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1. Introduction

Consider the operator

\[ L = \partial_t^2 - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j}(a_{jk}(t)\partial_{x_k}). \]  

Suppose that \( L \) is strictly hyperbolic with bounded coefficients, i.e. there exist \( \lambda_0, \Lambda_0 > 0 \) such that

\[ \lambda_0 |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a_{jk}(t)\xi_j \xi_k \leq \Lambda_0 |\xi|^2 \]  

for all \( t \in [0, T] \) and for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

It is well–known that if the coefficients \( a_{jk} \) are Lipschitz–continuous then an energy estimate holds for \( L \): for all \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) there exists \( C_s > 0 \) such that

\[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{ \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t u(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s} \} \leq C_s(\|u(0, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t u(0, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s} + \int_0^T \|Lu(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s} \, dt), \]  

for every function \( u \in C^0([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \) with \( Lu \in L^1([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \), in particular for all \( u \in C^2([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \) (see e.g. [11, Ch. IX]). The estimate (1.3) implies that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is \( \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \)–well–posed (without loss of derivatives) if, for instance, the forcing term is null.

If the coefficients \( a_{jk} \) are not Lipschitz–continuous, then the estimate (1.3) is no more true in general; nevertheless the \( \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \)–well–posedness may
be recovered from an energy estimate with loss of derivatives (see e.g. the estimate (1.5) below), under regularity assumption on the $a_{jk}$'s weaker than Lipschitz-continuity.

A first result of this type was obtained in the well-known paper of Colombini, De Giorgi and Spagnolo [4]. The regularity condition was the following: there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{T-\varepsilon} |(a_{jk}(t+\varepsilon) - a_{jk}(t))| \, dt \leq C\varepsilon \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right)$$

(1.4)

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, T]$. The energy estimate, deduced from the Fourier transform with respect to $x$ of the equation together with an approximation of the coefficients which is different in different zones of the phase space (the so-called approximate energy technique, see [5]), is then: there exist $C_s, K > 0$ ($K$ independent of $s$) such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s+1-k}} + \|\partial_t u(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-k}} \right\}$$

$$\leq C_s (\|u(0, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t u(0, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s} + \int_0^T \|Lu(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s} \, dt),$$

(1.5)

for all $u \in C^2([0, T], \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ (on the necessity of some kind of loss of derivatives when the coefficients are not Lipschitz-continuous, see [2]).

Recently, in [12] (see also [13]), Tarama has proved the $\mathcal{H}^\infty$–well–posedness to the Cauchy problem for (1.1) under the condition: there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_\varepsilon^{T-\varepsilon} |(a_{jk}(t+\varepsilon) + a_{jk}(t-\varepsilon) - 2a_{jk}(t))| \, dt \leq C\varepsilon \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right)$$

(1.6)

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, T/2]$. The improvement with respect to [4] is obtained introducing a new type of approximate energy which involves the second derivatives of the approximating coefficients (see par. 3.3 below).

The case of the operator $L$ with coefficients depending on the time variable $t$ and also on the space variables $x$ was considered by Colombini and Lerner in [6]. In this paper the regularity condition was: there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{y, y' \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \atop |y'| = \varepsilon} |(a_{jk}(y + y') - a_{jk}(y))| \, dt \leq C\varepsilon \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right)$$

(1.7)
for all $\varepsilon \in (0, T]$. Here the use of the Littlewood-Paley dyadic decomposition
(in place of the Fourier transform with respect to $x$) together with the
approximate energy technique was the crucial point to obtain an energy
estimate of the following type: for all $\theta \in (0, 1/4]$ there exist $\beta, C > 0$ and
$T^* \in (0, T]$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \left\{ \| u(t, \cdot) \|_{H^{-\theta+1-\beta t}} + \| \partial_t u(t, \cdot) \|_{H^{-\theta-\beta t}} \right\}$$

$$\leq C \left( \| u(0, \cdot) \|_{H^{-\theta+1}} + \| \partial_t u(0, \cdot) \|_{H^{-\theta}} + \int_0^{T^*} \| Lu(t, \cdot) \|_{H^{-\theta-\beta t}} dt \right)$$

for all $u \in C^2([0, T^*], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$. Results concerning local existence and
uniqueness of the solutions to the Cauchy problem for similar hyperbolic
problems can be found in [7].

