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#### Abstract

For weakly hyperbolic operators whose characteristic roots degenerate only on the initial hypersurface, we construct solutions whose singularities are only just one of the characteristic roots.


## 1. Introduction

We consider the following analytic ordinary differential equation on $\mathbb{R}$ with a large parameter $\tau$ which has an $m$-th turning point at $x=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x, \partial_{x}, \tau\right) u(x, \tau)=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_{j}(x, \tau) \partial_{x}^{m-j}\right) u(x, \tau)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{x}=d / d x, a_{j}(x, \tau)=\sum_{k=0}^{j} a_{j k}(x) \tau^{k}, a_{00}(x)=1$ and each $a_{j k}(x)$ $(k=0,1, \cdots, j ; j=1, \cdots, m)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $x=0$.

The principal symbol $\sigma(P)$ of this operator $P$ is decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(P)(x, \xi, \tau)=\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(\xi-\sqrt{-1} x^{\lambda} \alpha_{j}(x) \tau\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the origin. Here $\lambda$ is a positive integer and each $\alpha_{j}(x)(j=1,2, \cdots, m)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of the origin $x=0$.

For such an equation with a large parameter, exact WKB analysis is a prevailing method ([AKKT] etc). However, we will construct solutions for such an equation according to microlocal analysis, that is, regarding a large parameter $\tau$ as an operator $\partial_{t}(=\partial / \partial t)$, we consider the following partial differential equation with two variables on $\mathbb{R}_{x} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x, \partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) u(x, t)=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_{j}\left(x, \partial_{t}\right) \partial_{x}^{m-j}\right) u(x, t)=0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]We impose this equation on some hypotheses.
Assumption 1 (Hyperbolicity). Each $\alpha_{j}(x)(j=1,2, \cdots, m)$ is a purely imaginary-valued function on $x$ and $\alpha_{j}(0)$ are mutually distinct.

Assumption 2 (Levi condition). For $k=0,1, \cdots, j ; j=1,2, \cdots, m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{s} a_{j k}(0)=0, \quad 0 \leq s<k(\lambda+1)-j . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the equation (1.3), microlocal solutions of boundary value problems on $\{x \geq 0\}$ are said to be microfunctional solutions on $x>0$ whose Neumann data $\partial_{x}^{k} u(+0, t)(k=0,1, \cdots, m-1)$ become microfunctions. Our aim is to construct microfunctional solutions for a boundary value problem as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P\left(x, \partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) u(x, t)=0, \quad 0<x<\varepsilon,|t|<\varepsilon  \tag{1.5}\\
\mathrm{SS}(u) \cap\{x>0\} \subset H_{j}, \quad(*)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $H_{j}:=\left\{(x, * ; \sqrt{-1} \xi, \sqrt{-1} \tau) ; \xi-\sqrt{-1} x^{\lambda} \alpha_{j}(x) \tau=0\right\}$. After [Y], we call $u(x, t)$ satisfying the condition $(*) j$-pure solutions. In other words, our main goal is to construct $j$-pure solutions concretely and to get boundary values $\partial_{x}^{k} u(+0, t)(k=0,1, \cdots, m-1)$.

There are many researches about such hyperbolic equations, for example, Alinhac [Al], Takasaki [T], Amano-Nakamura [AN], etc. Among them, Amano-Nakamura [AN] is the closest to ours. They analyze the Stokes phenomena of complex ordinary differential equations for Cauchy problems around $x=0$ and construct asymptotic solutions. In the case of order $m=2$, they succeed to make solutions by essentially using second order ordinary differential equations with irregular singularities.

Yamane $[\mathrm{Y}]$ treats a concrete example of $m=3, \lambda=1$. Uchikoshi [U] gives some solvable conditions for boundary value problems in the case that the index $\lambda$ varies with $j$ and that the Levi condition is not assumed on the operator $P$. His construction needs pseudodifferential operators, while the solutions are not $j$-pure.

As for ours, in the case of general order $m$, not using the Stokes analysis of complex differential equations, we construct solutions with a fractional
coordinate transform and a quantized Legendre transform based on Kataoka [Kt3].

Specifically speaking, our construction is as follows.
To begin with, $u$ is represented by a natural hyperfunction $\widetilde{u}(x, t)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(u) \subset\{x \geq 0\}$. We identify $\widetilde{u}(x, t)$ as a solution of the equation

$$
x^{m} P \widetilde{u}(x, t)=0
$$

in a neighborhood of $x=0$.
Secondly, by a fractional coordinate transform

$$
y=\frac{x^{\lambda+1}}{\lambda+1}
$$

$\widetilde{u}(x, t)$ corresponds to a solution $v(y, t)$ of the equation

$$
Q\left(y, \partial_{y}, \partial_{t}\right) v(y, t)=0
$$

where $Q$ is a partial differential operator whose coefficients have fractional power singularities with respect to $y$ and $v(y, t)$ is a microfunction which is represented by a hyperfunction with support in $\{y \geq 0\}$.

Lastly, we modify the operator $Q$ by the quantized Legendre transform at $\{\tau>0\}(\tau$ is a dual of $t)$ :

$$
\beta \circ * \circ \beta^{-1}: \begin{cases}\partial_{y} \mapsto-\sqrt{-1} w \partial_{t}, & \partial_{t} \mapsto \partial_{t}  \tag{1.6}\\ y \mapsto-\sqrt{-1} \partial_{w}\left(\partial_{t}\right)^{-1}, & t \mapsto t+\partial_{w} w\left(\partial_{t}\right)^{-1}\end{cases}
$$

Then $\beta[v](w, t)$ becomes a microfunction with a holomorphic parameter $w$ which can be analytically extended to a domain $\left\{w \in \mathbb{C P}^{1} ; \operatorname{Im} w<0\right\}$. On the other hand, the operator $Q$ is transformed to
(1.7) $\left(\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}\right)\left(w, \partial_{w}, \partial_{t}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j}\left\{-\sqrt{-1}(\lambda+1) \partial_{w} \partial_{t}^{-1}\right\}^{\frac{j}{\lambda+1}} a_{j k}\left(\left\{-\sqrt{-1}(\lambda+1) \partial_{w} \partial_{t}^{-1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right) \partial_{t}^{k} \\
& \times \prod_{l=0}^{m-j-1}\left(-(\lambda+1) \partial_{w} w-l\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we note that the operator $\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}$ has fractional powers of $\partial_{w}$. Such an operator is not defined locally for the sections of microfunctions with holomorphic parameter $w$, but is defined as an integral operator concerning $w$; here we take a path of integration with $w=\infty$ as the initial point. More precisely, we consider only sections of microfunctions with holomorphic parameter $w$ over open sets with connected fibers in $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ up to $w=\infty$. Moreover, an admissible microfunction $\beta[v](w, t)$ for these operators should have the following form at $w=\infty$ :

$$
\beta[v](w, t)=w^{-1} V\left(w^{-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}, t\right)
$$

where $V(z, t)$ is a microfunction with respect to $(z, t)$ which is holomorphic at $z=0$. Hence a fractional derivative $\partial_{w}^{1 /(\lambda+1)}$ naturally operates on a global section of such a microfunction with a holomorphic parameter. For instance, in a neighborhood of $w=\infty \in \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^{1}$, we have

$$
\partial_{w}^{\frac{m}{\lambda+1}}\left(w^{-1-\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} f(t)\right)=e^{\frac{m \pi \sqrt{-1}}{\lambda+1}} \frac{\Gamma(1+(l+m) /(\lambda+1))}{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))} w^{-1-\frac{l+m}{\lambda+1}} f(t)
$$

for $\lambda=1,2, \cdots, l, m=0,1,2, \cdots$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is a gamma function. Indeed this formula reduces a usual one when $m /(\lambda+1)$ is a positive integer.

Furthermore, in Section 6 we will show that the Taylor coefficients $\left(\partial_{z}^{n} V(0, t)\right)_{n=0}^{m-1}$ for $\beta[v](w, t)$ give the boundary values $\left(\partial_{x}^{n} u(+0, t)\right)_{n=0}^{m-1}$ at (1.5).

By the Taylor expansion of $a_{j k}(x)$ at $x=0$, what we consider becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\
0 \leq k \leq(s+j) /(\lambda+1)}} \frac{a_{j k}^{(s)}(0)}{s!}\{-\sqrt{-1}(\lambda+1)\}^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}} \partial_{w}^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}} \partial_{t}^{k-\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}} \\
& \times \prod_{l=0}^{m-j-1}\left(-(\lambda+1) \partial_{w} w-l\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the sake of brevity, setting

$$
\widetilde{a}_{j k}(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j k}^{(l)}(0)}{l!}\{-\sqrt{-1}(\lambda+1)\}^{\frac{l+j}{\lambda+1}} x^{j+l-(\lambda+1) k}
$$

$$
=\sum_{l^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{a}_{j k}^{l^{\prime}} x x^{l^{\prime}}
$$

with $\widetilde{a}_{j k}^{l^{\prime}}=(-\sqrt{-1}(\lambda+1))^{\frac{(\lambda+1) k+l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}} a_{j k}^{\left(l^{\prime}+(\lambda+1) k-j\right)}(0) /\left(l^{\prime}+(\lambda+1) k-j\right)$ ! and

$$
E_{j}=\prod_{l=0}^{m-j-1}\left(-(\lambda+1) \partial_{w} w-l\right)
$$

we have

$$
\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}=\sum_{\substack{l^{\prime} \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq k \leq j \leq m}} \widetilde{a}_{j k}^{l^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{\frac{l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}+k} \partial_{t}^{-\frac{l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}} E_{j}
$$

The dominant part of $\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}$ becomes an $m$-th ordinary differential operator with polynomial coefficients which does not include neither $\partial_{t}$ nor fractional derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =\sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\
j /(\lambda+1) \leq k \leq j}} \frac{a_{j k}^{(k(\lambda+1)-j)}(0)}{\{k(\lambda+1)-j\}!}\left\{-\sqrt{-1}(\lambda+1) \partial_{w}\right\}^{k} E_{j} \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq k \leq j \leq m} \widetilde{a}_{j k}^{0} \partial_{w}^{k} E_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients of the maximal term of the operator $L$ is equal to

$$
\text { (constant) } \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(w+\alpha_{j}(0)\right)
$$

Therefore the operator $\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}$ has regular singularities at

$$
w=-\alpha_{1}(0),-\alpha_{2}(0), \cdots,-\alpha_{m}(0), \infty
$$

ASSUMPTION 3. The characteristic exponents of the operator $L$ at each $w=-\alpha_{j}(0)(j=1,2, \cdots, m)$ are not integers.

Under the assumptions above, we have the following main theorems.

Main Theorem 1. We can construct $j$-pure solutions for (1.5). Precisely, for any $j=1, \cdots, m$ and any microfunction $u_{0}(t)$ at a point $\stackrel{\circ}{p}=$ $(0,0 ; \pm \sqrt{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_{x} \times \sqrt{-1} T^{*} \mathbb{R}_{t}$, we have a unique mild microfunction solution $u(x, t) \in \stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}}$ of a microlocal boundary value problem at $\stackrel{\circ}{p}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P\left(x, \partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) u(x, t)=0, \quad x>0\left(\text { in the sense of } \stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}}\right)  \tag{1.8}\\
u(+0, t)=u_{0}(t), \\
\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{ext}(u)(x, t)) \cap\{x>0\} \\
\quad \subset\left\{(x, t ; \sqrt{-1}(\xi, \tau)) ; \xi-\sqrt{-1} x^{\lambda} \alpha_{j}(x) \tau=0\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Further, we have the equations

$$
\partial_{x}^{k} u(+0, t)=R_{j k}\left(\partial_{t}\right) u_{0}(t)
$$

$(j=1,2, \cdots, m ; k=0,1,2, \cdots, m-1)$, where $R_{j k}\left(\partial_{t}\right)$ is a microdifferential operator with fractional order at most $k /(\lambda+1)$.

