

## *The Stickelberger Elements and the Cyclotomic Units in the Cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -Extensions*

By Takae TSUJI

**Abstract.** For an odd prime number  $p$  and a cyclotomic field  $K$ , we will describe a relation between the Stickelberger element and the cyclotomic unit which are defined with respect to the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension over  $K$ . This is a generalization of a theorem of Iwasawa and Coleman

### 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  be an odd prime number and  $N$  an integer prime to  $p$  such that  $N \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . We put  $K_n := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{Np^{n+1}})$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $K_\infty := \bigcup K_n$ . Here  $\zeta_{Np^{n+1}}$  denotes a primitive  $Np^{n+1}$ -th root of unity. The Stickelberger element  $\theta_N$  and the cyclotomic unit  $\eta_N$  are defined with respect to the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $K_\infty/K_0$  as below. Our purpose of this note is to describe a relation between the Stickelberger element  $\theta_N$  and the cyclotomic unit  $\eta_N$ .

First we recall the definition of  $\theta_N$  and  $\eta_N$ . The Stickelberger element  $\theta_{Np^{n+1}} \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q})]$  is defined by

$$\theta_{Np^{n+1}} := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq Np^{n+1} \\ (a, pN)=1}} \left( \frac{a}{Np^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sigma_a^{-1}|_{K_n},$$

where  $\sigma_a$  denotes the element of  $\text{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$  satisfying  $\sigma_a(\zeta_{Np^n}) = (\zeta_{Np^n})^a$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . We put

$$\theta_N := (\theta_{Np^{n+1}})_{n \geq 0}.$$

For every integer  $c$  prime to  $Np$ , it is known (cf. [W, Lemma 6.9]) that

$$(1 - c\sigma_c^{-1}) \theta_N \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q})]] := \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q})],$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R23.

where the projective limit is taken with respect to the restriction maps. For every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , we fix a primitive  $s$ -th root  $\zeta_s$  of unity with the property that  $\zeta_{st}^t = \zeta_s$ . We define the cyclotomic unit

$$\eta_{Np^{n+1}} := 1 - \zeta_N \zeta_{p^{n+1}} \in K_n^\times.$$

We shall regard  $\eta_{Np^{n+1}}$  as an element of  $\Phi_n$ , the  $p$ -adic completion of  $(K_n \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)^\times$ . We put

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_N := ((\eta_{Np^{n+1}})^{\text{Fr}_p^{-n}})_{n \geq 0} \in \varprojlim \Phi_n,$$

where the projective limit is taken with respect to the relative norms and  $\text{Fr}_p$  denotes the Frobenius element of  $p$  in  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_{K_n}$  denote the  $p$ -adic completion of  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ . Put  $\mathcal{U}_{K_\infty} := \varprojlim \mathcal{U}_{K_n}$ , where the projective limit is taken with respect to the relative norms. Then  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_N \in \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty}$  if  $N \neq 1$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1^{1-\sigma} \in \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty}$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$ .

In [Iw], Iwasawa proved a beautiful theorem which describes a relation between the Stickelberger element  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_1$  and the cyclotomic unit  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1$ . After that, in [C1] and [C2], Coleman gave a simpler proof of the above theorem by defining a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -homomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})]],$$

which is almost isomorphism. Here  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty}) = \bigcup \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})$ . The above mentioned theorem is stated as follows.

**THEOREM 1.1** (Iwasawa, Coleman [C2, Proposition 6]). *For every integer  $c$  prime to  $p$ , we have*

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1^{1-\sigma^c}) = (1 - c\sigma_c) \boldsymbol{\theta}_1^*,$$

where  $\theta \mapsto \theta^*$  is the involution of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})]]$  induced by  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})$ .