In the present note we will consider the case of one space variable (from
now on $n = 1$) and will study the case of the coefficient $a$ depending on $t$ and
$x$, under a regularity condition inspired by (1.6) and (1.7). In particular $a$
will be log–Zygmund–continuous with respect to $t$, uniformly with respect to
$x$, and log–Lipschitz–continuous with respect to $x$, uniformly with respect
to $t$ (see par. 2 for the precise definitions). The dyadic decomposition
technique will be applied as in [6] (see also [3], [9] and [8]) together with
Tarama’s approximate energy. An energy estimate similar to (1.8) will be
obtained.

Before ending this introduction, let us remark that the choice of con-
sidering only one space variable is due to the fact that the case of several
space variables needs some different and new ideas in the definition of the
microlocal energy $e_{\nu, \varepsilon}(t)$ (see par. 3.3 below). This point still remain as an
open problem.
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2. Main Result

Let $a : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. We suppose that there exist $\lambda_0, \Lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

\[ \lambda_0 \leq a(t, x) \leq \Lambda_0. \]  
(2.1)

We suppose moreover that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that, for all $\tau, \xi > 0$,

\[ \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |a(t + \tau, x) + a(t - \tau, x) - 2a(t, x)| \leq C_0 \tau \log \left( \frac{1}{\tau} + 1 \right), \]  
(2.2)

\[ \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |a(t, x + \xi) - a(t, x)| \leq C_0 \xi \log \left( \frac{1}{\xi} + 1 \right). \]  
(2.3)

**Theorem 1.** Let $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$. Consider the operator

\[ L = \partial_t^2 - \partial_x (a(t, x) \partial_x). \]  
(2.4)

Then there exist $T, \beta^*, C > 0$ such that, for all $u \in C^2([0, T], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$, the following a–priori estimate holds:

\[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\{ \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{\theta+1}} \right\} \right. + \| \partial_t u(t, \cdot) \|_{H^{\theta-\beta^*}} \leq C \left( \|u(0, \cdot)\|_{H^{\theta+1}} + \| \partial_t u(0, \cdot) \|_{H^{\theta}} + \int_0^T \|Lu(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{\theta-\beta^*}} dt \right). \]  
(2.5)

**Corollary 1.** The Cauchy problem for (2.4) is (locally in time) well–posed in $H^{\infty}$.

3. Proof

3.1. Approximation of the coefficient $a$

We set

\[ a_\varepsilon(t, x) := \int \int \rho_\varepsilon(t - s) \rho_\varepsilon(x - y) a(s, y) ds dy, \]
where $\rho_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho(\frac{s}{\varepsilon})$ with $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\rho$ even, $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, supp $\rho \subseteq [-1, 1]$ and $\int \rho(s) \, ds = 1$. We obtain that, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$,

$$
(3.1) \quad \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |a_\varepsilon(t, x) - a(t, x)| \leq \frac{C_0}{2} \varepsilon \log \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right);
$$

for all $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ there exists $c_\sigma > 0$ such that, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$,

$$
(3.2) \quad \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t a_\varepsilon(t, x)| \leq c_\sigma(\Lambda_0 + C_0)\varepsilon^{-1};
$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$,

$$
(3.3) \quad \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_x a_\varepsilon(t, x)| \leq C_0 \||\rho'||_{L^1} \log \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right),
$$

$$
(3.4) \quad \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t^2 a_\varepsilon(t, x)| \leq \frac{C_0}{2} \||\rho''||_{L^1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right),
$$

$$
(3.5) \quad \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_t \partial_x a_\varepsilon(t, x)| \leq C_0 \||\rho'||_{L^1}^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right).
$$

In particular, (3.1) is obtained from (2.2) remarking that

$$
a_\varepsilon(t, x) = a(t, x)
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{|s| \leq \varepsilon} \rho_\varepsilon(s) \int \rho_\varepsilon(x - y)(a(t + s, y) + a(t - s, y) - 2a(t, y))dy \, ds,
$$

where we have used the fact that $\rho$ is an even function. Next

$$
\partial_t^2 a_\varepsilon(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{|s| \leq \varepsilon} \rho_\varepsilon(s) \int \rho_\varepsilon(x - y)(a(t + s, y) + a(t - s, y) - 2a(t, y))dy \, ds,
$$

and (3.4) follows. The inequalities (3.3) and (3.5) are deduced from (2.3) in a similar way and, finally, (3.2) is a consequence of the fact that (2.1) and (2.2) imply that for all $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ there exists $c'_\sigma > 0$ such that, for all $\tau > 0$,

$$
(3.6) \quad \sup_{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |a(t + \tau, x) - a(t, x)| \leq c'_\sigma(\Lambda_0 + C_0) \tau^\sigma.
$$

