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#### Abstract

Let $p$ be a rational prime and $K$ a local field of residue characteristic $p$. In this paper, generalizing the theory of Deligne [De1], we construct a theory of local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants for representations, over a complete local ring with an algebraically closed residue field of characteristic $\neq p$, of the Weil group $W_{K}$ of $K$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field $k$ is finite of characteristic $p$. In this paper, such a field is called a $p$-local field. Let $q$ denote the cardinality of $k$. Let $W_{K}$ denote the Weil group of $K$. In [De1], Deligne defined the local constants $\varepsilon(V, \psi, d x)$ and $\varepsilon_{0}(V, \psi, d x)$ for triples $(V, \psi, d x)$ where $V$ is a complex or an $\ell$-adic representation of $W_{K}$ of finite rank, $\psi$ an additive character of $K$, and $d x$ a Haar measure of $K$. These local constants play an important role in the theory of $L$-functions for representations of global Weil groups.

For a topological ring $R$, let $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ denote the category of continuous representations of $W_{K}$ on finitely generated free $R$-modules. A strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring is a noetherian commutative local ring with an algebraically closed residue field of characteristic $\neq p$ such that $\left(R^{\times}\right)^{p}=R^{\times}$. In this paper, we generalize the theory of Deligne to the representation of $W_{K}$ over strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient rings. We consider a triple $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ where $R$ is a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, $(\rho, V)$ is an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$, and $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$is a non-trivial continuous additive character. The main theorem of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1 (See Theorem 5.1 for the precise statements). Let $K$ be a p-local field. Then for each such triple $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ we can attach, in a canonical way, an element

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}((\rho, V), \psi) \in R^{\times}
$$

[^0]which satisfy several properties including the following:
(1) For fixed $R$ and $\psi$, the element $\varepsilon_{0, R}((\rho, V), \psi) \in R^{\times}$depends only on the isomorphism class of $(\rho, V)$.
(2) Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be such a triple, $R^{\prime}$ a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and $h: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ a local ring homomorphism. Then we have
$$
h\left(\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R^{\prime}}\left(V \otimes_{R} R^{\prime}, h \circ \psi\right)
$$
(3) Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be such a triple. Suppose that $R$ is a field. Then
$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0}(V, \psi, d x)
$$
where $d x$ is the $R$-valued Haar measure of $K$ in the sense of Deligne [De1, p. 554, 6.1] satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$.

We call the element $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ the local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constant of the triple $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$.

For a fixed $K$, our local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants satisfy many properties analogous to those of Deligne's $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants; for example additivity, formula for rank one objects, formula for changes of $\psi$, and formula for unramified twists (see $\S 5$, Theorem 5.1 for details). We also prove that the well-known formula for local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants for induced representations also holds for our case:

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.6). Let $L$ be a finite separable extension of $K$, let $R$ be a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and let $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$be a non-trivial continuous additive character. Then there exists an element

$$
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi) \in R^{\times}
$$

such that for every object $V$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} V, \psi\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \cdot \lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} V}
$$

Furthermore, $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)$ is compatible with the base change by $h: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$.
Let $k$ be a finite field. When $R_{0}$ is the ring of integers of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ for a prime $\ell \neq p$, the product formula of Deligne-Laumon describes
the determinant of Frobenius on the etale cohomologies of a smooth $R_{0}$-sheaf on a curve over $k$ as a product of local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants. In the forthcoming paper $[\mathrm{Y}]$, we generalize the product formula to the case where $R_{0}$ is a profinite $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, giving evidence that our construction provides a good theory of local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants.

### 1.1. The local $\varepsilon$ conjecture

In [K2, p. 5, 1.8], Kato gives a conjecture concerning local $\varepsilon$-constants, which he named as "local $\varepsilon$ conjecture". While Kato deals only with $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ case, the formulation of the " $\ell \neq p$ "-part of his conjecture can be generalized without any difficulty to the case where $K$ is an arbitrary $p$-local field. Let us briefly explain his conjecture. (We do not recall the exact form of his conjecture in this introduction because it is rather lengthy. In § 5, we recall his conjecture in a form slightly different from his original one.)

Let $\ell$ be a rational prime different from $p$. We consider a triple $(\Lambda,(\rho, V), \psi)$, where $\Lambda=\left(\Lambda, \mathfrak{m}_{\Lambda}\right)$ is a complete noetherian commutative local ring whose residue field is finite of characteristic $\ell,(\rho, V)$ is an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, \Lambda\right)$ and $\psi: K \rightarrow W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)^{\times}$is a non-trivial continuous additive character.

Let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, \Lambda\right)$. Let $r$ denote the $\Lambda$-rank of $V$. Then the $r$-th exterior power of $(\rho, V)$ defines a continuous homomorphism $\operatorname{det}(\rho): W_{K}^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\times}$.

We set

$$
a_{V}=a_{(\rho, V)}=\operatorname{det}(\rho)(\operatorname{rec}(\ell)) \in \Lambda^{\times}
$$

The ring $\Lambda$ has a canonical structure of a $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$-algebra. Define $\Lambda_{V}=\Lambda_{(\rho, V)}$ by

$$
\Lambda_{(\rho, V)}=\left\{x \in \Lambda \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right) ;(1 \otimes \varphi)(x)=\left(a_{(V, \rho)} \otimes 1\right) x\right\}
$$

$\Lambda_{V}$ is a $\Lambda$-submodule of $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ which is free of rank one.
The " $\ell \neq p$ part" of his conjecture ([K2, p. 5, Conj. 1.8]) predicts the existence of a canonical basis $\varepsilon_{\Lambda, \psi}(V)$ of the invertible $\Lambda$-module

$$
\Delta_{\Lambda}(V)=\operatorname{det}_{\Lambda} R \Gamma\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}, V\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{V}
$$

which satisfies certain conditions and has a connection with Deligne's local constants.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we have
Corollary 1.3. The $\ell \neq p$ part of Kato's local $\varepsilon$ conjecture is true.

### 1.2. Other results in this paper

In viewing the proof of "independence of $\phi_{0}$ " which we have briefly described above, we get a formula expressing tame $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants as an integral on the tame inertia group of $K$. By taking a prime element of $K$, we identify $X_{0}$ with $\mathbb{G}_{m, k}$. We set $G=W_{K} /\left(W_{K}\right)^{0+}$ and $I=\left(W_{K}\right)^{0} /\left(W_{K}\right)^{0+}$. For every positive integer $n$ prime to $p$, let $[n]: \mathbb{G}_{m, k} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, k}$ denote the $n$-th power map. By taking the projective limit of $H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}},[n]^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$, we get a free $R[[I]]$-module $\widehat{W}$ of rank one with a semi-linear action of $G$. Take a lift $\widetilde{F r} \in G$ of the geometric Frobenius. The eigenvalue of the action of $\widetilde{F r}$ gives a well-defined element $u$ in the $G$-coinvariant $\left(R[[I]]^{\times}\right)_{G}$. Then $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ has the following description:

Proposition 1.4 (Proposition 11.4). Take an arbitrary representative $\widehat{u} \in R[[I]]$ of $u$. We consider $\widehat{u}$ as a measure on $I$. Let $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$be an additive character with conductor -1 satisfying

$$
\psi(x)=\phi_{0}\left(\operatorname{rec}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}^{-1}\right) x\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Then for any tamely ramified object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{1}{q} \int_{g \in I} \rho(g)^{-1} d \widehat{u}(g)\right)
$$

This paper also deals with results (Proposition 10 and Proposition 8.3) analogous to that in Deligne-Henniart [DH, p. 108, Thm. 4.2 and p. 110, Thm. 4.6].

Let us explain the outline of our proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $K$ be a $p$-local field. Let be $R$ be a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring. For an object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$, let $V=V^{0} \oplus V^{>0}$ be the decomposition of $V$ into the tamely ramified part $V^{0}$ and the totally wild part $V^{>0}$. We construct the epsilon constants $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{>0}, \psi\right), \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{0}, \psi\right)$ for $V^{>0}, V^{0}$ separately and then define $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ as the product $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{>0}, \psi \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{0}, \psi\right)\right.$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{R} \subset R$ denote the maximal ideal of $R$. We construct $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{>0}, \psi\right)$ by lifting $\varepsilon_{0, R / \mathfrak{m}_{R}}\left(V^{>0} \otimes_{R}\right.$ $\left.R / \mathfrak{m}_{R}, \psi\right)$ constructed by Deligne ([De1, p. 555-556, Thm. 6.5]) in a unique way such that $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{>0}, \psi\right)$ satisfies a version of Henniart's formula (cf. Theorem 5.3). The original Henniart's formula in [He, Theorem] is a formula for complex representations of $W_{K}$, however, it can be stated as a formula
for $\varepsilon_{0, R / \mathfrak{m}_{R}}\left(V^{>0} \otimes_{R} R / \mathfrak{m}_{R}, \psi\right)$. We identify the tame quotient of $W_{K}$ with that of the Weil group $W_{K^{\prime}}$ of the completion of $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{1}$ at 0 and then construct $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{0}, \psi\right)$ in the spirit of Laumon's definition ([Lau1]) of $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants for $\ell$-adic representations of $W_{K^{\prime}}$ (cf. Theorem 5.4).

Let us briefly review the contents of this paper. After recalling in § 3 some basic facts necessary in this paper, we recall, in § 4, basic properties of Langlands-Deligne's local $\varepsilon$-constants. Main results of this paper will be given in $\S 5$. After the preparation of $\lambda$-constants in $\S 6$ and of Henniart and Saito's results on the description of local $\varepsilon$-constants in $\S 7$, we give, in $\S 8$, the definition of the local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constant $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ for totally wild $V$. In $\S 9$, we give a proof of a formula of $\varepsilon_{0, R}$ for induced representations. In $\S 10$, we define $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ for tamely ramified representations. In § 11, we prove that the constant $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ defined in $\S 10$ does not depend on the choice of an auxiliary parameter and completes the proof of the main results in $\S 5$.
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## 2. Notation

Let $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the ring of rational integers, the field of rational numbers, the field of real numbers, and the field of complex numbers respectively.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) be the ordered set of positive (resp. non-negative) integers. We also define $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ in the same way. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\lfloor\alpha\rfloor$ (resp. $\lceil\alpha\rceil$ ) denote the maximum integer not larger than $\alpha$ (resp. the minimum integer not smaller than $\alpha$ ).

For a prime number $\ell$, we denote by $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ the finite field of $\ell$ elements. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we let $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{n}}$ denote the unique extension of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ of degree $n$. We denote by $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$, by $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}=W\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. by $\left.W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)\right)$ the ring of Witt vectors of $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)$, and by $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ the field of fractions
$\left.\operatorname{Frac}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)\right)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$. Let $\varphi: W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ be the Frobenius automorphism of $W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)$.

For a ring $R$, we denote by $R^{\times}$the group of units in $R$. For a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n}(R)$ the group of $n$-th roots of unity in $R$, and by $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n^{\infty}}$ the union $\cup_{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n^{i}}(R)$.

For a finite extension $L / K$ of fields, we let $[L: K]$ denote the degree of $L$ over $K$. For a subgroup $H$ of a group $G$ of finite index, we denote its index by $[G: H]$.

For a finite field $k$ of characteristic $\neq 2$, we let $(\bar{k}): k^{\times} \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ denote the unique surjective homomorphism.

Throughout this paper, we fix once for all a prime number $p$. We consider a complete discrete valuation field $K$ whose residue field is finite of characteristic $p$. Such a field $K$ is called a $p$-local field.

For a $p$-local field $K$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ its ring of integers, by $\mathfrak{m}_{K}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, by $k_{K}$ the residue field $\mathcal{O}_{K} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, and by $v_{K}$ the normalized valuation $K^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We also denote by $q_{K}=\sharp k_{K}$ the cardinality of $k_{K}$, by $W_{K}$ the Weil group of $K$, by rec $=\operatorname{rec}_{K}: K^{\times} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{K}^{\text {ab }}$ the reciprocity map given by the local class field theory, which sends a prime element of $K$ to a lift of the geometric Frobenius of $k$. We denote by $(,)_{K}: K^{\times} \times K^{\times} \rightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ the Hilbert symbol (resp. the trivial biadditive map) if char $K \neq 2$ (resp. char $K=2$ ). We often abbreviate $k_{K}$ and $q_{K}$ by $k$ and $q$ respectively if there is no risk of confusion.

If $L / K$ is a finite separable extension of $p$-local fields, we let $e_{L / K} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f_{L / K} \in \mathbb{Z}, D_{L / K} \in \mathcal{O}_{L} / \mathcal{O}_{L}^{\times}$, and $d_{L / K} \in \mathcal{O}_{K} / \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times 2}$ denote the ramification index of $L / K$, the residual degree of $L / K$, the different of $L / K$, and the discriminant of $L / K$ respectively.

For a topological group (or more generally for a topological monoid) $G$ and a commutative topological ring $R$, let $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ denote the category whose object is a pair $(\rho, V)$ of a finitely generated free $R$-module $V$ and a continuous group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow G L_{R}(V)$ (we endow $G L_{R}(V)$ with the topology induced from the direct product topology of $\operatorname{End}_{R}(V)$ ), and whose morphisms are $R$-linear maps compatible with actions of $G$.

A sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(\rho^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(\rho, V) \rightarrow\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}, V^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

of morphisms in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ is called a short exact sequence in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ if $0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow V \rightarrow V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ is the short exact sequence of $R$-modules.

In this paper, a noetherian commutative local ring with residue field of characteristic $\neq p$ is called a $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring. Any $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring $\left(R, \mathfrak{m}_{R}\right)$ is considered as a topological ring with the $\mathfrak{m}_{R}$-preadic topology. A strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring is a $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring $R$ with an algebraically closed residue field such that $\left(R^{\times}\right)^{p}=R^{\times}$.

## 3. Review of Basic Facts

### 3.1. Ramification subgroups

Let $K$ be a $p$-local field with residue field $k$. Take a separable closure $\bar{K}$ (resp. $\bar{k}$ ) of $K$ (resp. $k$ ) and let $G=W_{K}$ denote the Weil group of $K$. Let $G^{v}=G \cap \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)^{v}$ and $G^{v+}=G \cap \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)^{v+}$ be the upper numbering ramification subgroups of $G$. They have the following properties:

- $G^{v}$ and $G^{v+}$ are closed normal subgroups of $G$.
- $G^{v} \supset G^{v+} \supset G^{w}$ for every $v, w \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$ with $w>v$.
- $G^{v+}$ is equal to the closure of $\bigcup_{w>v} G^{w}$.
- $G^{0}=I_{K}$, the inertia subgroup of $W_{K} \cdot G^{0+}=P_{K}$, the wild inertia subgroup of $W_{K}$. In particular, the group $G^{w}$ for $w>0$ and the group $G^{w+}$ for $w \geq 0$ are pro $p$-groups.
- For $w \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $w>0$, the group $G^{w} / G^{w+}$ is an abelian group which is killed by $p$.


### 3.2. Herbrand's function $\psi_{L / K}$

For a finite separable extension $L / K$ of $p$-local fields, let $\psi_{L / K}: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ denote the Herbrand function (cf. [Se1, IV, §3], [Lan2], [De5] and [FV, Chap. III, 3]). The function $\psi_{L / K}$ has the following properties:

- $\psi_{L / K}$ is continuous, strictly increasing, piecewise linear, and convex function on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.
- For sufficiently large $w$, the function $\psi_{L / K}(w)$ is linear with slope $e_{L / K}$.
- We have $\psi_{L / K}(0)=0$.
- We have $\psi_{L / K}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\psi_{L / K}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}\right)=\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

Proposition 3.1. We set $G=W_{K}$ and $H=W_{L}$. Then for $w \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, we have $G^{w} \cap H=H^{\psi_{L / K}(w)}$ and $G^{w+} \cap H=H^{\psi_{L / K}(w)+}$. Furthermore, the slope of $\psi_{L / K}$ at $w$ is equal to $\frac{e_{L / K}}{\left[G^{w}: H^{\psi_{L / K}(w)}\right]}$.

Proof. If $L / K$ is Galois, the first assertion is essentially in [Se1], The first assertion in general case follows from Galois case by $[\mathrm{Se} 1, \mathrm{IV}, \S 3$, Prop. 15]. The second assertion is found in [DH, p.103, (3.2.1)].

Corollary 3.2. Let $v_{0} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ be a non-negative rational number. Then the function $\psi_{L / K}(v)$ is linear for $v \geq v_{0}$ if and only if $W_{L}$ contains $W_{K}^{v+}$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be a positive integer. Put $n=\psi_{L / K}(m)$. We have $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(1+\mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n}\right) \subset 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(1+\mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n+1}\right) \subset 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m+1}$. Let $\alpha_{L / K, m}$ : $\mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m+1}$ be the homomorphism given by $1+\alpha_{L / K, m}(x)=$ $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}(1+x) \bmod 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m+1}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n}$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $\psi_{L / K}(v)$ is linear for $v \geq v_{0}$. Then for any integer $m>v_{0}$, the map $\alpha_{L / K, m}$ is surjective and is equal to the trace map $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}: \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{\psi_{L / K}(m)} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{\psi_{L / K}(m)+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m+1}$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{L}$ be the Galois closure of $L / K$. Let $v_{0} \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$ be the minimal rational number such that $\psi_{L / K}(v)$ is linear for $v \geq v_{0}$. Then $W_{L}$ contains $W_{K}^{v+}$. Since $W_{K}^{v+}$ is a normal subgroup of $W_{K}$, the group $W_{\widetilde{L}}$ also contains $W_{K}^{v+}$. Hence $\psi_{\tilde{L} / K}(v)$ is linear for $v \geq v_{0}$ and $\psi_{\tilde{L} / L}(v)$ is linear for $v \geq \psi_{L / K}\left(v_{0}\right)$. Hence we may assume that $L / K$ is Galois. Since the lemma for $L / K$ and that for $M / L$ imply that of $M / L$, we may assume that $L / K$ is cyclic of prime degree. Then the lemma follows from the discussion in [Se1, V, §3].

Lemma 3.4. Let $L$ and $K^{\prime}$ be two finite separable extensions of $K$ (in a fixed separable closure $\bar{K}$ of $K)$. Suppose that there exist $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ with $v_{1}<v_{2}$ such that $\psi_{L / K}(v)=v$ for $0<v<v_{2}$ and that $\psi_{K^{\prime} / K}(v)$ is linear for $v>v_{1}$. Let $L^{\prime}=L \cdot K^{\prime}$ be the composite field. Then
(1) $\psi_{L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}}(v)=v$ for $0<v<\psi_{K^{\prime} / K}\left(v_{2}\right)$.
(2) $\psi_{L^{\prime} / L}(v)$ is linear for $v>v_{1}$.

Proof. We use Proposition 3.1.
(1) Let $w \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ be a rational number satisfying $v_{1}<w<v_{2}$. Let $v=\psi_{K^{\prime} / K}(w)$. Since $W_{K^{\prime}} \supset\left(W_{K}\right)^{w}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[L^{\prime}: K^{\prime}\right] } & \geq\left[W_{K^{\prime}}^{v}: W_{L^{\prime}}^{\psi_{L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}}(v)}\right]=\left[W_{K^{\prime}} \cap W_{K}^{w}: W_{L^{\prime}} \cap\left(W_{K}\right)^{w}\right] \\
& =\left[W_{K}^{w}: W_{L} \cap W_{K^{\prime}} \cap W_{K}^{w}\right] \\
& =\left[W_{K}^{w}: W_{L} \cap W_{K}^{w}\right]=\left[W_{K}^{w}: W_{L}^{\psi_{L / K}(w)}\right] \\
& =[L: K] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the assertion follows.
(2) Let $v \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ be a rational number satisfying $v_{1}<v<v_{2}$. Since $W_{K^{\prime}} \supset W_{K}^{v}$, we have

$$
W_{L^{\prime}}^{\psi_{L^{\prime} / L}(v)}=W_{L^{\prime}} \cap W_{K}^{v}=W_{L} \cap W_{K^{\prime}} \cap W_{K}^{v}=W_{L} \cap W_{K}^{v}=W_{L}^{v}
$$

Hence the assertion follows.
3.3. Refined different (See [K1, p. 321, §2] and [Sa2, p. 2])

Let $L / K$ be a finite separable extension of $p$-local fields. The refined different $\widetilde{D}_{L / K}$ is the unique element in $L^{\times} / 1+\mathfrak{m}_{L}$ satisfying $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\left(\widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{L}\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\left(\widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-1} \mathfrak{m}_{L}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{K}$ which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{L} & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}} & \mathcal{O}_{K} \\
\widetilde{D}_{L / K} \times \downarrow & & \downarrow \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{K} \\
\mathcal{O}_{L} & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{k_{L} / k_{K}}} k_{K}
\end{array}
$$

If $M$ is a finite separable extension of $L$, we have $\widetilde{D}_{M / K}=\widetilde{D}_{M / L} \widetilde{D}_{L / K}$. If $L / K$ is at most tamely ramified, then $\widetilde{D}_{L / K}=e_{L / K}$.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that $\psi_{L / K}(v)$ is linear for $v \geq v_{0}$. Then we have $\psi_{L / K}(v)=e_{L / K} v-v_{L}\left(\widetilde{D}_{L / K}\right)$. In particular $v_{L}\left(\widetilde{D}_{L / K}\right)=v_{L}\left(D_{L / K}\right)+1-$ $e_{L / K}$. Furthermore for any integer $m>v_{0}, \alpha_{L / K, m}$ is equal to the composite

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{L}^{\psi_{L / K}(m)} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{\psi_{L / K}(m)+1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}_{L / K}} \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{e_{L / K} m} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{e_{L / K} m+1}
$$

$$
\cong\left(\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m+1}\right) \otimes_{k_{K}} k_{L} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \operatorname{Tr}_{k_{L} / k_{K}}} \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{m+1}
$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.3.