We shall extend this result for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_N$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_N$  ( $N > 1$ ). Similarly to Theorem 1.1, our main theorem (Theorem 2.1) is also stated using the homomorphism  $\Psi$ , which is also defined for  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{Np^\infty})}$ . We give two different proofs. The first one (in §2) is a modification of Coleman's method, and is direct one in the sense that we use only some properties of  $\Psi$  (and the definitions of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_N$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_N$ ). On the other hand, both  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_N$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_N$  are related to the Kubota-Leopoldt  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions. We see in §4 that Theorem 2.1 is also induced from these classical relations.

## 2. The Results

We use the same notation as in the Introduction. Put  $G_\infty := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\Delta := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G_\infty \times \Delta$  since  $N$  is prime to  $p$ . We write  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}} := \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ , the  $p$ -adic completion of the integer ring of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ . Coleman proved that there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -homomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[G_\infty]],$$

with the property that  $\Psi(u^\sigma) = \kappa(\sigma)\sigma\Psi(u)$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty}$  and all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $\kappa : \text{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is the  $p$ -cyclotomic character (cf. [C1], [C2] and [G, §2]). To compare  $(1 - c\sigma_c^{-1})\boldsymbol{\theta}_N \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][[G_\infty]]$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_N \in \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty}$  by using  $\Psi$ , we need to determine a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -isomorphism  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ , that is, to fix a generator of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module. For such a generator, we can take Leopoldt's "Basiszahl" defined as follows: For a positive integer  $m$ , we put

$$\mathcal{D}_m := \{d \in \mathbb{N} \mid d \mid m, s(m) \mid d\},$$

where  $s(m)$  denotes the product of all distinct prime divisors of  $m$ . We define an element  $z_N$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  by

$$z_N := \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \zeta_d.$$

By using  $z_N$ , Leopoldt [Leo] (see also [Let]) described the structure of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$  as  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$ -module. (Actually, in [Leo] and [Let], the Galois module structure of the ring of integers of an abelian number field is described by using "Basiszahl".) Using this result, we see that  $z_N$  generates the  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  (see also Lemma 3.1). Our main theorem is to describe the relation between  $(1 - c\sigma_c^{-1})\boldsymbol{\theta}_N$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_N$  using  $\Psi$  and  $z_N$ .

To state our result, we need some notation. We define the Stickelberger element  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_d$  and the cyclotomic unit  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_d$ , for  $d \mid N$ , as in the case where  $d = N$ . For an integer  $c$  prime to  $p$ , let  $\gamma_c$  denote the element of  $G_\infty$  satisfying  $\gamma_c(\zeta_{p^n}) = \zeta_{p^n}^c$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . For a prime number  $l$ , let  $\delta_l$  denote a Frobenius element of  $l$  in  $\Delta$ . If  $d$  is a divisor of  $N$ , we denote by  $\text{Cor}_{N,d}$  the

corestriction map from  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)/\mathbb{Q})][[G_\infty]]$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][[G_\infty]]$  induced by, for all  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)/\mathbb{Q})$ ,

$$\tau \mapsto \sum_{\delta|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)}=\tau} \delta,$$

where  $\delta$  runs over automorphisms in  $\Delta$  whose restriction to  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$  is  $\tau$ . Let  $\mu$  denote the Möbius  $\mu$ -function.

DEFINITION. We define  $\mathfrak{S}_N$  by

$$\mathfrak{S}_N := \gamma_N^{-1} \sum_{d|N} \gamma_d \left( \prod_{l|N, l \nmid d} (1-l)\delta_l^{-1} \right) \sum_{d' \in \mathcal{D}_d} \frac{\mu(d/d')}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})]} \text{Cor}_{N,d'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{d'}),$$

where  $d$  runs over all divisors of  $N$  and  $l$  over all prime divisors of  $N$  which do not divide  $d$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}_N$  by

$$\mathfrak{H}_N := \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \prod_{d'|d} (\boldsymbol{\eta}_{d/d'})^{\frac{\mu(d')\gamma_{d'}}{d'}},$$

where  $d'$  runs over all divisors of  $d$  and we regard  $1/d'$  as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Since  $\delta_l^{-1} \text{Cor}_{N,d'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{d'}) = \text{Cor}_{N,d'}(\delta_l^{-1}|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{d'})$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_N$  does not depend on the choice of  $\delta_l$ . We note that  $\mathfrak{H}_N^{1-\gamma_c} \in \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty}$  and  $(1-c\gamma_c^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_N \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][[G_\infty]]$  for all  $c$  prime to  $p$ .