Here $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}}$ is a sheaf on $\{x=0\} \times \sqrt{-1} T^{*} \mathbb{R}_{t}$ of mild microfunctions $([\mathrm{Kt1}])$ and ext : $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}} \ni u(x, t) \mapsto u(x, t) Y(x) \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{R}_{x} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}}$ is the canonical extension to $x \leq 0$.

For an arbitrary solution $u$ of the boundary value problem, we obtain the following theorem as an application of Main Theorem 1.

Main Theorem 2. An arbitrary solution $u(x, t) \in \stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}}$ of the boundary value problem at a point $(0,0 ; \pm \sqrt{-1})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x, \partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) u(x, t)=0, \quad x>0 \quad\left(\text { in the sense of } \stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be uniquely decomposed as a sum of $j$-pure solutions.
Example 1.1. In the case that

$$
P=\partial_{x}^{2}-x^{2} \partial_{t}^{2}
$$

the operator $P$ is transformed to $\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}=\left(w^{2}+1\right) \partial_{w}^{2}+(7 / 2) w \partial_{w}+3 / 2$, which is the Gauß hypergeometric operator. Furthermore, the operator

$$
P=\partial_{x}^{3}-x^{2} \partial_{t}^{2} \partial_{x}+2(a-b) \partial_{t} \partial_{x}+\{2(a+b)-3\} x \partial_{t}^{2}
$$

becomes the Jordan-Pochhammer operator

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}=\left(w^{3}+w\right) \partial_{w}^{3}+\left\{\frac{15}{2} w^{2}-\sqrt{-1}(a-b) w+a+b+\frac{3}{2}\right\} \partial_{w}^{2} \\
+\{12 w-2 \sqrt{-1}(a-b)\} \partial_{w}+3
\end{array}
$$

( $a$ and $b$ satisfy suitable conditions). See more details in $[\mathrm{Y}]$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we construct a subsheaf $\mathscr{C O}{ }_{+}^{\infty}$ of a sheaf $\mathscr{C O}$ of microfunctions with holomorphic parameters. Furthermore, we define fractional derivatives and microdifferential operators with fractional filtration in advance.

### 2.1. Microfunctions

Let $\mathbb{R}_{x} \times \mathbb{R}_{t} \subset \mathbb{R}_{x} \times \mathbb{C}_{r} \subset \mathbb{C}_{z} \times \mathbb{C}_{r}$ with coordinates $z=x+\sqrt{-1} \widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r=t+\sqrt{-1} \tilde{t} \in \mathbb{C}$.

To start with, we define a sheaf of hyperfunctions with holomorphic parameters and of microfunctions with holomorphic parameters respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{B}_{x} \mathbb{O}_{r}:=\mathscr{H}_{\mathbb{R}_{x} \times \mathbb{C}_{r}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{O}_{z} \times \mathbb{C}_{r}\right) \otimes o r, \\
& \mathscr{C}_{x} \mathcal{O}_{r}:=\mu_{\mathbb{R}_{x} \times \mathbb{C}_{r}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\left.\mathbb{C}_{z} \times \mathbb{C}_{r}\right)}[1] \otimes o r, ~\right.}^{\text {or }}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{O}$ is a sheaf of holomorphic functions, $\mu_{\mathbb{R}_{x} \times \mathbb{C}_{r}(\cdot) \text { is a microlocalization }}$ functor and or is an orientation sheaf defined in Kashiwara-Schapira [KS]. We often denote $\mathscr{B O}$ and $\mathscr{C O}$ instead of $\mathscr{B}_{x} \mathscr{O}_{r}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{x} \mathcal{O}_{r}$ respectively.

Analytically speaking, the sheaf $\mathscr{C O}$ is represented as follows:

$$
\mathscr{C}_{x} \mathscr{O}_{r}=\left\{f(t, \tilde{t}, x) \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{R}_{x} \times \mathbb{R}_{t} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}} ; \bar{\partial}_{r} f=0\right\}
$$

where $\mathscr{C}$ is a sheaf of microfunctions.
We can show the isomorphism of the sheaf of microfunctions under the quantized Legendre transform. This fact leads to the legitimacy of the transform of the operator considered in Section 1. The following proposition is valid ([SKK], [Kt1]).

Proposition 2.1. Let $W^{\varepsilon}$ be a set $\{(y, t ; \sqrt{-1} \eta, \sqrt{-1} \tau) \in$ $\left.\sqrt{-1} T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}_{y} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}\right) ; \varepsilon \tau>0\right\}$ for $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. We get the following isomorphism as to the sheaf of microfunctions:

$$
\beta^{\varepsilon}:\left.\left.\mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{R}_{y} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}}\right|_{W^{\varepsilon}} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(b^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{R}_{w} \times \mathbb{R}_{s}}\right|_{W^{\varepsilon}}
$$

where $b^{\varepsilon}$ is a contact transform associated with $\beta^{\varepsilon}$. Then the induced isomorphism of pseudodifferential operators are given as the following quantized contact transform:

$$
\begin{cases}\beta^{\varepsilon} \circ \partial_{y} \circ\left(\beta^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}=-\sqrt{-1} \varepsilon w \partial_{s}, & \beta^{\varepsilon} \circ y \circ\left(\beta^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}=-\sqrt{-1} \varepsilon \partial_{w}\left(\partial_{s}\right)^{-1} \\ \beta^{\varepsilon} \circ \partial_{t} \circ\left(\beta^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}=\partial_{s}, & \beta^{\varepsilon} \circ t \circ\left(\beta^{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}=s+\partial_{w} w\left(\partial_{s}\right)^{-1}\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2.2. In this paper, we only treat $\varepsilon=+1$. We therefore abbreviate $\beta^{+1}$ to $\beta$. Furthermore, since terms with respect to $s$ do not appear in our equation, we regard $s=t$.

For the reduced microdifferential equation in Section 1, we shall construct solutions which are microfunctions with holomorphic parameters. Because of Proposition 2.1, it is possible to make such solutions. Precisely explaining, we show it as follows.

Set spaces

$$
V=\mathbb{C P}_{w}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}_{t} \subset \mathscr{V}=\mathbb{C P}_{w}^{1} \times \mathbb{C}_{r}
$$

and a projection $\alpha$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{V}=T_{V}^{*} \mathscr{V}=\mathbb{C P}_{w}^{1} \times \sqrt{-1} T^{*} \mathbb{R}_{t} \ni(w, t ; \sqrt{-1} \tau) & \\
& \mapsto(t ; \sqrt{-1} \tau) \in \sqrt{-1} T^{*} \mathbb{R}_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{C P}^{1}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ is the Riemann sphere. Then the sheaf $\mathscr{C O} O_{V}$ of microfunctions with a holomorphic parameter $w$ is a sheaf on $\widetilde{V}$ of relative microfunctions:

$$
\mathscr{C O} O_{V}=\mathscr{C}_{V \mid r}\left(=\mu_{V}\left(O_{r}\right)[1] \otimes o r\right)
$$

Hence a function $\beta^{\varepsilon}[v](w, t)$ is regarded as a section in $\Gamma\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap\{\operatorname{Im} w<\right.$ $0\} ; \mathscr{C O} O_{V}$ with a small neighborhood $W^{\prime}$ of $\left(0 ; \sqrt{-1} \frac{0}{\tau}\right)$ in $\sqrt{-1} T^{*} \mathbb{R}_{t}$.

However there is an essential difficulty in treating sections of $\mathscr{C O}_{V}$. A section $g(w, t) \in \Gamma\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap\{\operatorname{Im} w<0\} ; \mathscr{C} O_{V}\right)$ does not always have a boundary value

$$
g(u-\sqrt{-1} 0, t) \in \Gamma\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap\{\operatorname{Im} w=0\} ; q^{-1} \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{S}_{w}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{S}_{w}^{1}=\mathbb{C P}_{w}^{1} \cap\{\operatorname{Im} w=0\}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
q: \tilde{V} \cap\{\operatorname{Im} w=0\} \ni(u, t ; \sqrt{-1} \tau) & \\
& \hookrightarrow(u, t ; 0, \sqrt{-1} \tau) \in \sqrt{-1} T^{*}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We therefore give a definition with respect to microfunctions with parameters so as to make them have boundary values.

Definition 2.3. A section

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(w, t) \\
& \quad \in \Gamma\left(\{(w, t ; \sqrt{-1} \tau) ; \operatorname{Im} w<0,|w-\stackrel{\circ}{u}|+|t-\stackrel{\circ}{t}|+|\tau-\stackrel{\circ}{\tau}|<\delta\} ; \mathscr{C} O_{V}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is said to have a boundary value at $(\stackrel{\circ}{u}, \stackrel{\circ}{t} ; \sqrt{-1} \tau)$ if and only if there exists a section

$$
G(w, t) \in \Gamma\left(\left\{(w, t) ; \operatorname{Im} w<0,|w-\stackrel{\circ}{u}|+|t-\stackrel{\circ}{t}|<\delta^{\prime}\right\} ; \mathscr{B} \mathbb{O}_{V}\right)
$$

with a smaller $\delta^{\prime}>0$ than $\delta$ such that we have $[G(w, t)]=g(w, t)$ in a domain

$$
\left\{(w, t ; \sqrt{-1} \tau) ; \operatorname{Im} w<0,|w-\stackrel{\circ}{u}|+|t-\stackrel{\circ}{t}|+|\tau-\stackrel{\circ}{\tau}|<\delta^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Further, it is well-known that such a boundary value $g(u-\sqrt{-1} 0, t)$ defined by $[G(u-\sqrt{-1} 0, t)]$ is uniquely determined only by a section $g(w, t)$.

Thus a section $\beta[v](w, t)=: \quad g(w, t)$ is regarded as a section of $\Gamma\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cap\{\operatorname{Im} w<0\} ; \mathscr{C} O_{V}\right)$ with a boundary value on $\mathbb{S}_{w}^{1} \times\{(\stackrel{\circ}{t} ; \sqrt{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{\tau})\}$.

Moreover, we define a sheaf $\mathscr{C O}_{+}^{\infty}$ of microfunctions with holomorphic parameters with boundary values, which plays an important role in the following sections.

Set the following spaces as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}=\{(w, t) \in(\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{R}\}, \\
& V_{+}=\mathbb{C P}_{+}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}=\{(w, t) \in V ; \operatorname{Re} w \geq 0 \text { or } w=\infty\} \text {, } \\
& \tilde{V}=\mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \sqrt{-1} T^{*} \mathbb{R}_{t} \\
& =\{(w, t ; \sqrt{-1} \tau) \in(\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{R} \times(\sqrt{-1} \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})\}, \\
& \widetilde{V_{+}}=\mathbb{C P}_{+}^{1} \times \sqrt{-1} \dot{T}^{*} \mathbb{R}_{t}=\{(w, t ; \sqrt{-1} \tau) \in \widetilde{V} ; \operatorname{Re} w \geq 0 \text { or } w=\infty\} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we set the morphisms as follows:

where $\operatorname{Int}\left(\widetilde{V_{+}}\right)=\widetilde{V_{+}} \cap\{\operatorname{Re} w>0, w \neq \infty\}$ and $\operatorname{Int}\left(V_{+}\right)=V_{+} \cap\{\operatorname{Re} w>$ $0, w \neq \infty\}$.