Let us note that a way to obtain (3.6) is to use the characterization of Hölder spaces given by the dyadic decomposition remarking that in such a case it is equivalent to use first or second order difference.
3.2. Dyadic decomposition

We collect here some well-known facts on the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, referring to [1] and [6] for the details. Let \( \varphi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\xi) \), \( 0 \leq \varphi_0(\xi) \leq 1 \), \( \varphi_0(\xi) = 1 \) if \( |\xi| \leq 1 \), \( \varphi_0(\xi) = 0 \) if \( |\xi| \geq 2 \), \( \varphi_0 \) even and \( \varphi_0 \) decreasing on \([0, +\infty[\). We set \( \varphi(\xi) = \varphi_0(\xi) - \varphi_0(2\xi) \) and, if \( \nu \) is an integer greater than or equal to 1, \( \varphi_\nu(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-\nu}\xi) \). Let \( w \) be a tempered distribution in \( H^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \); we define

\[
\nu(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} \varphi_\nu(\xi) \hat{w}(\xi) \, d\xi
\]

For all \( \nu \), \( w_\nu \) is an entire analytic function belonging to \( L^2 \) and for all \( m \in \mathbb{R} \) there exists \( K_m > 0 \) such that

\[
\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \|w_\nu\|_{L^2} 2^{2m\nu} \leq K_m \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \|w_\nu\|_{L^2} 2^{2m\nu}.
\]

Moreover, we have

\[
2^{\nu-1}\|w_\nu\|_{L^2} \leq \|\partial_x w_\nu\|_{L^2} \leq 2^{\nu+1}\|w_\nu\|_{L^2},
\]

where the inequality on the right-hand side holds for all \( \nu \geq 0 \), while the other one holds only for all \( \nu \geq 1 \).

We end this subsection quoting a result which will be useful in the following (for the proof see [6, Prop. 3.6.]). There exist \( C > 0 \) and \( \nu_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that if \( a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |a(x+y) - a(x)| \leq C_0 y \log(\frac{1}{y} + 1) \), \( y > 0 \), then, for all \( \nu \geq \nu_0 \),

\[
\|[\varphi_\nu(D_x), a(x)]\|_{L(L^2)} \leq C(\|a\|_{L^\infty} + C_0)2^{-\nu}\nu,
\]

where \( [\varphi_\nu(D_x), a(x)] \) is the commutator between \( \varphi_\nu(D_x) \) and \( a \), and \( \|\cdot\|_{L(L^2)} \) is the operator norm from \( L^2 \) to \( L^2 \).

3.3. Approximate energy of the \( \nu \)-component

Let \( T_0 > 0 \). Let \( u(t, x) \) be a function in \( C^2([0, T_0], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\xi)) \). We set \( u_\nu(t, x) = \varphi_\nu(D)u(t, x) \). We obtain

\[
\partial_t^2 u_\nu = \partial_x(a(t, x)\partial_x u_\nu) + \partial_x([\varphi_\nu, a]\partial_x u) + (Lu)_\nu.
\]
We introduce the approximate energy of $u_\nu$ (see [12]), setting
$$
e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} |\partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu|^2 + \sqrt{a_\varepsilon} |\partial_x u_\nu|^2 + |u_\nu|^2 \, dx.$$  

We have
$$\frac{d}{dt} e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t) = \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \text{Re} \left( \partial_t^2 u_\nu \cdot \left( \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right) \right) \, dx$$
$$+ \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \left( \partial_t \left( \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} - \left( \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \right)^2 \right) \right. \times \text{Re} \left( u_\nu \cdot \left( \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right) \right) \, dx$$
$$+ \int \partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon} |\partial_x u_\nu|^2 \, dx + \int 2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon} \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_\nu \cdot \partial_x \sqrt{a_\varepsilon} u_\nu \right) \, dx$$
$$+ \int 2 \text{Re} (u_\nu \cdot \partial_t u_\nu) \, dx.$$  

We replace $\partial_t^2 u_\nu$ by the quantity given by (3.10) and we obtain
$$\int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \text{Re} \left( \partial_x \left( a(t, x) \partial_x u_\nu \right) \cdot \left( \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right) \right) \, dx$$
$$= \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \text{Re} \left( \partial_x (a(t, x) \partial_x u_\nu) \cdot \left( \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right) \right) \, dx$$
$$+ \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \text{Re} \left( \left( \partial_x ([\varphi_\nu, a] \partial_x u) + (Lu)_\nu \right) \cdot \left( \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right) \right) \, dx.$$  