Proposition 3.6. Let $L$ and $K^{\prime}$ be two finite separable extensions of $K$ (in a fixed separable closure $\bar{K}$ of $K$ ). Suppose that there exist $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ with $v_{1}<v_{2}$ such that $\psi_{L / K}(v)=v$ for $0<v<v_{2}$ and that $\psi_{K^{\prime} / K}(v)$ is linear for $v>v_{1}$. Let $L^{\prime}=L \cdot K^{\prime}$ be the composite field. Then we have

$$
\widetilde{D}_{K^{\prime} / K}=\mathrm{N}_{L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}}\left(\widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / L}\right)=\widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / L}^{[L: K]}
$$

Proof. The assertion is clear if $K^{\prime} / K$ is at most tamely ramified. We may assume that $K^{\prime} / K$ is totally wildly ramified. Take a sufficiently large integer $N$ with $p \nmid N$, so that there exist an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $N v_{1}<m N v_{2}$. Let $K_{1} / K$ a totally ramified extension whose ramification index $e_{K_{1} / K}$ is equal to $N$. Put $L_{1}=K_{1} \cdot L, K_{1}^{\prime}=K_{1} \cdot K^{\prime}$ and $L_{1}^{\prime}=K_{1} \cdot L^{\prime}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $K_{1, n}$ denote the unique unramified extension of $K_{1}$ of degree $n$. Define $L_{1, n}, K_{1, n}^{\prime}$ and $L_{1, n}^{\prime}$ in similar ways. Then we have $\alpha_{K_{1, n}^{\prime} / K_{1, n}, m} \circ \alpha_{L_{1, n}^{\prime} / K_{1, n}^{\prime}, \psi_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}}(m)}=\alpha_{L_{1, n} / K_{1, n}, m} \circ \alpha_{L_{1, n}^{\prime} / L_{1, n}, m}$, By taking direct limit, we get the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}^{\prime}}^{\psi_{L^{\prime} / K}(m)} / \mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}^{\prime}}^{\psi_{L^{\prime} / K(m)+1}} \otimes_{k} \bar{k} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_{L_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}^{\prime}, \psi_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}}(m)}} \mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}^{\prime}}^{\psi_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}}(m)} / \mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}^{\prime}}^{\psi_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}}(m)+1} \otimes_{k} \bar{k} \\
\\
\tilde{\alpha}_{L_{1}^{\prime} / L_{1}, m} \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}}^{m+1} \otimes_{k} \bar{k} \\
\tilde{\alpha}_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}, m} \downarrow \\
\\
\tilde{\alpha}_{L_{1} / K_{1}, m}
\end{gathered}
$$

If we take $k$-bases for $\mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}}^{m+1} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, \mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}}^{m+1} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, \mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}^{\prime}}^{\psi_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}}}(m) /$ $\mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}}^{\psi_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}}(m)+1} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}}^{m+1} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}$, all the morphisms in the above diagram are represented by additive polynomials with coefficients in $\bar{k}$. The above diagram remains commutative if we replace all the morphisms by the highest degree parts of them. In particular we have the following commu-
tative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}^{\prime}}^{\psi_{L^{\prime} / K}(m)} / \mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}^{\prime}}^{\psi_{L^{\prime} / K(m)+1}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~N}_{L_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}^{\prime}}} \mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}^{\prime}}^{\psi_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}}(m)} / \mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}^{\prime}}^{\psi_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}}(m)+1} \\
& \quad \times \widetilde{D}_{L_{1}^{\prime} / L_{1}} \downarrow \\
& \times \widetilde{D}_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{1}} \downarrow \\
& \mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{L_{1}}^{m+1} \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~N}_{L_{1} / K_{1}}} \\
& \mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}}^{m} / \mathfrak{m}_{K_{1}}^{m+1} \otimes_{k} \bar{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the proposition follows.

### 3.4. Break decomposition and refined break decomposition

Let $K$ be a $p$-local field and let $G=W_{K}$ denote the Weil group of $K$. Let $\left(R, \mathfrak{m}_{R}\right)$ be a $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring.

Let $V$ be an $R[G]$-module. We say that $V$ is tamely ramified or pure of break 0 if $G^{0+}$ acts trivially on $V . V$ is called totally wild if $V^{G^{0+}}=\{0\}$. For $v \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, we say that $V$ is pure of break $v$ if the $G^{v}$-fixed part $V^{G^{v}}$ of $V$ is 0 and if $G^{v+}$ acts trivially on $V$.

Let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$. Then for any $v \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a unique maximal sub $R[G]$-module $V^{v}$ of $V$ which is pure of break $v$. We have $V^{v}=\{0\}$ except for a finite number of $v$ and we have a decomposition

$$
V=\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0} V^{v}
$$

in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$. For $v \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, the object $V^{v}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ is called the break-$v$-part of $(\rho, V)$. The assignment $V \mapsto V^{v}$ gives a functor from $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ to itself which preserves short exact sequences. When we consider such functors for various $R$ 's, they are compatible with the base changes of the representations by a local ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$.

Definition 3.7. Let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$, and let $V=$ $\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0} V^{v}$ be its break decomposition. We define the Swan conductor $s w(V)$ of $V$ as

$$
\operatorname{sw}(V)=\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}} v \cdot \operatorname{rank} V^{v}
$$

Since $\operatorname{sw}(V)=\operatorname{sw}\left(V \otimes_{R} R / \mathfrak{m}_{R}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{sw}(V) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Assume further that the ring $R$ contains a primitive $p$-th root of unity. Let $v \in \mathbb{Q}>0$, and let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$. Let $\left(\rho^{v}, V^{v}\right)$ denote the break- $v$-part of $(\rho, V)$. We have a decomposition

$$
V^{v}=\bigoplus_{1 \neq \chi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{v} / G^{v+}, R^{\times}\right)} V_{\chi}
$$

of $V^{v}$ by the sub $R\left[G^{v} / G^{v+}\right]$-modules $V_{\chi}$ on which $G^{v} / G^{v+}$ acts by $\chi$. The group $G$ acts on the set $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{v} / G^{v+}, R^{\times}\right)$by conjugation : $(g \cdot \chi)(h)=$ $\chi\left(g^{-1} h g\right)$. The action of $g \in G$ on $V^{v}$ induces an $R$-linear isomorphism $V_{\chi} \xrightarrow{\cong} V_{g . \chi}$. Let $X^{v}$ denote the set of $G$-orbits in the $G$-set of the nontrivial homomorphisms from $G^{v} / G^{v+}$ to $R^{\times}$. For any $\Sigma \in X^{v}$, the direct sum $V^{\Sigma}=\bigoplus_{\chi \in \Sigma} V_{\chi}$ is a sub $R[G]$-module of $V^{v}$ and thus we have the decomposition

$$
V=V^{0} \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{Q}>0} \bigoplus_{\Sigma \in X^{v}} V^{\Sigma}
$$

in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$, which we call the refined break decomposition of $V$. The object $V^{\Sigma}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ is called the refined-break- $\Sigma$-part of $(\rho, V)$. We say that $(\rho, V)$ is pure of refined break $\Sigma$ if $V=V^{\Sigma}$. The assignment $V \mapsto V^{\Sigma}$ gives a functor from $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ to itself which preserves short exact sequences. When we consider such functors for various $R$ 's, they are compatible with the base changes of the representations by a local ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $(\rho, V)$ be a non-zero object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ which is pure of refined break $\Sigma \in X^{v}$. Choose $\chi \in \Sigma$ and let $V_{\chi} \subset \operatorname{Res}_{G^{v}}^{G} V$ denote the $\chi$-part of $\operatorname{Res}_{G^{v}}^{G} V$. Let $H_{\chi} \subset G$ denote the stabilizing subgroup of $\chi$.
(1) $H_{\chi}$ is a subgroup of $G$ of finite index.
(2) $V_{\chi}$ is stable under the action of $H_{\chi}$ on $V$.
(3) $V$ is, as an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$, isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{\chi}}^{G} V_{\chi}$.

Proof. Obvious.
REmark 3.9. Finiteness of $\left[G: H_{\chi}\right]$ also follows from the explicit description of the homomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{v} / G^{v+}, R^{\times}\right)$given in [Sa2, p. 3, Thm. 1] (See also § 7).

## 4. Deligne's Local Constant $\varepsilon_{0}(V, \psi, d x)$

Let $K$ be a $p$-local field with residue field $k$. In this section we recall the basic properties of $\varepsilon_{0}(V, \psi, d x)$. Let $R$ be a discrete commutative ring on which $p$ is invertible. Assume that there exists a non-trivial continuous additive character $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$. Take such a character $\psi$ and an $R$ valued Haar measure $d x$ of $K$. (We use the terminology " $R$-valued Haar measure" to indicate an $R$-valued Haar measure in the sense of Deligne [De1, p. 554, 6.1].) The conductor of $\psi$, denoted by ord $\psi$, is the unique integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathfrak{m}^{-n}}=1$ and $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathfrak{m}^{-n-1}} \neq 1$. For $a \in K^{\times}$, let $\psi_{a}$ be the additive character of $K$ defined by $\psi_{a}(x)=\psi(a x)$. Then we have ord $\psi_{a}=\operatorname{ord} \psi+v_{K}(a)$. If $L$ is a finite separable extension of $K$, then we have ord $\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)=e_{L / K} \operatorname{ord} \psi+v_{L}\left(D_{L / K}\right)$.

For a continuous multiplicative quasi-character $\chi: K^{\times} \rightarrow R^{\times}$of $K^{\times}$ (we endow $R$ with discrete topology), the $\varepsilon$-constant $\varepsilon(\chi, \psi, d x) \in R$ of $\chi$ is defined by the following integral:

$$
\varepsilon(\chi, \psi, d x)= \begin{cases}q^{\operatorname{ord} \psi} \chi\left(\pi^{\operatorname{ord} \psi}\right) \int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x, & \text { if } \chi: \text { unramified } \\ \int_{K^{\times}} \chi^{-1}(x) \psi(x) d x, & \text { if } \chi: \text { ramified }\end{cases}
$$

For an object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{R} V=1$, we define the $\varepsilon$-constant $\varepsilon(V, \psi, d x)=\varepsilon((\rho, V), \psi, d x)$ of $(\rho, V)$ by

$$
\varepsilon(\rho, \psi, d x)=\varepsilon(\rho \circ \operatorname{rec}, \psi, d x)
$$

When $R=\mathbb{C}$ with discrete topology, Langlands [Lan2] defines, after the pioneering work of Dwork [Dw], the local $\varepsilon$-constant $\varepsilon(\rho, \psi)$ for any object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, generalizing $\varepsilon\left(V \otimes \omega_{1 / 2}, \psi, d x_{K}\right)$ discussed above for $(\rho, V)$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{R} V=1$, where $\omega_{1 / 2}: W_{K}^{a b} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is an unramified quasicharacter defined by $\omega_{1 / 2}(x)=q_{K}^{-v_{K}\left(\operatorname{rec}^{-1}(x)\right) / 2}$, and $d x_{K}$ is the self-dual Haar measure of $K$ (see [W, Chap. VII, §2] for the definition of self-dual Haar measure). It is not difficult to construct a candidate of $\varepsilon(\rho, \psi)$ by using Brauer's theorem, however the proof of the well-definedness of $\varepsilon(\rho, \psi)$ given in [Lan2] is much complicated.

In [De1], Deligne discusses Langlands' result and gives a simpler proof of the well-definedness of $\varepsilon$-constants. Deligne uses the terminology " $\varepsilon(V, \psi, d x)$ ". For any $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, Langlands' $\varepsilon(\rho, \psi)$ is equal
to Deligne's $\varepsilon\left(V \otimes \omega_{1 / 2}, \psi, d x_{K}\right)$. In this paper, we use Deligne's terminology for local constants, since it has the advantage that we can generalize the theory of Deligne's $\varepsilon(V, \psi, d x)$ to the case where $R \neq \mathbb{C}$. For example, the proof of [De1, p. 555, Théoréme 6.5] shows that we can define $\varepsilon(V, \psi, d x)$ without much effort for $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ when
(4.1) $R$ is an arbitrary discrete field of characteristic zero
in such a way that most properties of $\varepsilon(V, \psi, d x)$ for $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ (for example, the properties (1), (3), (6), (7), (8), (9) in Theorem 5.1 below) are automatically satisfied by $\varepsilon(V, \psi, d x)$ for $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$. As we can see from [De1, p. 572, 8.12], we can define $\varepsilon(V, \psi, d x)$ for $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ even when
(4.2) $R$ is the topological field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ for $\ell \neq p$ and and $V$ is defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$.

Under the assumption (4.1) or (4.2), Deligne [De1, p. 548, 5.1] also defines $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants $\varepsilon_{0}(V, \psi, d x)$ which satisfies

$$
\varepsilon_{0}(V, \psi, d x)=\varepsilon(V, \psi, d x) \operatorname{det}\left(-\operatorname{Fr}_{k} \mid V^{I_{K}}\right)
$$

There are several properties that the $\varepsilon$-constants and the $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants satisfy (cf. [De1, p. 535, thm 4.1. and p. 548, 5.1.] and [Lau1, p. 187]). In [De1, p. 555-556, Thm. 6.5.], Deligne also considers $\varepsilon_{0}$ of representations of $W_{K}$ over fields of characteristic $\neq p$, which satisfies additivity, a formula for a change of $d x$, an induction formula, an explicit formula in rank one case, the compatibility with inclusions of coefficient fields, and the compatibility with reduction of the coefficients from a complete discrete valuation ring to its residue field.

## 5. Statements of the Main Results

TheOrem 5.1. Let $K$ be a p-local field. Then for each triple $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ where $R$ is a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, $(\rho, V)$ is an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, V\right)$, and $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$is a non-trivial continuous additive character, we can attach, in a canonical way, an element

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}((\rho, V), \psi) \in R^{\times}
$$

which satisfy the following properties:
(1) For fixed $R$ and $\psi$, the element $\varepsilon_{0, R}((\rho, V), \psi) \in R^{\times}$depends only on the isomorphism class of $(\rho, V)$.
(2) Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above, $R^{\prime}$ a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and $h: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ a local ring homomorphism. Then we have

$$
h\left(\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R^{\prime}}\left(V \otimes_{R} R^{\prime}, h \circ \psi\right)
$$

(3) Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi),\left(R,\left(\rho^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right), \psi\right)$ and $\left(R,\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}, V^{\prime \prime}\right), \psi\right)$ be three triples as above with common $R$ and $\psi$. Suppose that there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow V \rightarrow V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{\prime}, \psi\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)
$$

(4) Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above. Suppose that $R$ is a field. Then

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0}(V, \psi, d x)
$$

where $d x$ is the $R$-valued Haar measure of $K$ satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$.
(5) Let $R_{0}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring with a finite residue field of characteristic $\neq p$. We denote by $F_{0}$ the field of fractions Frac $\left(R_{0}\right)$ of $R_{0}$, by $F$ the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $F_{0}$, and by $R$ the ring of integers in $F$. Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above. Suppose that $(\rho, V)$ is isomorphic to the base change $\left(\rho_{0}, V_{0}\right) \otimes_{R_{0}} R$ of an object $\left(\rho_{0}, V_{0}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0}\left(V_{0} \otimes_{R_{0}} \overline{F_{0}}, \psi, d x\right)
$$

where $d x$ is the $R_{0}$-valued Haar measure of $K$ satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$.
(6) Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above with $\operatorname{rank} V=1$, then $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ coincides with $\varepsilon_{0}(\rho \circ \mathrm{rec}, \psi, d x)$ defined in [De1, p. 555, 6.4], where $d x$ is the $R$-valued Haar measure of $K$ satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$.
(7) Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above. Let $a \in K^{\times}$and let $\psi_{a}: K \rightarrow$ $R^{\times}$be the additive character defined by $\psi_{a}(x)=\psi(a x)$. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{a}\right)=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(a)) q_{K}^{v_{K}(a) \cdot \operatorname{rank} V} \varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)
$$

(8) Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above. Let $W$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ on which $W_{K}$ acts via $W_{K} / W_{K}^{0} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\operatorname{Fr} \in W_{K} / W_{K}^{0}$ be the geometric Frobenius. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V \otimes W, \psi)=\operatorname{det} W\left(\operatorname{Fr}^{\mathrm{sw}(V)+\operatorname{rank} V \cdot(\operatorname{ord} \psi+1)}\right) \varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)^{\mathrm{rank} W}
$$

(9) Let $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above. Suppose that the coinvariant $(V)_{W_{K}^{0}}$ is zero. Let $V^{*}$ be the $R$-linear dual of $V$. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{*}, \psi\right)=\operatorname{det} V(\operatorname{rec}(-1)) \cdot q^{\operatorname{sw}(V)+\operatorname{rank} V \cdot(2 \operatorname{ord} \psi+1)}
$$

Remark 5.2. A partial result for the uniqueness of $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants is given in Corollary 9.17.

Here we give an outline of the proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $K$ be a $p$-local field.

Let be $R$ be a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring. For an object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$, let $V=V^{0} \oplus V^{>0}$ be the decomposition of $V$ into the tamely ramified part $V^{0}$ and the totally wild part $V^{>0}$. By § 3.4, for a short exact exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow V \rightarrow V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right), 0 \rightarrow\left(V^{\prime}\right)^{0} \rightarrow V^{0} \rightarrow$ $\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)^{0} \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow\left(V^{\prime}\right)^{>0} \rightarrow V^{>0} \rightarrow\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)^{>0} \rightarrow 0$ are also exact sequences.

We divide Theorem 5.1 into the following two theorems:
THEOREM 5.3. For each triple $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ where $R$ is a strict $p^{\prime}$ coefficient ring, $(\rho, V)$ is a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, V\right)$, and $\psi: K \rightarrow$ $R^{\times}$is a non-trivial continuous additive character, we can attach, in a canonical way, an element

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}((\rho, V), \psi) \in R^{\times}
$$

which satisfies the properties (1)-(9) in Theorem 5.1.
THEOREM 5.4. For each triple $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ where $R$ is a strict $p^{\prime}$ coefficient ring, $(\rho, V)$ is a tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, V\right)$, and $\psi$ : $K \rightarrow R^{\times}$is a non-trivial continuous additive character, we can attach, in a canonical way, an element

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}((\rho, V), \psi) \in R
$$

which satisfies
(0) $\varepsilon_{0, R}((\rho, V), \psi) \in R^{\times}$
and the properties (1)-(9) in Theorem 5.1.
In § 7, Definition 7.5, we define, following Henniart [He] and Saito [Sa2], an element

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi) \in R^{\times} / \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{\infty}}(R)
$$

in a canonical way, for each triple $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ as above such that $V$ is totally wild. In Theorem 7.8, we prove that which satisfies the nine properties corresponding to the properties (1)-(9) in Theorem 5.1.

Using this element, we define, in $\S 8$, Definition 8.1, an element $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi) \in R^{\times}$for each triple $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ as above such that $V$ is totally wild. In § 8, we prove Theorem 5.3.

In $\S 10$, Definition 10.7, we define, in a canonical way, an element $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi) \in R^{\times}$for each triple $(R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ as above such that $V$ is tamely ramified. In $\S 10.3$ and $\S 10.5$, we prove Theorem 5.4.

Application to Kato's local $\varepsilon$ conjecture Let the notation be as in $\S$ 1.1. In view of $[K 2,3.2]$, we see that the " $\ell \neq p$ part" of his conjecture ([K2, Conj. 1.8]) is equivalent to the following conjecture modulo $\pm 1$ in the case where $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $\Lambda$ is a pro- $\ell$ commutative ring:

Conjecture 5.5 (Local $\varepsilon$ conjecture). Let $K$ be as above. Then for each triple $(\Lambda,(\rho, V), \psi)$ as above, we can define an element $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}(V, \psi)=$ $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}((\rho, V), \psi)$ in $\Lambda_{(\rho, V)}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) Assume that we are given two triples $(\Lambda,(\rho, V), \psi)$ and $\left(\Lambda^{\prime},\left(\rho^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right), \psi\right)$ as above with common $\psi$, a local ring homomorphism $h: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^{\prime}$, and an isomorphism $(\rho, V) \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\cong}\left(\rho^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Then the isomorphism $\Lambda_{(\rho, V)} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda_{(\rho, V)}^{\prime}$ induced by h sends $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}(V, \psi) \otimes 1$ to $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda^{\prime}}\left(V^{\prime}, \psi\right)$.
(2) Let $(\Lambda,(\rho, V), \psi),\left(\Lambda,\left(\rho^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right), \psi\right)$ and $\left(\Lambda,\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}, V^{\prime \prime}\right), \psi\right)$ be three triples as above with common $\Lambda$ and $\psi$. Assume that there is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(\rho^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(\rho, V) \rightarrow\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}, V^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, \Lambda\right)$. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\Lambda_{(\rho, V)} \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \Lambda_{\left(\rho^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}, V^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

Then this isomorphism sends $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}(V, \psi)$ to $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}\left(V^{\prime}, \psi\right) \otimes \varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}\left(V^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)$.
(3) Let $(\Lambda,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above and $a \in K^{\times}$. Let $\psi_{a}: K \rightarrow$ $W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}^{\times}\right)$denote the additive character defined by $\psi_{a}(x)=\psi(a x)$ for $x \in K$. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}\left(V, \psi_{a}\right)=\operatorname{det}(\rho)(\operatorname{rec}(a)) q_{K}^{v_{K}(a) \cdot \operatorname{rank}(V)} \varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}(V, \psi)
$$

(4) Let $(\Lambda,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above. Assume that $\Lambda$ is a finite flat reduced local $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$-algebra. $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \operatorname{Frac} W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ is isomorphic to a direct product $\prod_{i} K_{i}$ of finite extensions $K_{i}$ of $\operatorname{Frac} W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)$. For each $i$ the base change $\left(\rho_{i}, V_{i}\right)=(\rho, V) \otimes_{\Lambda} K_{i}$ is a continuous representation of $W_{K}$ on a finite dimensional $K_{i}$-vector space which is defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ in $K_{i}$. Then the image of $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}(V, \psi)$ in $K_{i}$ is equal to the local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constant $\varepsilon_{0}\left(V_{i}, \psi_{i}, d x\right)$ in Deligne ( [De1, p. 535, Thm. 4.1], on which we have reviewed in § 4), where $d x$ is the $K_{i}$ valued Haar measure of the additive group $K$ with $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$.

Proof of Conjecture 5.5 (cf. [K2, p. 14, 3.2]). Let $(\Lambda,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a triple as above.

Then $\Lambda \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)$, is a finite product $\Lambda \widehat{\otimes}_{W\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)} W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)=\prod_{i} R_{i}$ of $p^{\prime}$ coefficient rings $R_{i}$.

Define $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}(V, \psi) \in \Lambda \widehat{\otimes}_{W\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)} W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)$ by

$$
\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}(V, \psi)=\left(\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)\right)_{i}
$$

Then, by Theorem 5.1 (4), we have $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}(V, \psi) \in \Lambda_{(\rho, V)}$.
It is easy to check that this element $\varepsilon_{0, \Lambda}(V, \psi)$ satisfies the desired properties.

THEOREM 5.6. Let $L / K$ be a finite separable extension of $p$-local fields, let $R$ be a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and let $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$be a non-trivial continuous additive character. Then there exists an element $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi) \in$ $R^{\times}$such that for every object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$., we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} V, \psi\right)=\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{\mathrm{rank} V} \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)
$$

Here we give an outline of the proof of Theorem 5.6.
For $L / K, R$ and $\psi$ as above, we define, in $\S 6$, Definition 6.3, in a canonical way an element $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi) \in R^{\times}$.

We divide Theorem 5.6 into four parts in the following way:
ThEOREM 5.7. Let $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi) \in R^{\times}$be as in Definition 6.3. Let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$. Then Theorem 5.6 holds for $(\rho, V)$ and for this $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi) \in R^{\times}$in the following four cases:
(1) $V$ is totally wild.
(2) $V$ is tamely ramified and $L / K$ is unramified.
(3) $V$ is tamely ramified and $L / K$ is totally tamely ramified.
(4) $V$ is tamely ramified and $L / K$ is totally ramified and $[L: K]$ is a power of $p$.