Then a sheaf $\mathscr{C O} 0_{+}^{\infty}$ on $\widetilde{V_{+}}$is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{C} \mathbb{O}_{+}^{\infty}:=\operatorname{Im}\left(\pi^{-1} \lambda_{*}\left(\left.\mathscr{B} \mathcal{O}\right|_{\operatorname{Int}\left(V_{+}\right)}\right) \rightarrow \lambda_{*}\left(\left.\mathscr{C O}\right|_{\operatorname{Int}\left(\widetilde{V}_{+}\right)}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Im}(*)$ stands for the image. A sheaf $\mathscr{C} O_{+}^{\infty}$ coincides with a sheaf $\mathscr{C O}$ on $\operatorname{Int}\left(\widetilde{V_{+}}\right)$, but we have $\mathscr{C O} 0_{+}^{\infty} \subsetneq \lambda_{*}\left(\left.\mathscr{C O}\right|_{\operatorname{Int}\left(\widetilde{V_{+}}\right)}\right)$on $\partial \widetilde{V_{+}}$. As a matter of fact, the sections of $\mathscr{C} \mathrm{O}_{+}^{\infty}$ have boundary values.

In conclusion, we emphasize that a section of $\mathscr{C O}$ doesn't have a boundary value in the defined domain but have it in a smaller domain. We however consider our equation with respect to $\beta[v](w, t)$, where $v(w, t)$ is a section of $\mathscr{C O}_{V}$ (details are due to Kataoka [Kt3]).

### 2.2. Definition of fractional derivatives

Fractional derivation calculus is studied by many mathematicians used by the Riemann-Liouville integral (referred to Oldham-Spanier [OS], Samko-Kilbas-Marichev [SKM]). We later extend formal norms, which are introduced by Boutet de Monvel and Krée [BK], to the case of fractional derivation.

We define a derivation of fractional order $\alpha=p / q(p, q \in \mathbb{N}, 0<\alpha<1)$ as the Riemann-Liouville integral for a function $f(w)$ which is holomorphic in $\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re} w>c\}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with a finite limit $\lim _{\operatorname{Re} w \rightarrow+\infty} f(w)$. Concretely, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d}{d w}\right)^{\alpha} f(w):=\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(s)}{(s-w)^{1+\alpha}} d s \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is a gamma function. Here the path $\gamma$ is a proper integral contour as

$$
s(t)-w= \begin{cases}\sqrt{-1} \delta-t+\pi / 2 & (-\infty<t<\pi / 2) \\ \delta e^{\sqrt{-1}} t & (\pi / 2 \leq t \leq 3 \pi / 2) \\ -\sqrt{-1} \delta+t-3 \pi / 2 & (3 \pi / 2<t<+\infty)\end{cases}
$$

Here we take $0<\arg (s(t)-w)<2 \pi$. Furthermore, by changing the integral variable $s$ to $\widetilde{s}=s-w$, it is easy to see that $(d / d w)^{\alpha} f(w)$ is holomorphic in $\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re} w>c\}$ with a finite limit $\lim _{\operatorname{Re} w \rightarrow+\infty}(d / d w)^{\alpha} f(w)$. We often use the notation $\partial_{w}^{\alpha}$ instead of $(d / d w)^{\alpha}$.

Proposition 2.4. Let $0<\alpha, \beta<1,0<\alpha+\beta<1$ and $f(w)$ be holomorphic in $\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re} w>c\}$ with a finite limit $\lim _{\operatorname{Re} w \rightarrow+\infty} f(w)$. Then the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{w}^{\alpha} \partial_{w}^{\beta} f(w)=\partial_{w}^{\alpha+\beta} f(w) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid.
Proof. The formula (2.3) directly follows from the Fubini theorem and the formula

$$
\int_{\gamma} \frac{d \tau}{(\tau-w)^{\alpha+1}(s-\tau)^{\beta+1}}=\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta+1)} \frac{1}{(s-w)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}
$$

## 3. Construction of Solutions by Iteration Scheme

In this section, we give how to construct solutions for the equation $\left(\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}\right) \beta[v]=0$. To begin with, we decompose $\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}$ as $L+R \circ$ $\bmod \mathscr{\mathscr { C }} \mathbb{R}_{w} \times \mathbb{R}_{t} \cdot \partial_{w}$, where $L$ is an ordinary differential operator of the dominant part of $\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}$, which is got rid of fractional derivatives as in Section 1. We introduce a scheme for formal symbol solutions of microdifferential operators.

### 3.1. Iteration scheme

We shall construct a formal symbol type solution $U\left(w, \partial_{t}\right) f(t)$ for an arbitrary microfunction $f(t)$, where $U\left(w, \partial_{t}\right)$ is a pseudodifferential operator with the following formal symbol:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(w, \tau)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_{j}(w) \tau^{-\frac{j}{\lambda+1}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the formal symbol $U(w, \tau)$ can be expressed as

$$
U(w, \tau)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_{j} w^{-1-\frac{j}{\lambda+1}} \tau^{-\frac{j}{\lambda+1}}
$$

at $w=\infty$. We note that this $U(w, \tau)$ can be regarded as a WKB solution with respect to a small parameter $\tau^{-1}$. Furthermore, we emphasize that $U\left(w, \partial_{t}\right) f(t)$ becomes a section of $\mathscr{6} \mathrm{O}_{+}^{\infty}$.

The equation we consider therefore reduces as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}\right) U\left(w, \partial_{t}\right)=\sum_{\substack{l^{\prime} \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m}} \widetilde{a}_{k k^{\prime}}^{l^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{\frac{l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}+k^{\prime}} \partial_{t}^{-\frac{l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}} E_{k} U\left(w, \partial_{t}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we recall that the dominant part of $\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}$ becomes an ordinary differential operator of $m$-th order with polynomial coefficients which does not include neither $\partial_{t}$ nor fractional derivatives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m} \widetilde{a}_{k k^{\prime}}^{0} \partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}} E_{k} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient of the maximal term of the operator $L$ is

$$
(\text { constant }) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(w+\alpha_{j}(0)\right)
$$

Hence we know that the $j$-th component of the formal sum $L U$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L U)_{j}=L U_{j}=\sum_{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m} \widetilde{a}_{k k^{\prime}}^{0} \partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}}\left(E_{k} U_{j}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We evaluate the rest of the equation defined above. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
R\left(w, \partial_{w}, \partial_{t}\right) & =\left(\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}\right)\left(w, \partial_{w}, \partial_{t}\right)-L\left(w, \partial_{w}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\sum_{\substack{l^{\prime} \geq 1 \\
0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m}} \widetilde{a}_{k k^{\prime}}^{l^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}+\frac{l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}} \partial_{t}^{-\frac{l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}} E_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\left|\widetilde{a}_{k k^{\prime}}^{l^{\prime}}\right| \sim C^{l^{\prime}+1}(C$ is a constant $)$.
Then the $j$-th term $(R \circ U)_{j}$ of $R \circ U$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R \circ U)_{j}=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m \\ j=j^{\prime}+l^{\prime}, l^{\prime} \geq 1}} \tilde{a}_{k k^{\prime}}^{l^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}+\frac{l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}}\left(E_{k} U_{j^{\prime}}\right) \quad \bmod \mathscr{E}_{\mathbb{R}_{w} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}}^{\mathbb{R}_{t}} \cdot \partial_{w} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important that this $R \circ U$ becomes a formal symbol of order $\leq-1 /(\lambda+$ 1).

We shall give an iteration scheme of successive approximation process for the formal symbol $U=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_{j}(w) \tau^{-\frac{j}{\lambda+1}}$ as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L U_{0}=0,  \tag{3.7}\\
L U_{k+1}=-R \circ U_{k} \quad \bmod \mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{R}_{w} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}} \cdot \partial_{w} \quad(k=0,1,2, \cdots) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This process is reduced to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L+R \circ) U=0 \quad \bmod \stackrel{\mathscr{C}}{\mathbb{R}_{w} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}} \cdot \partial_{w} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Global Estimates as to Ordinary Differential Equations

As is seen in Section 3, fractional derivatives appear in our solutionscheme. Fractional derivatives are globally determined by the path including $w=\infty \in \mathbb{C P}^{1}$. For the aim of arguing convergence of the scheme, we need global estimates as to the differential equations in the scheme.

### 4.1. Construction of holomorphic solutions

We fix a path $\gamma$ in the definition of fractional derivatives which passes through $\infty$ enclosing $s=w$ on $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ once in the positive sense as avoiding the singularities of the microdifferential equation (3.2).

Before estimating solutions globally for the equation, we give a concrete construction of the solutions of $L U=F$ by using the homogeneous equation $L U=0$.

We recall that $-\alpha_{j}(0)(j=1,2, \cdots, m)$ and a point at infinity $\infty$ are singularities of the ordinary differential operator $L$. Furthermore, a solution $u$ of $L u=0$ is supposed to form $O\left(|w|^{-1}\right)$.

Definition 4.1. We define $\alpha_{j}$-pure solutions of a homogeneous equation $L u=0$ by the solutions satisfying that $u$ can be holomorphically extended to each $-\alpha_{l}(0)(l \neq j)\left(u\right.$ generally bifurcates at $\left.-\alpha_{j}(0)\right)$.

THEOREM 4.2. There exists a basis $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{m}\right\}$ of a homogeneous equation $L u=0$ such that each $u_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, m)$ is an $\alpha_{j}$-pure solution.

Proof. Take fundamental solutions $v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{m}$ of the equation $L u=0$. At each $-\alpha_{j}(0)$, the solution space $\{u ; L u=0\}$ is spanned by $m-1$ regular solutions and 1 non-regular solution by the local theory of Fuchsian equations because the characteristic exponent is not an integer at $-\alpha_{j}(0)$. Hence we have some constants $\left(\beta_{1}^{j}, \cdots, \beta_{m}^{j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $c_{1} v_{1}+c_{2} v_{2}+\cdots c_{m} v_{m}$ is holomorphic at $w=-\alpha_{j}(0) \Longleftrightarrow \beta_{1}^{j} c_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{m}^{j} c_{m}=$ 0 . Therefore we can find a non-zero $\alpha_{j}$-pure solution $u_{j}=c_{1}^{j} v_{1}+\cdots+c_{m}^{j} v_{m}$ with coefficients $\left(c_{1}^{j}, \cdots, c_{m}^{j}\right) \neq 0$ satisfying the equations $\beta_{1}^{k} c_{1}^{j}+\cdots \beta_{m}^{k} c_{m}^{j}=$ 0 (for any $k \neq j$ ).

Take the $\alpha_{j}$-pure solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{m}$. A remaining problem is linear independence. If a relation $\lambda_{1} u_{1}+\lambda_{2} u_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} u_{m}=0$ holds, $\lambda_{1} u_{1}=$ $-\lambda_{2} u_{2}-\cdots-\lambda_{m} u_{m}$ becomes holomorphic at singular points $-\alpha_{1}(0),-\alpha_{2}(0)$, $\cdots,-\alpha_{m}(0)$. On the other hand, at $w=\infty$, any solution of $L u=0$ has a growth order $O\left(|w|^{-1}\right)$ (see the beginning of Section 4.2). Hence we have $\lambda_{1}=0$ by Liouville's theorem. In the same way, we conclude that $\lambda_{2}=\cdots=\lambda_{m}=0$.