If  $N$  is square-free, the above definitions are just as

$$\mathfrak{S}_N = \sum_{d|N} \mu(d)\sigma_d^{-1} \text{Cor}_{N,N/d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{N/d}), \quad \mathfrak{H}_N = \prod_{d|N} (\boldsymbol{\eta}_{N/d})^{\frac{\mu(d)\gamma_d}{d}},$$

where  $\sigma_d$  denotes an element of  $\text{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$  satisfying  $\sigma_d(\zeta_{(N/d)p^{n+1}}) = (\zeta_{(N/d)p^{n+1}})^d$ .

The main result of this note is the following.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *For every integer  $c$  prime to  $p$ , we have the following equations in  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[G_\infty]]$ :*

$$\Psi(\mathfrak{H}_N^{1-\gamma_c}) = (1-c\gamma_c) \boldsymbol{\theta}_N^* z_N,$$

and

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_N) = \mathfrak{S}_N^* z_N.$$

Here  $\theta \mapsto \theta^*$  is the involution of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][[G_\infty]]$  induced by  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$  for any  $\sigma \in \Delta \times G_\infty$ .

REMARK. The description of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_N^*$  (resp.  $\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_N)$ ) by  $\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_d)$  (resp.  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_d^*$ ) with  $d \mid N$  and  $z_N$  is not unique. Indeed, there are relations between  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{dl}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_d$  (resp.  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dl}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_d$ ), for a prime number  $l$ , as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{dl}|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{dp^\infty})} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\theta}_d & l \mid d \\ (1 - \gamma_l^{-1} \delta_l^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_d & l \nmid d \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad N_{dl,d}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{dl}) = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\eta}_d^{\gamma_l} & l \mid d \\ \boldsymbol{\eta}_d^{(\gamma_l - \delta_l^{-1})} & l \nmid d, \end{cases}$$

where  $N_{dl,d}$  denotes the norm map from  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{dlp^\infty})$  to  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{dp^\infty})$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1 by using the properties of  $\Psi : \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[G_\infty]]$ . We need the following lemma. Although this follows from Leopoldt's Theorem and the facts which are used in his proof ([Leo], see also [Let]), we give a proof for the convenience of the readers.

LEMMA 3.1. *The element  $z_N = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \zeta_d$  generates the additive  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  which is free of rank one. Furthermore, for a positive divisor  $m$  of  $N$ , we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}(z_N) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)] \left( \prod_{l \mid N, l \nmid m} \frac{\delta_l^{-1}}{1-l} \right) (z_m)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \zeta_m = \left( \prod_{l \mid N, l \nmid m} (1-l)\delta_l \right) \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_m} \frac{\mu(m/d)}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)]} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)}(z_N).$$

PROOF. The additive  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$  is generated by  $\zeta_m$  with  $m \mid N$ . Therefore the first assertion follows from (3.2).