The proof of (1) is given in § 9. (2) is proved in § 10.5, Lemma 10.14. The proofs of (3) and (4) are given in § 11.3.

Remark 5.8. In $\S 8.2$, we prove a result analogous to DeligneHenniart's result [DH, p. 108, Thm. 4.2 and p. 110, Thm. 4.6].

## 6. $\lambda$-Constants

In this section, we consider a triple $(L / K, R, \psi)$, where $L / K$ is a finite separable extension of a $p$-local field $K$ with residue field $k$ of $q$ elements, $R$ is a $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$is a non-trivial continuous additive character.

The aim of this section is to define, for a triple $(L / K, R, \psi)$ as above, an element $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi) \in R^{\times}$and to prove some basic properties of $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)$.

### 6.1. Review on lambda constants

Let $L$ be a finite separable extension of $K$, and let $d x$ and $d y$ be Haar measures of $K$ and $L$ respectively. When $R=\mathbb{C}$, Deligne [De1, p. 549, (5.6)] shows that there exists

$$
\lambda(L / K, \psi, d x, d y) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

such that for any representation $V$ of $W_{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\varepsilon\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{L}^{K} V, \psi, d x\right)=\lambda(L / K, \psi, d x, d y)^{\operatorname{rank} V} \cdot \varepsilon\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}, d y\right)
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{0}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{L}^{K} V, \psi, d x\right)=\lambda(L / K, \psi, d x, d y)^{\operatorname{rank} V} \cdot \varepsilon_{0}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}, d y\right)
$$

### 6.2. Universal $\lambda$-constant $\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{K}}(L / K, \psi)$

For a complete discrete valuation field $K$ whose residue field $k$ is finite of characteristic $p$, let $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}$ be the following commutative ring

$$
\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}= \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right][X] /\left(1+X^{4}\right), & \text { if } p=2 \text { and char } K=0 \\ \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right][X] /\left(1+X+\cdots+X^{p-1}\right), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The ring $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}$ depends only on the pair ( $\operatorname{char} K$, $\operatorname{char} k$ ). In particular, for a finite separable extension $L$ of $K$, we have $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{L}=\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}$.

## Definition 6.1.

(1) Assume that char $K=0$. A universal partial character of $K$ is an additive character $\psi^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$defined on a fractional ideal $I \subset K$ of $K$ such that $\psi^{\prime}$ is either trivial on $4 \mathfrak{m}_{K} I$ and is non-trivial on $4 I$.
(2) Assume that char $K=p$. A universal partial character of $K$ is a non-trivial continuous additive character $\psi^{\prime}: I=K \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$of $K$.

Let $L$ be a finite separable extension of $K$. Take an embedding $\iota: \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K} \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}$. For every universal partial character $\psi^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$, take a continuous additive character $\psi: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$whose restriction to $I$ is equal to $\iota \psi^{\prime}$.

Lemma 6.2. Let $d x$ (resp. dy) be the Haar measure on $K$ (resp. L) satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$ (resp. $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{L}} d y=1$ ). Then the $\lambda$-constant $\lambda(L / K, \psi)=$ $\lambda(L / K, \psi, d x, d y) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$belongs to $\iota\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}\right)$.

Proof. Let $V=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} 1$. We have

$$
\lambda(L / K, \psi, d x, d y)=\frac{\varepsilon(V \oplus \operatorname{det} V, \psi, d x)}{\varepsilon\left(1, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}, d y\right) \varepsilon(\operatorname{det} V, \psi, d x)} .
$$

Since $V \oplus \operatorname{det} V$ is self-dual, we have

$$
\varepsilon(V \oplus \operatorname{det} V, \psi, d x)^{2}=q^{a(V)+a(\operatorname{det} V)+2(\operatorname{rank} V+1) \cdot \operatorname{ord} \psi}
$$

Here $a(V)$ and $a(\operatorname{det} V)$ denote the Artin conductors of $V$ and $\operatorname{det} V$, respectively. By Serre $[\mathrm{Se} 2], a(V)+a(\operatorname{det} V)$ is an even integer. Hence $\varepsilon(V \oplus \operatorname{det} V, \psi, d x)$ lies in the image of $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$by $\iota$. It is easily checked that $\varepsilon\left(1, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}, d y\right)$ and $\varepsilon(\operatorname{det} V, \psi, d x)$ belong to $\iota\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}\right)$.

We define $\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{K}}\left(L / K, I, \psi^{\prime}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$to be the inverse image $\iota^{-1}(\lambda(L / K, \psi))$ by $\iota$. The element $\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{K}}\left(L / K, I, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ does not depend on the choice of $\iota$ or $\psi$.

Let $a \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p} / 4 p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{\times}, h_{a}: \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}$ be the automorphism of the ring $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}$ given by $h_{a}(X)=X^{a}$. Then for any universal partial character $\psi^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$ of $K$, we have $h_{a}\left(\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{K}}\left(L / K, I, \psi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{K}}\left(L / K, I, h_{a} \circ \psi^{\prime}\right)$.

### 6.3. Definition of $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)$

Let $R$ be a $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$a non-trivial continuous additive character. There exists a universal partial character $\psi^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$ of $K$ and a homomorphism $h: \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K} \rightarrow R$ of rings such that $\left.\psi\right|_{I}=h \circ \psi^{\prime}$.

Definition 6.3. Take $I, \psi^{\prime}$ and $h$ as above. We define the $\lambda$-constant $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi) \in R^{\times}$of $(L / K, R, \psi)$ to be

$$
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi):=h\left(\lambda\left(L / K, I, \psi^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

This $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)$ does not depend on the choice of $I, \psi^{\prime}$ and $h$.
Proposition 6.4.
(1) Let $(L / K, R, \psi)$ and $\left(L / K, R^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ be two such triples with common $L / K$, and $h: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ a local ring homomorphism satisfying $\psi=\psi^{\prime} \circ h$. Then we have

$$
h\left(\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)\right)=\lambda_{R^{\prime}}\left(L / K, \psi^{\prime}\right)
$$

(2) Let $q=q_{K}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{2}=\left(d_{L / K},-1\right)_{K} \cdot q^{-v_{K}\left(d_{L / K}\right)} .
$$

(3) If $R=\mathbb{C}$, then $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)$ coincides with Deligne's $\lambda(L / K, \psi$, $d x, d y)$, where $d x$ and $d y$ are Haar measures with $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$ and $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{L}} d y=1$.
(4) Let $q=q_{K}$. Let $a \in K^{\times}$and $\psi_{a}$ be the additive character defined as $\psi_{a}(x)=\psi(a x)$. Then we have

$$
\lambda_{R}\left(L / K, \psi_{a}\right)=\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi) \cdot\left(d_{L / K}, a\right)_{K}
$$

(5) If $M$ is a finite separable extension of $L$, then we have

$$
\lambda_{R}(M / K, \psi)=\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{[M: L]} \cdot \lambda\left(M / L, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)
$$

Proof. (1) and (3) are Obvious. (4) and (5) are immediate consequences of (3). We prove (2).

Let $a(V)$ be the Artin conductor of $V=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} 1$. Then,

$$
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{2}=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(-1)) \cdot \frac{q^{a(V)+2[L: K] \operatorname{ord} \psi}}{q_{L}^{2 \operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)}}
$$

By [Se1, VI, Prop. 4], we have $a(V)=v_{K}\left(d_{L / K}\right)$. Since ord $\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)=$ $e_{L / K}$ ord $\psi+v_{L}\left(D_{L / K}\right)$, we have

$$
q_{L}^{2 \operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)}=q^{2 f_{L / K}\left(e_{L / K} \operatorname{ord} \psi+v_{L}\left(D_{L / K}\right)\right)}=q^{2[L: K] \operatorname{ord} \psi+2 v_{K}\left(d_{L / K}\right)}
$$

Hence the lemma follows from $\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(-1))=\left(d_{L / K},-1\right)_{K}$.

### 6.4. Description of $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)$ in some special cases

Let $q=q_{K}$. Let $n=[L: K]$ be the degree of $L / K$.
When $p \neq 2$ and $v_{K}\left(d_{L / K}\right)$ is odd, we denote by $\tau_{R}(L / K, \psi)$ the quadratic Gauss sum

$$
\tau_{R}(L / K, \psi)=\sum_{x \in k^{\times}}\left(d_{L / K}, \pi_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} x\right)_{K} \psi\left(\pi_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} x\right)
$$

where $\pi_{K} \in K$ is an arbitrary prime element in $K$. The Gauss sum $\tau_{R}(L / K, \psi)$ does not depend on the choice of $\pi_{K}$. We have $\tau_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{2}=$ $\left(\frac{-1}{k}\right) q$. In particular $\tau_{R}(L / K, \psi)$ is a unit in $R$.

Lemma 6.5. Suppose that $L / K$ is unramified. Then

$$
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)=(-1)^{([L: K]-1) \operatorname{ord} \psi}
$$

Proof. It follows from direct computation of $\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)$ (cf. [M, p. $879,(2.5 .3)])$.

Lemma 6.6. Suppose that $L / K$ is totally tamely ramified and let $n=$ [L:K]. Then

$$
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)= \begin{cases}q^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2} n}}{k}\right)^{\operatorname{ord} \psi} & \text { if } n \text { is odd and } p \neq 2, \\ q^{-\frac{n-1}{2}(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}\left[k: \mathbb{F}_{2}\right] \text { ord } \psi} .} & \text { if } n \text { is odd and } p=2, \\ q^{-\frac{n}{2}} \tau_{R}(L / K, \psi)\left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \frac{n}{2}}{k}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. There exists a prime element $\pi_{L} \in L$ such that $\pi_{K}=\pi_{L}^{n}$ is a prime element in $K$. Since $\left\{1, \ldots, \pi_{L}^{n-1}\right\}$ is a $\mathcal{O}_{K}$-basis of $\mathcal{O}_{L}$, we have $d_{L / K}=(-1)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor} n^{n} \pi_{K}^{n-1}$. If $n$ is odd, $v_{K}\left(d_{L / K}\right)=n-1$ is even. Hence if $p \neq 2$, by [He, p. 124, Prop. 2], we have

$$
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)=q^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} n, 2 \pi_{K}^{\operatorname{ord} \psi}\right)_{K}=q^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} n}{k}\right)^{\operatorname{ord} \psi}
$$

If $p=2$, let $d x$ be the Haar measure of $K$ such that $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$. Since $\chi:=\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} 1_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is unramified, by [He, p. 124, Prop. 2] (cf. [M, p. 881, Prop. 2.5.11]), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(L / K, \psi) & =q^{-\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)} \varepsilon\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} 1_{\mathbb{C}}, \psi, d x\right) \\
& =q^{-\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)+\frac{n-1}{2}} \varepsilon(\chi, \psi, d x)^{n} \\
& =q^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \chi\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\pi_{K}\right)\right)^{n \operatorname{ord} \psi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If furthermore char $K=0$, then

$$
\chi\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\pi_{K}\right)\right)=\left(d_{L / K}, \pi_{K}\right)_{K}=\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} n, \pi_{K}\right)_{K}=(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}\left[k: \mathbb{F}_{2}\right]}
$$

The formula $\chi\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\pi_{K}\right)\right)=(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}\left[k: \mathbb{F}_{2}\right]}$ holds even when $\operatorname{char} K=2$. Hence

$$
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)=q^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}(-1)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}\left[k: \mathbb{F}_{2}\right] \operatorname{ord} \psi}
$$

If $n$ is even, by [Sa1, p. 508, Thm.], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi) & =q^{-\frac{n}{2}} \tau_{R}(L / K, \psi)\left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} n}{k}\right)\left(d_{L / K}, 2\right)_{K} \\
& =q^{-\frac{n}{2}} \tau_{R}(L / K, \psi)\left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \frac{n}{2}}{k}\right) . \square
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7. Local $\varepsilon_{0}$-Constant for Totally Wild Representations Modulo p-th Power Roots of Unity

Let $K$ be a $p$-local field with residue field $k$. Let $q=q_{K}$. Let $R$ be a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring. In this section, inspired by the result of Henniart in $[\mathrm{He}]$, we define the local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants for pairs $((\rho, V), \psi)$ up to $p$-th power roots of unity, where $(\rho, V)$ is an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ and $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$is a non-trivial continuous additive character of $K$.

Let $G=W_{K}$ denote the Weil group of $K$, and let $G^{v}$ and $G^{v+}$ denote its ramification subgroups.

### 7.1. The isomorphism $\sigma_{\psi}$

Let $\bar{K}$ be a separable closure of $K$. The valuation $v_{K}$ of $K$ canonically extends to a valuation $v_{K}: \bar{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup\{\infty\}$ of $\bar{K}$. For $w \in \mathbb{Q}$, let $N^{w}=N_{K}^{w}$ be the $k$-vector space

$$
N^{w}:=\left\{x \in \bar{K} ; v_{K}(x) \geq w\right\} /\left\{x \in \bar{K} \mid v_{K}(x)>w\right\}
$$

endowed with a canonical $W_{K^{-}}$-action. Furthermore, $N^{\bullet}=\bigoplus_{w \in \mathbb{Q}} N^{w}$ has a structure of a graded $k$-algebra.

Let $\bar{k}$ denote the residue field of the valuation field $\bar{K}$. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{v} / G^{v+}, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right) \stackrel{ }{\rightrightarrows} \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{k}}\left(N^{v}, \bar{k}\right) \cong N^{-v}
$$

of $G$-modules (cf. [Hi] and [Sa2, p. 3, Thm. 1]). Let us recall this in the notation of [Sa2]:

Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{v} / G^{v+}, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right)$ be a non-trivial character of $G^{v} / G^{v+}$. Take a finite Galois extension $L$ of $K$ such that $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)^{v+}=\{1\}$ and that $\chi$ is factored by a homomorphism $\chi_{L}: \operatorname{Gal}(L / K)^{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. Let $K^{\prime}$ be the subextension of $L / K$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)^{v}$. $\operatorname{By}[\mathrm{Se} 1], \psi_{L / K}(v)$ and $\psi_{K^{\prime} / K}(v)$ are integers, and the group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)^{v}$ is canonically isomorphic to the kernel of the homomorphism

$$
\alpha_{L / K^{\prime}, \psi_{K^{\prime} / K}(v)}: \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{\psi_{L / K}(v)} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{\psi_{L / K}(v)+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime} / K}^{\psi_{K^{\prime}}(v)} / \mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime}}^{\psi_{K^{\prime} / K}(v)+1}
$$

Let $\widetilde{D}_{K^{\prime} / K} \in K^{\times \times} / 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}$ be the refined different of $K^{\prime} / K$. Multiplication by $\widetilde{D}_{K^{\prime} / K}$ defines an isomorphism $\mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime} / K}^{\psi_{K^{\prime} / K}(v)} / \mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime} / K}^{\psi_{K^{\prime} / K}(v)+1} \otimes_{k^{\prime}} \bar{k} \cong N^{v}$ (where $k^{\prime}$ is the residue field of $K^{\prime}$ ).

The map $\mathfrak{m}_{L}^{\psi_{L / K}(v)} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{\psi_{L / K}(v)+1} \longrightarrow N^{v}$ defines a finite Galois covering of an affine algebraic group $N^{v}$ over $\bar{k}$ with Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)^{v}$. This covering and $\chi$ induces a finite Galois covering $N_{\chi} \rightarrow N^{v}$ with Galois group $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a unique morphism $N^{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}=\operatorname{Spec}(\bar{k}[t])$ of line bundles over $\bar{k}$ such that $N_{\chi}$ is isomorphic to the pull-back of the ArtinSchreier covering $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\bar{k}[t][s] /\left(s-s^{p}-t\right)\right)$ of $\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}$. This defines an element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{k}}\left(N^{v}, \bar{k}\right)$.

Fix a non-trivial continuous additive character $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$. For $v \in$ $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, we set $w=-v-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1$ and define an isomorphism

$$
\sigma_{\psi}=\sigma_{\psi, v}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{v} / G^{v+}, R^{\times}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} N^{w}
$$

to be the composite

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{v} / G^{v+}, R^{\times}\right) \\
\cong & N^{-v} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}, R^{\times}\right) \\
\cong & N^{w} \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi}, k\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}, R^{\times}\right) \\
\xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{k / \mathbb{F}_{p}}} & N^{w} \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{p}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi}, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}, R^{\times}\right) \\
\cong & N^{w} \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi}, R^{\times}\right) \\
\xrightarrow{\psi} & N^{w} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in $\S 3.4$, let $X^{v}$ denote the set of $G$-orbits in the $G$-set $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{v} / G^{v+}, R^{\times}\right)$.

Let $\Sigma$ be an element in $X^{v}$. Take a $\chi \in \Sigma$ and let $K_{\chi}$ be the extension of $K$ corresponding to the stabilizing subgroup of $\chi$. The field $K_{\chi}$ is an at most tamely ramified finite extension of $K$. It is easily checked that $\sigma_{\psi}(\chi) \in\left(N^{w}\right)^{W_{K_{\chi}}} \subset \amalg_{v^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}} N^{v^{\prime}}$ belongs to the image of the injective group homomorphism $\left(K_{\chi}^{\times} / 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K_{\chi}}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] \hookrightarrow \amalg_{v^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}} N^{v^{\prime}}$.

Let us abbreviate $k_{K_{\chi}}$ and $q_{K_{\chi}}$ by $k_{\chi}$ and $q_{\chi}$, respectively. Let $H=W_{K_{\chi}}$ be the Weil group of $K_{\chi}$, and $H^{v}, H^{v+}$ the upper numbering ramification subgroups of $H$. Since $K_{\chi} / K$ is at most tamely ramified, the inclusion map $H \hookrightarrow G$ induces a canonical isomorphism $H^{e_{K_{\chi} / K^{v}}} / H^{e_{K_{\chi} / K^{v+}}} \cong G^{v} / G^{v+}$. Then by direct computation the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{v} / G^{v+}, R^{\times}\right) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\psi}} \cong & N_{K}^{w} \\
\cong \downarrow & & \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{e_{K_{\chi} / K} v} / H^{e_{K \chi} / K^{v+}}, R^{\times}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{K_{\chi} / K}}^{\cong}} N_{K_{\chi}}^{-e_{K_{\chi} / K} v-\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{K_{\chi} / K}\right)-1} .
\end{array}
$$

is commutative (a more general results in this direction will be discussed in § 9.6).

### 7.2. Refined swan conductor

Definition 7.1. Let $V$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ which is pure of refined break $\Sigma$. Choose a character $\chi \in \Sigma$. We define refined $\psi$-Swan conductor $\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}(V)$ to be the element

$$
\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}(V)=\mathrm{N}_{K_{\chi} / K}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)^{-\frac{\mathrm{rank} V}{\left[K_{\chi}: K\right]}}
$$

in $K^{\times} / 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}$, which is independent of the choice of $\chi$.
 by

$$
\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}(W)=\prod_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}\left(W^{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right)
$$

where $W=W^{0} \oplus \bigoplus_{\Sigma^{\prime}} W^{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is the refined break decomposition of $W$.
REmARK 7.2. When $R$ is a field of characteristic zero, this element $\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}(V)$ is related to Kato's refined swan conductor defined in [K1, p. 324, (3.1)]. cf. [Sa2, p. 6, Thm. 2].

### 7.3. A quadratic Gauss sum

Assume that $p \neq 2$. For $x \in K^{\times}$with $v_{K}(x)+\operatorname{ord} \psi=2 b+1$ is odd, let $\tau_{K, \psi}(x)$ be the quadratic Gauss sum defined as

$$
\tau_{K, \psi}(x)=\sum_{y \in \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-b-1} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-b}} \psi\left(x \frac{y^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

We have $\tau_{K, \psi}(x)^{2}=\left(\frac{-1}{k}\right) q$. In particular $\tau_{K, \psi}(x)$ is a unit in $R$.
The Gauss sum $\tau_{K, \psi}(x)$, for fixed $K$ and $\psi$, depends only on the class of $x \in\left\{x \in K^{\times} ; v_{K}(x)+\operatorname{ord} \psi \equiv 1 \bmod 2\right\}$ in $\left(K^{\times} / 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we can define $\tau_{K, \psi}(x)$ for $x \in\left\{x \in\left(K^{\times} / 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] ; v_{K}(x)+\operatorname{ord} \psi \in\right.$ $\left.1+2 \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right\}$.

### 7.4. Definition of local $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}$-constants for totally wild representations

Let $v \in \mathbb{Q}>0$ and $\Sigma \in X^{v}$. Choose a character $\chi \in \Sigma$. We define the Gauss sum $g_{R}(\Sigma, \psi)$ associated with $\Sigma$ and $\psi$ to be the element

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{R}(\Sigma, \psi) \\
= & q_{\chi}^{\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{K_{\chi} / K}\right)} \cdot \lambda_{R}\left(K_{\chi} / K, \psi\right) \\
& \times \begin{cases}q_{\chi}^{(1+w) / 2} & \text { if } p=2 \text { or } p \neq 2 \operatorname{and} \operatorname{ord}_{2}(v) \leq 0, \\
q_{\chi}^{w / 2} \cdot \tau_{K_{\chi}, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{K_{\chi} / K}}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right) & \text { if } p \neq 2 \text { and } \operatorname{ord}_{2}(v)>0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $R^{\times}$, where $w=e_{K_{\chi} / K} v$. The following two lemmas are easily checked:
Lemma 7.3. $\quad g_{R}(\Sigma, \psi)$ depends only on $\Sigma$ and $\psi$, and does not depend on the choice of $\chi$.