Moreover,
$$\int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \text{Re} \left( \partial_x \left( a(t, x) \partial_x u_\nu \right) \cdot \left( \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right) \right) \, dx$$
$$= \int 2 \frac{\partial_x \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{a_\varepsilon} a \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_\nu \cdot \left( \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right) \right) \, dx$$
$$- \int \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{a_\varepsilon} a |\partial_x u_\nu|^2 \, dx - \int 2 \frac{a}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_\nu \cdot \partial_x \sqrt{a_\varepsilon} u_\nu \right) \, dx$$
$$- \int \frac{a}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \partial_x \left( \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \right) \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_\nu \cdot \sqrt{a_\varepsilon} u_\nu \right) \, dx.$$
Consequently, we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dt} e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t) = \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \text{Re} \left( (\partial_x ([\varphi_{\nu}, a] \partial_x u) + (Lu)_{\nu}) \cdot (\partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} u_{\nu}) \right) dx \\
+ \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \left( \partial_t \left( \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \right) - \left( \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \right)^2 \right) \\
\times \text{Re} \left( u_{\nu} \cdot (\partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} u_{\nu}) \right) dx \\
+ \int \partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}} (1 - \frac{a}{a_{\varepsilon}}) |\partial_x u_{\nu}|^2 dx \\
+ \int 2(\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}) \text{Re} (\partial_x u_{\nu} \cdot \partial_x \partial_t u_{\nu}) dx \\
+ \int 2 \frac{\partial_x \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{a_{\varepsilon}} a \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_{\nu} \cdot (\partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} u_{\nu}) \right) dx \\
- \int \frac{a}{\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \partial_x \left( \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \right) \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_{\nu} \cdot \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}} u_{\nu} \right) dx \\
+ \int 2 \text{Re} (u_{\nu} \cdot \partial_t u_{\nu}) dx.
\]

From (2.1), (3.2) with e. g. \( \sigma = 1/2 \), (3.4) we deduce that there exists \( C_1 > 0 \) depending only on \( \lambda_0, \Lambda_0 \) and \( C_0 \) such that, for all \( \nu \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
\left| \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \left( \partial_t \left( \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \right) - \left( \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} \right)^2 \right) \text{Re} \left( u_{\nu} \cdot (\partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}}} u_{\nu}) \right) dx \right| \\
\leq C_1 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) 2^{-\nu} e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t),
\]

where, for \( \nu \geq 1 \), we have used the left-hand side part of (3.8). Similarly from (2.1), (3.1) and (3.2) we deduce that

\[
\left| \int \partial_t \sqrt{a_{\varepsilon}} (1 - \frac{a}{a_{\varepsilon}}) |\partial_x u_{\nu}|^2 dx \right| \leq C_2 \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t),
\]

where again \( C_2 \) depends only on \( \lambda_0, \Lambda_0 \) and \( C_0 \). From (2.1) and (3.1) we
have that
\[
\int 2(\sqrt{a_\varepsilon} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}) \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_\nu \cdot \partial_x \partial_t u_\nu \right) dx \\
\leq C_3 \varepsilon \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) \| \partial_x u_\nu \|_{L^2} \| \partial_x \partial_t u_\nu \|_{L^2} \\
\leq C_3 \varepsilon \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) 2^{\nu + 1} \| \partial_x u_\nu \|_{L^2} \| \partial_t u_\nu \|_{L^2},
\]
where we have used the right-hand side part of (3.8). Remark that
\[
\| \partial_t u_\nu \|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right\|_{L^2},
\]
and
\[
\left\| \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_0 \right\|_{L^2} \leq C_3' \varepsilon^{-1/2} \| u_0 \|_{L^2},
\]
while, for all \( \nu \geq 1 \),
\[
\left\| \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu \right\|_{L^2} \leq C_3' \varepsilon^{-1/2} 2^{-\nu} \| \partial_x u_\nu \|_{L^2},
\]
we deduce that
\[
\left| \int 2(\sqrt{a_\varepsilon} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}) \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_\nu \cdot \partial_x \partial_t u_\nu \right) dx \right| \leq C_3'' \left( \varepsilon 2^\nu + 1 \right) \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t).
\]
Similarly, from (3.3),
\[
\left| \int 2 \frac{\partial_x \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{a_\varepsilon} a \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_\nu \cdot (\partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{2 \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} u_\nu) \right) dx \right| \leq C_4 \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t),
\]
and, from (3.2), from (3.3) and from (3.5),
\[
\left| \int \frac{a}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \partial_x \left( \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{a_\varepsilon}} \right) \text{Re} \left( \partial_x u_\nu \cdot \overline{u_\nu} \right) dx \right| \leq C_5 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) 2^{-\nu} e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t).
\]
Finally
\[
\left| \int 2\text{Re} \left( u_\nu \cdot \overline{\partial_t u_\nu} \right) \, dx \right| \leq C_6 \varepsilon^{-1/2} 2^{-\nu} e_{\nu,\varepsilon}(t).
\]

We remark that the constants \(C_3, C'_3, C''_3, C_4, C_5, C_6\) depend only on \(\lambda_0, \Lambda_0\) and \(C_0\). We choose now \(\varepsilon = 2^{-\nu}\). We obtain that there exists \(\bar{C} > 0\) such that, for all \(\nu \in \mathbb{N}\),
\[
\frac{d}{dt} e_{\nu,2-\nu}(t) \leq \bar{C}(\nu + 1)e_{\nu,2-\nu}(t)
\]
\[
+ \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_2-\nu}} \text{Re} \left( (\partial_x ([\varphi_\nu,a]\partial_x u) + (Lu)_\nu \right \cdot \left( \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_2-\nu}}{2\sqrt{a_2-\nu}} u_\nu \right) \, dx,
\]
where \(\bar{C}\) depends only on \(\lambda_0, \Lambda_0\) and \(C_0\).

### 3.4. Total energy

Let \(\theta \in (0, 1/2)\). We define the total energy for the function \(u\) setting
\[
E(t) := \sum_{\nu = 0}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(\nu+1)t} 2^{-2\nu\theta} e_{\nu,2-\nu}(t),
\]
where \(\beta > 0\) will be fixed later on. Using (3.7), (3.8) and the fact that there exists a constant \(c > 0\) not depending on \(\nu\) such that
\[
c e_{\nu,2-\nu}(t) \leq \int_\mathbb{R} |\partial_t u_\nu|^2 + |\partial_x u_\nu|^2 + |u_\nu|^2 \, dx \leq \frac{1}{c} e_{\nu,2-\nu}(t),
\]
it is possible to prove that there exist \(c_\theta, c'_\theta > 0\) such that
\[
E(0) \leq c_\theta(\|\partial_t u(0, \cdot)\|_{H^{-\theta}}^2 + \|u(0, \cdot)\|_{H^{-\theta+1}}^2)
\]
and
\[
E(t) \geq c'_\theta(\|\partial_t u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{-\theta-\beta^*}}^2 + \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{-\theta+1-\beta^*}}^2),
\]
where $\beta^* = \beta(\log 2)^{-1}$. From (3.11) we deduce
\[
\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq (\tilde{C} - 2\beta) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\nu + 1)e^{-2\beta(\nu+1)t}2^{-2\nu\theta}e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(\nu+1)t}2^{-2\nu\theta}
\]
\[
\times \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}} \text{Re}\left( \partial_x ([\varphi_{\nu}, a] \partial_x u) \cdot \left( \partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}}{2\sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}} u_{\nu} \right) \right) dx
\]
\[
+ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(\nu+1)t}2^{-2\nu\theta}
\]
\[
\times \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}} \text{Re}\left( (Lu)_{\nu} \cdot \left( \partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}}{2\sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}} u_{\nu} \right) \right) dx.
\]

(3.15)

It is not difficult to show that there exists $\tilde{C}_\theta > 0$ such that
\[
\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(\nu+1)t}2^{-2\nu\theta} \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}} \text{Re}\left( (Lu)_{\nu} \cdot \left( \partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}}{2\sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}} u_{\nu} \right) \right) dx
\]
\[
\leq \tilde{C}_\theta E(t)^{1/2} \| Lu(t, \cdot) \|_{H_{-\theta - \beta^* t}}.
\]

(3.16)

3.5. **Estimate of the commutator term**

The estimate of the second term in the right-hand side part of (3.15) is essentially the same as that one in [6, Lemma 4.4]. For the reader’s convenience we give here most part of the details. First of all we remark that
\[
\| \partial_x \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}} \left( \partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}}{2\sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}} u_{\nu} \right) \right) \|_{L^2} \leq C' 2^\nu (e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t))^{1/2},
\]
where $C'$ depends only on $\lambda_0$, $\Lambda_0$ and $C_0$. We set $\varphi_{-1} := 0$ and we define, for $\mu \geq 0$, $\psi_{\mu} := \varphi_{\mu-1} + \varphi_{\mu} + \varphi_{\mu+1}$. Then
\[
\psi_{\mu}(D_x)(\varphi_{\mu}(D_x) \partial_x u) = \varphi_{\mu}(D_x) \partial_x u = \partial_x u_{\mu},
\]
and, consequently,
\[
[\varphi_{\nu}, a] \partial_x u = [\varphi_{\nu}, a] \left( \sum_{\mu} \partial_x u_{\mu} \right) = \sum_{\mu} ([\varphi_{\nu}, a] \psi_{\mu}) \partial_x u_{\mu}.
\]
Hence
\[
\left| \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{2-\nu}}} \text{Re} \left( \partial_x (|\varphi_{\nu}, a|\partial_x u) \cdot \left( \partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_{t \sqrt{a_{2-\nu}}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{2-\nu}}} u_{\nu} \right) \right) \, dx \right|
\]
\[
= \left| \int \sum_{\mu} 2\text{Re} \left( (|\varphi_{\nu}, a|\psi_{\mu})\partial_x u_{\mu} \cdot \partial_x \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{2-\nu}}} \left( \partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_{t \sqrt{a_{2-\nu}}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{2-\nu}}} u_{\nu} \right) \right) \right) \, dx \right|
\]
\[
\leq C' \sum_{\mu} \|(|\varphi_{\nu}, a|\psi_{\mu})\partial_x u_{\mu}\|_{L^2} \|2^{\nu}(e_{\nu,2-\nu}(t))\|^{1/2}
\]
\[
\leq C'' \sum_{\mu} \|(|\varphi_{\nu}, a|\psi_{\mu})\|_{L(L^2)} \|e_{\mu,2-\mu}(t)\|^{1/2} \|2^{\nu}(e_{\nu,2-\nu}(t))\|^{1/2},
\]
where \(C''\) depends only on \(\lambda_0, \Lambda_0\) and \(C_0\). This implies that
\[
\left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(\nu+1)t} \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{2-\nu}}} \text{Re} \left( \partial_x (|\varphi_{\nu}, a|\partial_x u) \cdot \left( \partial_t u_{\nu} + \frac{\partial_{t \sqrt{a_{2-\nu}}}}{2 \sqrt{a_{2-\nu}}} u_{\nu} \right) \right) \, dx \right|
\]
\[
\leq C'' \sum_{\nu, \mu} k_{\nu, \mu} (\nu + 1)^{1/2} e^{-\beta(\nu+1)t} \|2^{\nu}(e_{\nu,2-\nu}(t))\|^{1/2}
\]
\[
\quad \cdot (\mu + 1)^{1/2} e^{-\beta(\mu+1)t} \|2^{\nu}(e_{\mu,2-\mu}(t))\|^{1/2},
\]
where
\[
k_{\nu, \mu} = e^{-(\nu-\mu)\beta t} 2^{-(\nu-\mu)\theta} 2^{\nu}(\nu + 1)^{-1/2} \|e_{\nu,2-\nu}(t)\|^{1/2} \|([\varphi_{\nu}, a]\psi_{\mu})\|_{L(L^2)}.
\]
We remark that if \(|\nu - \mu| \geq 3\), then \(\varphi_{\nu}\psi_{\mu} \equiv 0\) and, consequently, \([\varphi_{\nu}, a]\psi_{\mu} = \varphi_{\nu}([a, \psi_{\mu}])\), so that from (3.9) we deduce that
\[
\|([\varphi_{\nu}, a]\psi_{\mu})\|_{L(L^2)} \leq \begin{cases} 
C''' 2^{-\nu}(\nu + 1) & \text{if } |\nu - \mu| \leq 2, \\
C''' 2^{-\max\{\nu, \mu\}} \max\{\nu + 1, \mu + 1\} & \text{if } |\nu - \mu| \geq 3,
\end{cases}
\]
where \(C'''\) depends only on \(\Lambda_0\) and \(C_0\).

We need the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 1.** There exist two continuous decreasing functions \(\theta_1, \theta_2 : (0, 1] \to (0, +\infty)\), with \(\lim_{c \to 0^+} \theta_j(c) = +\infty\) for \(j = 1, 2\), such that, for all \(c \in (0, 1]\) and for all \(m \geq 1\),
\[
(3.17) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{c_j j^{-1/2}} \leq \theta_1(c) e^{cm m^{-1/2}}, \quad \sum_{j=m}^{+\infty} e^{-c_j j^{1/2}} \leq \theta_2(c) e^{-cm m^{1/2}}.
\]
Our aim is to use Schur’s Lemma, so we have to estimate
\[ \sup_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} |k_{\nu,\mu}| + \sup_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} |k_{\nu,\mu}|. \]

We choose now \( \beta > 0 \) and \( T \in (0, T_0] \) in such a way that \( \beta T = \frac{\theta}{2} \log 2 \) (remark that for the moment only the product \( \beta T \) is fixed). Then for \( t \in (0, T] \) we have that
\[
\beta t + \theta \log 2 \geq \theta \log 2 > 0, \tag{3.18}
\]
and
\[
(-\theta + 1) \log 2 - \beta t \geq (1 - \frac{3}{2} \theta) \log 2 > 0. \tag{3.19}
\]

Let \( \mu \leq 2 \). Then, using the second estimate in (3.17) and (3.18), we have
\[
\sum_{\nu=0}^{+\infty} |k_{\nu,\mu}| \leq C''' e^{(\mu+1)\beta t} 2^{(\mu+1)\theta} (\mu + 1)^{-1/2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{+\infty} e^{-(\nu+1)\beta t} 2^{-(\nu+1)\theta} (\nu + 1)^{1/2}
\]
\[
\leq C''' e^{(\mu+1)\beta t} 2^{(\mu+1)\theta} (\mu + 1)^{-1/2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{+\infty} e^{-(\beta t + \theta \log 2) (\nu + 1)} (\nu + 1)^{1/2}
\]
\[
\leq C''' 2^{\beta t} 2^{\theta} \theta_2 (\beta t + \theta \log 2)
\]
\[
\leq C''' 2^{3\theta} \theta_2 (\theta \log 2).
\]

Let \( \mu \geq 3 \). We have \( \sum_{\nu=0}^{+\infty} |k_{\nu,\mu}| = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu-3} |k_{\nu,\mu}| + \sum_{\nu=\mu-2}^{+\infty} |k_{\nu,\mu}|. \) Then, from the first one in (3.17) and (3.19), we deduce
\[
\sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu-3} |k_{\nu,\mu}|
\]
\[
\leq C''' e^{(\mu+1)\beta t} 2^{(\mu+1)(\theta-1)} (\mu + 1)^{1/2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu-3} e^{-(\nu+1)\beta t} 2^{(\nu+1)(-\theta+1)} (\nu + 1)^{-1/2}
\]
\[
\leq C''' e^{(\mu+1)\beta t} 2^{(\mu+1)(\theta-1)} (\mu + 1)^{1/2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu-3} e^{(-\beta t + (\theta+1) \log 2)(\nu+1)} (\nu + 1)^{-1/2}
\]
\[
\leq C''' e^{(\mu+1)\beta t} 2^{(\mu+1)(\theta-1)} (\mu + 1)^{1/2} \theta_1 (\beta t + (\theta + 1) \log 2) \cdot e^{(-\beta t + (\theta+1) \log 2)(\mu-2)} (\mu - 2)^{-1/2}
\]
\[
\leq C''' 2^{1+\frac{\theta}{2}} \theta_1 \left( (1 - \frac{3}{2} \theta) \log 2 \right).
\]
and, from the second one in (3.17) and (3.18),
\[
\sum_{\nu=\mu-2}^{+\infty} |k_{\nu,\mu}| \leq C'''' e^{(\mu+1)\beta t 2^{(\mu+1)} \theta (\mu + 1)^{-1/2}} \\
\times \sum_{\nu=\mu-2}^{\infty} e^{-(\nu+1)\beta t 2^{-(\nu+1)} \theta (\nu + 1)^{1/2}} \\
\leq C'''' e^{(\mu+1)\beta t 2^{(\mu+1)} \theta (\mu + 1)^{-1/2} \theta_2 (\beta t + \theta \log 2)} \\
\leq C'''' 2^{3\theta} \theta_2 (\theta \log 2).
\]
Considering now \( \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu+2} |k_{\nu,\mu}| \) we have
\[
\sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu+2} |k_{\nu,\mu}| \leq C'''' e^{-(\nu+1)\beta t 2^{-(\nu+1)} \theta (\nu + 1)^{1/2} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu+2} e^{(\mu+1)\beta t 2^{(\mu+1)} \theta (\mu + 1)^{-1/2}} \\
\leq C'''' e^{-(\nu+1)\beta t 2^{-(\nu+1)} \theta (\nu + 1)^{1/2} \theta_1 (\beta t + \theta \log 2)} \\
\leq C'''' 2^{\frac{3}{2} \theta} \theta_1 (\theta \log 2),
\]
and
\[
\sum_{\mu=\nu+3}^{+\infty} |k_{\nu,\mu}| \\
\leq C'''' e^{-(\nu+1)\beta t 2^{(\nu+1)}(\nu+1)^{-1/2} \sum_{\mu=\nu+3}^{\infty} e^{(\mu+1)\beta t 2^{-(\mu+1)}(\mu + 1)^{1/2}} \\
\leq C'''' e^{-(\nu+1)\beta t 2^{(\nu+1)}(\nu+1)^{-1/2} \theta_2 (-\beta t + (\nu + 1) \log 2)} \\
\leq C'''' 2^{\frac{3}{2} \theta} \theta_2 (1 - \frac{3}{2} \theta) \log 2).
\]
Hence there exists a positive function \( \Theta \), with \( \lim_{\theta \to 0^+} \Theta(\theta) = +\infty \), such that
\[
\sup_{\mu} \sum_{\nu=0}^{+\infty} |k_{\nu,\mu}| + \sup_{\nu} \sum_{\mu=0}^{+\infty} |k_{\nu,\mu}| \leq C'''' \Theta(\theta).
\]
We finally obtain
\[ \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta(\nu+1)t} 2^{-2\nu\theta} \right| \]
\[ \times \int \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}} \Re \left( \partial_x ([\varphi_\nu, a] \partial_x u) \cdot \left( \partial_t u_\nu + \frac{\partial_t \sqrt{a_{2^{-\nu}}}}{2} u_\nu \right) \right) \, dx \]
\[ \leq C'' C''' \Theta(\theta) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\nu + 1) e^{-2\beta(\nu+1)2^{-2\nu\theta}} e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t). \]  