THEOREM 4.3. For the ordinary differential equation $L\left(w, D_{w}\right) U(w)=$ $F(w)$, if $F(w)$ is holomorphic at regular singular points $w=-\alpha_{2}(0)$, $-\alpha_{3}(0), \cdots,-\alpha_{m}(0)$, then there exists a solution $U(w)$, which is also holomorphic at $w=-\alpha_{2}(0),-\alpha_{3}(0), \cdots,-\alpha_{m}(0)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we have a basis $\left\{\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{m}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{X}=\{u$; $L u=0\}$ such that each $\omega_{j}$ is an $\alpha_{j}$-pure solution. Since the characteristic
exponent at $-\alpha_{j}(0)$ for $L$ is not integer, by the local theory of Fuchsian equations, we can find a holomorphic solution $U^{j}$ at $w=-\alpha_{j}(0)$ to $L U^{j}=F$ for any $j \neq 1$. Therefore we have

$$
U^{i}-U^{j} \in \mathfrak{X}, \quad i, j=2, \cdots, m
$$

Hence there exist some coefficients $c_{l}^{i j}(i, j=2, \cdots, m ; l=1, \cdots, m)$ such that

$$
U^{i}-U^{j}=\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{l}^{i j} \omega_{l}, \quad i, j=2, \cdots, m
$$

Note that $c_{l}^{i i}=0, c_{l}^{i j}+c_{l}^{j k}+c_{l}^{k i}=0$ for $i, j, k=2, \cdots, m$ and $l=$ $1, \cdots, m$. We set

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{1}^{i}=\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{q=2}^{m} c_{1}^{i q} \\
b_{l}^{i}=\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{q=2}^{m}\left(c_{l}^{i q}-c_{l}^{l q}\right) \quad(l \neq 1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore we have $b_{i}^{i}=0$ and

$$
b_{l}^{i}-b_{l}^{j}=\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\sum_{q=2}^{m} c_{l}^{i q}-\sum_{q=2}^{m} c_{l}^{j q}\right)=c_{l}^{i j}
$$

Since $b_{i}^{i}=0(i=2, \cdots, m), U:=U^{i}-\sum_{l=1}^{m} b_{l}^{i} \omega_{l}$ does not depend on $i$. Hence $U$ is a holomorphic solution of $L U=F$ at every $w=-\alpha_{i}(0)$ $(i=2, \cdots, m)$.

### 4.2. Global estimates

We will prove Main Theorem 1 by constructing a 1-pure solution. Then, without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha_{1}(x) \equiv 0$, namely $a_{m m}(x) \equiv$ 0 , after a suitable coordinate change $\widetilde{x}=x, \widetilde{t}=t+h(x)$ with $h(x)=$ $\int_{0}^{x} \sqrt{-1} s^{\lambda} \alpha_{1}(s) d s$ (note that $\alpha_{1}(x)$ is a purely imaginary-valued function). Indeed we have

$$
\partial_{\tilde{x}}=\partial_{x}-h^{\prime}(x) \partial_{t}, \quad \partial_{\tilde{t}}=\partial_{t}
$$

Hence $L$ has the following form:

$$
L=\ell(w)\left(w \partial^{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \gamma_{k} \partial_{w}^{m-k}\right)
$$

where $\ell(w)$ is a non-zero holomorphic function and $\gamma_{k}(w)$ is holomorphic at $w=0$ for every $k=1, \cdots, m$

A fractional derivative is a non-local operator defined by an integral with a path including a point at a infinity. We therefore need to evaluate the solutions of ordinary differential equation in our scheme globally. To start with, we introduce our solution space.

For a sufficiently small $\delta_{0}, \varepsilon>0$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{j} & :=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C} ;\left|w+\alpha_{j}(0)\right| \leq \delta_{0}\right\} \quad(j=2,3, \cdots, m)  \tag{4.1}\\
\Omega^{1} & :=\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; w \neq 0,|\arg w| \leq \pi-\varepsilon\} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of circumstances at a point at infinity, we transform the microdifferential operator $\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}$ by $w=z^{-(\lambda+1)}$.

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{-(\lambda+1)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{m-j-1}\left(-(\lambda+1) \partial_{w} w-l\right) \cdot z^{\lambda+1} & =\prod_{l=0}^{m-j-1}\left(-(\lambda+1) w \partial_{w}-l\right) \\
& =\prod_{l=0}^{m-j-1}\left(z \partial_{z}-l\right)=z^{m-j} \partial_{z}^{m-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{-(\lambda+1)} \circ\left(\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}\right) \circ z^{\lambda+1} \\
& =\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{a_{j k}^{(s)}(0)}{s!} z^{-(\lambda+1)}\left\{-\sqrt{-1}(\lambda+1) \partial_{w} \partial_{t}^{-1}\right\}^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}} z^{\lambda+1} \partial_{t}^{k} \cdot z^{m-j} \partial_{z}^{m-j} \\
& =\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{a_{j k}^{(s)}(0)}{s!}\{-\sqrt{-1}(\lambda+1)\}^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}} z^{-(\lambda+1)} \partial_{w}^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}} z^{\lambda+1+m-j} \partial_{t}^{k-\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}} \partial_{z}^{m-j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that the term $z^{-(\lambda+1)} \partial_{w}^{(s+j) /(\lambda+1)}\left(z^{\lambda+1+m-j} v(z)\right)$ with a holomorphic function $v(z)$ can be divided by $z^{m}$ at $z=0$. In fact, by the definition of fractional derivatives,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{-(\lambda+1)} \partial_{w}^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}}\left(z^{\lambda+1+m-j} v(z)\right) \\
& =w \partial_{w}^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}}\left(w^{-1-\frac{m-j}{\lambda+1}} v\left(w^{-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\Gamma(1+(s+j) /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} w \int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{\tau^{-1-\frac{m-j}{\lambda+1}} v\left(\tau^{-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right)}{(\tau-w)^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}+1}} d \tau \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(1+(s+j) /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} z^{m+s} \int_{\gamma_{2}} \frac{\theta^{-1-\frac{m-j}{\lambda+1}}}{(\theta-1)^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}+1}} v\left((w \theta)^{-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right) d \theta \\
& =-\frac{\Gamma(1+(s+j) /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} z^{m+s} \int_{\gamma_{3}} \frac{p^{\frac{m+s}{\lambda+1}}}{(1-p)^{\frac{s+j}{\lambda+1}+1}} v\left(z p^{\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right) d p
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use transforms $\tau=w \theta$ and $p=\theta^{-1}$ in this calculation and set suitable integral paths $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$. Since $s \geq 0$, this proves the divisibility by $z^{m}$. Therefore the equation

$$
\left(\beta \circ Q \circ \beta^{-1}\right) U\left(w, \partial_{t}\right)=(L+R \circ) U\left(w, \partial_{t}\right)=0
$$

can be transformed to

$$
z^{m}(M+N \circ) V\left(z, \partial_{t}\right)=0
$$

with $w=z^{-(\lambda+1)}$ and $V\left(z, \partial_{t}\right)=w U\left(w, \partial_{w}\right)$. Here $M=z^{-(\lambda+1+m)} L z^{(\lambda+1)}$ is an $m$-th order non-characteristic operator at $z=0$ with holomorphic coefficients in $z$ and $N$ is a remaining integral operator as above which preserves the analyticity at $z=0$. In particular, any solution $U(w)$ of $L U=0$ has the form

$$
U(w)=w^{-1} V\left(w^{-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right)
$$

at $w=\infty$ with a holomorphic function $V(z)$ at $z=0$.
With these domains, we introduce a solution space
(4.3) $X:=\left\{(F(w), G(z)) \in \mathscr{O}_{w}\left(\Omega^{1}\right) \times \mathscr{O}_{z}\left(B\left(0 ; \varepsilon_{0}^{1 /(\lambda+1)}\right)\right)\right.$;
$w F(w)=G(z)$ with $\left.w=z^{-(\lambda+1)}, 0<|z|<\varepsilon_{0}^{1 /(\lambda+1)},|\arg z|<\frac{\pi}{2(\lambda+1)}\right\}$
for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and its subspace
(4.4) $\quad \stackrel{\circ}{X}:=\left\{(F(w), G(z)) \in X ; G^{(l)}(0)=0, \quad l=0,1,2, \cdots, m-1\right\} \subset X$.

For $F \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}$, we shall solve the equation $L U=F$ in the space $X$.

Proposition 4.4. Operators $\partial_{w}, w \partial_{w}, \partial_{w}^{l /(\lambda+1)}(l=1,2, \cdots)$ naturally act on elements of $X$. Further, these operators preserve $\stackrel{\circ}{X}$ in $X$ and $L(X) \subset$ $\stackrel{\circ}{X},(R \circ)(X) \subset \stackrel{\circ}{X}$.

Proof. Take one $(F, G) \in X$. Since we have

$$
F^{\prime}(w)=z^{\lambda+1}\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda+1} z^{\lambda+2} G^{\prime}(z)-z^{\lambda+1} G(z)\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\left(F^{\prime}(w),-\frac{z^{\lambda+2}}{\lambda+1} G^{\prime}(z)-z^{\lambda+1} G(z)\right) \in X
$$

In a similar manner,

$$
\left(w F^{\prime}(w),-\frac{z}{\lambda+1} G^{\prime}(z)-G(z)\right) \in X
$$

We lastly prove this proposition as to fractional derivatives. By the definition,

$$
\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F(w)=\frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{F\left(w^{\prime}\right)}{\left(w^{\prime}-w\right)^{l /(\lambda+1)+1}} d w^{\prime}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ is a contour from $w^{\prime}=\infty$ enclosing $w^{\prime}=w$. As $F\left(w^{\prime}\right)=$ $w^{\prime-1} G\left(w^{\prime-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right)$ in a neighborhood of $[w,+\infty)$ for a large positive $w \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F(w)=C \int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{w^{\prime-1} G\left(w^{\prime-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right)}{\left(w^{\prime}-w\right)^{l /(\lambda+1)+1}} d w^{\prime}
$$

with a suitable $C$. Transforming as $w^{\prime}=z^{-(\lambda+1)} w^{\prime \prime}$ for any small positive $z=w^{-1 /(\lambda+1)} \in \mathbb{R}$, we finally obtain

$$
\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F(w)=C z^{l+\lambda+1} \int_{\gamma_{2}} \frac{w^{\prime \prime-1} G\left(z w^{\prime \prime-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right)}{\left(w^{\prime \prime}-1\right)^{l /(\lambda+1)+1}} d w^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $\gamma_{2}$ is a contour enclosing $[1, \infty)$. Setting

$$
\widetilde{G}(z)=C z^{l} \int_{\gamma_{2}} \frac{w^{\prime \prime-1} G\left(z w^{\prime \prime-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right)}{\left(w^{\prime \prime}-1\right)^{l /(\lambda+1)+1}} d w^{\prime \prime}
$$

we have $\left(\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F(w), \widetilde{G}(z)\right) \in X$. It is clear from the above explicit forms that these operators preserve $\stackrel{\circ}{X}$ in $X$.

For estimating solutions for the equation $L U=F$, we introduce several norms.

Definition 4.5. We define the following norms for $F(w) \in \mathcal{O}_{w}\left(\Omega^{1}\right)$ and $G(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{z}\left(B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right)\right)$ : for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k=0,1,2, \cdots$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|F\|_{\mu, k}:=\max _{0 \leq l \leq k} \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1}}\left(\frac{|w|}{1+|w|}\right)^{\mu+l-(k-1)_{+}}\left|\partial_{w}^{l} F(w)\right|  \tag{4.5}\\
& \|G\|_{k}^{\prime}:=\max _{0 \leq l \leq k} \sup _{z \in B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right)}\left|\left[\partial_{w}^{l}\right] G(z)\right| \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
(k-1)_{+}= \begin{cases}k-1, & k \geq 1 \\ 0, & k=0\end{cases}
$$

and $\left[\partial_{w}^{k}\right] G(z)$ stands for an operation on $G(z)$ with respect to $z=$ $w^{-1 /(\lambda+1)}$ in a neighborhood of $z=0$, that is, with a viewpoint of $w$-plane, we shall use $\left[\partial_{w}^{k}\right] G(z)$ for estimations at a point at infinity $w=\infty$.

