.6 and Definition 2.7].
5.1 (Type $(0, i)$ singularities due to Shihoko Ishii). Let $P \in X$ be an $n$-dimensional isolated lc singularity with index one which is not lt. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution such that

$$
K_{Y}=f^{*} K_{X}+F-E
$$

as in 4.1. Shihoko Ishii proves that $H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=\mathbb{C}$ (cf. Proposition 4.7 and Remark 4.8). In [I2, Definition 7.4.5] and [I4, Definition 2.7], she defines that the singularity $P \in X$ is of type $(0, i)$ if

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{i}^{W} H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \neq 0
$$

Note that $E$ is a projective simple normal crossing variety, $W$ is the weight filtration of the natural mixed Hodge structure on $H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C})$, and that $H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{F}^{0} H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C})$ where $F$ is the natural Hodge filtration. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} \operatorname{Gr}_{F}^{0} H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C}) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{F}^{0} \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{n-1}(E, \mathbb{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

By Deligne's theory of mixed Hodge structures, we know that $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.
The main purpose of this section is to show that $\mu(P \in X)=i$ where $P \in X$ is of type $(0, i)$.

The following theorem corresponds to [I1, Theorem 4.3] in our framework. For the definition of sdlt pairs, see [F1, Definition 1.1]. Let $(X, \Delta)$ be an sdlt pair. Then $X$ is $S_{2}$, normal crossing in codimension one, and every irreducible component of $X$ is normal. Let $V$ be sdlt. Then there is the smallest Zariski closed subset $Z$ of $V$ such that $V \backslash Z$ is a simple normal crossing variety and the codimension of $Z$ in $V$ is $\geq 2$. We define a stratum of $V$ as the closure of a stratum of $V \backslash Z$.

TheOrem 5.2. Let $V$ be an m-dimensional connected projective sdlt variety such that $K_{V} \sim 0$. Let $f: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ be a projective birational morphism from a simple normal crossing variety $V^{\prime}$. Assume that there is a Zariski open subset $U^{\prime}$ (resp. U) of $V^{\prime}$ (resp. V) such that $U^{\prime}$ (resp. $U$ ) contains the generic point of any stratum of $V^{\prime}(r e s p . V)$ and that $f$ induces an isomorphism between $U^{\prime}$ and $U$. We further assume that the exceptional locus $\operatorname{Exc}(f)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on $V^{\prime}$ (cf. [F5, Definition 2.11]) and that

$$
K_{V^{\prime}}=f^{*} K_{V}+E
$$

where $E$ is effective. Then $H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\right)=\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Gr}_{F}^{0} \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} \operatorname{Gr}_{F}^{0} H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}\mathbb{C} & \text { if } \quad k=\mu \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu$ is the dimension of the minimal stratum of $V^{\prime}$. Note that $F$ is the Hodge filtration and $W$ is the weight filtration of the natural mixed Hodge structure on $H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.

Proof. First we prove that $H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\right)=\mathbb{C}$.
Step 1. Since $V$ is simple normal crossing in codimension one and $S_{2}$, $V$ is semi-normal. We can easily check that $f$ has connected fibers by the assumptions. Therefore, we obtain $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V}$. We note that $E$ is $f$-exceptional by the assumptions. Since $E$ is effective, $f$-exceptional, and $V$ satisfies Serre's $S_{2}$ condition, we see that $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V}$. On the other hand, we obtain $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}(E) \simeq R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\left(K_{V^{\prime}}\right)=0$ for every $i>0$ (cf. [F5, Lemma 2.33]). Therefore, we have $R f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V}$ in the derived category. By the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we obtain that $V$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover, $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}=0$ for every $i>0$ (see the proof of Proposition 2.4) and $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V}$. Thus, $H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\right) \simeq H^{m}\left(V, \mathcal{O}_{V}\right)=\mathbb{C}$. We note that $K_{V} \sim 0$ and $V$ is CohenMacaulay.

We use the induction on dimension for the latter statement. The statement is obvious for a 0 -dimensional variety.

Step 2. When $V$ is irreducible, the statement is obvious. It is because $V^{\prime}$ is a smooth connected projective variety. So, $H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ has the natural pure Hodge structure of weight $m$.

Step 3. From now on, we assume that $V$ is reducible. Let $V_{1}^{\prime}$ be an irreducible component of $V^{\prime}$ and let $V_{1}$ be the corresponding irreducible
component of $V$. We write $V^{\prime}=V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}$ and $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$. Consider the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence:
( $\boldsymbol{\oplus}) \quad H^{m-1}\left(V_{1}^{\prime} \cap V_{2}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}^{\prime} \cap V_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\right)$

$$
\rightarrow H^{m}\left(V_{1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}^{\prime}}\right) \oplus H^{m}\left(V_{2}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{2}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