Lemma 7.4. Let $d_{v}$ be the p-primary part of the denominator of $v$. Let $\widetilde{\chi}: G^{v} / G^{v+} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$be a non-trivial homomorphism, $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ be the set of $G$-conjugates of $\widetilde{\chi}$. Then for a universal partial character $\psi^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$, there exists a canonical element $\widetilde{g}_{R}\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}, I, \psi^{\prime}\right)^{d_{v}} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_{K}^{\times}$satisfying the following property: for any strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring $R$, for any homomorphism $h$ : $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow R$ of rings, for any continuous additive character $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$whose restriction to $I$ is equal to $h \circ \psi_{\tilde{\sim}}^{\prime}$, and for any object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ which is pure of refined break $h(\widetilde{\Sigma})=\{h \circ \widetilde{\chi} \mid \widetilde{\chi} \in \widetilde{\Sigma}\}$, we have

$$
g_{R}(h(\widetilde{\Sigma}), \psi)=\left(h\left(\widetilde{g}_{R}\left(\widetilde{\chi}, I, \psi^{\prime}\right)^{d_{v}}\right)\right)^{1 / d_{v}}
$$

Definition 7.5. Let $v \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ which is pure of refined break $\Sigma \in X^{v}$. Choose a character $\chi \in \Sigma$ and let $V_{\chi}=\left(\operatorname{Res}_{G^{v}}^{G} V\right)_{\chi}$ be the $\chi$-part of $\operatorname{Res}_{G^{v}}^{G} V$. Using Lemma 3.8, we regard $V_{\chi}$ as an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K_{\chi}}, R\right)$. We define the local $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}$-constant $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ for $V$ and $\psi$ to be the element

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)\right)^{-1} \cdot g_{R}(\Sigma, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi}}
$$

in $R^{\times} / \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{\infty}}(R)$. We call $g_{R}(\Sigma, \psi)^{\mathrm{rank} V_{\chi}}$ the Gauss sum part of $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)$.
Lemma 7.6. The element $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ does not depend on the choice of a character $\chi \in \Sigma$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)\right)$ is independent of the choice of $\chi$. Let $\chi^{\prime} \in \Sigma$ be another character and take an element $g \in G$ such that $\chi^{\prime}=g . \chi$. We then have $W_{K_{\chi^{\prime}}}=g W_{K_{\chi}} g^{-1}$ and $V_{\chi^{\prime}}$ is isomorphic to the $R\left[W_{K_{\chi^{\prime}}}\right]$-module with underlying $R$-module $V_{\chi}$ on which the group $W_{K_{\chi^{\prime}}}$ acts via the isomorphism $W_{K_{\chi^{\prime}}} \cong W_{K_{\chi}}$ which sends $h \in W_{K_{\chi^{\prime}}}$ to $g^{-1} h g$. Since the homomorphism $\sigma_{\psi}$ is equivariant under the action of $G$, we have

$$
\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{rec}\left(g\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)\right)=\operatorname{grec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right) g^{-1}
$$

which proves the claim.
Definition 7.7. Let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ which is totally wild. Let

$$
V=\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{Q}>0} \bigoplus_{\Sigma \in X^{v}} V^{\Sigma}
$$

be the refined break decomposition of $V$. We define the element $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ in $R^{\times} / \boldsymbol{\mu}$ to be

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\prod_{v \in \mathbb{Q}>0} \prod_{\Sigma \in X^{v}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V^{\Sigma}, \psi\right)
$$

### 7.5. Properties of local $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}$-constants

THEOREM 7.8. The local $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}$-constants $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ satisfy the following properties:
(1) For fixed $R$ and $\psi$, the element $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}((\rho, V), \psi) \in R^{\times} / \boldsymbol{\mu}$ depends only on the isomorphism class of $(\rho, V)$.
(2) Let $R^{\prime}$ be another strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and $h: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ a local ring homomorphism. Then we have

$$
h\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)\right)=\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R^{\prime}}\left(V \otimes_{R} R^{\prime}, h \circ \psi\right)
$$

(3) Let $V, V^{\prime}$, and $V^{\prime \prime}$ be three totally wild objects in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$. Suppose that there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow V \rightarrow V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$. Then we have

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V^{\prime}, \psi\right) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)
$$

(4) Suppose that $R$ is a field. Then

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0}(V, \psi, d x) \quad \bmod \boldsymbol{\mu}(R)
$$

where $d x$ is the $R$-valued Haar measure of $K$ satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$.
(5) Let $R_{0}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring with a finite residue field of characteristic $\neq p$. Let $F_{0}$ denote the field of fractions $\operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{0}\right)$ of $R_{0}$, and let $F$ denote the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $F_{0}$. Suppose that $R$ the ring of integers in $F$. and that $(\rho, V)$ is isomorphic to the base change $\left(\rho_{0}, V_{0}\right) \otimes_{R_{0}} R$ of an object $\left(\rho_{0}, V_{0}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0}\left(V_{0} \otimes_{R_{0}} \overline{F_{0}}, \psi, d x\right) \quad \bmod \boldsymbol{\mu}(F)
$$

where $d x$ is the $R_{0}$-valued Haar measure of $K$ satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$.
(6) Suppose that $\operatorname{rank} V=1$, then $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ coincides with $\varepsilon_{0}(\rho \circ$ rec, $\psi, d x) \bmod \boldsymbol{\mu}(R)$ defined in $[D e 1$, p. 555, 6.4], where $d x$ is the $R$ valued Haar measure of $K$ satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$.
(7) Let $a \in K^{\times}$and let $\psi_{a}: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$be the additive character defined by $\psi_{a}(x)=\psi(a x)$. Then we have

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{a}\right)=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(a)) q_{K}^{v_{K}(a) \cdot \operatorname{rank} V} \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)
$$

(8) Let $W$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ on which $W_{K}$ acts via $W_{K} / W_{K}^{0} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathrm{Fr} \in W_{K} / W_{K}^{0}$ be the geometric Frobenius. Then we have

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V \otimes W, \psi)=\operatorname{det} W\left(\mathrm{Fr}^{\mathrm{sw}(V)+\operatorname{rank} V \cdot(\operatorname{ord} \psi+1)}\right) \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)^{\mathrm{rank} W}
$$

(9) Let $V^{*}$ be the $R$-linear dual of $V$. Then we have

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V^{*}, \psi\right)=\operatorname{det} V(\operatorname{rec}(-1)) \cdot q^{\operatorname{sw}(V)+\operatorname{rank} V \cdot(2 \operatorname{ord} \psi+1)}
$$

(10) (cf. [DH, p. 108, Thm. 4.2]) Let $V \neq\{0\}$ be a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$. Take the smallest $v \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $V^{v} \neq\{0\}$. Then for every object $W$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ satisfying $W^{w}=\{0\}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$ with $w \geq v$, we have

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V \otimes_{R} W, \psi\right)=\operatorname{det} W\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}(V)\right)\right) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} W}
$$

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) are obvious.
(4) and (5) follows from the main theorem of Henniart [He, p. 122, Thm. and Remark 4] and the proof of Saito [Sa2, p. 10, Thm. 3].
(6) Let $a(V)$ denotes the Artin conductor of $V$. The representation $V$ is pure of refined break $\{\chi\}$, where $\chi=\left.\rho\right|_{W_{K}^{a(V)-1}}$. Then $\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)$ is the unique element in $K^{\times} / 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}$ such that

$$
\rho(\operatorname{rec}(1+x))=\psi\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi) x\right)
$$

holds for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{a(V)-1}$. Then we have

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0}(\rho \circ \operatorname{rec}, \psi, d x) \quad \bmod \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{\infty}}
$$

by the standard computation of the local constant for character (see [T3, p.95, prop. 1 and p.97, proof of Cor. 1]).

For (7) (8) (9) and (10), we may assume that $V$ is pure of refined break $\Sigma \in X^{v}$. Then $V^{*}$ is pure of refined break $\Sigma^{-1}=\left\{\chi^{-1} \mid \chi \in \Sigma\right\}$. Choose a character $\chi \in \Sigma$ and let $K_{\chi} / K$ be the extension corresponding to the stabilizing subgroup of $\chi$ and $q_{\chi}=q_{K_{\chi}}$. Let $V_{\chi} \in \operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K_{\chi}}, R\right)$ be the $\chi$-part of $\operatorname{Res}_{G^{v}}^{G} V$.
(7) We have $\sigma_{\psi_{a}}=a^{-1} \sigma_{\psi}$. Hence by Proposition 6.4 (4),

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{a}\right)=\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi}\right)(\operatorname{rec}(a))
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot\left(q^{e_{K_{\chi} / K} v_{K}(a)+v_{K}(a)\left(\left[K_{\chi}: K\right]-e_{K_{\chi} / K}\right)}\left(d_{K_{\chi} / K}, a\right)_{K}\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi}} \\
= & \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi) \operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(a)) q^{v_{K}(a) \cdot \operatorname{rank} V} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(9) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V^{*}, \psi\right) \\
&= \operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K_{\chi}}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)\right)^{-1} \cdot g_{R}(\Sigma, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi}} \\
& \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K_{\chi}}\left(-\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)\right) \cdot g_{R}\left(\Sigma^{-1}, \psi\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi}} \\
&= \operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K_{\chi}}(-1)\right) \cdot\left(q_{\chi}^{\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{K_{\chi} / K}\right)} \cdot \lambda_{R}\left(K_{\chi} / K, \psi\right)\right)^{2 \operatorname{rank} V} \\
& \quad \cdot q_{\chi}^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi} \cdot\left(1+e_{K_{\chi} / K} v\right)} \\
&=\operatorname{det}(V)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}(-1)\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K \chi}}^{W_{K}} 1\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}(-1)\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi}} \\
& \cdot\left(\left(d_{K_{\chi} / K},-1\right)_{K} \cdot q^{v_{K}\left(d_{K_{\chi} / K}\right)+2\left[K_{\chi}: K\right] \operatorname{ord} \psi}\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi}} \\
& \cdot q^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi} \cdot\left(f_{K_{\chi} / K}+\left[K_{\chi}: K\right] v\right)} \\
&= \operatorname{det}(V)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}(-1)\right) \cdot q^{\left.\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi} \cdot\left(v_{K}\left(d_{K_{\chi} / K}\right)+2\left[K_{\chi}: K\right] \operatorname{ord} \psi\right)+f_{K_{\chi} / K}+\left[K_{\chi}: K\right] v\right)} \\
&= \operatorname{det}(V)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}(-1)\right) \cdot q^{\operatorname{sw}(V)+\operatorname{rank} V \cdot(2 \operatorname{ord} \psi+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(10) $V \otimes W$ is pure of refined break $\Sigma$ and $V_{\chi} \otimes W$ is the $\chi$-part of $\operatorname{Res}_{G^{v}}^{G} V \otimes W$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V \otimes W, \psi) & =\operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi} \otimes W\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)\right)^{-1} \cdot g_{R}(\Sigma, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi} \otimes W} \\
& =\operatorname{det} W\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)\right)^{-\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} W}} \\
& =\operatorname{det} W\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}(V)\right)\right) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} W}
\end{aligned}
$$

(8) By (10), we have

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V \otimes W, \psi)=\operatorname{det}(W)\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{q}^{f_{K_{\chi} / K} v_{K_{\chi}}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)}\right)^{-\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi} \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} W} . . . ~}
$$

The assertion follows from $v_{K_{\chi}}\left(\sigma_{\psi}(\chi)\right)=-e_{K_{\chi} / K}(v+\operatorname{ord} \psi+1)$.

## 8. Local $\varepsilon_{0}$-Constants for Totally Wild Representations

Let $K$ be a $p$-local field with residue field $k$ and let $G=W_{K}$ denote the Weil group of $K$. Let $\left(R, \mathfrak{m}_{R}\right)$ be a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}=$ $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{\infty}}(R) \subset R^{\times}$denote the group of $p$ power roots of unity in $R$.

### 8.1. Definition of local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants for totally wild representations

Definition 8.1. Let $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$be a non-trivial continuous additive character. For a totally wild object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$, we define the local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constant $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ to be the unique element of $R^{\times}$satisfying

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi) \quad \bmod \boldsymbol{\mu}=\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}(V, \psi)
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi) \quad \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{R}=\varepsilon_{0}\left(V \otimes_{R} R / \mathfrak{m}_{R}, \psi, d x\right)
$$

Remark 8.2. Existence of $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ follows from Theorem 7.8 (4). Uniqueness of $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ follows from the bijectivity of the canonical map $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{\infty}}(R) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{p^{\infty}}\left(R / \mathfrak{m}_{R}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. It suffices to check that the element $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ in Definition 8.1 satisfies the properties (1)-(9) in Theorem 5.3. All these properties follows immediately from Theorem 7.8 and the properties of $\varepsilon_{0}\left(V \otimes_{R} R / \mathfrak{m}_{R}, \psi, d x\right)$ reviewed in the last part of $\S 4$.

### 8.2. Result of Deligne-Henniart type

Proposition 8.3 (cf. [DH, p. 110, Thm. 4.6]). Let $V \neq\{0\}$ be a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$. Take the smallest $v \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $V^{v} \neq$ $\{0\}$. Then there exists an element $\gamma=\gamma_{V, \psi} \in K^{\times}$, unique modulo $1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{\left\lceil\frac{v}{2}\right\rceil}$, which satisfies the following property: for every object $W$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ satisfying $W^{w}=\{0\}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$ with $w>\frac{v}{2}$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V \otimes_{R} W, \psi\right)=\operatorname{det} W(\operatorname{rec}(\gamma)) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} W}
$$

Furthermore, we have $\gamma \equiv \operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}(V) \bmod 1+\mathfrak{m}_{K}$, in particular $v_{K}(\gamma)=$ $\mathrm{sw}(V)+\operatorname{ord} \psi \cdot \operatorname{rank} V$.

Proof. We may assume that $V$ is pure of refined break $\Sigma \in X^{v}$. If $R$ is a field of characteristic zero, then the assertion follows from [DH, p. 110, Thm. 4.6] and [Sa2, p. 10, Cor. of Thm. 3].

Assume that $R$ is a field of characteristic $\neq 0, p$. Since any irreducible object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ is a twist by an unramified character of a representation of $G$ whose image is finite, $(\rho, V)$ can be lifted to characteristic
zero as a virtual representation $(\widetilde{\rho}, \widetilde{V})$. Further we can take $\widetilde{V}$ such that $\widetilde{V}$ has a pure refined break. The continuous additive character $\psi$ is also lifted to characteristic zero, which we denote by $\widetilde{\psi}$. Thus we can take $\gamma_{V, \psi}=\gamma_{\tilde{V}, \tilde{\psi}}$.

For general $R$, let $\gamma \in K^{\times}$be the element which satisfies the assertion of the proposition for $V \otimes_{R} R / \mathfrak{m}_{R}$. Then, by Theorem 7.8 (10), $\gamma$ satisfies the assertion of the proposition also for $V$.

## 9. Proof of Theorem 5.7 (1)

### 9.1. Statement

Let $L$ be a finite separable extension of $K$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{L}$ denote the ring of integers in $L$, and let $\mathfrak{m}_{L}$ denote the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{L}$. Let $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$ be a non-trivial continuous additive character.

The aim of this section is to give a proof of Theorem 5.7 (1), that is, to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 9.1. Let $(\rho, V)$ be a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$. Let $W=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} V$. Then

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(W, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \cdot \lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{\mathrm{rank} V}
$$

We denote $W_{K}$ and $W_{L}$ by $G$ and $H$ respectively. Let $\psi_{L / K}$ is the Herbrand function of $L / K$. Then for $w \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and for $v=\psi_{L / K}(w)$, we have $G^{w} \cap H=H^{v}$ (resp. $G^{w+} \cap H=H^{v+}$ ), where $G^{w}$, $H^{v}$, $G^{w+}$ and $H^{v+}$ are the upper numbering ramification subgroups. The inclusion $H \hookrightarrow G$ induces canonical inclusions $H / H^{v} \hookrightarrow G / G^{w}, H / H^{v+} \hookrightarrow G / G^{w+}$ and $H^{v} / H^{v+} \hookrightarrow G^{w} / G^{w+}$.

### 9.2. Break decomposition of $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V$

Let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(H, R)$ which is pure of break $v_{0} \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$. We put $W=\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V$. There exists a unique $w_{0} \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$ satisfying $v_{0}=$ $\psi_{L / K}\left(w_{0}\right)$.

The following lemma is easily checked:
Lemma 9.2.
(1) If $w_{0}>0$, then $W^{G^{w_{0}}}=\{0\}$.
(2) If $w \geq w_{0}$ and $v=\psi_{L / K}(w)$, then $W^{G^{w+}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{H / H^{v+}}^{G / G^{w+}} V$ as an object of $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$.
(3) If $w>w_{0}$ and $v=\psi_{L / K}(w)$, then $W^{G^{w}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{H / H^{v}}^{G / G^{w}} V$ as an object of $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$.

Corollary 9.3. For $w \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, let $W^{w}$ denote the break-w-part of $W$.
(1) $W^{w}=\{0\}$ for $w<w_{0}$
(2) $W^{w_{0}} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{H / H^{v_{0}+}}^{G / G^{w_{0}+}} V$
(3) For $w>w_{0}$ and for $v=\psi_{L / K}(w)$, there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{H / H^{v}}^{G / G^{w}} V \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{H / H^{v+}}^{G / G^{w+}} V \rightarrow W^{w} \rightarrow 0
$$

in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$.

### 9.3. Reduction to $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}$

Lemma 9.4. If $R$ is a field, then for any object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(H, R)$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V, \psi\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \cdot \lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} V}
$$

Proof. If char $R=0$, this is due to Proposition 6.4 (3) and Deligne [De1, 4.1]. If char $R \neq 0$, this is an immediate consequence of Deligne [De1, 6.5].

If $(\rho, V)$ is a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}(H, R)$ then $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V$ is also a totally wild object. Therefore, to prove the Theorem 9.1, it suffices to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 9.5. If $(\rho, V)$ is a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$ then

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V, \psi\right)=\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \cdot \lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} V} .
$$

Before proving this proposition, we investigate the refined break decomposition of $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V$.

### 9.4. Refined break decomposition of $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V\right)^{>w_{0}}$

As in $\S 9.2$, let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(H, R)$ which is pure of break $v_{0} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. Set $W=\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V$. There exists a unique $w_{0} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $v_{0}=$ $\psi_{L / K}\left(w_{0}\right)$. In this subsection, we consider the refined break decomposition of $W^{w}$ for $w>w_{0}$.

Let $w>w_{0}$ and set $v=\psi_{L / K}(w)$. Let $C_{w}$ be the set of all $R$-valued characters of the abelian $p$-group $G^{w} / G^{w+}$ which is trivial on $H^{v} / H^{v+}$. The group $H / H^{v+}$ acts on $C_{w}$ by conjugation. Let $B_{w}$ denote the set of $H / H^{v+}$-orbits of $C_{w}$. For $\chi^{\prime} \in C_{w}$, let $H_{\chi^{\prime}} \subset H / H^{v+}$ be the stabilizing subgroup of $\chi^{\prime}$. Then the representation $\operatorname{Res}_{H_{\chi^{\prime}}}^{H / H^{v+}} V$ can be uniquely lifted to a representation $V_{\chi^{\prime}}$ of $G^{w} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w+}$ on which $G^{w} / G^{w+}$ acts by $\chi^{\prime}$. For $\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w}$, take an element $\chi^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ and set $V_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w+}}^{G^{w} H / V^{w+}}$. Then $V_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ does not depend on the choice of $\chi^{\prime}$.

The following lemma is easily checked:
Lemma 9.6.
(1) As an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(G^{w} H, R\right)$, the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{H / H^{v+}}^{G^{w} H / G^{w+}} V$ is canonically isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w}} V_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$.
(2) For $\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w}$ with $\Sigma^{\prime} \neq\{1\}$, let $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ denote the unique $G / G^{w+}{ }_{\text {- orbit }}$ of characters of $G^{w} / G^{w+}$ which contains $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Then, as an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$, the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w} H / G^{w+}}^{G / G^{w+}} V_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is pure of refined break $\widetilde{\Sigma^{\prime}}$.

Let $G_{\chi^{\prime}} \subset G / G^{w+}$ be the stabilizing subgroup of $\chi^{\prime}$. Then $G_{\chi^{\prime}} \supset$ $G^{w} / G^{w+}$ and $G_{\chi^{\prime}} \cap H / H^{v+}=H_{\chi^{\prime}}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w} H / G^{w+}}^{G / G^{w+}} V_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w+}}^{G / G^{w+}} V_{\chi^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{G_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w+}}^{G / G^{w+}} \operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w+}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w+}}
$$

Using this description, we shall compute the $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}$-constant of the break- $w$-part $W^{w}$ of $W$.

### 9.5. Refined break decomposition of $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V\right)^{w_{0}}$

Let $(\rho, V)$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(H, R)$ which is pure of break $v_{0} \in \mathbb{Q}>0$. Set $W=\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V$. Assume further that $V$ is pure of refined break $\Sigma$. There
exists a unique $w_{0} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $\psi_{L / K}\left(v_{0}\right)=w_{0}$. In this subsection, we consider the refined break decomposition of $W^{w_{0}}$.

Take an element $\chi \in \Sigma$. Let $H_{\chi} \subset H / H^{v_{0}+}$ be the stabilizing subgroup of $\chi$. Then $H_{\chi} \supset H^{v_{0}} / H^{v_{0}+}$. There exists a representation $V^{\prime}$ of $H_{\chi}$ such that $H^{v_{0}} / H^{v_{0}+}$ acts on $V^{\prime}$ by $\chi$ and that $V$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{\chi}}^{H / H^{v_{0}+}} V^{\prime}$. Let $C_{w_{0}}$ be the set of all characters of the abelian $p$-group $G^{w_{0}} / G^{w_{0}+}$ whose restriction on $H^{v_{0}} / H^{v_{0}+}$ is isomorphic to $\chi$. The group $H_{\chi}$ acts on $C_{w_{0}}$ by conjugation. et $B_{w_{0}}$ denote the set of $H_{\chi}$-orbits of $C_{w_{0}}$. For $\chi^{\prime} \in C_{w_{0}}$, let $H_{\chi^{\prime}} \subset H_{\chi}$ be the stabilizing subgroup of $\chi^{\prime}$. Then the representation $\operatorname{Res}_{H_{\chi^{\prime}}}^{H_{\chi}} V^{\prime}$ can be uniquely lifted to a representation $V_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ of $G^{w_{0}} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w_{0}+}$ on which $G^{w_{0}} / G^{w_{0}+}$ acts by $\chi^{\prime}$. For $\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{0}}$, take an element $\chi^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ and set $V_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w_{0}} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w_{0}+}}^{G^{w_{0}} H_{\chi} / G_{\chi^{\prime}}^{w_{0}+}} V^{\prime} . V_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ does not depend on the choice of $\chi^{\prime}$.

The following lemma is easily checked:

## Lemma 9.7.

(1) The object $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{\chi} / H^{v_{0}+}}^{G^{w_{0}} H^{\prime} / G^{w_{0}+}} V^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(G^{w_{0}} H_{\chi} / G^{w_{0}+}, R\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{0}}} V_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}$.
(2) For $\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{0}}$, let $\tilde{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ denote the unique $G / G^{w_{0}+}{ }_{\text {-orbit }}$ of characters of $G^{w_{0}} / G^{w_{0}+}$ which contains $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Then as an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$, the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w} H_{\chi} / G^{w_{0}+}}^{G / G_{\Sigma^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}}$ is pure of refined break $\widetilde{\Sigma^{\prime}}$.

Let $G_{\chi^{\prime}} \subset G / G^{w_{0}+}$ be the stabilizing subgroup of $\chi^{\prime}$. Then $G_{\chi^{\prime}} \supset$ $G^{w_{0}} / G^{w_{0}+}$ and $G_{\chi^{\prime}} \cap H / H^{v_{0}+}=H_{\chi^{\prime}}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w_{0}} H_{\chi} / G^{w_{0}+}}^{G / G^{w_{0}+}} V_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w_{0}} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w_{0}+}}^{G / G^{w_{0}+}} V_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ind}_{G_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w_{0}+}}^{G / G^{w_{0}+}} \operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w_{0}} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w_{0}+}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}} / V_{\chi^{\prime}}^{w_{0}+}}
$$

Using this description, we shall compute the $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}$-constant of the break- $w_{0^{-}}$ part $W^{w_{0}}$ of $W$.
9.6. The restriction map $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{w} / G^{w+}, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{v} / H^{v+}\right.$, $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$
Let $L / K$ be an finite separable extension of $p$-fields such that $L \neq K$ and that $L / K$ has no non-trivial intermediate extension. When $L / K$ is ramified, there exists a unique $w_{1} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\psi_{L / K}(w)=w$ for $0 \leq w \leq w_{1}$
and that $\psi_{L / K}(w)$ is linear with slope $[L: K]$ for $w>w_{1}$. When $L / K$ is unramified, we put $w_{1}=0$.

Let $w \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and set $v=\psi_{L / K}(w)$. In this subsection, we will investigate the restriction map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{w} / G^{w+}, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { Res }} \operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{v} / H^{v+}, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

where $G=W_{K}$ and $H=W_{L}$ as before. For every finite separable extension $M$ of $K($ resp. of $L)$, we set $w_{M}=\psi_{M / K}(w)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.v_{M}=\psi_{M / L}(v)\right)$.

Lemma 9.8. Let $\widetilde{K}$ be a finite Galois extension of $K$ satisfying $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{K} / K)^{w+}=\{1\}$ and $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{K} / K)^{w} \neq\{1\}$. Let $\widetilde{L}=L \cdot \widetilde{K}$. Assume that $[\widetilde{L}: \widetilde{K}]=\left[G^{w+}: H^{v+}\right]$. Let $K^{\prime}$ (resp. $L^{\prime}$ ) be the subextension of $\widetilde{K} / K$ $($ resp. $\widetilde{L} / L)$ corresponding to the subgroup $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{K} / K)^{w}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / L)^{v}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{K} / K)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / L))$. Then $w_{\tilde{K}}, v_{\tilde{L}}, w_{K^{\prime}}$, and $v_{L^{\prime}}$ are integers and the natural map

$$
\phi_{L / K, w}: N_{K}^{-w} \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{w} / G^{w+}, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Res}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{v} / H^{v+}, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong N_{L}^{-v}
$$

is dual to the map
$N_{L}^{v} \xrightarrow{\times \tilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / L}^{-1}} \mathfrak{m}_{L^{\prime}}^{v_{L^{\prime}}} / \mathfrak{m}_{L^{\prime}}^{v_{L^{\prime}}} \otimes_{k_{L^{\prime}}} \bar{k} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}, w_{K^{\prime}}}} \mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime}}^{w_{K^{\prime}}} / \mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime}}^{w_{K^{\prime}}+1} \otimes_{k_{K^{\prime}}} \bar{k} \xrightarrow{\times \tilde{D}_{K^{\prime} / K}} N_{K}^{w}$,
where $\alpha_{L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}, w_{K^{\prime}}}$ is the homomorphism defined in § 3.2, that is, $\alpha_{L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}, w_{K^{\prime}}}$ is the homomorphism induced by the norm map

$$
\mathrm{N}_{L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}}:\left(1+\mathfrak{m}_{L^{\prime}}^{v_{L^{\prime}}}\right) /\left(1+\mathfrak{m}_{L^{\prime}}^{v_{L^{\prime}}}\right) \rightarrow\left(1+\mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime}}^{w_{K^{\prime}}}\right) / \mathfrak{m}_{K^{\prime}}^{w_{K^{\prime}}+1}
$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{K}_{1}$ be another finite Galois extension of $K$ satisfying $\widetilde{K}_{1} \supset \widetilde{K}$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1} / K\right)^{w+}=\{1\}$. We have $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1} / K\right)^{w} \neq\{1\}$. Let $\widetilde{L}_{1}=L \cdot \widetilde{K}_{1}$. Let $K_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp. $L_{1}^{\prime}$ ) be the subextension of $\widetilde{K}_{1} / K$ (resp. $\left.\widetilde{L}_{1} / L\right)$ corresponding to the subgroup $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1} / K\right)^{w}$ (resp. $\left.\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widetilde{L}_{1} / L\right)^{v}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1} / K\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} . \operatorname{Gal}\left(\widetilde{L}_{1} / L\right)\right)$.

Then $w_{\tilde{K}_{1}}, v_{\tilde{L}_{1}}, w_{K_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $v_{L_{1}^{\prime}}$ are integers. We have the following commutative diagram:


Since $W_{K_{1}^{\prime}} \supset G^{w}$, we have $W_{K_{1}^{\prime}} \supset\left(W_{K^{\prime}}\right)^{w_{K^{\prime}}}$. There exists a rational number $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}>0$ such that $\psi_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K^{\prime}}(x)$ is linear for $x>w_{K^{\prime}}-\epsilon$. By Lemma 3.5, $\alpha_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K^{\prime}, w_{K^{\prime}}}$ is equal to the multiplication by $\widetilde{D}_{K_{1}^{\prime} / K^{\prime}}$. For the same reason $\alpha_{L_{1}^{\prime} / L^{\prime}, v_{L^{\prime}}}$ is equal to the multiplication by $\widetilde{D}_{L_{1}^{\prime} / L^{\prime}}$. Hence the lemma follows.

Proposition 9.9. Let us consider the canonical map

$$
\sigma_{L / K, \psi, w}=\sigma_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}} \circ \operatorname{Res} \circ \sigma_{\psi}^{-1}: N_{K}^{-w-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} \rightarrow N_{L}^{-v-\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)-1}
$$

(1) If $w>w_{1}$, then $\sigma_{L / K, \psi, w}$ is equal to the identity map: $N_{K}^{-w-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} \xrightarrow{\Longrightarrow} N_{L}^{-v-\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)-1}$.
(2) Suppose that $w<w_{1}$. Take a finite Galois extension $\widetilde{K}$ of $K$ such that $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{K} / K)^{w+}=\{1\}$ and that $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{K} / K)^{w} \neq\{1\}$. Then the field $\widetilde{L}=$ $L \cdot \widetilde{K}$ is a finite Galois extension of $L$ such that $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / L)^{v+}=\{1\}$ and that $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / L)^{v} \neq\{1\}$. Let $K^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.L^{\prime}\right)$ be the subextension of $\widetilde{K} / K$ (resp. $\widetilde{L} / L)$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{K} / K)^{w}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / L)^{v}\right)$. Take prime elements $\pi_{L^{\prime}} \in L^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{K^{\prime}} \in K^{\prime}$ satisfying $\mathrm{N}_{K^{\prime} / L^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{K^{\prime}}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}$. Then $\sigma_{L / K, \psi, w}$ sends $a \cdot a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{K^{\prime} / K}^{-1} \pi_{K^{\prime}}^{-w_{K^{\prime}}}$ to

$$
a^{\frac{1}{[L: K]}} \cdot a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1} \widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / K}^{-1} \pi_{L^{\prime}}^{-v_{L^{\prime}}}
$$

(3) Let $\widetilde{L}$ be the Galois closure of $L / K$. Then $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / K)^{w_{1}+}=\{1\}$. Let $\widetilde{K}$ (resp. L') be the subextension of $\widetilde{L} / K$ (resp. $\widetilde{L} / L)$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\widetilde{L} / \widetilde{\widetilde{K}}^{w_{1}}\left(\right.\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / L)^{w_{1}}\right)$. Take prime elements $\pi_{L^{\prime}} \in L^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{\widetilde{K}} \in \widetilde{K}$ satisfying $\mathrm{N}_{\widetilde{K} / L^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{\tilde{K}}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}$. Then there exists an additive polynomial

$$
P(t)=a_{0} \cdot t^{[L: K]}+\cdots+1 \in \bar{k}[t]
$$

of degree $[L: K]$ with $a_{0}=\widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / \tilde{K}} \cdot \frac{\pi_{L^{L_{1}}}^{w_{1}, L^{\prime}}}{\pi_{K}^{w_{1, K}}}$ and with the constant term 1 such that the homomorphism $\sigma_{L / K, \psi, w}$ sends $a \cdot a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{\tilde{K} / K}^{-1} \pi_{\tilde{K}}^{-w_{1, K}}$ to

$$
P\left(a^{\frac{1}{[:: K]}}\right) \cdot a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1} \widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / K}^{-1} \pi_{L^{\prime}}^{-w_{1, L^{\prime}}}
$$

Remark 9.10. We need only (1) to prove Theorem 5.7 (1). In § 11, (3) is used to prove Theorem 5.7 (4).

To prove the proposition, we use the following lemma which is easily checked.

Lemma 9.11. Let $V=V^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} \bar{k}[t]$, let $P(t)=a_{0} t+a_{1} t^{p}+\cdots+$ $a_{n} t^{p^{n}} \in \bar{k}[t]$ be an additive polynomial, and let $P: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ denote the morphism given by $t \mapsto P(t)$. Then the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{k} & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{k}}(V, \bar{k}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1}(V), \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1}\left(V^{\prime}\right), \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{k}}\left(V^{\prime}, \bar{k}\right) \cong \bar{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

induced by $P$ is described as

$$
a \mapsto a_{0} a+a_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} a^{\frac{1}{p}}+\cdots+a_{n}^{\frac{1}{p^{n}}} a^{\frac{1}{p^{n}}}
$$

Proof. We prove only (3). (1) and (2) are easier and their proofs are left to the reader. By Lemma 9.8, the natural map

$$
N_{L}^{w_{1}} \rightarrow N_{K}^{w_{1}}
$$

of $\bar{k}$-group schemes is the composite

$$
N_{L}^{w_{1}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / L}^{-1}} \mathfrak{m}_{L^{\prime}}^{w_{1, L^{\prime}}} / \mathfrak{m}_{L^{\prime}}^{w_{1, L^{\prime}}+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{L^{\prime} / K, w_{1, K}}} \mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{K}}^{w_{1, K}} / \mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{K}}^{w_{1, K}+1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}_{K / K}} N_{K}^{w_{1}}
$$

By taking $\widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / L} \pi_{L^{\prime}}^{w_{1, L^{\prime}}}$ (resp. $\widetilde{D}_{\widetilde{K} / K} \pi_{\widetilde{K}}^{w_{1, K}}$ ) as a $\bar{k}$-basis of $N_{L}^{w_{1}}$ (resp. $N_{K}^{w_{1}}$ ), we identify $N_{L}^{w_{1}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.N_{K}^{w_{1}}\right)$ as the affine line over $\bar{k}$.

Apply Lemma 9.11 to $V=N_{K}^{w_{1}}$ and $V^{\prime}=N_{L}^{w_{1}}$. By simple calculation, $P(t)$ is of the form

$$
P(t)=a_{0} t+\cdots+t^{\left[L^{\prime}: \tilde{K}\right]}
$$

where $a_{0}=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{L^{\prime} / K}\left(\pi_{L^{\prime}}^{w_{1, L^{\prime}}}\right)}{\pi_{K}^{w_{1, K}}}=\widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / \tilde{K}} \cdot \frac{\pi_{L^{\prime}}^{w_{1, L^{\prime}}}}{\pi_{K}^{w_{1, K}}}$. Hence $\phi_{L / K, w}$ sends $a$. $\widetilde{D}_{\tilde{K} / K}^{-1} \pi_{\tilde{K}}^{-w_{1, K}}$ to

$$
\left(a_{0} \cdot a+\cdots+a^{\frac{1}{[L: K]}}\right) \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / L}^{-1} \pi_{L^{\prime}}^{-w_{1, L^{\prime}}}
$$

Let $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$be a non-trivial continuous additive character. Take a primitive $p$-th root of unity $\zeta \in R$. There exists a unique element $a_{\psi, \zeta} \in$ $\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{- \text {ord } \psi-1} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{\text {ord } \psi}$ such that $\psi(x)=\zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}_{k / \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta} x\right)}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} /$ $\mathfrak{m}_{K}^{- \text {ord } \psi}$. Then, for all $y \in \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)-1} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)}$, we have by Lemma 3.5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}(y)\right) & =\psi\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{k_{L} / k}\left(\widetilde{D}_{L / K} y\right)\right)=\zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}_{k / \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta} \operatorname{Tr}_{k_{L} / k}\left(\widetilde{D}_{L / K} y\right)\right)} \\
& =\zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}_{k_{L} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta} \widetilde{D}_{L / K} y\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have a commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{w} / G^{w+}, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\right) & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto \zeta} \operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{w} / G^{w+}, R^{\times}\right) \\
\cong \downarrow & \downarrow^{*} \\
N_{K}^{-w} & \xrightarrow{a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1}} & N_{K}^{-w-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1}
\end{array}
$$

Hence the proposition follows.

### 9.7. Representation of $p$-groups over $p^{\prime}$-coefficient rings

Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group, $R$ a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring which contains a primitive $p$-th root of unity.

We call an object $V$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ indecomposable if it cannot be written as a direct sum of two non-trivial objects in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$.

It is well known that any irreducible complex representation of a finite p-group is monomial. (see [I, Chap. 6, Cor. (6.14)] for example). In the same way of its proof, we have

Lemma 9.12. If $(\rho, V)$ is an indecomposable objects in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$, then there exists a subgroup $H$ of $G$ and an object $W$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(H, R)$ of rank one such that $V$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} W$.

Corollary 9.13. Let $R^{\prime}$ be another strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, $h: R \rightarrow$ $R^{\prime}$ a local ring homomorphism. Then the functor $V \mapsto V \otimes_{R} R^{\prime}$ gives a categorical equivalence $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R) \otimes_{R} R^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{Rep}\left(G, R^{\prime}\right)$, where $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R) \otimes_{R} R^{\prime}$ denotes the category with the same objects as as $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ whose morphisms are defined as $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}(G, R) \otimes_{R} R^{\prime}}(X, Y):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)}(X, Y) \otimes_{R} R^{\prime}$.

### 9.8. A key proposition

Let $K$ be a $p$-local field with residue field $k$ of $q$ elements, $R$ a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring which contains a primitive $p$-th root of unity.

The aim of this subsection is to prove the following result.
Proposition 9.14. Let $(\rho, V)$ be a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ which is defined over a finite subring $R_{0} \subset R$. Assume that $V$ is indecomposable and that $V$ is not of the form $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} V^{\prime}$ for a non-trivial finite separable at most tamely ramified extension $L$ of $K$ and for an object $V^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$. Then there exist a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring $R^{\prime}, p^{\prime}$ coefficient ring $R^{\prime \prime}$ which is a complete discrete valuation ring with a finite residue field whose field of fractions is of characteristic zero, local ring homomorphisms

$$
R \xrightarrow{h} R^{\prime} \stackrel{h^{\prime}}{\leftarrow} R^{\prime \prime}
$$

such that $h$ is injective, a tamely ramified object $V^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R^{\prime}\right)$ and an object $V^{\prime \prime}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that

$$
V \otimes_{R} R^{\prime} \cong V^{\prime} \otimes_{R^{\prime}}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes_{R^{\prime \prime}} R^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $G, I$, and $P$ denote the image of $W_{K},\left(W_{K}\right)^{0}$, and $\left(W_{K}\right)^{0+}$ under $\rho$, respectively. We have $G \triangleright I \triangleright P$ and $G \triangleright P$. By assumption, $I$ is a finite group. $I / P$ is a cyclic group of finite order $m$ which is prime to
p. Take a lift $\widetilde{\zeta} \in I$ of a generator $\zeta \in I / P$ such that the order of $\widetilde{\zeta}$ in $I$ is also $m$. Then we have $I \cong\langle\widetilde{\zeta}\rangle \ltimes P$. Also take a lift $\widetilde{\sigma} \in G$ of the geometric Frobenius in $G / I$.

The restriction $\operatorname{Res}_{P}^{G} V$ is a direct sum of indecomposable objects $V=$ $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} V_{i}$. Since $P$ is a $p$-group, for $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ we have $V_{i} \cong V_{j}$ or $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Rep}(P, R)}\left(V_{i}, V_{j}\right)=\{0\}$. By assumption on $V$, all $V_{i}$ are isomorphic and for any $g \in G$, the conjugation of $V_{0}$ by $g$ is isomorphic to $V_{0}$. Replacing $R_{0}$ by a larger subring of $R$ if necessary, we may assume that $V_{0}$ is defined over $R_{0}$. Let $\ell$ denote the residue characteristic of $R$. Then there exists a ring $R_{1}$ which is the integer ring of a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$, a local ring homomorphism $R_{1} \rightarrow R_{0}$, and an object $V_{0}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(P, R_{1}\right)$ such that $V_{0} \cong V_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{R_{1}} R$.

There is an automorphism $\alpha, \beta \in G L_{R_{1}}\left(V_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\alpha \circ g=\left(\widetilde{\zeta} g \widetilde{\zeta}^{-1}\right) \circ \alpha$ and $\beta \circ g=\left(\widetilde{\sigma} g \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\right) \circ \beta$ on $V_{0}^{\prime}$ for any $g \in P$. Let $g_{0}$ be the element in $P$ defined by $\widetilde{\sigma}^{-1} \widetilde{\zeta} \widetilde{\sigma}=\widetilde{\zeta}^{q} g_{0}$. Then there exist two elements $a, b \in R_{1}^{\times}$such that $\alpha^{m}=a$ and $\beta^{-1} \alpha \beta=b \alpha^{q} g_{0}$. Let $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{b}$ denote the image of $a$ and $b$ in $R_{0}$, respectively. Adjusting $\alpha$ by an element in $R_{1}^{\times}$, we may assume that the order $m^{\prime}$ of $\bar{a}$ in $R_{0}^{\times}$is prime to $p$. Take a power $q^{\prime}>1$ of $q$ which is congruent to 1 modulo $\mathrm{mm}^{\prime}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{-1} \alpha^{q^{\prime}} \beta & =\left(b \alpha^{q} g_{0}\right)^{q^{\prime}} \\
& =b^{q^{\prime}} \alpha^{q q^{\prime}}\left(\alpha^{-q\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)} g_{0} \alpha^{q\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha^{-q} g_{0} \alpha^{q}\right) g_{0} \\
& =b^{q^{\prime}} \alpha^{q q^{\prime}}\left(\widetilde{\zeta}^{-q\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)} g_{0} \widetilde{\zeta}^{q\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)}\right) \cdots\left(\widetilde{\zeta}^{-q} g_{0} \widetilde{\zeta}^{q}\right) g_{0} \\
& =b^{q^{\prime}} \alpha^{q q^{\prime}}\left(\widetilde{\zeta}^{-q}\left(\widetilde{\zeta}^{q} g_{0}\right)^{q^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =b^{q^{\prime}} \alpha^{q q^{\prime}}\left(\widetilde{\zeta}^{-q}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{-1} \widetilde{\zeta} \widetilde{\sigma}\right)^{q^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =b^{q^{\prime}} \alpha^{q q^{\prime}} g_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\bar{b}=\bar{b}^{q^{\prime}}$. In particular the order of $\bar{b}$ in $R_{0}^{\times}$is prime to $p$.
Let $R_{1}^{\prime}$ be the ring of integers in the field adjoining a $q-1$-th power root $c$ of $b$ to $\operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{1}\right)$.

There exists a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring $R^{\prime}$, and local $R_{1}$-algebra homomorphisms $h: R \hookrightarrow R^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}: R_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow R^{\prime}$. Define $\alpha^{\prime} \in G L_{R_{1}^{\prime}}\left(V_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{R_{1}} R_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ as $\alpha^{\prime}=c \alpha$. Then we have $\alpha^{\prime m}=a c^{m}, \quad \beta^{-1} \alpha^{\prime} \beta=\alpha^{\prime q} g_{0}$. We note that the order of the image of $a c^{m}$ in $R^{\prime}$ is finite and prime to $p$.

Take a lift $\widetilde{\zeta}^{\prime}, \widetilde{\sigma}^{\prime} \in G^{\prime}:=W_{K} / \operatorname{Ker}\left(W_{K}^{0+} \rightarrow P\right)$ of $\widetilde{\zeta}, \widetilde{\sigma} \in G$. Then the action of $P$ on $V_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{R_{1}} R_{1}^{\prime}$ is uniquely extended to a continuous action of $G^{\prime}$
by $\widetilde{\zeta}^{\prime} \mapsto \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}^{\prime} \mapsto \beta$; in fact $G^{\prime}$ is the projective limit $G^{\prime}=\lim _{\leftrightarrows}(M, p)=1 G_{M}^{\prime}$ of discrete groups $G_{M}^{\prime}$, where $G_{M}^{\prime}$ is the quotient of $G^{\prime}$ by the inverse image of $\left(W_{K}^{0} / W_{K}^{0+}\right)^{M}$ by $G^{\prime} \rightarrow W_{K} / W_{K}^{0+}$. The group $G_{M}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the group with a set of generators

$$
\{x\} \amalg\{y\} \amalg\left\{z_{h} ; h \in P\right\},
$$

and with fundamental relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{h} z_{h^{\prime}} & =z_{h h^{\prime}}, y^{M}=1, y z_{h} y^{-1}=z_{\tilde{\zeta}^{\prime} h \tilde{\zeta}^{\prime-1}}, x z_{h} x^{-1} \\
& =z_{\tilde{\sigma}^{\prime} h \tilde{\sigma}^{\prime-1}}, x^{-1} y x=y^{q} z_{g_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\tilde{V}_{0}^{\prime}$ denote $V_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{R_{1}} R_{1}^{\prime}$ regarded as an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(G^{\prime}, R_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in the above way.

It is easily checked that there exists a tamely ramified object $W$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R^{\prime}\right)$ such that $V \otimes_{R} R^{\prime} \cong\left(\widetilde{V}_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{R_{1}^{\prime}} R^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{R^{\prime}} W$.

### 9.9. Proof of Theorem 5.7 (1)

Lemma 9.15. Let $L / K$ be a finite separable totally ramified extension of p-local fields, $R$ an algebraically field of characteristic zero, $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$ a non-trivial continuous additive character, and $V$ a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} V\right)=N_{L / K}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}}(V)\right)
$$

Proof. By [Sa2, p. 6, Thm. 2], $\mathrm{rsw}_{\psi}$ is related to the refined Swan conductor defined in [K1, p. 324, (3.1)]. The lemma follows from [K1, p. 325, Prop. 3.3 (2)].

Lemma 9.16. Let $L / K$ be a finite separable at most tamely ramified extension of p-local fields, $R$ a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times} a$ non-trivial continuous additive character. Let $V$ be a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$ which is pure of break $v$ and of refined break $\Sigma$. Suppose that Theorem 5.7 (1) holds for $L / K, \psi$ and $V$. Then for any tamely ramified object $V_{1}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$, Theorem 5.7 (1) also holds for $L / K, \psi$ and $V \otimes_{R}$ $V_{1}$.

Proof. We set $W=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} V$ and $W_{1}=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}}\left(V \otimes_{R} V_{1}\right)$.
By Corollary 9.3, $W$ and $W_{1}$ are also totally wild. It suffices to prove that

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(W_{1}, \psi\right)=\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(V \otimes_{R} V_{1}, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \cdot \lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{\mathrm{rank} V \cdot \operatorname{rank} V_{1}}
$$

Since $V$ is also totally wild, we have, by Proposition 8.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V \otimes V_{1}, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det} V_{1}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}}(V)\right)\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it suffices to prove that

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(W_{1}, \psi\right)=\operatorname{det} V_{1}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}}(V)\right)\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}(W, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{1}}
$$

Let $w=\frac{v}{e_{L / K}}$. Then the canonical map $W_{L}^{v} / W_{L}^{v+} \rightarrow W_{K}^{w} / W_{K}^{w+}$ is bijective. Let

$$
r: \operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{K}^{w} / W_{K}^{w+}, R^{\times}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{L}^{v} / W_{L}^{v+}, R^{\times}\right)
$$

be the canonical bijection. Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be the unique $W_{K^{-}}$-orbit containing $r^{-1}(\Sigma)$. By $\S 9.5, W$ and $W_{1}$ are pure of refined break $\Sigma^{\prime}$.

Take an element $\chi \in \Sigma$ and let $H_{\chi} \subset W_{L}$ be the stabilizing subgroup of $\chi$. Let $V^{\prime} \subset V$ be the $\chi$-part of $V$. Let $\chi^{\prime}=r^{-1}(\chi) \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ and $G_{\chi^{\prime}} \subset W_{K}$ the stabilizing subgroup of $W_{K}$. Then by $\S 9.5$, the $\chi^{\prime}$-part $W^{\prime}$ of $W$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{\chi}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}}} V^{\prime}$.

The object $V \otimes_{R} V_{1}$ is pure of refined break $\Sigma$, and the $\chi$-part of $V \otimes_{R}$ $\left(\operatorname{Res}_{H_{\chi}}^{W_{L}} V_{1}\right)$ is equal to $V^{\prime} \otimes_{R} V_{1}$. Hence the $\chi^{\prime}$-part $W_{1}^{\prime}$ of $W_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{\chi}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}}}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes\left(\operatorname{Res}_{H_{\chi}}^{W_{L}} V_{1}\right)\right)$ Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(W_{1}, \psi\right)}{\varepsilon_{0, R}(W, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{1}}} & =\frac{\operatorname{det} W^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V}}{\operatorname{det} W_{1}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{\chi}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}}} 1\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V-\operatorname{rank} V \cdot \operatorname{rank} V_{1}}}{\operatorname{det} V_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ver}_{H_{\chi}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}}}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V^{\prime}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 9.9 (1), we have $\sigma_{\psi \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}}(\chi)=\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{det} V_{1}\left(\operatorname{Ver}_{H_{\chi}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}}}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V^{\prime}}=\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{H_{\chi}}^{W_{L}} V_{1}\right)\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V^{\prime}}
$$

$$
=\operatorname{det} V_{1}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}}(V)\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

Hence the assertion follows.

Proof of Theorem 5.7 (1). Let $L / K$ be a finite separable extension of $p$-local fields, and let $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$be a non-trivial continuous additive character. Let $V$ be a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$.

We prove the theorem by induction on $r=\operatorname{rank} V$. We may assume that $V$ is indecomposable. Suppose that $V$ is of the form $V=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}^{\prime}}^{W_{L}} V^{\prime}$ for a non-trivial finite separable at most tamely ramified extension $L^{\prime}$ of $L$ and for an object $V^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L^{\prime}}, R\right)$. Then $V^{\prime}$ is also totally wild and the theorem holds for $V$ by induction and by Proposition 6.4 (5). Hence we may assume that $V$ is not of the form $V=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}^{\prime}}^{W_{L}} V^{\prime}$ as above.

We apply Proposition 9.14. Replacing $R$ by a larger strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring if necessary, we may assume that $V$ is of the form $V=V_{1} \otimes_{R} V_{2}$, where $V_{1}$ is a tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ and $V_{2}$ is the base change of an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R^{\prime}\right)$ by a local ring homomorphisms $R^{\prime} \rightarrow R$, where $R^{\prime}$ is a $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring which is a complete discrete valuation ring with a finite residue field whose field of fractions is of characteristic zero.

Let $L_{1}$ be the maximal at most tamely ramified subextension of $L / K$. Let $V_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L} / W_{L}^{0+}}^{W_{L_{1}} / W_{1}^{0+}} V_{1}$ be the tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L_{1}}, R\right)$ whose restriction to $W_{L}$ is isomorphic to $V_{1}$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V \cong V_{1}^{\prime} \otimes_{R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V_{2}\right)
$$

Since the theorem holds for $L_{1} / K, \psi$, and $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V_{2}$, it also holds for $L_{1} / K$, $\psi$ and $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V$ by Lemma 9.16. Hence

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} V, \psi\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{1} / K}\right) \cdot \lambda_{R}\left(L_{1} / K, \psi\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V \cdot\left[L: L_{1}\right]}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V_{2}$ is also totally wild, we have, by Proposition 8.3 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{1} / K}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det} V_{1}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{1} / K}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V_{2}\right)\right)\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V_{2}, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{1} / K}\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the theorem holds for $L / L_{1}, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{1} / K}$, and $V_{2}$, we have $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V_{2}, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{1} / K}\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V_{2}, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \cdot \lambda_{R}\left(L / L_{1}, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{1} / K}\right)^{\mathrm{rank} V_{2}}$.
By Proposition 6.4 (5), it suffices to prove that

$$
\operatorname{det} V_{1}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{rec}_{L_{1}}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{1} / K}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V_{2}\right)\right)\right)=\operatorname{det} V_{1}\left(\operatorname{rec}_{L}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}} V_{2}\right)\right)
$$

By Lemma 9.15, we have

$$
\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{1} / K}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{L_{1}}} V_{2}\right)=N_{L / L_{1}}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}}\left(V_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Hence the assertion follows.
From Proposition 9.14, we have the following corollary:
Corollary 9.17 (Characterization of $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants for totally wild objects). The attachment

$$
(L, R,(\rho, V), \psi) \mapsto \varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi) \in R^{\times}
$$

for each quadruple $(L, R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ where $L$ is a finite separable at most tamely ramified extension of $K, R$ is a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, $(\rho, V)$ is a totally wild object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, V\right)$, and $\psi: L \rightarrow R^{\times}$is a non-trivial continuous additive character, is characterized by the following properties.
(1) For fixed $L, R$ and $\psi$, the element $\varepsilon_{0, R}((\rho, V), \psi) \in R^{\times}$depends only on the isomorphism class of $(\rho, V)$.
(2) Let $(L, R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a quadruple as above, $R^{\prime}$ a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and $h: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ a local ring homomorphism. Then we have

$$
h\left(\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R^{\prime}}\left(V \otimes_{R} R^{\prime}, h \circ \psi\right)
$$

(3) Let $(L, R,(\rho, V), \psi),\left(L, R,\left(\rho^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right), \psi\right)$, and $\left(L, R,\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}, V^{\prime \prime}\right), \psi\right)$ be three quadruples as above with common $L, R$ and $\psi$. Suppose that there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow V \rightarrow V^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{\prime}, \psi\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)
$$

(4) Let $R_{0}$ be a complete discrete valuation ring with a finite residue field of characteristic $\neq p$. We denote by $F_{0}$ the field of fractions $\operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{0}\right)$ of $R_{0}$, by $F$ the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $F_{0}$, and by $R$ the ring of integers in $F$. Let $(L, R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a quadruple as above. Suppose that $(\rho, V)$ is isomorphic to the base change $\left(\rho_{0}, V_{0}\right) \otimes_{R_{0}} R$ of an object $\left(\rho_{0}, V_{0}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\varepsilon_{0}\left(V_{0} \otimes_{R_{0}} \overline{F_{0}} \psi, d x\right)
$$

where $d x$ is the $R$-valued Haar measure of $K$ satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K}} d x=1$.
(5) Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be two finite separable at most tamely ramified extensions of $K$ with $L_{1} \subset L_{2}$, let $R$ be a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and let $\psi: L_{1} \rightarrow R^{\times}$be a non-trivial continuous additive character. Then there exists an element $\lambda_{R}\left(L_{2} / L_{1}, \psi\right) \in R^{\times}$such that for every totally wild object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L_{2}}, R\right)$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{2} / L_{2}}\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}(W, \psi) \times \lambda_{R}\left(L_{2} / L_{1}, \psi\right)^{\mathrm{rank} V}
$$

(6) Let $(L, R,(\rho, V), \psi)$ be a quadruple as above. Then for every tamely ramified object $W$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V \otimes_{R} W, \psi\right)=\operatorname{det} W\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\operatorname{rsw}_{\psi}(V)\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)^{\operatorname{rank} W}\right.
$$

## 10. Local $\varepsilon_{0}$-Constants for Tamely Ramified Representations

Let $K$ be a $p$-local field with residue field $k$ of $q$ elements and $R$ a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring. The aim of this section is to define $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ for a tamely ramified object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ and a non-trivial continuous additive character $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$.

### 10.1. Global tame $\varepsilon$-constants

For a finite separable extension $L$ of $K$, with $\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}, \mathfrak{m}_{L}\right)$ its ring of integers, let $\mathrm{Gr}{ }^{\bullet} L$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^{\geq 0} L$ denote the graded $\mathcal{O}_{L} / \mathcal{O}_{K}$-algebras given by

$$
\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} L=\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n+1}, \quad \mathrm{Gr}^{\geq 0} L=\oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n+1}
$$

respectively and let $\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}} L$ denote the complete discrete valuation field given by

$$
\widehat{\operatorname{Gr}}^{\bullet} L=\operatorname{Frac}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{-i} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{-i+1}\right)
$$

If $L / K$ is a finite at most tamely ramified Galois extension of $K$, then $\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} L$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}^{\bullet} L$ ) is a finite etale Galois $\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} K$-algebra (resp. a finite, at most tamely ramified Galois extension of $\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}} K$ ) whose Galois group is canonically isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$. We note that $X_{0}:=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\operatorname{Gr}^{\bullet} K\right)$ is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{m, k}$. Let $W_{\mathrm{Gr}}{ }^{\bullet}{ }_{K}$ (resp. $W_{\mathrm{Gr} \geq{ }_{K}}$ ) denote the subgroup of $\pi_{1}^{e t}\left(X_{0}\right)$ (resp. of $\pi_{1}^{e t}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\geq 0} K\right)\right)$ ) consisting of the elements whose image in $\pi_{1}^{e t}(\operatorname{Spec}(k))$ are integral powers of Frobenius. For any tamely ramified object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$, we associate an object $(\rho, V)_{\text {Gr }}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{\mathrm{Gr} \bullet}, R\right)$ (resp. a tamely ramified object $(\rho, V)_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}}$ in $\left.\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}}{ }_{K}, R\right)\right)$ in a canonical way.

Fix a non-trivial additive character $\phi_{0}: \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow R^{\times}$. Let $K^{\prime}=$ $\mathrm{Gr}^{\geq 0} K$ (resp. $K^{\prime}=\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}} K$ ). Take a non-zero element $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{K} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{2}$ and consider the $K^{\prime}$-algebra $L^{\prime}=K^{\prime}[t] /\left(t-t^{q}-x\right) . L^{\prime}$ is a finite etale Galois $K^{\prime}$-algebra with its Galois group canonically isomorphic to $k$. Define a homomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right) \cong k \rightarrow R^{\times}$by $k \ni a \mapsto \phi_{0}\left(\frac{a}{x}\right)$. This defines a rank one object $\mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{\mathrm{Gr} \geq 0}, R\right)$ (resp. in $\left.\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}}{ }_{K}, R\right)\right)$ which does not depend on the choice of $x$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}$ to $W_{\mathrm{Gr} \cdot}{ }^{\circ}$.

For a moment let us assume
$\left(^{*}\right)$ there exists a finite subring $R_{0}$ of $R$ such that $(\rho, V)$ comes from an object $\left(\rho_{0}, V_{0}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R_{0}\right)$ by the base change, and that the image of $\phi_{0}$ is contained in $R_{0}^{\times}$.

Then $(\rho, V)_{\mathrm{Gr}}{ }^{\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}$ define smooth etale $R_{0}$-sheaves $\widetilde{V}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}$ respectively on the algebraic curve $X_{0}$ over $k$. By the perfect complex argument (see [De3, Rapport]), $H_{c}^{1}\left(X_{0} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, \widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$ (where $\bar{k}$ is an algebraic closure of $k$ ) is a free $R_{0}$-module of the same rank as $V$, endowed with an action of the geometric Frobenius $\mathrm{Fr}_{q}$. We define the global $\varepsilon$-constant $\varepsilon_{R}\left(\widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$ to be

$$
\varepsilon_{R}\left(\widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(-\operatorname{Fr}_{q} ; H_{c}^{1}\left(X_{0} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, \widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Let us go back to the situation where the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$ is not necessarily satisfied. For an effective divisor $D=\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\left[P_{i}\right]$ on $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} K\right)$, where $m_{i}$ are positive integers and $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ are mutually distinct closed points
on $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} K\right)$, we define the symmetric trace $T\left(D ; V \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$ by

$$
T\left(D ; V \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{P_{i}} ; \operatorname{TS}^{m_{i}}\left(V \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Fr}_{P_{i}} \in W_{\mathrm{Gr} \bullet}{ }_{K}$ is any element in the conjugacy class of the geometric Frobenius at $P_{i}$, and $\operatorname{TS}^{m_{i}}()$ denotes the sheaf of $m_{i}$-th symmetric tensors.

Definition 10.1. We define the global $\varepsilon$-constant $\varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$ to be

$$
\varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{D} T\left(D ; V \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $D$ runs over all effective divisors on $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} K\right)$ of degree $r=\operatorname{rank} V$.

Proposition 10.2 (Trace formula). Under the condition (*), we have

$$
\varepsilon_{R}\left(\widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

In particular, $\varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a unit in $R$.
Proof. This follows immediately from [De3, bFonction $L \bmod \ell^{n}$ ].

### 10.2. Definition of tame local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants

Definition 10.3. Let $(\rho, V)$ be a tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$. For a non-trivial additive character $\psi_{0}: k \rightarrow R^{\times}$, we define the $\varepsilon_{0}$-constant $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}, \phi_{0}\right) \in R$ with an additional parameter $\phi_{0}$ as

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}, \phi_{0}\right):=q^{-\operatorname{rank} V} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)}{\varepsilon_{0, R}\left((\rho, V)_{\widehat{\operatorname{Gr}}} \otimes_{R} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}, \psi^{\prime}\right)},
$$

where $\psi^{\prime}$ is an additive character of $\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}} K$ induced from the additive character of $\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet}(K)=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{n} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{n+1}$ which is 1 on $\bigoplus_{n \neq 0} \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{n} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{n+1}$ and $x \mapsto \psi_{0}(-x)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{0} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{1}$.

REmark 10.4. Let $y \in \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K}$ be the unique element satisfying

$$
\phi_{0}(x y)=\psi_{0}(-x)
$$

for all $x \in k$. Then, by Proposition 8.3, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left((\rho, V)_{\widehat{G} r} \otimes_{R} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}, \psi^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{det} V(y)
$$

The following theorem will be proved in § 11 .
THEOREM 10.5. $\quad \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)$ does not depend on the choice of $\phi_{0}$. We denote it by $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}\right)$.

Lemma 10.6. For $a \in k^{\times}$, let $\psi_{0, a}: k \rightarrow R^{\times}$be the homomorphism defined as $\psi_{0, a}(x)=\psi(a x)$. Take a lift $\widetilde{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$of a. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0, a}\right)=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(\widetilde{a})) \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}\right)
$$

Proof. We will show that

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0, a}, \phi_{0}\right)=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(\widetilde{a})) \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)
$$

We show that

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left((\rho, V)_{\widehat{\operatorname{Gr}}} \otimes_{R} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}},\left(\psi_{a}\right)^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(\widetilde{a}))^{-1} \varepsilon_{0, R}\left((\rho, V)_{\widehat{\operatorname{Gr}}} \otimes_{R} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}, \psi^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $\left(\psi_{a}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)_{a}$, it suffices to show that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left((\rho, V)_{\widehat{\operatorname{Gr}}} \otimes_{R} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}^{\bullet}}(a)\right)=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(\widetilde{a}))^{-1}
$$

By the reciprocity law, we have

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\left(\operatorname{rec}_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}_{K}}(a)\right)=1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{det}\left((\rho, V)_{\widehat{\operatorname{Gr}}}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{\widehat{\operatorname{Gr}}{ }_{K}}(a)\right)=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(\widetilde{a}))^{-1} .
$$

Hence the assertion follows.
Definition 10.7. Let $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$be a non-trivial continuous additive character of $K$. Take an element $a \in K^{\times}$such that $v_{K}(a)+$ ord $\psi=-1$. Let $\psi_{a}: K \rightarrow R^{\times}$be the additive character of $K$ defined as $\psi_{a}(x)=\psi(a x)$. We define the $\varepsilon_{0}$-constant $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi, a)$ to be

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(a))^{-1} q^{-v_{K}(a) \cdot \operatorname{rank} V_{\varepsilon_{0, R}}\left(V, \psi_{a}\right) . . . . . . .}
$$

By Lemma 10.6, $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ does not depend on the choice of $a$.

### 10.3. Properties of tame local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants (I)

In this subsection and in $\S 10.5$, we prove that the $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$ defined in Definition 10.7 satisfy the properties (0)-(9) in Theorem 5.4.

Proof of Theorem 5.4 (1), (2), (6), and (7). (1) and (2) are obvious. (7) is clear from the definition of $\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)$.
(6). By (7), we may assume that ord $\psi=-1$. Let $\psi_{0}: k \rightarrow R^{\times}$be the character induced by $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}$. Then the assertion follows from the definition of the global $\varepsilon$-constant $\varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)$ and Remark 10.4.

Lemma 10.8 (Stability for totally wild extensions). Let $K$ be a p-local field, and $(\rho, V)$ be a tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$. Let $L / K$ be a totally ramified finite separable extension whose ramification index is a power of $p$. We have a canonical isomorphism $W_{L} / W_{L}^{0+} \cong W_{K} / W_{K}^{0+}$. Let $\left(\rho_{L}, V_{L}\right)$ be the tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$ corresponding to $(\rho, V)$ via this isomorphism.

Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V_{L}, \psi_{0}\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}^{([L: K])}\right)
$$

where $\psi_{0}^{([L: K])}$ is the composition of the $[L: K]$-th power map $k \rightarrow k$ with $\psi_{0}$.

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the norm map $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}: L^{\times} \rightarrow K^{\times}$induces an group isomorphism $\mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n} / \mathfrak{m}_{L}^{n+1} \xlongequal{\cong} \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{n} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{n+1}$. This induces isomorphisms
of rings. Then $\left(\rho_{L}, V_{L}\right)_{\mathrm{Gr} \bullet},\left(\rho_{L}, V_{L}\right)_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0} \circ \mathrm{~N}_{L / K}}$ corresponds respectively to $(\rho, V)_{\mathrm{Gr}}{ }^{\bullet},(\rho, V)_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}$ via these isomorphisms.

Hence we have

$$
\varepsilon_{R}\left(V_{L} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0} \circ \mathrm{~N}_{L / K}}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\left(\rho_{L}, V_{L}\right)_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0} \circ \mathrm{~N}_{L / K}}, \psi^{\prime} \circ \mathrm{N}_{L / K}\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left((\rho, V)_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}, \psi^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence the lemma follows.

Take a primitive $p$-th root of unity $\zeta \in R$. Let $a_{\psi, \zeta} \in \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{\text {ord } \psi}$ be the element defined in $\S 9.6$, that is, $a_{\psi, \zeta}$ is the unique element satisfying

$$
\psi(x)=\zeta^{\operatorname{Tr}_{k / \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta} x\right)}
$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-\operatorname{ord} \psi}$. Then by the above lemma, we have

Corollary 10.9.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0}\left(V_{L}, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{det} V\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta}^{[L: K]-1} \cdot N_{L / K}\left(\widetilde{D}_{L / K}\right)\right)\right) q^{\left(([L: K]-1)(\operatorname{ord} \psi+1)+v_{L}\left(\widetilde{D}_{L / K}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{rank} V} \\
& \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 10.4. Reduction to finite rings

10.4.1 A preliminary from commutative ring theory

The aim of this subsubsection is to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 10.10. Let $A$ be a finitely generated commutative $\mathbb{Z}$ algebra. Then for every non-zero element $f \in A$, there exists a finite commutative ring $R$ and a homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow R$ of rings such that $\varphi(f) \neq 0$.

This proposition follows immediately from the following lemma:

Lemma 10.11. Let $A$ be a noetherian commutative ring. Then for any non-zero element $f \in A$, there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ and a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $f \notin \mathfrak{m}^{n}$.

Proof. Let $I=\{x \in A ; x f=0\}$. Since $f \neq 0$, we have $I \neq A$. Take a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $A$ containing $I$, and put $N=\bigcap_{n} \mathfrak{m}^{n}$. Assume that $f \in N$. By Krull intersection theorem, there exists an element $m \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $(1-m) f=0$. We then have $1=(1-m)+m \in I+\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $f \notin \mathfrak{m}^{n}$ for some $n$.

### 10.4.2 A universal ring $\mathcal{R}_{q, r}$

Let $q$ and $r$ be two positive integers. Let us consider the functor from the category of commutative rings to the category of sets, which associates a commutative ring $R$ to the set

$$
\left\{(\sigma, A) \in G L_{r}(R)^{2} ; \sigma^{-1} A \sigma=A^{q}\right\}
$$

We easily see that this functor is representable by a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ algebra, which we denote by $\mathcal{R}_{q, r}$.

Let $K$ be a $p$-local field with residue field $k$ of $q$ elements. Fix a lift $F \in W_{K} / P_{K}$ of the geometric Frobenius and fix a topological generator $\zeta$ of $I_{K} / P_{K}$. Let $R$ be a $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring. If we take an $R$-basis of $V$ for any tamely ramified object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ of rank $r$, the pair $(\rho(F), \rho(\zeta))$ of two elements in $G L_{r}(R)$ satisfies $\rho(F)^{-1} \rho(\zeta) \rho(F)=\rho(\zeta)^{q}$. Let $\varphi_{V}: \mathcal{R}_{q, r} \rightarrow R$ be the ring homomorphism corresponding to the pair $(\rho(F), \rho(\zeta))$.

Lemma 10.12. If $R_{0}$ is a finite local ring of order prime to $p$, then $V \mapsto \varphi_{V}$ gives a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of tamely ramified objects $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R_{0}\right)$ of rank $r$ with $R_{0}$-bases to the set of ring homomorphisms $\varphi: \mathcal{R}_{q, r} \rightarrow R_{0}$.

Proof. Let $(\sigma, A)$ be the pair of elements in $G L_{r}\left(R_{0}\right)$ corresponding to $\varphi$. Then the relation $\sigma^{-1} A \sigma=A^{q}$ implies that the order of $A$ in $G L_{r}\left(R_{0}\right)$ is prime to $p$. Hence $\varphi$ defines an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R_{0}\right)$.

To study tame $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants, the ring $\mathcal{R}_{q, r}$ is often useful to reduce the assertion to the case where the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$ is satisfied. We will explain this by proving the following lemma as an example:

Lemma 10.13. Let $(\rho, V)$ be a tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ of rank r. For a positive integer $s$, set

$$
\Delta\left(V, \phi_{0}, s\right)=\sum_{D} T\left(D ; V \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $D$ runs over all effective divisors on $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} K\right)$ of degree s. Then $\Delta\left(V, \phi_{0}, s\right)=0$ for all $s>r$.

Proof. Let

$$
\mathcal{R}_{q, r}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{R}_{q, r}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]
$$

and let $\widetilde{\phi}_{0}: \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(1+X+\cdots+X^{p-1}\right)\right)^{\times}$be a non-trivial additive character. Then for any non-trivial character $\phi_{0}: \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow$ $R^{\times}$whose kernel is equal to the kernel of $\widetilde{\phi}_{0}$, there exists a unique ring homomorphism $h_{\phi_{0}}: \mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(1+X+\cdots+X^{p-1}\right) \rightarrow R$ such that $\phi_{0}=h_{\phi_{0}} \circ \widetilde{\phi}_{0}$.

There exists an element $\widetilde{\Delta}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{0}, s\right)$ in $\mathcal{R}_{q, r}^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(1+X+\cdots+X^{p-1}\right)$ such that for any $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring $R$ and for any tamely ramified object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ of rank $r$ with an $R$-basis of $V$, and for any nontrivial character $\phi_{0}: \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow R^{\times}$whose kernel is equal to the kernel of $\widetilde{\phi}_{0}$, the element $\Delta\left(V, \phi_{0}, s\right)$ is equal to the image of $\widetilde{\Delta}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{0}, s\right)$ by the ring homomorphism

$$
\varphi_{V} \otimes h_{\phi_{0}}: \mathcal{R}_{q, r}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(1+X+\cdots+X^{p-1}\right) \rightarrow R
$$

To prove the lemma, it suffices to prove that $\widetilde{\Delta}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{0}, s\right)=0$. By Proposition 10.10, it suffices to prove that $\varphi\left(\widetilde{\Delta}\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{0}, s\right)\right)=0$ for any homomorphisms $\varphi: \mathcal{R}_{q, r}^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(1+X+\cdots+X^{p-1}\right) \rightarrow R_{0}$ from $\mathcal{R}_{q, r}^{\prime}$ to a finite local ring $R_{0}$.

Hence it suffices to show the lemma for every $R$ and $(\rho, V)$ which satisfy the condition $(*)$. In this case, the assertion of the lemma is obvious since $\bigwedge^{s} H_{c}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} K\right) \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, \widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=0$.

### 10.5. Properties of tame local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants (II)

Lemma 10.14 (=Theorem 5.7 (2)). Let $L$ be an unramified extension of $K$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{L}$ its ring of integers, by $\mathfrak{m}_{L}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{L}$, and by $k_{L}$ the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{L}$. Let $(\rho, V)$ be a tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} V, \psi\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \cdot \lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{\mathrm{rank} V}
$$

Remark 10.15. By Lemma 6.5, we have

$$
\lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)=(-1)^{([L: K]-1) \operatorname{ord} \psi}
$$

Proof. By reduction to finite rings, we may assume that $(\rho, V)$ satisfies the condition (*).

Let $f: \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} L\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} K\right)$ be the canonical etale covering induced from $L / K$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{k_{L}}}^{W_{k}} H_{c}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\operatorname{Gr}^{\bullet} L\right) \otimes_{k_{L}} \bar{k}_{L}, \widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0} \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{k_{L} / k}}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & H_{c}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{Gr} K) \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, f_{*}\left(\widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0} \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{k_{L} / k}}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
= & H_{c}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\operatorname{Gr}^{\bullet} K\right) \otimes_{k} \bar{k}, f_{*}(\widetilde{V}) \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\varepsilon_{R}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} V \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0} \circ \mathrm{Tr}}^{\prime}\right) \cdot(-1)^{[L: K]-1}
$$

Hence the lemma follows by Lemma 6.5.
Proof of Theorem 5.4. We check the properties (0)-(9) in the statement of the theorem. The properties (1) and (2) are clear.

Let $V, V^{\prime}$, and $V^{\prime \prime}$ be as in the statement of property (3). By the definition of $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi, \phi_{0}\right)$, we see that

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi, \phi_{0}\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}, \psi, \phi_{0}\right)
$$

We may assume that $V=V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}$. We set $r^{\prime}=\operatorname{rank} V^{\prime}$ and $r^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{rank} V^{\prime \prime}$.
For the property (3), it suffices to show that a certain element in the ring

$$
\left(\mathcal{R}_{q, r^{\prime}}^{\prime} \times \mathcal{R}_{q, r^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[X] /\left(1+X+\cdots X^{p-1}\right)
$$

is zero. We reduce, by reduction to finite rings, the problem to the case where both $\left(\rho^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}, V^{\prime \prime}\right)$ satisfy the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$. In this case the assertion is immediate from the cohomological interpretation of the global $\varepsilon$-constants.
(4). We may assume that $R$ is of characteristic zero and that ord $\psi=-1$. If $K$ is of characteristic $p$, the assertion follows from the product formula. If $K$ is of characteristic zero, let

$$
K^{\prime}=\operatorname{Frac}\left(\lim _{\leftrightarrows} \oplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{i} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{i+1}\right)
$$

and let $V^{\prime}$ denote the object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K^{\prime}}, R\right)$ which canonically corresponds to $V$. The representation $V$ is the direct sum of the representation of the
form $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{L}}^{W_{K}} \chi$, where $L$ is an unramified extension of $K$ and $\chi$ is a rank one tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{L}, R\right)$. Hence we can check, by direct computation, that

$$
\varepsilon_{0}(V, \psi, d x)=\varepsilon_{0}\left(V^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}, d x^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\psi^{\prime}$ is an additive character of $K^{\prime}$ with ord $\psi^{\prime}=-1$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{O}_{K} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}$ is equal to that of $\psi$, and $d x^{\prime}$ is the $R$-valued Haar measure of $K^{\prime}$ satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{K^{\prime}}} d x^{\prime}=1$. Hence the proposition follows.
(5) follows from (3) and (4).
(0) follows from (4).
(8) By reduction to finite rings, we may assume that $(\rho, V)$ satisfies the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$. By (7), we may assume that ord $\psi=-1$. Let $\psi_{0}$ be the additive character of $k$ induced by $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}$. It suffices to prove that

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V \otimes W, \psi_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)^{\text {rank } W}
$$

By Theorem 5.3 (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0, R}\left((\rho, V \otimes W)_{\widehat{\operatorname{Gr}}} \otimes_{R} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}, \psi^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{det} W\left(\operatorname{Fr}^{\mathrm{rank} V}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}\left((\rho, V)_{\widehat{\mathrm{Gr}}} \otimes_{R} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}, \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{rank} W}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by the cohomological interpretation of the global $\varepsilon$ constant, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes W \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{det} W\left(\operatorname{Fr}^{\mathrm{rank} V}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{R}\left(V \otimes_{R} \mathcal{L}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{rank} W}
$$

Hence the assertion follows.
(9) Let $(\rho, V)$ be a tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ such that the coinvariant $(V)_{W_{K}^{0}}$ is zero. Let $\zeta$ be a topological generator of $W_{K}^{0} / W_{K}^{0+}$. Then $\rho(\zeta)-1: V^{K} \rightarrow V$ is invertible. By reduction to finite rings, we may assume that $(\rho, V)$ satisfies the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$. By (7), we may assume that $\operatorname{ord} \psi=-1$. Let $\psi_{0}$ be the additive character of $k$ induced by $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}$. Let $\phi_{0}: \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow R_{0}^{\times}$be a non-trivial character and set $\phi_{0,1}(x)=\phi_{0}(-x)$. It suffices to prove that

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}, \phi_{0}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V^{*}, \psi_{0}, \phi_{0,-1}\right)=\operatorname{det}(V)(\operatorname{rec}(-1)) q^{-\operatorname{rank} V}
$$

To prove this, it suffices to prove that

$$
\varepsilon_{R}\left(\widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{R}\left(\widetilde{V^{*}} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0,-1}}^{\prime}\right)=q^{\operatorname{rank}(V)}
$$

which follows from Poincare duality. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.4.

## 11. Proofs of Theorem 10.5 and Theorem 5.7 (3) (4)

In the first part of this section, we prove Theorem 10.5, that is, independence of $\phi_{0}$ of tame $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants stated in the previous section. As a corollary, we get a formula describing tame $\varepsilon_{0}$-constants as integrals, on which we will discuss in $\S 11.2$. $\S 11.3$ is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.7 (3). The proof consists of the following reduction steps:

$$
\text { Theorem } 5.7(3) \Leftarrow \text { Prop. } 11.5 \Leftarrow \text { Prop. 11.6. }
$$

In § 11.4, we remark that, if $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, Gross-Koblitz formula [GK] yields an integration formula analogous to that in $\S 11.2$. The last two subsections in this section are devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.7 (4).

### 11.1. Proof of Theorem 10.5

By reduction to finite rings, it suffices to prove the theorem under the assumption $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ in § 10.1.

Let $K$ be a $p$-local field, $R_{0}$ a finite local ring on which $p$ is invertible, $\phi_{0}: \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow R_{0}^{\times}$a non-trivial additive character. Let $V$ be a tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R_{0}\right)$. We use the notation $\operatorname{Gr}{ }^{\bullet} K, \widetilde{V}$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}$ in $\S$ 10.1. We set $X_{0}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} K\right)$ and $X=X_{0} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}$. Take an element $a \in k^{\times}$and let $\phi_{0}^{\prime}: \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow R_{0}^{\times}$be the non-trivial additive character defined by $\phi_{0}^{\prime}(x)=\phi_{0}(a x)$. Define the smooth invertible sheaf $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ on $X_{0}$ in a similar way as we have defined $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}$.

By Remark 10.4, Theorem 10.5 is implied by the following proposition:

## Proposition 11.1. We have

$\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{q} ; H_{c}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}(V)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{K}(a)\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{q} ; H_{c}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Proof. For a positive integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\pi_{m}: X_{m} \rightarrow X$ be the unique connected etale covering of $X$ of degree $m$ which is tamely ramified at boundaries. Take a sufficiently divisible $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $X_{m}$ is constant.

We define an object $W_{m}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{m} / X_{0}\right), R_{0}\right)$ as

$$
W_{m}:=H_{c}^{1}\left(X,\left(\pi_{m *} R_{0}\right) \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

We put $I_{m}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{m} / X\right)(\cong \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})$. By duality and Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
H_{c}^{1}\left(X, \tilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(V \otimes_{R_{0}} W_{m}\right)_{I_{m}}
$$

where ()$_{I_{m}}$ denotes the $I_{m}$-coinvariant.
By the perfect complex argument, as an $R_{0}\left[I_{m}\right]$-module, $W_{m}$ is free of rank one. Take an $R_{0}\left[I_{m}\right]$-basis $b$ of $W_{m}$. Then the map

$$
\varphi: V \rightarrow\left(V \otimes_{R_{0}} W_{m}\right)_{I_{m}}
$$

defined as $\varphi(v)=v \otimes b$ is an isomorphism of $R_{0}$-modules. Take a lift $\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}}_{q} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{m} / X_{0}\right)$ of the geometric Frobenius and let us write $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{q}(b)=u b$ with $u=\sum_{g \in I_{m}} r_{g}[g] \in R_{0}\left[I_{m}\right]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Fr}_{q}(v \otimes b) & =\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}}_{q}(v) \otimes \sum_{g \in I_{m}} r_{g}[g] b \\
& =\left(\sum_{g \in I_{m}} r_{g}\left[g^{-1}\right] \widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}}_{q}\right) v \otimes b
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\left(V \otimes_{R_{0}} W_{m}\right)_{I_{m}}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{q} ; H_{c}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{g \in I_{m}} r_{g}\left[g^{-1}\right] \widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}}_{q} ; V\right) \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the object $W_{m}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{m} / X_{0}\right), R_{0}\right)$ by

$$
W_{m}^{\prime}:=H_{c}^{1}\left(X,\left(\pi_{m *} R_{0}\right) \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
H_{c}^{1}\left(X, \widetilde{V} \otimes_{R_{0}} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(V \otimes_{R_{0}} W_{m}^{\prime}\right)_{I_{m}}
$$

Take an element $\alpha \in \bar{k}$ satisfying $\alpha^{m}=a$. Then the map $X_{m} \rightarrow$ $X_{m}$ induced by the multiplication-by- $\alpha$ map $\mathfrak{m}_{K} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{K} / \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{2}$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi: W_{m} \cong W_{m}^{\prime}$ of $R_{0}\left[I_{m}\right]$-modules. Let $\left[\alpha^{q-1}\right] \in I_{m}$ be the element corresponding to $\alpha^{q-1} \in \boldsymbol{\mu}_{m}(\bar{k})$ by the canonical isomorphism $I_{m} \cong \boldsymbol{\mu}_{m}(\bar{k})$. It is easily checked that the action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{q}$ on $W_{m}$ is identified with the action of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{q} \cdot\left[\alpha^{q-1}\right]$ in $W_{m}^{\prime}$ by $\varphi$. Hence the proposition follows.

This completes the proof of Theorem 10.5.
Corollary 11.2. For fixed $K, R$, and $\psi_{0}$ the local $\varepsilon_{0}$-constant $\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{0}\right)$ for a tamely ramified object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ depends only on the restriction of $V$ to $W_{K}^{0}$.

### 11.2. A measure defined by $\left(W_{m}\right)_{m}$

Let $K, X_{0}, X, X_{m}$ and $I_{m}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 11.1. Let $G:=\pi_{1}^{t m}\left(X_{0}\right)=\lim _{m} \operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{m} / X_{0}\right)$ denote the tame fundamental group of $X$, and let $I:=\pi_{1}^{t m}(X)=\lim _{m} I_{m} \subset G$ denote the inertia subgroup of $G$. We use the canonical identifications $G \cong W_{K} /\left(W_{K}\right)^{0+}$ and $I \cong\left(W_{K}\right)^{0} /\left(W_{K}\right)^{0+}$. Take a prime number $\ell$ different from $p$. Set $R=W\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}\right)\right)$. Let $\phi_{0}: \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow R^{\times}$be a non-trivial additive character.

Since $R$ is isomorphic to the projective limit $\lim _{n} R / \ell^{n} R$ of finite local rings, we can define, for each positive integer $m$, the cohomology group $W_{m}:=H_{c}^{1}\left(X,\left(\pi_{m *} R\right) \otimes_{R} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right)$ as the projective limit of the cohomology groups for $\phi_{0}$ modulo $\ell^{n}(n=0,1,2 \cdots)$ which appear in the proof of Proposition 11.1. Then $W_{m}$ is an object in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{m} / X_{0}\right), R\right)$ and as an $R\left[I_{m}\right]$-module, $W_{m}$ is free of rank one. Let us consider $W_{m}$ as an object in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, R)$ on which $G$ act via the quotient $\operatorname{Gal}\left(X_{m} / X_{0}\right)$. For two integers $m, n$ with $m \mid n$, the canonical morphism $W_{m} \rightarrow W_{n}$ is compatible the action of $G$. Let $\widehat{W}$ be the $R[[G]]$-module $\widehat{W}=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} W_{m}$. As an $R[[I]]$-module, $\widehat{W}$ is free of rank one. Take an $R[[I]]$-basis $\widehat{\widehat{b}}$ of $\widehat{W}$. Take a lift $\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}}_{q} \in G$ of the geometric Frobenius and define an element $u_{\hat{b}}$ in $R[[I]]$ by $\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}}_{q}{ }_{q}=u_{\hat{b}} \widehat{b}$. It is simple to see that $u_{\hat{b}}$ lies in $R[[I]]^{\times}$. We note that $u_{\hat{b}}$ depends on the choice of $\phi_{0}$ and $\widetilde{F r}_{q}$, not only on that of $\widehat{b}$.

REmark 11.3. Let us define the action of $G$ on $R[[I]]$ by the conjugation $g .[i]=\left[g i g^{-1}\right]$. The $R[[I]]$-action $R[[I]] \times \widehat{W} \rightarrow \widehat{W}$ on $\widehat{W}$ is compatible with the actions of $G$. The class $\widehat{u}$ of $u_{\hat{b}}$ in the $G$-coinvariant $\left(R[[I]]^{\times}\right)_{G}$ does not depend on the choice of $\widehat{b}$. In fact, if $\widehat{b}^{\prime}=a \widehat{b}$, with $a \in R[[I]]^{\times}$, is another basis of $\widehat{W}$, then we have $u_{\hat{b}^{\prime}}=(\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}} . a) u_{\hat{b}} a^{-1}$.

By (11.1) and by Remark 10.4, we have:
Proposition 11.4. We canonically regard the element $u_{\hat{b}} \in R[[I]]$ as an $R$-valued measure on $I$.

Let $R^{\prime}$ be a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring whose residue field is of characteristic $\ell$. Then $R^{\prime}$ has a canonical structure of an $R$-algebra. Let $\psi: K \rightarrow R^{\prime \times}$ an additive character with ord $\psi=-1$ satisfying

$$
\psi(x)=\phi_{0}\left(\operatorname{rec}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}}_{q}^{-1}\right) x\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Then for any tamely ramified object $(\rho, V) \in \operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R^{\prime}}(V, \psi)=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{1}{q} \int_{g \in I} \rho(g)^{-1} d u_{\widehat{b}}(g)\right)
$$

### 11.3. Proof of Theorem 5.7 (3)

Let $L$ be a finite separable totally tamely ramified extension of $K$ degree $n$. Let $R$ be a strict $p^{\prime}$-coefficient ring, and let $(\rho, V)$ be a tamely ramified object $\in \operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K}, R\right)$ which satisfies the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$ in $\S 10.1$.

We set $Y_{0}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\bullet} L\right)$. Let $f: Y_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$ denote the morphism associated with the extension $L / K$ and put $Y=Y_{0} \otimes_{k} \bar{k} \cong X_{n}$. Let $\psi_{L}: L \rightarrow$ $R^{\times}$be a non-trivial continuous additive character. To prove Theorem 5.7 (3), it suffices to prove that

$$
(* *) \quad \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi_{L}\right)=q^{-\operatorname{rank} V} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(-\operatorname{Fr}_{q} ; H_{c}^{1}\left(Y, \widetilde{V} \otimes f^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(\widehat{V} \otimes \operatorname{Res}_{W_{\mathrm{Gr}_{L}}}^{\left.W_{\operatorname{Gr}_{K}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}, \psi_{L}^{\prime}\right)} . . . . ~ . ~\right.}
$$

Let $g_{R} \in R^{\times}$denotes the Gauss sum part of $\bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(\widehat{V} \otimes \operatorname{Res}_{W_{\operatorname{Gr}_{L}}}^{W_{\operatorname{Gr}_{K}}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}, \psi_{L}^{\prime}\right)$ (Definition 7.5).

Let $\ell$ be a prime number different from $p$. Let $R, \phi_{0}, W_{m}, \widehat{W}, \widehat{b}$ and $u_{\hat{b}}$ be as in the previous subsection.

Consider the $n$-th power map $I \rightarrow I$. To avoid confusion, we denote it by $I_{L} \rightarrow I_{K}$. We regard $R\left[\left[I_{K}\right]\right]$ as a representation of $I_{K}$ over a free $R\left[\left[I_{L}\right]\right]$-module of $\operatorname{rank} n$. Then $\operatorname{det}_{R\left[\left[I_{L}\right]\right]} R\left[\left[I_{K}\right]\right]$ defines a representation $\widehat{\rho}_{n}$ of $I=I_{K}$ over a free $R[[I]]=R\left[\left[I_{L}\right]\right]$-module of rank one.

In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 11.4, the right hand side of $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ is expressed using

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{1}{q} \int_{g \in I} \rho(g)^{-1} d\left(\widehat{\rho}_{n}\left(u_{\hat{b}}\right)\right)(g)\right)
$$

Thus to prove Theorem 5.7 (3), it suffices to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 11.5. The two elements $g_{R} u_{\hat{b}}$ and $\widehat{\rho}_{n}\left(u_{\hat{b}}\right)$ in $R[[I]]^{\times}$coincide in $\left(R[[I]]^{\times}\right)_{G}$.

Let $\operatorname{Rep}^{s}(G, R[[I]])$ denote the category of finitely generated projective $R[[I]]$-modules endowed with a continuous semi-linear action of $G$. The $G$ module $\widehat{W}$ is an object in $\operatorname{Rep}^{s}(G, R[[I]])$ of $R[[I]]$-rank one. Furthermore, the action of $I \subset G$ on $\widehat{W}$ and that of $I \subset R[[I]]^{\times}$on $\widehat{W}$ coincide. We note that these two actions of $I$ do not necessarily coincide on a general object $V$ in $\operatorname{Rep}^{s}(G, R[[I]])$.

If $V, V^{\prime}$ are two objects in $\operatorname{Rep}^{s}(G, R[[I]])$, then the tensor product $V \otimes_{R[[I]]} V^{\prime}$ is canonically viewed as an object in $\operatorname{Rep}^{s}(G, R[[I]])$. For an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ which is prime to $p$, let $I=I_{L} \rightarrow I_{K}=I$ be the $n$-th power map of $I$. Let $V$ be an object in $\operatorname{Rep}^{s}\left(G, R\left[\left[I_{K}\right]\right]\right)$. We regard $V$ as an object in $\operatorname{Rep}^{s}\left(G, R\left[\left[I_{L}\right]\right]\right)$ via the map $I_{L} \rightarrow I_{K}$ as above. Set $V_{(n)}=\wedge_{R\left[\left[I_{L}\right]\right]}^{n} V$. The assignment $V \mapsto V_{(n)}$ gives a functor from $\operatorname{Rep}^{s}(G, R[[I]])$ to itself. If the two actions of $I$ mentioned above coincide on $V$, then so does on $V_{(n)}$.

Proposition 11.5 is equivalent to the following:
Proposition 11.6. The object $\widehat{W}_{(n)}$ in $\operatorname{Rep}^{s}(G, R[[I]])$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{W}_{g_{R}}$, where $\widehat{W}_{g_{R}}$ is the unramified twist of $\widehat{W}$ by the unramified character defined by $\widetilde{\operatorname{Fr}}_{q} \mapsto g_{R}$.

Proof. Let us recall that our extension $L / K$ is a totally tamely ramified extension of degree $n$. Taking a prime element $\pi_{L}$ in $L$ such that $\pi_{K}=\pi_{L}^{n}$ is a prime element in $K$, we identify $X_{0}=Y_{0}=\mathbb{G}_{m, k}$. Then $Y_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$ is the $n$-th power map : $\mathbb{G}_{m, k} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{G}_{m, k}$.

For a positive integer $m$, let $Y_{0, m}=\mathbb{G}_{m, k}$ endowed with the structure of $Y_{0}=\mathbb{G}_{m, k}$-scheme by the $m$-th power map

$$
\pi_{m}^{\prime}: Y_{0, m}=\mathbb{G}_{m, k} \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{G}_{m, k}=Y_{0} .
$$

Set $Y_{m}=Y_{0, m} \otimes_{k} \bar{k}=\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}$. We set $J_{m}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{m} / Y\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$. If we identify $Y$ with $X_{n}$ as $X$-schemes, then $J_{m}$ is identified with a subgroup of $I_{m n}$.

We consider the sheaf of $R\left[J_{m}\right]$-module $\pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R$ on $Y$. Let $\left(\pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R\right)^{\boxtimes n}$ is the external tensor product of $n$ copies of $\pi_{n, n m, *} R$ over $R\left[J_{m}\right]$; it is an invertible $R\left[J_{m}\right]$-sheaf on the $n$-fold product $Y^{n}=Y \times \cdots \times Y$ of $Y$.

Lemma 11.7. Let $s_{n}: Y^{n}=\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}=Y$ be the product map. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\left(\pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R\right)^{\boxtimes_{R\left[J_{m}\right]} n} \cong s_{n}^{*}\left(\pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R\right)
$$

of $R\left[J_{m}\right]$-sheaves on $Y^{m}$.
Proof. Since $s_{n}$ comes from the group law of $\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}$, the map $\pi_{1}^{t m}\left(Y^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}^{t m}(Y)$ induced by $s_{n}$ comes from the corresponding group law. Hence the lemma follows.

Since $W_{m n}=H_{c}^{1}\left(Y,\left.\pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R \otimes_{R} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right|_{Y}\right)$, the above lemma yields a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{m n}^{\otimes_{R\left[J_{m}\right], n}} & \cong H_{c}^{n}\left(Y^{n},\left(\left.\pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R \otimes_{R} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right|_{Y}\right)^{\boxtimes_{R\left[J_{m}\right]}, n}\right) \\
& \cong H_{c}^{n}\left(Y^{n},\left(\pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R\right)^{\boxtimes_{R\left[J J_{m}\right], n}} \otimes_{R}\left(\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right|_{Y}\right)^{\boxtimes_{R}, n}\right) \\
& \left.\cong H_{c}^{1}\left(Y, \pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R \otimes_{R} R s_{n,!}\left(\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right|_{Y}\right)^{\boxtimes_{R}, n}\right)[n-1]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $n$-th symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ acts on $Y^{n}$ and the morphism $s_{n}$ factors through the quotient $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} Y=Y^{n} / \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ of $Y^{n}$. Following [De4] we denote by $\Gamma_{\text {ext }}^{n}\left(\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right|_{Y}\right)$ the $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$-invariant part of the direct image of $\left(\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right|_{Y}\right)^{\boxtimes_{R}, n}$ under the quotient morphism $Y^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{n} Y$. Taking actions of the $n$-th symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ into account, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}_{R\left[J_{m}\right]} W_{m n} \cong H_{c}^{1}\left(Y, \pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R \otimes_{R} R \widetilde{s}_{n,!}\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{ext}}^{n}\left(\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right|_{Y}\right)\right)[n-1]\right),
$$

where $\widetilde{s}_{n}: \operatorname{Sym}^{n} Y \rightarrow Y$ is the morphism induced by $s_{n}$.
Next we will compute $\left.R \widetilde{s}_{n,!}\left(\Gamma_{\text {ext }}^{n}\left(\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right|_{Y}\right)\right)[n-1]\right)$. The scheme $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} Y$ is identified with the moduli scheme of monic polynomials of degree $n$ with invertible constant terms. Hence $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}$ is identified with $\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}$ by associating a polynomial $P(X)=X^{n}+\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} a_{i} X^{n-i}$ to the point $\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right), a_{n}\right)$. The morphism $\widetilde{s}_{n}$ is identified with the second projection $\mathrm{pr}_{2}: \mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}$.

Let $N_{n}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ be the $n$-th Newton polynomial, that is, the polynomial with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients characterized by

$$
N_{n}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)=\alpha_{1}^{n}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}^{n} \text { if } \prod_{i}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right)=X^{n}+\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} a_{i} X^{n-i}
$$

Then $N_{n}$ is of the form

$$
N_{n}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n-1} n X_{n}+Q\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n-1}\right)
$$

Let $Q: \mathbb{A} \frac{n-1}{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}$ be the morphism defined by $Q\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n-1}\right)$. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{ext}}^{n}\left(\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y}\right) \cong Q^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}} \boxtimes_{R} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0,(-1)^{n-1}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

where $\phi_{0,(-1)^{n-1} n}: \mathfrak{m}_{K}^{-1} / \mathcal{O}_{K} \rightarrow R^{\times}$is the composition of $\phi_{0}$ with the multiplication by $(-1)^{n-1} n$. Hence,

$$
R \widetilde{s}_{n,!}\left(\Gamma_{\text {ext }}^{n}\left(\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y}\right)\right) \cong R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^{n-1}, Q^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right) \otimes_{R} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0,(-1)^{n-1}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

We compute the cohomology group
$R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^{n-1}, Q^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right)$. Since $Q\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ is characterized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1}\right) & =\alpha_{1}^{n}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1}^{n} \text { if } \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right) \\
& =X^{n-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i} a_{i} X^{n-1-i}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A} \frac{n-1}{k}, Q^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right) & =R \Gamma_{c}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}\right), \Gamma_{\mathrm{ext}}^{n-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}\left(x^{n}\right)}\right) \\
& =L \Gamma_{\mathrm{ext}}^{n-1} R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}\left(x^{n}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}\left(x^{n}\right)}$ is the pull-back of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}$ by the morphism $\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}=$ Spec $\left(\mathrm{Gr}^{\geq 0} K\right), x \mapsto x^{n}$.

By the wildness of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}\left(x^{n}\right)}$ at infinity and the Grothendieck-OggShafarevich formula, $H_{c}^{i}\left(\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}\left(x^{n}\right)}\right)$ is zero except $i=1$ and $H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}\right.$, $\left.\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}\left(x^{n}\right)}\right)$ is a free $R$-module of rank $n-1$.

Lemma 11.8. We have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Fr}_{q} ; H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}\left(x^{n}\right)}\right)\right)=-g_{R}
$$

Proof. This follows from the product formula for the global $\varepsilon$-constant of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}\left(x^{n}\right)}$.

Summing up, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{det}_{R\left[J_{m}\right]} W_{m n} & \cong H_{c}^{1}\left(Y, \pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R \otimes_{R} R \widetilde{s}_{n,!}\left(\Gamma_{\text {ext }}^{n}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}} \mid Y\right)\right)[n-1]\right) \\
& \cong R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\bar{k}}^{n-1}, Q^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right)[n-1] \otimes_{R} H_{c}^{1}\left(Y, \pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R \otimes_{R} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0,(-1)^{n-1}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \cong H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{A} \frac{n-1}{k}, Q^{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}}\right) \otimes_{R} H_{c}^{1}\left(Y, \pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R \otimes_{R} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0,(-1)^{n-1}}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{det}_{R} H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{k}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0}\left(x^{n}\right)}\right) \otimes_{R} H_{c}^{1}\left(Y, \pi_{m, *}^{\prime} R \otimes_{R} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\phi_{0,(-1)}}^{\prime}{ }^{n-1} n\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence the proposition follows.

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.7 (3).

### 11.4. A question on an integration formula for $\varepsilon$-constants

In this subsection, we assume that $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. As a coefficient ring, we take $R=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}$ the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Here we endow $R$ with discrete topology.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}=\mathbb{Z}_{p} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ be the ring of rational numbers whose denominator is prime to $p$. Choose a group homomorphism $\varpi: \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow R^{\times}$such that $\varpi(1)=-p$. Let $\psi_{0}: \mathbb{F}_{p} \rightarrow R^{\times}$be the non-trivial homomorphism characterized by the following property:

$$
\frac{\psi(1)-1}{\varpi\left(\frac{1}{p-1}\right)} \in 1+\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}(R)\right)} .
$$

Let $e_{t m, \varpi}$ be the formal sum defined by

$$
e_{t m, \varpi}=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, 0 \leq x<1} \Gamma_{p}(x) \varpi(x) .
$$

where $\Gamma_{p}: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is Morita's $p$-adic Gamma function;

$$
\Gamma_{p}(x)=\lim _{m \rightarrow x, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}(-1)^{m} \prod_{0<j<m,(p, j)=1} j
$$

Let $d \sigma$ be the Haar measure of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ such that $\int_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{0}} d \sigma=1$. For a locally constant compactly supported $R$-valued function $f$ on $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} / W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{0+}$, define the integral

$$
\int_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}} f(\sigma) \sigma\left(e_{t m, \varpi}\right) d \sigma \in R
$$

by the sum

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, 0 \leq x<1} \int_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}} f(x) \Gamma_{p}(x) \varpi(x) d \sigma
$$

Since these summands vanish except for finitely many $x$, this sum has a well-defined meaning.

Proposition 11.9. Let $\psi: \mathbb{Q}_{p} \rightarrow R^{\times}$be an continuous additive character of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with ord $\psi=-1$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is equal to $\psi_{0}$. Then for any tamely ramified object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}, R\right)$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\operatorname{det}\left(\int_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{0} /\left(W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right)^{0+}} \rho(\sigma)^{-1} \sigma\left(e_{t m, \varpi}\right) d \sigma\right)
$$

Proof. Because of the additivity, it suffices to prove the proposition when $V$ is of the form $V=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K_{n}}}^{W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}} \chi$, where $K_{n}$ is the unique unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ of degree $n$, and $\chi \in \operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{K_{n}}, R\right)$ is a rank one tamely ramified object. Then the restriction of $\chi \circ \operatorname{rec}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{K_{n}}$ defines a multiplicative character $\chi_{0}: \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \rightarrow R^{\times}$. We have

$$
\varepsilon_{0}(\rho, \psi)=(-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{p^{n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{\times}} \chi_{0}(x)^{-1} \psi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}(x)\right)
$$

Let $N$ denote the order of $\chi_{0}$. Set $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}:=\mathbb{F}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{N}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right)\right)$. Let $a \in \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}$ be
the unique element which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\chi_{0}} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N}(R) \\
N_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{n} / \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}} \downarrow} & \cong \downarrow c a n \\
\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\left(p^{d}-1\right) a} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{N}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Then by Gross-Koblitz formula [GK, p. 571, Thm. 1.7], and DavenportHasse formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{0}(\rho, \psi) & =(-1)^{n-1} \cdot(-1)^{\frac{n}{d}-1}\left(-\frac{1}{p^{d}} \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \varpi\left(\left\langle p^{j} a\right\rangle\right) \Gamma_{p}\left(\left\langle p^{j} a\right\rangle\right)\right)^{\frac{n}{d}} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n}}{p^{n}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \varpi\left(\left\langle p^{j} a\right\rangle\right) \Gamma_{p}\left(\left\langle p^{j} a\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rangle$ denote the fractional part. Then the proposition follows by simple calculation.

Question. Assume that $p \neq 2$. For general $v \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, does there exists a explicitly defined measure $e_{v, \psi}$ on $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} /\left(W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right)^{v+}$ such that the formula

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}(V, \psi)=\operatorname{det}\left(\int_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} /\left(W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right)^{v+}} \rho(\sigma)^{-1} \sigma\left(e_{v, \psi}\right) d \sigma\right)
$$

holds for any object $(\rho, V) \in \operatorname{Rep}\left(W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}, R\right)$ which is pure of break $v$ ?

### 11.5. An auxiliary lemma

The contents of this subsection are preliminary to the proof of Theorem 5.7 (4) given in $\S$ 11.6. Let $K$ be a $p$-local field. Take a prime element $\pi_{K}$ of $K$. For every integer $n \geq 1$, let $L_{n}$ be the finite separable extension of $K$ given by

$$
L_{n}=K[X] /\left(X^{p^{n}}+\pi_{K} X-\pi_{K}\right)
$$

Then it is easily checked that the Herbrand function $\psi_{L_{n} / K}: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of $L_{n} / K$ is given by

$$
\psi_{L / K}(w)= \begin{cases}w, & \text { for } 0 \leq w \leq \frac{1}{p^{n}-1} \\ p^{n} w-1, & \text { for } w \geq \frac{1}{p^{n}-1}\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 11.10. Let $C$ be a separably closed field of characteristic $\neq p$. Let $G=W_{K}$ denote the Weil group of $K$. Let $w \in W_{>0}$. Then, for any nontrivial character $\sigma \in\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{w} / G^{w+}, C^{\times}\right)\right)^{G}$, there exists an object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, C)$ which is pure of refined break $\{\sigma\}$ and that rank $V$ is a power of $p$.

Proof. Take a sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that $w>\frac{1}{p^{n}-1}$ and that $p^{n} w$ is an integer. Then $v=\psi_{L_{n} / K}(w)$ is an integer.

Let $H=W_{L_{n}}$ denote the Weil group of $L_{n}$. We have a canonical isomorphism $H^{v} / H^{v+} \cong G^{w} / G^{w+}$. Let $\sigma^{\prime}: H^{v} / H^{v+} \rightarrow C^{\times}$be the character corresponding to $\sigma$.

By the local class field theory, there exists a character $\chi: H \rightarrow C^{\times}$of $H$ which is pure of refined break $\left\{\sigma^{\prime}\right\}$. We set $V=\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} \chi$. It follows from the argument in $\S 9.5$ that $V$ is pure of refined break $\{\sigma\}$.

Corollary 11.11. Let $C$ be a separably closed field of characteristic $\neq p$. Let $G=W_{K}$ denote the Weil group of $K$. Let $w \in W_{>0}$. Then, for any $G$-orbit $\Sigma$ in the set of non-trivial characters in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G^{w} / G^{w+}, C^{\times}\right)$, there exists an object $(\rho, V)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}(G, C)$ which is pure of refined break $\Sigma$ and that $\frac{\mathrm{rank} V}{\sharp \Sigma}$ is a power of $p$.

### 11.6. Proof of Theorem 5.7 (4)

Proof. Let $L / K$ be a totally wild finite separable extension. We set $G=W_{K}$ and $H=W_{L}$. Let $(\rho, V)$ be a tamely ramified object in $\operatorname{Rep}(H, R)$. Let $W=\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} V$. Let $W^{0}$ (resp. $W^{>0}$ ) denote the tamely ramified part (resp. wild part) of $W$. We prove that

$$
\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(W^{>0}, \psi\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(W^{0}, \psi\right)=\varepsilon_{0, R}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right) \cdot \lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{\mathrm{rank} V}
$$

We may assume that $L / K$ has no non-trivial intermediate extension. There exists a unique $w_{1} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\psi_{L / K}(w)=w$ for $0 \leq w \leq w_{1}$ and that $\psi_{L / K}(w)$ is linear of slope $[L: K]$ for $w>w_{1}$. By corollary $9.3, W$ is a direct sum $W=W^{0} \oplus W^{w_{1}}$ of the tamely ramified part $W^{0}$ and the break- $w_{1}$-part $W^{w_{1}}$.

Let us consider $W^{w_{1}}$. We use the notation in $\S$ 9.4. We have a canonical element $\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$ for $\chi^{\prime} \in C_{w_{1}}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w_{1}} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w_{1}+}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}} / V_{\chi^{\prime}}^{w_{1}+}} V^{\prime}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

$$
=\operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \cdot\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{w_{1}} H_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w_{1}+}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w_{1}+}} 1\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi^{\prime}}}
$$

For each $\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{0}}$, take an element $\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$. We abbreviate the functor $\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{G^{w_{1}^{\prime}} H_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}} / G^{w_{1}+}}^{G_{\chi^{\prime}} / G^{w_{1}+}}$ by $\operatorname{Ind}_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}}$ for simplicity. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(W^{w_{1}}, \psi\right) \\
&= \prod_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{1}}-\{1\}} \operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}} V_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{-1} \cdot g_{R}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \psi\right)^{\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Ind}_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}} V_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}}} \\
&=\prod_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{1}}-\{1\}} \operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}} 1\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{-\operatorname{rank} V_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}}} \\
& \cdot g_{R}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \psi\right)^{\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Ind}_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}} V_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\widetilde{L}$ be the Galois closure of $L / K$. Then $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / K)^{w_{1}+}=\{1\}$. Let $\widetilde{K}\left(\underset{\sim}{\text { resp. }} L^{\prime}\right)$ be the subextension of $\widetilde{L} / K$ (resp. $\left.\widetilde{L} / L\right)$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / K)^{w_{1}}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / L)^{w_{1}}\right)$. Take prime elements $\pi_{L^{\prime}} \in L^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{\widetilde{K}} \in \widetilde{K}$ satisfying $\mathrm{N}_{\tilde{K} / L^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{\tilde{K}}\right)=\pi_{L^{\prime}}$. By Proposition 9.9 (3), the map

$$
\sigma_{L / K, \psi, w_{1}}: N_{K}^{-w_{1}-\operatorname{ord} \psi-1} \rightarrow N_{L}^{-w_{1}-\operatorname{ord}\left(\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}\right)-1}
$$

is of the form

$$
a \cdot a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{\tilde{K} / K}^{-1} \pi_{\tilde{K}}^{-w_{1, K}} \mapsto\left(a_{0} \cdot a+\cdots+a^{\frac{1}{[L: K]}}\right) \cdot a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / K}^{-1} \pi_{L^{\prime}}^{-w_{1, L^{\prime}}}
$$

where $a_{0}=\widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / \tilde{K}} \cdot \frac{\pi_{L^{\prime}}^{w_{1}, L^{\prime}}}{\pi_{K, K}}$.
Hence by Proposition 3.6,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{x \in N_{K}^{-w_{1}-\mathrm{ord} \psi-1}, x \neq 0, \sigma_{L / K, \psi, w_{1}}(x)=0} x & =\left(a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{\widetilde{K} / K}^{-1} \pi_{\widetilde{K}}^{-w_{1, K}}\right)^{[L: K]-1} \cdot \frac{1}{a_{0}^{[L: K]}} \\
& =\frac{\left(a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L^{\prime} / K}^{-1} \pi_{L^{\prime}}^{\left.-w_{1, L^{\prime}}\right)^{[L: K]}}\right.}{a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{\widetilde{K} / K}^{-1} \pi_{\widetilde{K}}^{-w_{1, K}}} \\
& =a_{\psi, \zeta}^{1-[L: K]} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-[L: K]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K^{w_{1}}$ (resp. $L^{v_{1}}$ ) be the Galois extension of $K$ (resp. $L$ ) corresponding to $H^{v_{1}}$ (resp. $K^{w_{1}}$ ). For $\chi^{\prime} \in C_{w_{1}}$, let $M_{\chi^{\prime}}$ be the finite subextension of $L^{v_{1}} / K^{w_{1}}$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Ker} \chi^{\prime}$. Let $L_{\chi^{\prime}}$ be the finite extension of $L$ corresponding to $H_{\chi^{\prime}}$, and set $K_{\chi^{\prime}}=K^{w_{1}} \cap L_{\chi^{\prime}}$ and $M_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}=M_{\chi^{\prime}} \cap L_{\chi^{\prime}}$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{v_{1}} / L_{\chi^{\prime}}\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{w_{1}} / K_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{\chi^{\prime}} / K^{w_{1}}\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{\chi^{\prime}} / K_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $V_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\overline{\chi^{\prime}}\right)$ be the representation of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{w_{1}} / K_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime} / K_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)\right)$ over $R$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Res}_{H_{\chi^{\prime}}}^{H} V$ (resp. $\chi^{\prime}$ ) via the above isomorphism. Then $V_{\chi^{\prime}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $V_{\chi^{\prime}}^{\prime} \otimes \overline{\chi^{\prime}}$.

Consider the following commutative diagram

where all the arrows are homomorphisms induced by norms. Since $L / K$ and $L_{\chi^{\prime}} / K_{\chi^{\prime}}$ are totally wildly ramified extensions, the horizontal maps are isomorphisms. Let $\sigma_{\psi}^{\prime}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right) \in\left(L^{\times} / 1+\mathfrak{m}_{L}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$ be the unique element satisfying $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(\sigma_{\psi}^{\prime}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\mathrm{N}_{K_{\chi^{\prime} / K}}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} V_{\chi^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) & =\operatorname{det}(V)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}^{\prime}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \cdot \overline{\chi^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{\operatorname{rank} V} \\
& =\operatorname{det}(V)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}^{\prime}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $R^{\times} / \boldsymbol{\mu}$.
Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{1}}-\{1\}} \sigma_{\psi}^{\prime}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) & =\mathrm{N}_{L / K}^{-1}\left(\prod_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{1}}-\{1\}} \mathrm{N}_{K_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}} / K}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{N}_{L / K}^{-1}\left(\prod_{\chi^{\prime} \in C_{w_{1}}-\{1\}} \sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{N}_{L / K}^{-1}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta}^{1-[L: K]} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-[L: K]}\right) \\
& =a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1+\frac{1}{[L: K]}} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\prod_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{1}}-\{1\}} \operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{-1}=\operatorname{det}(V)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1+\frac{1}{[L: K]}} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

Take an element $\chi \in \Sigma$ and let $L_{\chi}$ be the extension of $L$ corresponding to the stabilizing subgroup $H_{\chi}$ of $\chi$. Let $V^{\prime}$ be the $\chi$-part of $V$. Since $V$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{\chi}}^{H} V^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\prod_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{1}}-\{1\}} \operatorname{det}\left(V_{\chi^{\prime}}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(\sigma_{\psi}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)^{-1} \\
=\operatorname{det}\left(V^{\prime}\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{L_{\chi}}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1+\frac{1}{[L: K]}} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
\cdot\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H_{\chi}}^{H} 1\right)\left(\operatorname{rec}_{L}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1+\frac{1}{[L: K]}} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\varepsilon}_{0, R}\left(W^{w_{1}}, \psi\right) \\
= & \operatorname{det} V\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1+\frac{1}{[L: K]}} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-1}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& \cdot \prod_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{1}}-\{1\}} g_{R}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \psi\right)^{\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Ind}_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}} V_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by corollary 10.9 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0, R}\left(W^{0}, \psi, \phi_{0}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{det} V\left(\operatorname{rec}\left(a_{\psi, \zeta}^{-1+\frac{1}{[L: K]}} \cdot \widetilde{D}_{L / K}^{-1}\right)\right) q^{\left(-([L: K]-1)(\operatorname{ord} \psi+1)-v_{L}\left(\tilde{D}_{L / K}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{rank} V} \\
& \cdot \varepsilon_{0}\left(V, \psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{L / K}, \phi_{0} \circ \mathrm{~N}_{L / K}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{\Sigma^{\prime} \in B_{w_{1}}-\{1\}} g_{R}\left(\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \psi\right)^{\mathrm{rank} \operatorname{Ind}_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}} V_{\chi_{\Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime}}} \\
= & q^{\left(([L: K]-1)(\operatorname{ord} \psi+1)+v_{L}\left(\tilde{D}_{L / K}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{rank} V} \cdot \lambda_{R}(L / K, \psi)^{\mathrm{rank} V}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Corollary 11.11, it follows from the similar computation for $R=\mathbb{C}$ case.
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