Definition 4.6. We define norms for a pair $(F(w), G(z)) \in X$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|(F, G)\|_{\mu}:=\|F\|_{\mu, 0}+\|G\|_{0}^{\prime}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \|(F, G)\|_{\mu, k}:=\|F\|_{\mu, k}+\|G\|_{k}^{\prime} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, we define a norm with fractional derivatives as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(F, G)\|_{\mu, l+\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}}:=\sum_{k=0}^{(\lambda+1) l+\lambda}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{k}{\lambda+1}}(F, G)\right\|_{\mu, k} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we abbreviate $\|(F, G)\|_{*}$ to $\|F\|_{*}$, this $\|F\|_{*}$ stands only for a term with respect to $F$.

Remark 4.7. We sometimes identify $(F, G)$ with $F$ because $G$ is uniquely determined by $F$.

We use the following estimates in Kataoka-Sato [KtS].
Lemma $4.8([\mathrm{KtS}])$. Let $S=w \partial_{w}^{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \gamma_{k}(w) \partial_{w}^{m-k}$. Set $D=\{w \in$ $\mathbb{C} ;|w| \leq 1\}$ and $\Omega=\{w \in \mathbb{C} ; 0<|w| \leq 1,|\arg w| \leq \pi-\varepsilon\}$ for an $\varepsilon>0$. Assume that $\gamma_{k}$ is holomorphic on $D$ with $\gamma_{1}(0) \neq 0,-1,-2, \cdots$ and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =1+\sup _{w \in D} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|\gamma_{k}(w)\right|<\infty \\
B & =\min \left\{\left|p+\gamma_{1}(0)\right| ; p=0,1,2, \cdots\right\}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists a positive constant $C$ depending only on $A$ and $B$ such that the following equations hold:
(1) regular case: for a function $F(w) \in \mathbb{O}(D)$, any solution $U(w) \in \mathbb{O}(D)$ of the equation $S U=F$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ w \in D}}\left|U^{(j)}(w)\right| \leq C\left\{\sup _{w \in D}|F(w)|+\sum_{j=0}^{m-2}\left|U^{(j)}(0)\right|\right\} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) non-regular case: for a function $F(w) \in \mathbb{O}(\Omega)$, any solution $U(w) \in$ $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ of the equation $S U=F$ satisfies
(4.11) $\sup _{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ w \in \Omega}}|w|^{\mu-m+1+j}\left|U^{(j)}(w)\right| \leq C\left\{\sup _{w \in \Omega}|w|^{\mu}|F(w)|+\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left|U^{(j)}(1)\right|\right\}$,
with any $\mu \geq A+m+1$.
Here we calculate a fractional derivative of $G(z)$ defined in a neighborhood of $w=\infty$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F(w) & =\frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{F(\widetilde{w})}{(\widetilde{w}-w)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} d \widetilde{w} \\
& =-(\lambda+1) \frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{2}} \frac{\widetilde{z}^{l+\lambda} G(\widetilde{z})}{\left(1-w \widetilde{z}^{\lambda+1}\right)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} d \widetilde{z} \\
& =-(\lambda+1) \frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{3}} \frac{z^{l+\lambda+1} \widetilde{z}^{l+\lambda} G(\widetilde{z})}{\left(z^{\lambda+1}-\widetilde{z}^{\lambda+1}\right)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} d \widetilde{z},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ is a contour enclosing $\infty$ and $w, \gamma_{2}$ is contour from $z$ enclosing $z^{-(\lambda+1)}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ is from 0 enclosing 1.

Transforming $\widetilde{z}=z \theta$, we get a fractional derivative at $z=0$ (i.e. $w=\infty$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right] G(z)}  \tag{4.12}\\
& =-(\lambda+1) \frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} z^{l} \int_{\gamma_{3}} \frac{\theta^{l+\lambda} G(z \theta)}{\left(1-\theta^{\lambda+1}\right)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

for $l=1,2, \cdots$. In the case of $l=0$, we define $\partial_{w}^{l /(\lambda+1)} G(z)=G(z)$.
Lemma 4.9. For the equation $L U=F \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}$, there exists a pair $(U, V) \in X$ such that we have

$$
\|(U, V)\|_{\mu, m} \leq C\|(F, G)\|_{\mu}
$$

with a positive constant $C>0$ for any $\mu \geq A+m+1$.
Proof. For each $j=2,3, \cdots, m$, take one solution $U^{j} \in \mathbb{O}\left(D_{j}\right)$ for the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L U^{j}=F \\
\partial_{w}^{l} U^{j}\left(-\alpha_{j}(0)\right)=0, \quad l=0,1,2, \cdots, m-2
\end{array}\right.
$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.8, we have

$$
\sup _{\substack{w \in D_{j} \\ 0 \leq l \leq m}}\left|\partial_{w}^{l} U^{j}(w)\right| \leq C_{1} \sup _{w \in D_{j}}|F(w)|
$$

with a constant $C_{1}>0$. By the Gronwall inequality, an analytic continuation to a domain $K:=\{w \in \mathbb{C} ;|w-1| \leq 1 / 2\} \subset \Omega^{1}$ leads the following inequality:

$$
\sup _{\substack{w \in K \\ 0 \leq l \leq m}}\left|\partial_{w}^{l} U^{j}(w)\right| \leq C_{2}\|F(w)\|_{\mu, 0}
$$

with a constant $C_{2}>0$. As is seen in Theorem 4.3,

$$
U(w):=\widetilde{U}(w)=U^{j}(w)-\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{l}^{j} u_{l}(w)
$$

with an $\alpha_{l}$-pure solution $u_{l}(w)$ becomes independent of $j$ and to be holomorphic on $\Omega^{1}$. Since $b_{l}^{j}$ 's are estimated by $\sup _{2 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq l \leq m}\left|\partial_{w}^{l} U^{j}(1)\right|$, by (2) of Lemma 4.8 we get a positive constant $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{\substack{0 \leq l \leq m \\ w \in \Omega^{1} \\|w| \leq\left(2 / \delta_{0}\right)^{\lambda+1}}}\left(\frac{|w|}{1+|w|}\right)^{\mu+l-m+1}\left|\partial_{w}^{l} U(w)\right| \leq C_{3}\|F(w)\|_{\mu, 0}
$$

Secondly, we see the estimate at a point at infinity.
In a neighborhood of $w=\left(2 / \delta_{0}\right)^{\lambda+1}$,

$$
M\left(z, \partial_{z}\right) V(z)=z^{-m} G(z) \in \mathbb{O}\left(B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right)\right)
$$

where $M\left(z, \partial_{z}\right)$ is a non-characteristic operator in $|z|<\delta_{0}$ and $V(z)=$ $w U(w)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $z=\delta_{0} / 2$. There exist constants $C_{4}, C_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\max _{0 \leq l \leq m}\left|\partial_{z}^{l} V\left(\frac{\delta_{0}}{2}\right)\right| \leq C_{4} \max _{0 \leq l \leq m}\left|\partial_{w}^{l} U\left(\left(\frac{2}{\delta_{0}}\right)^{\lambda+1}\right)\right| \leq C_{5}\|F(w)\|_{\mu, 0}
$$

Using the maximum principle and the Gronwall inequality for $M\left(z, \partial_{z}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{0 \leq l \leq m} \sup _{z \in B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right)}\left|\partial_{z}^{l} V(z)\right| & \leq C_{6}\left(\max _{0 \leq l \leq m}\left|\partial_{z}^{l} V\left(\frac{\delta_{0}}{2}\right)\right|+\sup _{z \in B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right)}\left|z^{-m} G(z)\right|\right) \\
& \leq C_{7}\left(\|F(w)\|_{\mu, 0}+\frac{1}{\delta_{0}^{m}} \sup _{z \in B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right)}|G(z)|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with constants $C_{6}, C_{7}>0$. It follows that we have

$$
\|(U, V)\|_{\mu, m} \leq C\|(F, G)\|_{\mu}
$$

with a positive constant $C>0$.
Proposition 4.10. For the equation $L U=F \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}$ with $\|(F, G)\|_{\mu, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}}<\infty$, there exists a pair $(U, V) \in X$ such that

$$
\|(U, V)\|_{\mu, m+\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} \leq C\|(F, G)\|_{\mu, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}}
$$

with a positive constant $C>0$ for any $\mu \geq A+m+1$.
REmark 4.11. We can uniquely determine $U$ more explicitly as follows. For an $\alpha_{1}$-pure solution $u_{1}(w)$, we take a sufficiently large $w_{0} \in$ $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfies a condition $u_{1}\left(w_{0}\right) \neq 0$. As there exists $\widetilde{U}=U^{j}-$ $\sum_{l=1}^{m} b_{l}^{j} u_{l}(w) \in X(j \neq l)$, we set $U-\widetilde{U}=c u_{1}$ with some constant $c$ for $L U=F$. We set $U$ with additional condition $U\left(w_{0}\right)=c$ for $L U=F$ as

$$
U(w)=\widetilde{U}(w)+\frac{c-\widetilde{U}\left(w_{0}\right)}{u_{1}\left(w_{0}\right)} u_{1}(w)
$$

Therefore we have the following estimate

$$
\|(U, V)\|_{\mu, m} \leq C\left(\|(F, G)\|_{\mu}+\left|U\left(w_{0}\right)\right|\right)
$$

for any similar solution $(U, V) \in X$ of $L U=F$.
Proof of Proposition 4.10. We have

$$
L\left(\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U\right)=\left[L, \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right] U+\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F
$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ stands for a commutator. By virtue of Lemma 4.9, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}(U, V)\right\|_{\mu, m}  \tag{4.13}\\
& \leq C_{1}\left(\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}(F, G)\right\|_{\mu, 0}+\left\|\left[L, \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right](U, V)\right\|_{\mu, 0}+\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U\left(w_{0}\right)\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with a positive constant $C_{1}>0$ because $\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}(F, G) \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}$.
Lemma 4.12. For $0 \leq l \leq \lambda$, there is a positive constant $C^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U\left(w_{0}\right)\right| \leq C^{\prime}\|(U, V)\|_{\mu, 1}
$$

Proof. In virtue of integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{U(\widetilde{w})}{(\widetilde{w}-w)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} d \widetilde{w}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\Gamma(l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{U^{\prime}(\widetilde{w})}{(\widetilde{w}-w)^{l /(\lambda+1)}} d \widetilde{w} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{2}} \frac{U^{\prime}(t+w)}{t^{l /(\lambda+1)}} d t \quad(\widetilde{w}=t+w) \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(-1+e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} l /(\lambda+1)}\right) t^{-l /(\lambda+1)} U^{\prime}(t+w) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ is a contour from $\infty$ enclosing $w$ and $\gamma_{2}$ is also a contour from $\infty$ enclosing 0 .

In particular, we have

$$
\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U\left(w_{0}\right)\right| \leq C_{2}\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{N} t^{-\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right)\|U\|_{1}+\int_{N}^{\infty} N^{-\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\left|U^{\prime}(w+t)\right| d t\right\}
$$

with a constant $C_{2}>0$. Since $U^{\prime}(w)=-w^{-2} V\left(w^{-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right)-$ $w^{-2-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}} V^{\prime}\left(w^{-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right) /(\lambda+1)$, there is a constant $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{N}^{\infty} N^{-\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\left|U^{\prime}(w+t)\right| d t \leq C_{3}\|V\|_{1}^{\prime}
$$

This completes the proof of this lemma.
A sequel to the proof of Proposition 4.10. From now on, we take a solution $U \in X$ satisfying $U\left(w_{0}\right)=0$.

In order to evaluate a part of a commutator, we may calculate $\left[w^{p} \partial_{w}^{q}\right.$, $\left.\partial_{w}^{l /(\lambda+1)}\right] U(p \leq q)$ because the operator $L$ consists of $w^{p} \partial_{w}^{q}$.