By the Serre duality, $H^{m}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{i}^{\prime}}\right)$ is dual to $H^{0}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{i}^{\prime}}\left(K_{V_{i}^{\prime}}\right)\right)$. We put $f_{i}=\left.f\right|_{V_{i}^{\prime}}$ for $i=1,2$. We can write

$$
K_{V_{i}^{\prime}}+\left.V_{j}^{\prime}\right|_{V_{i}^{\prime}}=f_{i}^{*}\left(K_{V_{i}}+\left.V_{j}\right|_{V_{i}}\right)+\left.\left.E\right|_{V_{i}^{\prime}} \sim E\right|_{V_{i}^{\prime}}=: F_{i}
$$

for $\{i, j\}=\{1,2\}$ where $F_{i}$ is an effective $f_{i}$-exceptional divisor. We note that $K_{V_{i}}+\left.V_{j}\right|_{V_{i}}=\left.K_{V}\right|_{V_{i}} \sim 0$. Let $H$ be an ample Cartier divisor on $V$. Then $\left(f_{i}^{*} H\right)^{m-1} \cdot K_{V_{i}^{\prime}}<0$ because $\left.V_{j}^{\prime}\right|_{V_{i}^{\prime}} \neq 0$ for $i=1,2$. Thus $H^{0}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{i}^{\prime}}\left(K_{V_{i}^{\prime}}\right)\right)=0$ for $i=1,2$. This means that $H^{m}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{i}^{\prime}}\right)=0$ for $i=1,2$. So the last term in $(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$ is zero. Therefore, we obtain that

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{m-1}\left(V_{1}^{\prime} \cap V_{2}^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{1}^{\prime} \cap V_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\right)
$$

is surjective for every $k$. We note that $V_{1}^{\prime} \cap V_{2}^{\prime}$ is an $(m-1)$-dimensional projective simple normal crossing variety and that $V_{1}^{\prime} \cap V_{2}^{\prime}$ has at most two connected components by Proposition 3.3 and [KM, Theorem 5.48]. Note that $\left(V_{1},\left.V_{2}\right|_{V_{1}}\right)$ is dlt and $K_{V_{1}}+\left.V_{2}\right|_{V_{1}} \sim 0$. Moreover, each connected component of $V_{1}^{\prime} \cap V_{2}^{\prime}$ satisfies the assumptions of this theorem and the dimension of the minimal stratum of each connected component of $V_{1}^{\prime} \cap$ $V_{2}^{\prime}$ is also $\mu$. Therefore, by the induction on dimension, we obtain that $\mathrm{Gr}_{k}^{W} H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\right) \neq 0$ if and only if $k=\mu$.

We obtain all the desired results.
Remark 5.3. By Step 3 in the proof of Theorem 5.2, we obtain the following description. Let $C^{\prime}$ be any minimal stratum of $V^{\prime}$. Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{C}=H^{\mu}\left(C^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\right) \underset{\delta_{\mu}}{\simeq} \simeq \underset{\delta_{k}}{\simeq} \cdots H^{m}\left(V^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}\right)=\mathbb{C}
$$

where each $\delta_{k}$ is the connecting homomorphism of a suitable Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for $\mu \leq k \leq m-1$. Note that $C$ has only canonical singularities with $K_{C} \sim 0$, where $C=f\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.

REMARK 5.4 (Semi-stable minimal models for varieties with trivial canonical divisor). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective surjective morphism from a smooth quasi-projective variety $X$ to a smooth quasi-projective curve $Y$. Assume that $f$ is smooth over $Y \backslash P, K_{f^{-1}(Q)} \sim 0$ for every $Q \in Y \backslash P$, and $f^{*} P$ is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor on $X$. Then we obtain a relative good minimal model $f^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by [F6, Theorem 1.1]. Then the special fiber $S=f^{* *} P$ is an sdlt variety with $K_{S} \sim 0$. So, we can apply Theorem 5.2 to $S$.

As an application of Theorem 5.2, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 5.5. Let $P \in X$ be an isolated lc singularity with index one which is not lt. Then $P \in X$ is of type $(0, i)$ if and only if $\mu(P \in X)=i$.

Proof. We use the notations in Remark 4.10. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be as in 4.1. First, we apply Theorem 5.2 to $\beta: T \rightarrow E^{\prime}$. Then we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{\mu}^{W} H^{n-1}\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\mu=\mu(P \in X)$. Next, we consider $\alpha: T \rightarrow E$. Let $C$ be a minimal stratum of $E$ and let $C^{\prime}$ be the corresponding stratum of $T$. By Step 3 in the proof of Theorem 5.2, Remark 5.3, and Lemma 2.7, we can construct the following commutative diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{C}=H^{\mu}\left(C^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta_{1}} H^{n-1}\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)=\mathbb{C} \\
& \left.\left.\alpha\right|_{C^{\prime}} ^{*} \uparrow \quad \uparrow\right|_{T} ^{*} \\
\mathbb{C}=H^{\mu}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta_{2}} H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=\mathbb{C}
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are isomorphisms, which are the compositions of the connecting homomorphisms of suitable Mayer-Vietoris exact sequences (cf. Remark 5.3), and that $\left.\alpha\right|_{C^{\prime}} ^{*}$ and $\left.\alpha\right|_{T} ^{*}$ are isomorphisms (cf. Lemma 2.7). By taking $\mathrm{Gr}^{W}$, we obtain that

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{\mu}^{W} H^{n-1}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right) \neq 0
$$

This means that $P \in X$ is of type $(0, \mu)$. We note that

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{\mu}^{W} H^{\mu}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right)=H^{\mu}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right)
$$

since $C$ is smooth and projective.
We note that Theorem 5.5 also follows from [I2, Proposition 7.4.8] and [I3] (see [F2, Remark 4.13]).

Anyway, by Theorem 5.5, our approach in [F2] and this paper is compatible with Ishii's theory developed in [I1], [I2], and [I4].
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