\[ (3.20) \]

3.6. End of the proof

From (3.15), (3.16) and (3.20) we have that
\[ \frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq (\tilde{C} + C'' C''' \Theta(\theta) - 2\beta) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\nu + 1) e^{-2\beta(\nu+1)2^{-2\nu\theta}} e_{\nu,2^{-\nu}}(t) \]
\[ + \tilde{C}_0 E(t)^{1/2} \| Lu(t, \cdot) \|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\theta-\beta^*t}}. \]

We fix now \( \beta \) in such a way that \( \tilde{C} + C'' C''' \Theta(\theta) - 2\beta \leq 0 \). Remark that since the product \( \beta T \) was already fixed, this forces us to choose \( T \) sufficiently small. We obtain
\[ \frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq \tilde{C}_0 E(t)^{1/2} \| Lu(t, \cdot) \|_{\mathcal{H}^{-\theta-\beta^*t}}, \]
and the conclusion of the theorem easily follows from (3.13) and (3.14).

Appendix

We give here in some details an example due to S. Tarama concerning a bounded function which is log–Zygmund–continuous but not log–Lipschitz–continuous. The function is the following
\[ \omega(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} n \sin(2^n t). \]

Considering the sequence \( t_k = 2^{-k-1}\pi, k \geq 1 \), it is easy to see that
\[ \omega(t_k) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} 2^{-n} n \sin(2^n 2^{-k-1}\pi) \geq 2^{-k-1} k(k - 1), \]
so that
\[
\left| \omega(t_k) - \omega(0) \right| \geq C_0 k
\]
and, consequently, \( \omega \) is not log–Lipschitz–continuous. To prove that \( \omega \) is log-Zygmund–continuous we argue as in [12]. Setting \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1/2) \) and \( \omega(t) = \omega_{1,\varepsilon}(t) + \omega_{2,\varepsilon}(t) \), where
\[
\omega_{1,\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log 2}} 2^{-n} n \sin(2^n t) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{2,\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{n > \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log 2}} 2^{-n} n \sin(2^n t),
\]
we easily deduce that \( |\omega''_{1,\varepsilon}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} |\log \varepsilon| \) while \( |\omega_{2,\varepsilon}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \). Then \( |\omega(t+\varepsilon) + \omega(t-\varepsilon) - 2\omega(t)| \leq C' \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \) and the conclusion follows.

To end let us remark that the function \( \omega \) is nowhere differentiable (see [10]).
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