Here we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w^{p} \partial_{w}^{q}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}} \frac{U(\widetilde{w})}{(\widetilde{w}-w)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} d \widetilde{w}\right) \\
& =w^{p} \frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}} U(\widetilde{w}) \frac{d^{q}}{d w^{q}}\left((\widetilde{w}-w)^{-1-\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right) d \widetilde{w} \\
& =w^{p} \frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}} U^{(q)}(\widetilde{w}) \frac{d \widetilde{w}}{(\widetilde{w}-w)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\left|\left[w^{p} \partial_{w}^{q}, \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right] U\right|=\left|\frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}} U^{(q)}(\widetilde{w}) \frac{w^{p}-\widetilde{w}^{p}}{(\widetilde{w}-w)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} d \widetilde{w}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|\frac{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_{1}} U^{(q)}(\widetilde{w}) \frac{w^{p-1}+w^{p-2} \widetilde{w}+\cdots+\widetilde{w}^{p-1}}{(\widetilde{w}-w)^{l /(\lambda+1)}} d \widetilde{w}\right| \\
& \leq C(l) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|U^{(q)}(w+t)\right| t^{-\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1}|w|^{p-1-r}|w+t|^{r} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

with a positive constant $C(l)$. We show the boundedness of the integral in this right-hand side in three cases as follows:
(i) in the case of $|w|<N, 0 \leq t \leq 2 N$. The $\|\cdot\|_{\mu, 0 \text {-norm of the cor- }}$ responding part of this integral is easily estimated by $\left\|U^{(q)}(w)\right\|_{\mu, 0}$ since $\sup _{t>0, w \in \Omega^{1}}|w| /|w+t|<\infty$.
(ii) in the case of $|w| \geq N$ or $t \geq 2 N$. Since $\sup _{t>0, w \in \Omega^{1}}(|w|+t) /|w+t|=$ $\sup _{w \in \Omega^{1}}(|w|+1) /|w+1|<\infty$, we have $|w+t| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore $\left|U^{(q)}(w+t)\right|=\left|\left(\frac{d}{d w}\right)^{q}\left(w^{\prime-1} V\right)\right|_{w^{\prime}=w+t}\left|\leq C_{4} \sup _{\substack{z \in B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right) \\ 0 \leq l \leq q}}\right| V^{(l)}(z)|\cdot| t+\left.w\right|^{-q-1}$
with a constant $C_{4}>0$. Hence we obtain an estimation of the $\|\cdot\|_{\mu, 0}$-norm of the corresponding part of the integral by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{4} \int_{2 N}^{\infty}|t+w|^{-q-1} \sup _{\substack{z \in B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right) \\
0 \leq l \leq q}}\left|V^{(l)}(z)\right| t^{-\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1}|w|^{p-1-r}|w+t|^{r} d t \\
& =C_{4} \sup _{\substack{z \in B\left(0, \delta_{0}\right) \\
0 \leq l \leq q}}\left|V^{(l)}(z)\right| \int_{2 N}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} t^{-\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} \frac{|w|^{p-r-1}}{|t+w|^{q-r+1}} d t \\
& \leq C_{5} \sup _{\substack{z \in B\left(0, \delta_{0}\right) \\
0 \leq l \leq q}}\left|V^{(l)}(z)\right| \int_{2 N}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} t^{-\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-2} d t<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

with a positive constant $C_{5}>0$.
Since $0 \leq p \leq q \leq m$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[w^{p} \partial_{w}^{q}, \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right] U\right\|_{\mu, 0} & \leq C_{6}\left(\sup _{\substack{z \in B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right) \\
0 \leq l \leq q}}\left|V^{(l)}(z)\right|+\left\|U^{(q)}(w)\right\|_{\mu, 0}\right) \\
& \leq C_{7}\|(U, V)\|_{\mu, m} \leq C_{8}\|(F, G)\|_{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

with some constants $C_{6}, C_{7}, C_{8}>0$.
A remaining problem in this proposition is an estimate with respect to the commutator at a point at infinity. This term is calculated as follows:

$$
\left[L \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}-\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} L\right] V=[L]\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right] V\right)-\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right]([L] V)
$$

By the same arguments above, all we have to calculate here is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(w \partial_{w}\right)^{p}\right]\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{q+\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right] V\right)-\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right]\left(\left[\left(w \partial_{w}\right)^{p}\right]\left[\partial_{w}^{q}\right] V\right)} \\
& \quad=\left[\left(w \partial_{w}\right)^{p}\right]\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right]\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{q}\right] V\right)\right)-\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right]\left(\left[\left(w \partial_{w}\right)^{p}\right]\left[\partial_{w}^{q}\right] V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left[\left(w \partial_{w}\right)^{p}\right]=z^{-(\lambda+1)}\left\{-z \partial_{z} /(\lambda+1)\right\}^{p} z^{\lambda+1}=\left\{-z \partial_{z} /(\lambda+1)-1\right\}^{p}$, setting $G=\left[\partial_{w}^{q}\right] V$, we have only to evaluate $z^{k} \partial_{z}^{k}\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{l /(\lambda+1)}\right] G\right)-$ $\left[\partial_{w}^{l /(\lambda+1)}\right]\left(z^{k} \partial_{z}^{k} G\right)$.

By the definition (4.12) of fractional derivation on $G$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{\Gamma(1+l /(\lambda+1))}\left\{z^{k} \partial_{z}^{k}\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{l /(\lambda+1)}\right] G\right)-\left[\partial_{w}^{l /(\lambda+1)}\right]\left(z^{k} \partial_{z}^{k} G\right)\right\} \\
& =C(l)\left(z^{k} \partial_{z}^{k} \cdot z^{l} \int_{\gamma_{3}} \frac{\theta^{l+\lambda} G(z \theta)}{\left(1-\theta^{\lambda+1}\right)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} d \theta\right. \\
& \left.-\quad-z^{l} \int_{\gamma_{3}} \frac{\theta^{l+\lambda}(z \theta)^{k} G^{(k)}(z \theta)}{\left(1-\theta^{\lambda+1}\right)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} d \theta\right) \\
& =C(l) \int_{\gamma_{3}} \frac{\theta^{l+\lambda}}{\left(1-\theta^{\lambda+1}\right)^{1+l /(\lambda+1)}} \\
& \quad \times\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{k}\binom{k}{s} \frac{l!}{(l-k+s)!} z^{l+s} \theta^{s} G^{(s)}(z \theta)-z^{l+k} \theta^{k} G^{(k)}(z \theta)\right\} d \theta \\
& =C(l) \int_{\gamma_{3}} \frac{\theta^{l}}{l} \frac{d}{d \theta}\left(\left(1-\theta^{\lambda+1}\right)^{-l /(\lambda+1)}\right) \sum_{s=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{s} \frac{l!}{(l-k+s)!} z^{l+s} \theta^{s} G^{(s)}(z \theta) d \theta \\
& =-C(l) \sum_{s=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{s} \frac{(l-1)!}{(l-k+s)!} z^{l+s} \int_{\gamma_{3}}\left(1-\theta^{\lambda+1}\right)^{-l /(\lambda+1)} \frac{d}{d \theta}\left(\theta^{l+s} G^{(s)}(z \theta)\right) d \theta \\
& =-C(l) \sum_{s=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{s} \frac{(l-1)!}{(l-k+s)!} z^{l+s}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} l /(\lambda+1)}\right) \frac{(1-\theta)^{-l /(\lambda+1)}}{\left(1+\theta+\cdots+\theta^{\lambda}\right)^{l /(\lambda+1)}} \frac{d}{d \theta}\left(\theta^{l+s} G^{(s)}(z \theta)\right) d \theta
$$

As the last term is integrable, there exists a constant, all of which is on behalf of $C>0$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{z \in B\left(0 ; \delta_{0}\right)}\left|\left[L \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}-\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} L\right] V\right| & \leq C\|G\|_{k}^{\prime} \leq C\|G\|_{p}^{\prime} \leq C\|V\|_{p+q}^{\prime} \leq C\|V\|_{m}^{\prime} \\
& \leq C\|(F, G)\|_{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of this proposition.

## 5. Estimations by Formal Norms

This section gives the proof of the convergence of the solution operator appears in a successive approximation process. For this aim, we define some formal norms similar to [BK], which determine microdifferential operators.

### 5.1. Formal norms

To prove the convergence of the scheme, we introduce our formal norms.
Definition 5.1. Let $U=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_{j}(w) \tau^{-j /(\lambda+1)}$ be a formal symbol such that $U_{j}(w)$ is a holomorphic function on $\Omega^{1}$ with $\left(U_{j}(w), V_{j}(z)\right) \in X$ for $j=0,1,2, \cdots\left(V_{j}(z)=w U_{j}(w)\right)$. Then a formal norm $N_{m^{\prime}}^{\mu}(U, V ; T)$ with respect to indefinite $T$ for each $m^{\prime}=0,1,2, \cdots$ for $\mu \geq A+m^{\prime}+1(A$ is defined in Lemma 4.8) is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{m^{\prime}}^{\mu}(U, V ; T)  \tag{5.1}\\
& :=\sum_{j, l=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^{2 j+l}}{\left(\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}\right)!}\left\{\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}(w)\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}, m^{\prime}}+\left\|\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right] V_{j}(z)\right\|_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}\right)!=\Gamma\left(\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}+1\right)$. When we write $N_{m^{\prime}}^{\mu}(U ; T)$, this formal norm stands for a norm only for a term with respect to $U$ of $N_{m^{\prime}}^{\mu}(U, V ; T)$.

Remark 5.2. In Boutet de Monvel-Krée [BK], the monstrous coefficients of the formal norms defined above further require the term with respect to $j$ because of justification of the composition of microdifferential operators. However we only treat convergence of microdifferential operators with fractional order and hence we do not give such terms with respect to $j$.

### 5.2. Estimations of the dominant part

Taking these formal norms, we are going to estimate the operators which appear in the approximation process in the previous section.

Before evaluating the formal norm, we prepare the following lemma for the estimates of some commutators between $L$ and fractional derivatives of higher order.

Lemma 5.3. We obtain the following estimate as to $E_{k}=$ $\prod_{i=0}^{m-k-1}\left(-(\lambda+1) \partial_{w} w-i\right):$ for $k^{\prime}=0,1,2, \cdots$ and $l=0,1,2, \cdots, \lambda$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}+\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\left(E_{k} U_{j}\right)\right| \leq M_{m} \sum_{0 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m}(l+1)^{p^{\prime}}|w|^{p-p^{\prime}}\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}+q-p^{\prime}} U_{j}\right| \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a positive constant $M_{m}>0$, which does not depend on $j, l=0,1,2, \cdots$.
Proof. Since $\partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}} E_{k}$ has a form

$$
\partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}} E_{k}=\sum_{0 \leq p \leq q \leq m} \alpha_{p q}^{k^{\prime} k} w^{p} \partial_{w}^{q}
$$

with some $\alpha_{p q}^{k^{\prime} k}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}+\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\left(E_{k} U_{j}\right)\right|=\left|\sum_{0 \leq p \leq q \leq m} \alpha_{p q}^{k^{\prime} k} \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\left(w^{p} \partial_{w}^{q} U_{j}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{0 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m} \alpha_{p q}^{k^{\prime} k} \frac{1}{p^{\prime}!} \frac{p!}{\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)!} w^{p-p^{\prime}} \prod_{i=0}^{p^{\prime}-1}\left(\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-i\right) \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-p^{\prime}+q} U_{j}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{0 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m}\left|\alpha_{p q}^{k^{\prime} k}\right|\binom{p}{p^{\prime}}(l+1)^{p^{\prime}}|w|^{p-p^{\prime}}\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-p^{\prime}+q} U_{j}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) Estimates of higher order derivatives of $\boldsymbol{U}$.

We shall evaluate the ordinary differential equation $L U=F$.