For the equation (3.1), it suffices to verify the case where  $m = N/q$  with a prime divisor  $q$  of  $N$ . Let  $d$  be a divisor of  $N$ . When  $d \nmid N/q$ , the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta_d$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d/q})$  is  $(X^q - \zeta_{d/q}) / (X - \delta_q^{-1}(\zeta_{d/q})) = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} X^{q-1-j} (\delta_q^{-1}(\zeta_{d/q}))^j$  (resp.  $X^q - \zeta_{d/q}$ ) if  $q^2 \nmid d$  (resp.  $q^2 \mid d$ ). Then we have the following:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{N,N/q}(\zeta_d) = \begin{cases} -\delta_q^{-1}(\zeta_{d/q}) & d \nmid N/q, \quad q^2 \nmid d, \\ 0 & d \nmid N/q, \quad q^2 \mid d, \\ [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{N/q})]\zeta_d & d \mid N/q, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathrm{Tr}_{N,N/q} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{N/q})}$ . If we assume  $q^2 \nmid N$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}_{N/q} = \{d/q \mid d \in \mathcal{D}_N\}$  and, for any  $d \in \mathcal{D}_N$ ,  $d \nmid N/q$  and  $q^2 \nmid d$ . On the other hand, if we assume  $q^2 \mid N$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}_{N/q} = \{d \in \mathcal{D}_N \mid d \mid (N/q)\}$  and  $q^2 \mid d$  for any  $d \in \mathcal{D}_N$  with  $d \nmid N/q$ . Hence we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{N,N/q}(z_N) = \begin{cases} -\delta_q^{-1}(z_{N/q}) & q^2 \nmid N, \\ [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{N/q})]z_{N/q} & q^2 \mid N. \end{cases}$$

This proves the equation (3.1) for  $m = N/q$ .

The equation (3.2) follows from the equation (3.1) and the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_m &= \sum_{d' \in \mathcal{D}_m} \left( \sum_{d'' \mid (m/d')} \mu(d'') \right) \zeta_{d'} \\ &= \sum_{d' \in \mathcal{D}_m} \left( \sum_{d \mid m, d' \mid d} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) \right) \zeta_{d'} \\ &= \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_m} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) \sum_{d' \in \mathcal{D}_d} \zeta_{d'} \\ &= \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_m} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) z_d. \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. First, we briefly recall the definition of the map  $\Psi : \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[G_\infty]]$ . For details, see [C1], [C2] and [G, §2]. Let  $u = (u_n)$  be an element of  $\mathcal{U}_{K_\infty}$ . There exists a unique power series  $f_u(X)$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[X]]$  satisfying

$$(3.3) \quad f_u(\zeta_{p^{n+1}} - 1) = u_n^{\text{Fr}_p^n}.$$

Let  $\varphi$  be an endomorphism of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[X]]$  defined by

$$(\varphi f)(X) = f^{\text{Fr}_p}((1 + X)^p - 1),$$

where  $\text{Fr}_p$  acts on  $f(X)$  via the coefficients. Let  $D$  be the derivation  $(1 + X) \frac{d}{dX}$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[X]]$ . Then there is a unique element  $\Psi(u)$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[G_\infty]]$  satisfying

$$(1 - \varphi)D \log f_u(X)|_{X=\zeta_{p^{n+1}}-1} = \Psi(u)\zeta_{p^{n+1}}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ , which is the definition of  $\Psi : \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[G_\infty]]$ .

Put

$$f_N(X) := 1 - \zeta_N(1 + X)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_N(X) := \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \prod_{d'|d} (1 - (\zeta_d(1 + X))^{d'})^{\frac{\mu(d')}{d'}}.$$

One can easily verify that  $f_N(X)$  (resp.  $\tilde{f}_N(X)$ ) satisfies (3.3) with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_N$  (resp. to  $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{H}}_N$ ). It suffices to show the following two equations

$$(3.4) \quad (1 - \varphi)D \log f_N(X)|_{X=\zeta_{p^{n+1}}-1} = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{S}}_N^* z_N \zeta_{p^{n+1}},$$

$$(3.5) \quad (1 - \varphi)D \log \tilde{f}_N(X)|_{X=\zeta_{p^{n+1}}-1} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_N^* z_N \zeta_{p^{n+1}}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1 given in [C2], we use the following.

LEMMA 3.2 (cf. [C2, Proposition 5], [G, Lemma 2.15]). *For  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\frac{\zeta_m \zeta_{p^{n+1}}}{\zeta_m \zeta_{p^{n+1}} - 1} - \frac{\zeta_m^p \zeta_{p^n}}{\zeta_m^p \zeta_{p^n} - 1} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq mp^{n+1} \\ (a,p)=1}} \frac{a}{mp^{n+1}} (\zeta_m \zeta_{p^{n+1}})^a.$$

We first prove the equation (3.4). By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - \varphi)D \log f_N(X)|_{X=\zeta_{p^{n+1}}-1} &= \frac{\zeta_N \zeta_{p^{n+1}}}{\zeta_N \zeta_{p^{n+1}} - 1} - \frac{\zeta_N^p \zeta_{p^n}}{\zeta_N^p \zeta_{p^n} - 1} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq Np^{n+1} \\ (a,p)=1}} \frac{a}{Np^{n+1}} (\zeta_N \zeta_{p^{n+1}})^a \\
 &= \sum_{d|N} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq b \leq dp^{n+1} \\ (b,pd)=1}} \frac{b}{dp^{n+1}} (\zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}}^{\frac{N}{d}})^b \\
 &= \gamma_N \sum_{d|N} \gamma_d^{-1} \theta_{dp^{n+1}}^* \zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the equation (3.2), we have

$$\theta_{dp^{n+1}}^* \zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}} = \left( \prod_{l|N, l \nmid d} (1 - l) \delta_l \right) \sum_{d' \in \mathcal{D}_d} \frac{\mu(d/d')}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})]} \theta_{dp^{n+1}}^* \text{Tr}_{N,d'}(z_N) \zeta_{p^{n+1}},$$

where  $\text{Tr}_{N,d'} = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})}$ . Since every prime divisor of  $d$  is a divisor of  $d'$  in  $\mathcal{D}_d$ , by the equation (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_{dp^{n+1}}^* \text{Tr}_{N,d'}(z_N) \zeta_{p^{n+1}} &= \theta_{d'p^{n+1}}^* \text{Tr}_{N,d'}(z_N) \zeta_{p^{n+1}} \\
 &= \text{Cor}_{N,d'}(\theta_{d'p^{n+1}}^*) z_N \zeta_{p^{n+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equalities, we obtain the equation (3.4).

For the equation (3.5), by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - \varphi)D \log \left( \prod_{d'|d} (1 - (\zeta_d(1 + X))^{d'})^{\frac{\mu(d')}{d'}} \right) \Big|_{X=\zeta_{p^{n+1}}-1} \\
 &= \sum_{d'|d} \mu(d') \left( \frac{(\zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}})^{d'}}{(\zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}})^{d'} - 1} - \frac{(\zeta_d^p \zeta_{p^n})^{d'}}{(\zeta_d^p \zeta_{p^n})^{d'} - 1} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{d'|d} \mu(d') \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq (d/d')p^{n+1} \\ (a,p)=1}} \frac{ad'}{dp^{n+1}} (\zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}})^{ad'}
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq b \leq dp^{n+1} \\ (b,p)=1}} \left( \sum_{d'|(b,d)} \mu(d') \right) \frac{b}{dp^{n+1}} (\zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}})^b \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq b \leq dp^{n+1} \\ (b,dp)=1}} \frac{b}{dp^{n+1}} (\zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}})^b \\
 &= \theta_{dp^{n+1}}^* \zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using the equation (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(1 - \varphi) \log(\tilde{f}_N(X))|_{X=\zeta_{p^{n+1}}-1} &= \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \theta_{dp^{n+1}}^* \zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}} \\
 &= \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \theta_{Np^{n+1}}^* \zeta_d \zeta_{p^{n+1}} \\
 &= \theta_{Np^{n+1}}^* z_N \zeta_{p^{n+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. $p$ -Adic $L$ -Function

In this section, we review how to connect the Stickelberger element  $\theta_N$  and the cyclotomic unit  $\eta_N$  with the values of the Dirichlet  $L$ -function at negative integer respectively. Then we see that Theorem 2.1 is also induced by using the above connection.

Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character with values in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ , whose conductor divides  $N$ . We shall regard  $\chi$  as a character of  $\Delta = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\chi]$  be the ring generated by the values of  $\chi$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . We also denote by  $\chi$  a natural map

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][[G_\infty]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\chi][[G_\infty]]$$

induced by  $\chi$ . Let  $\kappa : G_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  denote the  $p$ -cyclotomic character. For every integer  $r \geq 0$ , one can extend the character  $\kappa^r$  of  $G_\infty$  to a homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[\chi][[G_\infty]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\chi].$$

Let  $c > 1$  be an integer prime to  $p$ . We put

$$\kappa^r \chi(\boldsymbol{\theta}_N^*) = (1 - c^{1+r})^{-1} \kappa^r \chi((1 - c\gamma_c) \boldsymbol{\theta}_N^*),$$

which is independent of  $c$ . The following theorem is well known.

**THEOREM 4.1** (Iwasawa cf. [W, Theorem 7.10]). *For every  $r \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\kappa^r \chi(\boldsymbol{\theta}_N^*) = \prod_{l|Np} (1 - \chi(l)l^r) L(-r, \chi),$$

where  $l$  runs over all prime divisors of  $Np$  and  $L(*, \chi)$  denotes the Dirichlet  $L$ -function.

By Lemma 3.1, the correspondence  $z_N \mapsto 1$  induces an isomorphism  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ . Let

$$\chi_{z_N} : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}[[G_\infty]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\chi][[G_\infty]]$$

be the map by composing the above isomorphism  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$  with the map (4.1). Since  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_1$  is not in  $\mathcal{U}_{K_\infty}$ , we write  $\kappa^r \chi_{z_N}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1))$  for  $(1 - c^{r+1})^{-1} \kappa^r \chi_{z_N}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_1^{1-\gamma_c}))$ , which is independent of  $c$ . Let  $f_\chi$  denote the conductor of  $\chi$  and put  $f_{\chi, N} = f_\chi \prod'_l l$ , where  $l$  runs over all prime divisors of  $N$  such that  $l \nmid f_\chi$ . The following theorem is known (cf. e.g. [G, Theorem 2.13], [P, Proposition 3.1.4] and [T, Theorem 4.3 and §7]).

**THEOREM 4.2.** *For every  $r \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\kappa^r \chi_{z_N}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_N)) = \left(\frac{N}{f_{\chi, N}}\right)^r \prod_{l|N} (1 - \chi(l)l^{r+1})(1 - \chi(p)p^r) L(-r, \chi),$$

where  $l$  runs over all prime divisors of  $N$ .

Let  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  be two elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\chi][[G_\infty]]$ . If  $\kappa^r(\theta) = \kappa^r(\theta')$  for all  $r \geq 0$ , we have  $\theta = \theta'$ . Thus, combining the above two theorems, we obtain the following.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *For any character  $\chi$  of  $\Delta$  and any integer  $c$  prime to  $p$ , we have*

$$\chi((1 - c\gamma_c) \boldsymbol{\theta}_N^*) = \prod_{l|N} (1 - \chi(l)\gamma_l) \chi_{z_{f_\chi}}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{f_\chi}^{1-\gamma_c})),$$

and

$$\chi_{z_N}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_N^{1-\gamma_c})) = \frac{\gamma_N}{\gamma_{f_X, N}} \prod_{l|N} (1 - \chi(l)l\gamma_l)\chi((1 - c\gamma_c)\boldsymbol{\theta}_{f_X}^*).$$

We will see that the above proposition gives the same relation as Theorem 2.1. We have

$$\chi(\mathfrak{S}_N^*) = \chi(\mathfrak{S}_N^*|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{f_X p^\infty})})$$

and

$$\chi_{z_N}(\Psi(\mathfrak{H}_N^{1-\gamma_c})) = \frac{\prod_{l|N, l \nmid f_X} \chi(l)(1-l)}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{f_X})]} \chi_{z_{f_X}}(\Psi(N_{N, f_X}(\mathfrak{H}_N^{1-\gamma_c}))).$$