## (1-1) Estimates on $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{1}$.

To begin with, we estimate the equation $L U=F$ on $\Omega^{1}$. Setting $U=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_{j}(w) \tau^{-\frac{j}{\lambda+1}}$ and $F=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_{j}(w) \tau^{-\frac{j}{\lambda+1}}$ with $U_{j}, F_{j} \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}$, we consider the equation $L U_{j}=F_{j}$ for their components.

Let $w_{0}$ be a large positive number chosen in Remark 4.11 concerning the 1-pure solution $u_{1}(w)$ of $L U=0$.

Lemma 5.4. If $U\left(w_{0}\right)=0$, we have an estimate with respect to the equation $L U_{j}=F_{j} \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}$ in $\Omega^{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}(w)\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}, m}  \tag{5.3}\\
& \quad \leq C\left(\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F_{j}(w)\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}, 0}+\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}\left(w_{0}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad+M \sum_{q=1}^{m}(l+1)^{q}\left\|\partial_{w^{\frac{l-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}}} U_{j}(w)\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}, 0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with some constants $C, M>0$, for $l \geq 1$.
Proof. Since

$$
L\left(\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}\right)=\left[L, \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right] U_{j}+\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F_{j}
$$

we have the following estimate by Lemma 4.9 (and Remark 4.11) for some constant $C_{1}>0$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}(w)\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}, m} \leq C_{1}\left(\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F_{j}(w)\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}, 0}+\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}\left(w_{0}\right)\right|\right. \\
&\left.+\left\|\left[L, \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right] U_{j}(w)\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}, 0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing to estimate, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}(w)\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}, m} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left\{\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F_{j}(w)\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}, 0}+\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}\left(w_{0}\right)\right|\right. \\
& +\sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}} \sum_{\substack{\leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m \\
0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m}}\left|\widetilde{a}_{k^{\prime} k}^{0}\right|\left|\alpha_{p q}^{k^{\prime} k}\right|\binom{p}{p^{\prime}}|w|^{p-p^{\prime}} \\
& \\
& \left.\quad \times \prod_{i=0}^{p^{\prime}-1}\left(\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-i\right)\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-p^{\prime}+q} U_{j}\right\|_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C_{1}\left\{\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F_{j}\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}, 0}+\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}\left(w_{0}\right)\right|\right. \\
&\left.+M \sum_{q=0}^{m-1}(l+1)^{m-q}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}+q} U_{j}\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}-q, 0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with a positive constant $M>0$.
From now on, we often abbreviate weight terms of the norms for brevity's sake.

In the case of $l /(\lambda+1)-q \geq m$, this lemma leads the following inequality with respect to our formal norm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{m}^{\mu}(U ; T) \ll \sum_{j \geq 0, l \geq 0} \frac{T^{2 j+l}}{\left(\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}\right)!} C\left\{\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F_{j}\right\|_{0}+\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}\left(w_{0}\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+M \sum_{k=1}^{m}(l+1)^{k} \max _{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq m}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l-(\lambda+1) k}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\|_{0}\right\} \\
& \ll C N_{0}^{\mu}(F ; T)+C \sum_{j \geq 0, l \geq 0} \frac{T^{2 j+l}}{\left(\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}\right)!} \max _{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq m}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l-m(\lambda+1)}{\lambda+1}+k^{\prime}} U_{j}\right\|_{0} \\
& \left.+C_{1} \sum_{j, l \geq 0} \frac{T^{2 j+l}}{\left(\frac{j+l-(\lambda+1) k}{\lambda+1}\right)!} \max _{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq m}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l-(\lambda+1) k}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\|_{0}\right\} \\
& \ll N_{0}^{\mu}(F ; T)+C N_{m}^{\mu}(U ; T) T^{m(\lambda+1)}+C_{1} N_{m}^{\mu}(U ; T) \sum_{k=1}^{m} T^{(\lambda+1) k}
\end{aligned}
$$

with some constants $C, C_{1}>0$.
In the case of $l /(\lambda+1)-q<m$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}}\left|\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}, L\right] U_{j}\right| \\
& \leq C \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}} \sum_{1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m-k+k^{\prime}}^{l \geq 1}(l+1)^{p^{\prime}}|w|^{p-p^{\prime}}\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-p^{\prime}+q} U_{j}\right| \\
& \leq C_{2} \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}} \sum_{1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m-k+k^{\prime}}\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-p^{\prime}+q} U_{j}\right| \leq M\left\|\partial_{w}^{0} U_{j}\right\|_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

with positive constants $C_{2}, M>0$ because $(l+1)^{p^{\prime}}$ is bounded and $0 \leq$ $l /(\lambda+1)-p^{\prime}+q \leq m$. As regards a formal norm, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{m}^{\mu}(U ; T) \ll \sum_{j \geq 0, l \geq 0} \frac{T^{2 j+l}}{\left(\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}\right)!} C\left\{\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} F_{j}\right\|_{0}+\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}\left(w_{0}\right)\right|+M\left\|\partial_{w}^{0} U_{j}\right\|_{m}\right\} \\
& \ll C N_{0}^{\mu}(F ; T)+C N_{m}^{\mu}(U ; T) T^{m(\lambda+1)}+C_{3} N_{m}^{\mu}(U ; T) \sum_{l=1}^{m(m-1)(\lambda+1)} T^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

with a constant $C_{3}>0$.

## (1-2) Estimates at a point at infinity.

As is seen in Section 4.2, we have obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}(U, V)\right\|_{m} \\
& \leq C_{4}\left(\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}(F, G)\right\|_{0}+\left\|\left[L, \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right](U, V)\right\|_{0}+\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} U\left(w_{0}\right)\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with a positive constant $C_{4}>0$. At a point at infinity, we only estimate the commutative term.

In the case of $|w|>w_{0}$, there exists a positive $C_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w|>w_{0}\right\}}\left|\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}, L\right] U_{j}\right| \\
& =\sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w|>w_{0}\right\}} \left\lvert\, w^{-1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m-1 \\
1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m}}\binom{p}{p^{\prime}} \widetilde{a}_{k^{\prime} k}^{0} \alpha_{p q}^{k^{\prime} k} \prod_{i=0}^{p^{\prime}-1}\left(\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-i\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[w^{p-p^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{m}\right]\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}+q-p-m}\right] V_{j}(z)\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq C_{5} \sum_{\tilde{q}=1}^{m}(l+1)^{\tilde{q}}\| \|\left[\partial_{\left.w^{\frac{l-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}}\right]}\right] V_{j}(z) \|_{m}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, at a point at infinity, we get

$$
\sup _{\substack{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w|>w_{0}\right\} \\|z|<w_{0}^{-1 /(\lambda+1)}}}\left|\left[\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}, L\right]\right] V_{j}(z)\right|=\left|w\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}, L\right] U_{j}(w)\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sup _{\substack{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w|>w_{0}\right\} \\
|z|<w_{0}^{-1 /(\lambda+1)}}} \left\lvert\, w \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m-1 \\
1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m}} \widetilde{a}_{k^{\prime} k}^{0} \alpha_{p q}^{k^{\prime} k}\binom{p}{p^{\prime}} \prod_{i=0}^{p^{\prime}-1}\left(\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-i\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times w^{p-p^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}+q-p^{\prime}} U_{j} \right\rvert\, \\
& =\operatorname{mup}_{\substack{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w|>w_{0}\right\} \\
|z|<w_{0}^{-1 /(\lambda+1)}}} \left\lvert\, \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m-1 \\
1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m}} \widetilde{a}_{k^{\prime} k}^{0} \alpha_{p q}^{k^{\prime} k}\binom{p}{p^{\prime}} \prod_{i=0}^{p^{\prime}-1}\left(\frac{l}{\lambda+1}-i\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times w\left(w^{p-p^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{m}\right) w^{-1}\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}+q-p^{\prime}-m}\right] V_{j}(z) \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq C_{6} \sum_{\tilde{q}=1}^{m}(l+1)^{\tilde{q}}\left\|\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}}\right] V_{j}(z)\right\|_{m}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

with a positive constant $C>0$ because $w\left(w^{p-p^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{m}\right) w^{-1}$ is a bounded operator.

Proposition 5.5. If each component $F_{j}$ and $U_{j}$ of $F=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_{j}(w) \tau^{-\frac{j}{\lambda+1}}$ and $U=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_{j}(w) \tau^{-\frac{j}{\lambda+1}}$ is holomorphic on $\Omega^{1}$ and $U_{j}, V_{j} \in X, F_{j}, G_{j} \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}$, we have

$$
N_{m}^{\mu}(U, V ; T) \ll \Psi(T) N_{0}^{\mu}(F, G ; T),
$$

where $\Psi(T)$ is a convergent power series of $T$ with non-negative coefficients independent of $F$ and $U$.

### 5.3. Estimations of the operator with fractional derivatives

In this subsection, we lastly estimate the rest of the scheme $R \circ U$.
We recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R \circ U)_{j}=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq m \\ j=j^{\prime}+l^{\prime}, l^{\prime} \geq 1}} \widetilde{a}_{k^{\prime} k}^{l^{\prime} k} \partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}+\frac{l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}}\left(E_{k} U_{j^{\prime}}\right) \quad \bmod \stackrel{\mathscr{C}}{\mathbb{R}_{w} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}} \cdot \partial_{w} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5.6. There exists a convergent power series $\phi(T)$ of $T$ with positive coefficients with value 0 at $T=0$ such that we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}^{\mu}(R \circ(U, V) ; T) \ll \phi(T) N_{m}^{\mu}(U, V ; T) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In the case of a finite domain $|w| \leq w_{0}$, we get the following inequality by Lemma 5.3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}}\left|\partial_{w}^{k^{\prime}+\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\left(E_{k} U_{j}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}} \sum_{0 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m} M^{\prime}(l+1)^{p^{\prime}}|w|^{p-p^{\prime}} \\
& \quad \times \max _{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq m}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l-\left(m-q+p^{\prime}\right)(\lambda+1)}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\|_{0} \\
& \leq M^{\prime} w_{0}^{m} \sum_{0 \leq K \leq m}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l-(\lambda+1) K}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}^{(K)}\right\|_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

with a positive constant $M^{\prime}$.
For $\left(l+l^{\prime}\right) /(\lambda+1)-k \geq m$, the formal norm of order 0 can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{0}^{\mu}(R \circ U ; T) \ll \sum_{j=j^{\prime}+l, j^{\prime} \geq 0} \frac{T^{2 j^{\prime}+\left(l+l^{\prime}\right)+l^{\prime}}}{\left(\frac{j^{\prime}+l+l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}\right)!} C^{l^{\prime}+1} M^{\prime} w_{0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{k} \\
\quad \times \max _{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq m}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) k}{\lambda+1}} U_{j^{\prime}}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\|_{0} \\
\ll M^{\prime} w_{0}^{m} \sum_{\substack{l^{\prime} \geq 1 \\
0 \leq k \leq m}} C^{l^{\prime}+1} \frac{T^{2 j^{\prime}+\left(l+l^{\prime}\right)-(\lambda+1) k+l^{\prime}+(\lambda+1) k}}{\left(\frac{j^{\prime}+\left(l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) k\right)}{\lambda+1}\right)!} \\
\quad \times \max _{0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq m}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) k}{\lambda+1}} U_{j^{\prime}}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\|_{0} \\
\ll N_{m}^{\mu}(U ; T) \cdot M^{\prime} w_{0}^{m} \sum_{\substack{l^{\prime} \geq 1 \\
0 \leq k \leq m}} C^{l^{l^{\prime}+1} T^{l^{\prime}+(\lambda+1) k}}
\end{gathered}
$$

with a positive constant $C>0$.
On the other hand, in the case of $\left(l+l^{\prime}\right) /(\lambda+1)-k<m$, the same calculation of the dominant part leads the following estimate:

$$
\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}-k} U_{j}\right\|_{0} \leq C_{1}\left\|\partial_{w}^{0} U_{j}\right\|_{m}
$$

with a positive constant $C_{1}>0$.
Introducing a formal norm, we have

$$
N_{0}^{\mu}(R \circ U ; T) \ll N_{m}^{\mu}(U ; T) \cdot M \sum_{l^{\prime}=1}^{(\lambda+1) m(m-1)} T^{2 l^{\prime}+l}
$$

with a positive constant $M>0$.
At a point at infinity, there is a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{|z|<w_{0}^{-1 /(\lambda+1)}}\left|\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right][R \circ] V\right)_{j}\right|=\sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}}\left|w\left(\left(\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} R \circ\right) U\right)_{j}\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m \\
l^{\prime} \geq 1}}\left|C_{2}^{l^{\prime}+1}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{\tilde{q}} w^{p-p^{\prime}+1} \partial_{w}^{m} \partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}} U_{j^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{|z|<w_{0}^{-1 /(\lambda+1)}} \sum_{0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m}\left|C_{2}^{l^{\prime}+1}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{\tilde{q}}\left[w^{p-p^{\prime}+1} \partial_{w}^{m} w^{-1}\right]\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}}\right] V_{j^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m} C_{2}^{l^{\prime}+1}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{\tilde{q}}| |\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}}\right] V_{j^{\prime}} \|_{0}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of $|w| \leq w_{0}$, we have an estimate with a positive constant $C_{3}>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}}|w|^{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}}\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}(R \circ U)_{j}\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m \\
l^{\prime} \geq 1}}\left|\widetilde{a}_{k^{\prime} k}^{l} \alpha_{p q}^{k}\right|\binom{p}{p^{\prime}}(l+1)^{p^{\prime}} \\
& \\
& \quad \times|w|^{\mu+\frac{j+l}{\lambda+1}+p-p^{\prime}}\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1)\left(m-\left(q-p^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\lambda+1}+m} U_{j^{\prime}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w| \leq w_{0}\right\}} \sum_{\substack{l^{\prime} \geq 1 \\
0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m}}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{\tilde{q}} C_{3}^{l^{\prime}+1}|w|^{\mu+\frac{j^{\prime}+l+l^{\prime}}{\lambda+1}}\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}+m} U_{j^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{l^{\prime} \geq 1 \\
0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m}}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{\tilde{q}} C_{3}^{l^{\prime}+1}\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}, m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, in the case of $|w|>w_{0}$, there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w|>w_{0}\right\}}\left|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}(R \circ U)_{j}\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w|>w_{0}\right\}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq p^{\prime} \leq p \leq q \leq m \\
l^{\prime} \geq 1}} C_{4}^{l^{\prime}+1}\binom{p}{p^{\prime}}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{p^{\prime}} \\
& \times w^{p-p^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{m}\left|\partial_{w}^{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1)\left(m-\left(q-p^{\prime}\right)\right)} U_{j^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{\substack{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w|>w_{0}\right\} \\
|z|<w_{0}^{-1 /(\lambda+1)}}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m \\
l^{\prime} \geq 1}} C_{4}^{l^{\prime}+1}\binom{p}{p^{\prime}}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{p^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left|w^{-1}\left[w^{p-p^{\prime}} \partial_{w}^{m}\right]\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1)\left(m-\left(q-p^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\lambda+1}}\right] V_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \tilde{\tilde{q} \leq m} \\
l^{\prime} \geq 1}} C^{l^{\prime}+1}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{\tilde{q}}\left\|\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}}\right] V_{j^{\prime}}\right\|_{m}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding these two inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}(R \circ U)_{j}\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m}} C_{5}^{l^{\prime}+1}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{\tilde{q}} \\
& \quad \times\left(\left\|\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}} U_{j}\right\|_{\mu+\frac{j+l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}, m}+\left\|\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}}\right] V_{j^{\prime}}\right\|_{m}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with a positive constant $C_{5}>0$.

Lastly, there is a constant $C_{6}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sup _{|z|<w_{0}^{-1 /(\lambda+1)}}\left|\left(\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}}\right][R \circ] V\right)_{j}\right|=\left|w\left(\left(\partial_{w}^{\frac{l}{\lambda+1}} R \circ\right) U\right)_{j}\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{w \in \Omega^{1} \cap\left\{|w|>w_{0}\right\}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m \\
l^{\prime} \geq 1}}\left|C_{6}^{l^{\prime}+1}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{\tilde{q}} w^{p-p^{\prime}+1} \partial_{w}^{m} \partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}} U_{j^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{|z|<w_{0}^{-1 /(\lambda+1)}} \sum_{0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m}^{l_{l^{\prime} \geq 1}}\left|C_{6}^{l^{\prime}+1}\left(l+l^{\prime}+1\right)^{\tilde{q}}\left[w^{p-p^{\prime}+1} \partial_{w}^{m} w^{-1}\right]\left[\partial_{w}^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}-(\lambda+1) q}{\lambda+1}}\right] V_{j^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{0 \leq \tilde{q} \leq m} C_{6}^{l^{\prime} \geq 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing our formal norm, we have a desired estimate. This completes the proof of this proposition.

### 5.4. Convergences of the solutions

Because of the estimations in the previous propositions, we get the following theorem.

ThEOREM 5.9. Let $U_{0} \equiv U_{00}$ be an arbitrary holomorphic solution of $L U_{0}=0$ in $\Omega^{1}$. We have a series $U_{k}(k=1,2, \cdots)$ of a successive process such that each $U_{k}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} U_{j k}$ is a formal symbol satisfying

$$
\left.\partial_{w}^{l} U_{k}\right|_{w=0}=0 \quad(l=0,1,2, \cdots, m-1 ; k \geq 1)
$$

Then $U=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_{k}$ converges in $N_{m}^{\mu}(U, V ; T)$-norm uniformly and it is a solution of the microdifferential equation

$$
(L+R \circ) U=0 \quad \bmod \mathscr{\mathscr { C }} \mathbb{R}_{w} \times \mathbb{R}_{t} \cdot \partial_{w}
$$

Proof. By the argument above, we finally obtain the following inequality:

$$
N_{m}^{\mu}\left(U_{k+1}, V_{k+1} ; T\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \Phi(T) N_{0}^{\mu}\left(R \circ\left(U_{k}, V_{k}\right) ; T\right) \\
& \ll \Phi(T) \phi(T) N_{m}^{\mu}\left(U_{k}, V_{k} ; T\right) \\
& \ll \cdots \ll\{\Psi(T) \phi(T)\}^{k+1} N_{m}^{\mu}\left(U_{0}, V_{0} ; T\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the infinite sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_{m}^{\mu}\left(U_{k}, V_{k} ; T\right)$ converges

$$
(1-\Psi(T) \phi(T))^{-1} N_{m}^{\mu}\left(U_{0}, V_{0} ; T\right)
$$

## 6. Solutions for the Boundary Value Problem

In the last of this paper, we give $j$-pure solutions of the boundary value problem (1.5). We obtain the following main result.

THEOREM 6.1. We can construct j-pure solutions for (1.5). Precisely, for any $j=1, \cdots, m$ and any microfunction $u_{0}(t)$ at a point $\stackrel{\circ}{p}=(0,0$; $\pm \sqrt{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_{x} \times \sqrt{-1} T^{*} \mathbb{R}_{t}$, we have a unique mild microfunction solution $u(x, t) \in \stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}}$ of a microlocal boundary value problem at $\stackrel{\circ}{p}$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P\left(x, \partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) u(x, t)=0, \quad x>0\left(\text { in the sense of } \stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}}\right)  \tag{6.1}\\
u(+0, t)=u_{0}(t), \\
\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{ext}(u)(x, t)) \cap\{x>0\} \\
\\
\subset\left\{(x, t ; \sqrt{-1}(\xi, \tau)) ; \xi-\sqrt{-1} x^{\lambda} \alpha_{j}(x) \tau=0\right\} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Further, we have the equations

$$
\partial_{x}^{k} u(+0, t)=R_{j k}\left(\partial_{t}\right) u_{0}(t)
$$

$(j=1,2, \cdots, m ; k=0,1,2, \cdots, m-1)$, where $R_{j k}\left(\partial_{t}\right)$ is a microdifferential operator with fractional order at most $k /(\lambda+1)$.

Proof. By virtue of Section 5.4, we can construct a microdifferential equation $(L+R \circ) U=0 \bmod \mathscr{E}_{\mathbb{R}_{w} \times \mathbb{R}_{t}} \cdot \partial_{w}$. Furthermore, the solutions by the iteration scheme (3.7) become $\alpha_{j}$-pure by means of Theorems 4.2 and 4.3. Hence we can construct $j$-pure solutions for (1.5) in the $(x, t)$-plane.

Secondly, we give a relationship between boundary values and the coefficients of $w$ in the space after the two transforms.

According to Kataoka [Kt1], we have the following properties of a quantized Legendre transform:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta(f(t) \delta(y))=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \partial_{t} f(t) \\
& \beta \circ \partial_{y} \circ \beta^{-1}=-\sqrt{-1} w \partial_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

The trace map

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}} \ni u(x, t) \mapsto u(+0, t) \in \mathscr{C}_{\{x=0\}}
$$

is represented as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(u(+0, t) \frac{y_{+}^{\frac{k}{\lambda+1}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{\lambda+1}+1\right)}\right) & =\beta\left(u(+0, t) \partial_{y}^{-\left(\frac{k}{\lambda+1}+1\right)} \delta(y)\right) \\
& =\beta \circ \partial_{y}^{-\left(\frac{k}{\lambda+1}+1\right)} \circ \beta^{-1} \circ \beta(u(+0, t) \delta(y)) \\
& =\left(-\sqrt{-1} w \partial_{t}\right)^{-\left(\frac{k}{\lambda+1}+1\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \partial_{t} u(+0, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Transforming $y=x^{\lambda+1} /(\lambda+1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta\left(u(+0, t) \frac{(\lambda+1)^{-\frac{k}{\lambda+1}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{\lambda+1}+1\right)}\left(x_{+}\right)^{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}(-\sqrt{-1})^{-\left(\frac{k}{\lambda+1}+1\right)} w^{-\left(\frac{k}{\lambda+1}+1\right)} \partial_{t}^{-\frac{k}{\lambda+1}} u(+0, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that boundary values $u(+0, t), \partial_{x} u(+0, t), \cdots, \partial_{x}^{m-1} u(+0, t)$ become coefficients of $w^{-1}, w^{-1-\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}, \cdots, w^{-1-\frac{m-1}{\lambda+1}}$ after the quantized Legendre transform. This completes the proof.

We obtain the following theorem as an application of Theorem 6.1.
ThEOREM 6.2. An arbitrary solution $u(x, t) \in \stackrel{ }{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}}$ of the boundary value problem at a point ( 0,$0 ; \pm \sqrt{-1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x, \partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) u(x, t)=0, \quad x>0 \quad\left(\text { in the sense of } \stackrel{\circ}{\mathscr{C}}_{\{x=0\} \mid\{x \geq 0\}}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be uniquely decomposed as a sum of $j$-pure solutions.

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 4.2, we can take $U_{0}=c_{1} u_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+$ $\cdots+c_{m} u_{m}$ as a linear sum of $\alpha_{j}$-pure solutions $(j=1,2, \cdots, m)$ for the equation $L U_{0}=0$. Using Theorem 4.3, a solution $U_{1}$ of the scheme $L U_{1}=$ $-R \circ U_{0}$ becomes $\alpha_{j}$-pure. Similarly to this procedure, each $U_{k}$ of $U=$ $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_{k}(w) \tau^{-\frac{k}{\lambda+1}}$ can be decomposed as a sum of $\alpha_{j}$-pure. This implies that the solution $u(x, t)$ can be decomposed as a sum of $j$-pure in the $(x, t)$ plane.
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