Here the second equation follows from Lemma 3.1 and the property that  $\Psi(N_{N, f_X}(u)) = \text{Tr}_{N, f_X}(\Psi(u))$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{K_\infty}$ . By using the relations (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_{dl}|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d p^\infty})} = \begin{cases} \gamma_l^{-1}\mathfrak{S}_d & l \mid d \\ (1 - l\gamma_l^{-1}\delta_l^{-1})\mathfrak{S}_d & l \nmid d \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_{dl, d}(\mathfrak{H}_{dl}) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{H}_d^l & l \mid d \\ \mathfrak{H}_d^{(l^{-1}\gamma_l - \delta_l^{-1})} & l \nmid d \end{cases}$$

for a prime number  $l$ . One can see that  $\chi((1 - c\gamma_c)\text{Cor}_{f_X, d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_d^*)) = 0$  and  $\chi_{z_{f_X}}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_d^{1-\gamma_c})) = 0$  for a proper divisor  $d$  of  $f_X$ . Then, we obtain  $\chi((1 - c\gamma_c)\mathfrak{S}_{f_X}^*) = \chi((1 - c\gamma_c)\boldsymbol{\theta}_{f_X}^*)$  and  $\chi_{z_{f_X}}(\Psi(\mathfrak{H}_{f_X}^{1-\gamma_c})) = \chi_{z_{f_X}}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{f_X}^{1-\gamma_c}))$ , by the definition of  $\mathfrak{S}_N$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_N$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\chi((1 - c\gamma_c)\mathfrak{S}_N^*) = \frac{\gamma_N}{\gamma_{f_X, N}} \prod_{l|N} (1 - \chi(l)l\gamma_l)\chi((1 - c\gamma_c)\boldsymbol{\theta}_{f_X}^*),$$

and

$$\chi_{z_N}(\Psi(\mathfrak{H}_N^{1-\gamma_c})) = \prod_{l|N} (1 - \chi(l)\gamma_l)\chi_{z_{f_X}}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{f_X}^{1-\gamma_c})).$$

Hence the above proposition states that  $\chi((1 - c\gamma_c)\boldsymbol{\theta}_N^*) = \chi_{z_N}(\Psi(\mathfrak{H}_N^{1-\gamma_c}))$  and  $\chi_{z_N}(\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_N^{1-\gamma_c})) = \chi((1 - c\gamma_c)\mathfrak{S}_N^*)$  hold, for all character  $\chi$  of  $\Delta$ .

These relations show Theorem 2.1, that is  $(1 - c\gamma_c) \theta_N^* z_N = \Psi(\mathfrak{H}_N^{1-\gamma_c})$  and  $\Psi(\eta_N) = \mathfrak{S}_N^* z_N$ .

*Acknowledgement.* The author wishes to express her sincere gratitude to Professor Humio Ichimura for valuable suggestions and for encouragement.

### References

- [C1] Coleman, R., Division values in local fields, *Invent. math.* **53** (1979), 96–116.
- [C2] Coleman, R., Local units modulo circular units, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89** (1983), 1–7.
- [G] Greither, C., Class groups of abelian fields, and the main conjecture, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **42** (1992), 449–499.
- [Iw] Iwasawa, K., On some modules in the theory of cyclotomic fields, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **16** (1964), 42–82.
- [Leo] Leopoldt, H.-W., Über die Hauptordnung der ganzen Elemente eines abelschen Zahlkörpers, *J. reine angew. Math.* 201 (1956), 119–149.
- [Let] Lettl, G., The ring of integers of an abelian number field, *J. reine angew. Math.* 404 (1990), 162–170.
- [P] Perrin-Riou, B., *La fonction L p-adique de Kubota et Leopoldt, Arithmetic geometry* (Tempe 1993), 65–93, *Contemp. Math.* **174** American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, 1994.
- [T] Tsuji, T., Semi-local units modulo cyclotomic units, *J. Number Theory* **78** (1999), 1–26.
- [W] Washington, L. C., *“Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields”*, *Grad. Texts in Math.* 83, Springer-Verlag 1982.

(Received September 13, 2000)

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences  
 The University of Tokyo  
 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku  
 Tokyo 153-8914, JAPAN  
 E-mail: ttsuji@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp