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#### Abstract

The cohomology of the local system on the complement of hyperplanes has a Hodge structure as the $\alpha$-invariant cohomology of a Kummer covering ramified along their hyperplanes for a generic character $\alpha$. In this paper we study the case of arrangements of hyperplanes in the three dimensional complex projective space. We construct a resolution for an arrangement of hyperplanes and compute its Chow group. By computing the first Chern class of logarithmic 1forms, we can obtain the Euler characteristic and the Hodge numbers of cohomology of local systems using the intersection set of the arrangement of hyperplanes and binomial coefficients.


## 1. Introduction

A finite set of hyperplanes is called an arrangement of hyperplanes. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ be an arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{N}=\mathbb{P}^{N}(\mathbb{C})$ and $U=\mathbb{P}^{N}-\cup_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}$ be its complement. Let $V_{\alpha}$ be the rank one local system on $U$, whose monodromy around the hyperplane $H_{k}$ is $\exp \left(2 \pi i \alpha_{k}\right)$, and $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ be a collection of them. The cohomology groups $H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)$ of are studied well as generalized hypergeometric functions, we refer to [1], [5] and [22]. In the case of rational exponents it is realized geometrically as the cohomology of a Kummer covering of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ ramified along $\mathcal{A}$. When $N=2$ a covering for a certain arrangement is well-known as a Hirzebruch's example: the surfaces obtained by a Kummer covering of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is of general type with $c_{1}^{2}=3 c_{2}$ (see [12]). In general, the cohomology group $H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)$ has a Hodge structure as follows (see [5]).

We fix a positive integer $m$. Let $\pi_{m}: Y_{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be the abelian covering of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ ramified only along every $H_{i}$ with the ramification index $m$ and the

[^0]Galois group $G \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$. Then the function field $K$ of $Y_{m}$ is given by the abelian extension

$$
K=\mathbb{C}\left(z_{1} / z_{0}, z_{2} / z_{0}, \ldots, z_{N} / z_{0}\right)\left(\left(h_{2} / h_{1}\right)^{1 / m}, \cdots,\left(h_{n} / h_{1}\right)^{1 / m}\right)
$$

of the function field $\mathbb{C}\left(z_{1} / z_{0}, z_{2} / z_{0}, \ldots, z_{N} / z_{0}\right)$ of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ where $h_{i}$ is a linear form defining $H_{i}=\operatorname{ker} h_{i}$.

Let $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y_{m}$ be a resolution of $Y_{m}$. Then the cohomology $H^{i}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})$ of $\tilde{Y}$ has the action of $G$ and a pure Hodge structure $H^{i}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})=\oplus_{p+q=i} H^{p, q}$. So for a character $\alpha$ of $G$ we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{i}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha} & =\left\{\omega \in H^{i}(X, \mathbb{C}) \mid g^{*}(\omega)=\alpha(g) \omega, \quad \text { for all } g \in G\right\} \\
H^{p, q}(\alpha) & =H^{p+q}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha} \cap H^{p, q}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then have the eigenspace decomposition

$$
H^{i}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G^{*}} H^{i}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}
$$

They induce the Hodge decomposition

$$
H^{i}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}=\bigoplus_{p+q=i} H^{p, q}(\alpha)
$$

On the other hand the cohomology $H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)$ is isomorphic to $H^{N}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}$ for generic $\alpha$. Therefore $H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)$ has the Hodge decomposition.

In this paper our purpose is to compute these Hodge numbers $\operatorname{dim} H^{p, q}(\alpha)$ when $N=3$. It is clear that the dimension and Hodge numbers of $H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)$ are combinatorial. For example the dimension of $H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)$ for arrangement in general position is $\binom{n-2}{N}$. So we give their descriptions with the intersection set $L(\mathcal{A})$ of a arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ and binomial coefficients.
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## 2. The Hodge Structure of Cohomology of Local Systems on the Complement of Hyperplanes

Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}\right\}$ be an arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ and $U=M(\mathcal{A})$ be its complement. The set $L=L(\mathcal{A})$ of nonempty intersections
of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ is called the intersection set of $\mathcal{A}$ and we denote by $L_{p}=$ $L_{p}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of elements of $L$ whose codimension in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ is $p$. Obviously we see that $L=\cup_{p \geq 1} L_{p}$ and $L_{1}=\mathcal{A}$.

### 2.1. Hodge decomposition of cohomology of local systems

Definition 2.1 (Blow ups for an arrangement). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a subset of the intersection set $L(\mathcal{A})$ of an arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{N}(\mathbb{C})$ and set $\mathcal{L}_{p}=\mathcal{L} \cap L_{p}(\mathcal{A})$.
$\tau: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is called a blowing up of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ along $\mathcal{L}$ if $\tau$ is the composition of the sequence

$$
X=X_{N-1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{N-1}} X_{N-2} \xrightarrow{\tau_{N-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\tau_{2}} X_{1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{1}} X_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{N}
$$

where $X_{s} \xrightarrow{\tau_{s}} X_{s-1}$ is the blowing up along the proper transform of $\cup_{H \in \mathcal{L}_{N-s+1}} H$ under $\tau_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{s-1}$. Furthermore when the total transform $D$ of $\cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$ is a normal crossing divisor, we call $\mathcal{L}$ a singular set of $\mathcal{A}$. The intersection of all singular sets of $\mathcal{A}$ is called the minimal singular set of $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark. $\mathcal{L}=L(\mathcal{A})$ is a singular set of $\mathcal{A}$, obviously. Due to [6] and [18] $\mathcal{L}$ consist of all dense edges of $\mathcal{A}$ is also a singular set of $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\tau: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a blowing up of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ along a singular set $\mathcal{L}$ with a normal crossing divisor $D=\tau^{-1} \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$. Let $\pi_{m}: Y_{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be the abelian covering of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ ramified only along every $H \in \mathcal{A}$ with the ramification index $m$ and the Galois group $G$. This induce the covering $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ ramified only along $D$ with the Galois group $G$. Since it is abelian, we have the eigenspace decomposition

$$
\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G^{*}} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}
$$

In general $Y$ has rational singularities and then let $\sigma: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a desingularization of $X$ such that $\tilde{D}=(\pi \circ \sigma)^{-1} D$ is a normal crossing divisor too. Each $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$ is an invertible sheaf on $X$ endowed with a logarithmic connection

$$
\nabla_{\alpha}: \mathcal{V}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}
$$

along $D$ induced by the Kähler differential $d: \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^{1}(\log \tilde{D})$. Then we have

$$
R(\pi \circ \sigma)_{*} \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^{\bullet}(\log \tilde{D})=\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}
$$

Since the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence for hypercohomology on $\tilde{Y}$ degenerates at $E_{1}$, the $E_{1}$-spectral sequence

$$
H^{q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{p}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right)
$$

degenerates at $E_{1}$ (see [7] and [8]).
On the other hand, denote $U=X \backslash D=\mathbb{P}^{N} \backslash \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$ and let $j: U \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. For $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$ we have a local system $V_{\alpha}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\nabla_{\alpha}\right|_{U}\right)$ on $U$.

DEFINITION 2.2. If none of monodromies of $V_{\alpha}$ around components of $D$ has one as eigenvalue, $\alpha$ is called to be generic for $\mathcal{L}$ ( or non-resonant in [9] ).

In this case due to [7] we know that $R j_{*} V_{\alpha}, j_{!} V_{\alpha}$ and $\Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$ are quasi-isomorphic. Therefore there is an isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{H}^{i}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{\bullet}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right)=H^{i}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)
$$

Furthermore it is known that

$$
H^{i}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } i \neq N
$$

(see [7], [1], [14]). Then we get the Hodge decomposition

$$
H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)=\bigoplus_{p+q=N} H^{q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{p}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right)
$$

of the cohomology of the local system on the complement of hyperplanes. Denote those dimensions by $h^{p, q}(\alpha)$ and called the Hodge numbers. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{p}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right) & =\overline{H^{p}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{q}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right)} \\
& =H^{p}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{q}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{-\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because of $\overline{\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}}=\mathcal{V}_{\bar{\alpha}}=\mathcal{V}_{-\alpha}$.

Remark. The isomorphism

$$
H^{n}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C}) \supset H^{n}(Y, \mathbb{C})=H^{n}\left(X, \pi_{*} \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

is compatible with the action of $G$, hence induces isomorphisms

$$
H^{n}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha}=H^{n}\left(X, j_{!} V_{\alpha}\right)=H^{i}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)
$$

and also

$$
H^{p, q}(\alpha)=H^{q}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{p}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right)
$$

### 2.2. Generic characters

Review our situation

here $\tau$ is a blowing up along $\mathcal{L}, \pi_{m}$ is the abelian covering ramified along $\cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$ with the ramification index $m$ and the Galois group $G, \pi$ is the covering induced by $\pi_{m}$.

The Galois group

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & =\operatorname{Gal}(Y / X)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y_{m} / \mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Gal}\left(K / \mathbb{C}\left(z_{1} / z_{0}, \ldots, z_{N} / z_{0}\right)\right) \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{\oplus(n-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

is isomorphic to $\mu_{m}^{\oplus n} / \mu_{m}$ here $\mu_{m}$ is the group of $m$-th root of unity. Fix a primitive $m$-th root of unity $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{C}$. The character group $G^{*}$ of $G$ is identified with the subset

$$
B^{*}=\left\{\left(k_{H}\right)_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \mid k_{H} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq k_{H}<m, \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} k_{H} \equiv 0(\bmod m)\right\}
$$

of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{A}}$ in the following manner. An element $k=\left(k_{H}\right)$ of $G^{*} \simeq B^{*}$ is defined by

$$
k(\sigma)=\zeta^{\Sigma k_{H} s_{H}} \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text { for any } \sigma=\left(\left(\zeta^{s_{H}}\right) \bmod \mu_{m}\right)
$$

In addition we shall allow the identification $G^{*}=\frac{1}{m} B^{*}$, and then $\alpha \in G^{*}$ is

$$
\alpha=\left(\alpha_{H}\right), \quad \alpha_{H}=\frac{k_{H}}{m} \quad \text { for } \quad\left(k_{H}\right) \in B^{*}
$$

For $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{H}\right)$, we define some numerical values as follows. We write

$$
\nu(\alpha)=\sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_{H} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{X}=\sum_{H \supset X} \alpha_{H}
$$

for $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$. Note that $0 \leq \alpha_{H}<1$ and $\nu(\alpha)$ is a positive integer. Obviously, $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{H}\right)_{H \in \mathcal{A}}$ is generic for $\mathcal{L}$, if and only if, $\alpha_{H}$ is not zero for all $H$ and $\alpha_{X}$ is not integer for all $X$ in $\mathcal{L}$.

Denote the integer and decimal part of $\alpha_{X}$ by $\beta_{X}(\alpha)$ and $\varepsilon_{X}(\alpha)$ respectively, namely

$$
\beta_{X}(\alpha)=\left[\alpha_{X}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{X}=\beta_{X}(\alpha)+\varepsilon_{X}(\alpha)
$$

here $\beta_{X}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon_{X}(\alpha)<1$.
If $\left(\alpha_{H}\right)_{H} \in G^{*}=\frac{1}{m} B^{*}$ is generic, $-\alpha$ corresponds to an element ( $1-$ $\left.\alpha_{H}\right)_{H}$ of $\frac{1}{m} B^{*}$ and it is denoted by $\alpha^{*}$. Rational numbers $\nu(-\alpha), \alpha_{X}(-\alpha)$, $\beta_{X}(1-\alpha)$ and $\varepsilon_{X}(1-\alpha)$ are denoted by $\nu^{*}(\alpha), \alpha_{X}^{*}(\alpha), \beta_{X}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\varepsilon_{X}^{*}(\alpha)$, respectively. The following is clear.

Lemma 2.1. If $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{H}\right)_{H \in \mathcal{A}}$ is generic for a singular set $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ then

$$
\nu+\nu^{*}=n \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{X}+\beta_{X}^{*}=p_{X}-1
$$

for $X \in \mathcal{L}$. Here $n$ is the cardinality of $\mathcal{A}$ and $p_{X}$ is the number of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$ including $X$ for $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$.

## 3. Facts and Results

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an arrangement of $n$ hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ and $U$ be its complement. Then the cohomology group $H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)$ of $U$ for generic $\alpha$ has the Hodge structure; $H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)=\oplus_{p+q=N} H^{p, q}(\alpha)$. Denote by $\chi(\mathcal{A})$ the topological Euler characteristic of $U$ and by $h^{p, q}(\alpha)$ the dimension of $H^{p, q}(\alpha)$. If $\alpha$ is generic, the dimension of $H^{N}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)$ is $(-1)^{N} \chi(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore we note that

$$
(-1)^{N} \chi(\mathcal{A})=\sum_{p+q=N} h^{p, q}(\alpha)
$$

We shall arrange notations. Let $L=\cup_{p \geq 1} L_{p}$ be the intersection set of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{L}=\cup_{p \geq 1} \mathcal{L}_{p}$ be a singular set of $\mathcal{A}$. For $X \in L(\mathcal{A}), p_{X}$ is the number of
hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$ including $X$ and $n_{p}^{X}$ (resp. $m_{p}^{X}$ ) is the number of elements of $L_{p}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)$ included in $X$.

For generic $\alpha$ we shall use notations defined in the preceding section; $\nu$, $\nu^{*}, \beta_{X}, \beta_{X}^{*}$ and so on.

For integers $p$ and $q$, we define the binomial coefficient

$$
\binom{p}{q}= \begin{cases}\frac{p!}{q!(p-q)!}, & p \geq q \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Note that this vanishes when $p<q$ and when $q<0$ and that $\binom{p}{0}=\binom{p}{p}=1$ when $p \geq 0$. For positive integers $a, b$, and $N$, we can make sure that

$$
\binom{a+b}{N}=\sum_{p+q=N}\binom{a}{p}\binom{b}{q}
$$

### 3.1. In general position

An arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ is said to be in general position if $\operatorname{codim} X=p_{X}$ for all $X$ of $L(\mathcal{A})$. This means that the union of their hyperplanes is a normal crossing. In this case the topological Euler characteristic is well-known (cf. [19] and [1]).

Theorem 3.1.

$$
(-1)^{N} \chi(\mathcal{A})=\binom{n-2}{N}
$$

And we have the following fact for Hodge numbers.
Theorem 3.2 (Terasoma [20] Theorem 5.2.1).

$$
h^{p, q}(\alpha)=\binom{\nu^{*}-1}{p}\binom{\nu-1}{q}
$$

## 3.2. $N=2$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. We can check easily the combinatorial formula

$$
\binom{n}{2}=\sum_{x \in L_{2}}\binom{p_{x}}{2}
$$

The following results are obtained by T. Oda.

Theorem 3.3 (Oda [15] Theorem 1).

$$
\chi(\mathcal{A})=\binom{n-2}{2}-\sum_{x \in L_{2}}\binom{p_{x}-1}{2}
$$

Theorem 3.4 (Oda [15] Theorem 3, see also [12], [13]).

$$
h^{p, q}(\alpha)=\binom{\nu^{*}-1}{p}\binom{\nu-1}{q}-\sum_{x \in L_{2}}\binom{\beta_{x}^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta_{x}}{q}
$$

## 3.3. $N=3$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. We can easily check the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. We have the combinatorial Formula

$$
\binom{n}{3}=\sum_{x \in L_{3}}\binom{p_{x}}{3}-\sum_{l \in L_{2}}\left(n_{3}^{l}-1\right)\binom{p_{l}}{3}
$$

Here $n_{3}^{l}$ is the number of points in $L_{3}$ on $l$.
Main Theorems in this paper is following.
Theorem 3.6. The topological Euler characteristic is

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\chi(\mathcal{A})= & \binom{n-2}{3}-\sum_{x \in L_{3}}\binom{p_{x}-1}{3} \\
& +\sum_{l \in L_{2}}\left\{\left(n_{3}^{l}-1\right)\binom{p_{l}-1}{3}+\binom{p_{l}-1}{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.7. The Hodge number is

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{p, q}(\alpha)= & \binom{\nu^{*}-1}{p}\binom{\nu-1}{q}-\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}}\binom{\beta_{x}^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta_{x}}{q} \\
& +\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}}\left(m_{3}^{l}-1\right)\binom{\beta_{l}^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta_{l}}{q}-\mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

here we put

$$
g_{l}=g_{l}(\alpha)=\nu-\beta_{l}-\sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ x \subset l}}\left(\beta_{x}-\beta_{l}\right)
$$

for $l \in L_{2}$, and $\mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\alpha)$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\alpha)=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}}\left\{\left(g_{l}-1\right)\binom{\beta_{l}^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta_{l}}{q-1}+\left(g_{l}^{*}-1\right)\binom{\beta_{l}^{*}}{p-1}\binom{\beta_{l}}{q}\right\} .
$$

Problem 3.8. In higher dimensional case, express Euler characteristic of the complement of hyperplanes and Hodge numbers of cohomology of local systems by the binomial coefficient like theorems above.

## 4. Proofs of Main Theorems

### 4.1. Resolution and Chow ring

In this section we shall construct the blowing up of $\mathbb{P}^{3}(\mathbb{C})$ and compute the strucure of its Chow ring due to [11, pp. 621-624].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{3}, L(\mathcal{A})$ its intersection set and $\mathcal{L}$ a subset of $\mathcal{A}$. We construct the blowing up $\tau$ along $\mathcal{L}$ which is the composition

$$
X:=X_{2} \xrightarrow{\tau_{2}} X_{1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{1}} X_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

of $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ as follows.
$\tau_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is the blowing up at points in $\mathcal{L}_{3}$. We denote by $E_{x}$ the exceptional divisor over $x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}$, by $L_{x}$ a generic line in $E_{x} \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$ and by $H$ the pullback of a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^{3} . \tau_{2}: X \rightarrow X_{1}$ is the blowing up along the proper transforms $\hat{l}$ of $l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}$. We denote by $F_{l}$ the exceptional divisor over $\hat{l}$, and by $M_{l}$ a fiber of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\tau_{2}: F_{l} \rightarrow \hat{l}$. The proper transform of $L_{x}$ and $E_{x}$ in $X$ is also denoted by $L_{x}$ and $E_{x}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{2}\left(X_{1}\right) & =\mathbb{C}\left\{H, E_{x}\right\}_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}} \\
H^{4}\left(X_{1}\right) & =\mathbb{C}\left\{H^{2}, L_{x}\right\}_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}} \\
H^{2}(X) & =\mathbb{C}\left\{H, E_{x}, F_{l}\right\}_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}, l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} \\
H^{4}(X) & =\mathbb{C}\left\{H^{2}, L_{x}, M_{l}\right\}_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}, l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the intersection pairing of Chow ring is given by Table 1 and 2.

Table 1. $H^{2} \times H^{2} \rightarrow H^{4}$.

|  | $H$ | $E_{x}$ | $F_{l}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $H$ | $H^{2}$ | 0 | $M_{l}$ |
| $E_{y}$ |  | $-\delta_{x y} L_{x}$ | $\delta_{y l} M_{l}$ |
| $F_{m}$ |  |  | $\delta_{l m} F_{l}^{2}$ |

Table 2. $\quad H^{2} \times H^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

|  | $H$ | $E_{x}$ | $F_{l}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $H^{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $L_{y}$ | 0 | $-\delta_{x y}$ | 0 |
| $M_{m}$ | 0 | 0 | $-\delta_{l m}$ |
| $F_{l}^{2}$ | -1 | $-\delta_{x l}$ | $F_{l}^{3}$ |

In Tables 1 and 2 we use the notation for $A, B$ in $L(\mathcal{A})$,

$$
\delta_{A B}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1, & \text { if } A \subseteq B \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and have relations

$$
F_{l}^{2}=-H^{2}-2\left(m_{3}^{l}-1\right) M_{l}+\sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ x \subset l}} L_{x} \quad \text { and } \quad F_{l}^{3}=2\left(m_{3}^{l}-1\right)
$$

Now we introduce some notations and rules of computations for easy to see. Let $v_{X}$ be some value associated to $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$, for example $p_{X}$, $\beta_{X}=\beta_{X}(\alpha)$ and their polynomial. We put

$$
v \mathbb{E}^{k}:=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}} v_{x} E_{x}^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad v \mathbb{F}^{k}:=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} v_{l} F_{l}^{k}
$$

We have following remarks by the intersection pairing of Chow ring. Note that $k$-th powers of $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ are denote by $\mathbb{E}^{k}$ and $\mathbb{F}^{k}$ respectively. Furthermore we can check the following expressions

$$
v^{\prime} \mathbb{E} \cdot v \mathbb{F}^{2}=-\sum_{l}\left\{\left(\sum_{x \in l} v_{x}^{\prime}\right) v_{l}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad H \cdot v \mathbb{F}^{2}=-\sum_{l} v_{l}
$$

Lemma 4.1. We get following relations.

$$
\begin{gathered}
H \cdot \mathbb{E}=0, \quad H^{2} \cdot \mathbb{F}=0, \quad \mathbb{E}^{2} \cdot \mathbb{F}=0 \\
H^{3}=1, \quad \mathbb{F}^{3}=2(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark. We note that

$$
\mathbb{E}^{2}=-\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}} L_{x}, \quad H \cdot \mathbb{F}=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} M_{l}, \quad \mathbb{E}^{3}=\left|\mathcal{L}_{3}\right|, \quad H \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}=-\left|\mathcal{L}_{2}\right|
$$

### 4.2. The Chern classes of logarithmic 1-forms

Let $\tau: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a blowing up of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ along a singular set $\mathcal{L}$ with a normal crossing divisor $D=\tau^{-1} \cup_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} H_{i}$. In this section we compute the Chern classes of $\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$ which implies Theorem 3.6, using the above intersection pairing. First we recall the following.

Proposition 4.2 ([11]). The $i$-th Chern class $c_{i}$ of $\Omega_{X}^{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=-4 H+2 \mathbb{E}+\mathbb{F} \\
& c_{2}=6 H^{2}-\mathbb{F}^{2}-2 H \cdot \mathbb{F} \\
& c_{3}=-4 H^{3}-2 \mathbb{E}^{3}+2 H \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Chern polynomial of $\oplus_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{E_{x}}$ is

$$
C_{t}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{E_{x}}\right)=1+\mathbb{E} t+\mathbb{E}^{2} t^{2}+\mathbb{E}^{3} t^{3}
$$

and one of $\oplus_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{F_{l}}$ is

$$
C_{t}\left(\bigoplus_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{F_{l}}\right)=1+\mathbb{F} t+\mathbb{F}^{2} t^{2}+\mathbb{F}^{3} t^{3}
$$

Proof. We rewrite calculations in [11, pp. 621-624] with our notations. (cf. [10])

Proposition 4.3. Let $D_{i}$ be the proper transform of $H_{i}$ by $\tau$ for $H_{i} \in$ A. Denote by $h_{i}$ the $i$-th Chern classes of $\oplus_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}= n H-p \mathbb{E}-p \mathbb{F} \\
& h_{2}=\binom{n+1}{2} H^{2}+\binom{p+1}{2} \mathbb{E}^{2}+\binom{p+1}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2} \\
& \quad-\{(n H-p \mathbb{E})+(H-\mathbb{E})\} \cdot p \mathbb{F} \\
& h_{3}=\binom{n+2}{3}-\binom{p+2}{3} \mathbb{E}^{3}-\binom{p+2}{3} \mathbb{F}^{3} \\
&+\{(n H-p \mathbb{E})+2(H-\mathbb{E})\} \cdot\binom{p+1}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We recall a rule of notations, for example,

$$
\binom{p+1}{2} \mathbb{E}^{2}=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}}\binom{p+1}{2} E_{x}^{2}
$$

Proof. We denote

$$
\mathbb{D}:=\sum_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} D_{i}, \quad \mathbb{D}^{(k)}:=\sum_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} D_{i}^{k}
$$

Then we have its Chern polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{t}( \bigoplus_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} \\
&\left.\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}\right)=\prod_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}}\left(1+D_{i} t+D_{i}^{2} t^{2}+D_{i}^{3} t^{3}\right) \\
&=1+\left(\sum_{i} D_{i}\right) t+\left(\sum_{i \leq j} D_{i} \cdot D_{j}\right) t^{2}+\left(\sum_{i \leq j \leq k} D_{i} \cdot D_{j} \cdot D_{k}\right) t^{3} \\
&=1+\mathbb{D} t+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathbb{D}^{2}+\mathbb{D}^{(2)}\right\} t^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\left\{\mathbb{D}^{3}+3 \mathbb{D} \cdot \mathbb{D}^{(2)}+2 \mathbb{D}^{(3)}\right\} t^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall compute its coefficients which are the Chern classes $h_{i}$. we have

$$
D_{i}=H-\sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ x \subset H_{i}}} E_{x}-\sum_{\substack{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2} \\ l \subset H_{i}}} F_{l} .
$$

Since the cardinality of $\mathcal{A}$ is $n$ and $p_{X}$ is the number of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ including $X$ for $X \in L$, we get

$$
\sum_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} H^{k}=n H^{k}
$$

$$
\sum_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{x \subset H_{i}} E_{x}^{k}=\sum_{x} p_{x} E_{x}^{k}=p \mathbb{E}^{k}, \quad \sum_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}^{k}=\sum_{l} p_{l} F_{l}^{k}=p \mathbb{F}^{k}
$$

Then the first Chern class $h_{1}=\mathbb{D}$ is

$$
\mathbb{D}=n H-p \mathbb{E}-p \mathbb{F}
$$

and by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{D}^{2}=n^{2} H^{2}+p^{2} \mathbb{E}^{2}+p^{2} \mathbb{F}^{2}-2(n H-p \mathbb{E}) \cdot p \mathbb{F} \\
& \mathbb{D}^{3}=n^{3}-p^{3} \mathbb{E}^{3}-p^{3} \mathbb{F}^{3}+3(n H-p \mathbb{E}) \cdot p^{2} \mathbb{F}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly we shall compute $\mathbb{D}^{(k)}(k=2,3)$. By Tables 1 and 2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{i}^{2}= & \left\{H-\sum_{x \subset H_{i}} E_{x}-\sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}\right\}^{2} \\
= & H^{2}+\sum_{x \subset H_{i}} E_{x}^{2}+\sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}^{2}-2 H \cdot\left(\sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}\right) \\
& +2\left(\sum_{x \subset H_{i}} E_{x}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}\right), \\
D_{i}^{3}= & \left\{H-\sum_{x \subset H_{i}} E_{x}-\sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}\right\}^{3} \\
= & H^{3}-\sum_{x \subset H_{i}} E_{x}^{3}-\sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}^{3}+3 H \cdot\left(\sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}^{2}\right) \\
& -3\left(\sum_{x \subset H_{i}} E_{x}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute a sum of their last terms for all $H_{i}$ as follows. Since $E_{x} \cdot F_{l}=0$ for $x \not \subset l$ we can see

$$
\mathbb{E} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{k}=\left(\sum_{x} E_{x}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{l} F_{l}^{k}\right)=\sum_{l} \sum_{x \subset l} E_{x} \cdot F_{l}^{k}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}}\left(\sum_{x \subset H_{i}} E_{x}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{l \subset H_{i}} F_{l}^{k}\right) & =\sum_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{l \subset H_{i}} \sum_{x \subset l} E_{x} \cdot F_{l}^{k} \\
& =\sum_{l} p_{l} \sum_{x \subset l} E_{x} \cdot F_{l}^{k}=\mathbb{E} \cdot p \mathbb{F}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{D}^{(2)}=n H^{2}+p \mathbb{E}^{2}+p \mathbb{F}^{2}-2(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot p \mathbb{F} \\
& \mathbb{D}^{(3)}=n-p \mathbb{E}^{3}-p \mathbb{F}^{3}+3(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot p \mathbb{F}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 4.1 we can compute the Chern classes $h_{i}$.
Therefore we can obtain the Chern classes of logarithmic 1-forms.

## Theorem 4.4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)=(n-4) H-(p-3) \mathbb{E}-(p-2) \mathbb{F} \\
& c_{2}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)=\binom{n-3}{2} H^{2}+\binom{p-2}{2} \mathbb{E}^{2}+\binom{p-1}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2} \\
&-(n-3) H \cdot(p-2) \mathbb{F}+(p-2) \mathbb{E} \cdot(p-2) \mathbb{F} \\
& c_{3}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)=\binom{n-2}{3}-\binom{p-1}{3} \mathbb{E}^{3}-\binom{p}{3} \mathbb{F}^{3} \\
& \quad+(n-2) H \cdot\binom{p-1}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2}-(p-1) \mathbb{E} \cdot\binom{p-1}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
C_{t}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)=C_{t}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}\right) \cdot C_{t}\left(\bigoplus_{H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}\right) \cdot C_{t}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{E_{x}}\right) \cdot C_{t}\left(\bigoplus_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{F_{l}}\right)
$$

Therefore the above propositions give direct calculations of Chern classes of $\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$, with relations Lemma 4.1.

Now we notice that the equality $(n H-p \mathbb{E}) \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}=(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot p \mathbb{F}^{2}$ holds when $\mathcal{L}=L$.

Definition 4.1. We define $\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}$ by an equation

$$
(n H-p \mathbb{E}) \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}=(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot p \mathbb{F}^{2}+\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}
$$

Lemma 4.5.

$$
\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}=-\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} \sum_{\substack{x \notin \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ x \subset l}}\left(p_{x}-p_{l}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $n-p_{l}=\sum_{x \in L_{3}, x \subset l}\left(p_{x}-p_{l}\right)$ for a line $l \in L_{2}$, we get

$$
n-\sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ x \subset l}} p_{x}=\left(1-\sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ x \subset l}} 1\right) p_{l}+\sum_{\substack{x \notin \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ x \subset l}}\left(p_{x}-p_{l}\right)
$$

Then taking a sum of these for all $l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}$, we get the above expression.
For some value $v_{X}$ associated to $X \in L$, we write

$$
\mathbb{R} \cdot v \mathbb{F}^{2}=\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} v_{l} \sum_{\substack{x \notin \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ x \subset l}}\left(p_{x}-p_{l}\right)
$$

In particular if $\mathcal{L}=L$, we get $\mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}=0$ namely

$$
(n H-p \mathbb{E}) \cdot v \mathbb{F}^{2}=(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot v p \mathbb{F}^{2}
$$

Since $-2\binom{p}{3}+p\binom{p-1}{2}-\binom{p-1}{2}=\binom{p-1}{3}$, the following is obtained.
Corollary 4.6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{3}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)= & \binom{n-2}{3}-\binom{p-1}{3} \mathbb{E}^{3}+(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot\binom{p-1}{3} \mathbb{F}^{2} \\
& -(H-\mathbb{R}) \cdot\binom{p-1}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the way, Gauss-Bonnet formula says that

$$
c_{3}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)=-\chi(\mathcal{A})
$$

Therefore, when $\mathcal{L}=L$, the above corollary implies Theorem 3.6. Note that Theorem 3.6 can be checked by topological direct argument with combinatorics.

### 4.3. Hodge number

The invertible sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{\alpha} & =\pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-\nu(\alpha)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}} \beta_{x}(\alpha) E_{x}+\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} \beta_{l}(\alpha) F_{l}\right) \\
& =-\nu H+\beta \mathbb{E}+\beta \mathbb{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

We trace the analogue of Defintion 4.1 in order to get a relation similar to Lemma 4.5.

Definition 4.2. We define $\mathbb{R}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}$ by the equation

$$
(\nu H-\beta \mathbb{E}) \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}=(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot \beta \mathbb{F}^{2}+\mathbb{R}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}
$$

In the same way of Lemma 4.5 we get
Lemma 4.7.

$$
\mathbb{R}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}=-\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}} \sum_{\substack{x \notin \mathcal{L}_{3} \\ x \subset l}}\left(\alpha_{x}-\alpha_{l}\right)+(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot \varepsilon \mathbb{F}^{2}+\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}
$$

Note that $(\nu H-\beta \mathbb{E}) \cdot v \mathbb{F}^{2}=(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot \beta v \mathbb{F}^{2}+\mathbb{R}_{\alpha} \cdot v \mathbb{F}^{2}$ for a value $v_{l}$ associated to $l$. If $\mathcal{L}=L$ then

$$
\mathbb{R}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}=(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot \varepsilon \mathbb{F}^{2}+\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}
$$

And we can see easily

$$
\left(H-\mathbb{R}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}+\left(H-\mathbb{R}_{\alpha^{*}}\right) \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}=(H-\mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathbb{F}^{2}
$$

## Proposition 4.8.

$$
\chi\left(X, \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right)=-\binom{\nu-1}{3}+\binom{\beta}{3} \mathbb{E}^{3}-(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot\binom{\beta}{3} \mathbb{F}^{2}+\left(H-\mathbb{R}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot\binom{\beta}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2}
$$

Proof. For a line bundle L , it is well known that $\chi(X, L)=\frac{1}{6} L^{3}+$ $\frac{1}{4} c_{1} L^{2}+\frac{1}{12}\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right) L+\frac{1}{24} c_{1} c_{2}$, where $c_{i}=c_{i}(X)$. Then the straight calculation leads the expression above.

## Proposition 4.9.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right)= & \left(\nu^{*}-1\right)\binom{\nu-1}{2}-\beta^{*}\binom{\beta}{2} \mathbb{E}^{3} \\
& +(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot \beta^{*}\binom{\beta}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2} \\
& -\left(H-\mathbb{R}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot \beta \beta^{*} \mathbb{F}^{2}+\left(\mathbb{R}-\mathbb{R}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot\binom{\beta}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Denote $i$-th Chern class of $\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$ by $d_{i}$. Note that the rank of $\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)$ is 3 . We can check the following by using that Euler characteristic can be written in terms of Chern classes (see [10].);

$$
\chi\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right)=\chi\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)+3 \chi\left(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right)-3 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)+\xi
$$

here $\xi=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{1}^{2}-2 d_{2}+d_{1} c_{1}+d_{1} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right) \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}$. By the straight calculation we can get that

$$
\chi\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)=(n-1) H^{3}, \quad \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\frac{1}{24} c_{1} c_{2}=H^{3}
$$

and this proposition.
We unify last terms in the above propositions.

Definition 4.3. We define $\mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\alpha)$ by

$$
\mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\alpha)=\left(H-\mathbb{R}_{\alpha}\right) \cdot\binom{\beta^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta}{q-1} \mathbb{F}^{2}+\left(H-\mathbb{R}_{\alpha^{*}}\right) \cdot\binom{\beta^{*}}{p-1}\binom{\beta}{q} \mathbb{F}^{2}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\alpha)=\mathcal{E}^{q, p}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$ and

$$
\sum_{p+q=3} \mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\alpha)=(H-\mathbb{R}) \cdot\binom{p-1}{2} \mathbb{F}^{2}
$$

Therefore we get the Hodge numbers as follows.

## Theorem 4.10.

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{p, q}(\alpha)= & \binom{\nu^{*}-1}{p}\binom{\nu-1}{q}-\binom{\beta^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta}{q} \mathbb{E}^{3} \\
& +(H-\mathbb{E}) \cdot\binom{\beta^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta}{q} \mathbb{F}^{2}-\mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We know

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(X, \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right) & =\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} h^{0, i}(\alpha) \\
\chi\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right) & =\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} h^{1, i}(\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $H^{i}\left(U, V_{\alpha}\right)$ vanishes for $\alpha$ is generic and $i$ is not $N=3$. So we have $h^{p, q}(\alpha)=0$ when $p+q \neq 3$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{0,3}(\alpha)=-\chi\left(X, \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right) \\
& h^{1,2}(\alpha)=\chi\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}\right) \\
& h^{3,0}(\alpha)=\overline{h^{0,3}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)}=-\chi\left(X, \mathcal{V}_{\alpha^{*}}\right) \\
& h^{2,1}(\alpha)=\overline{h^{1,2}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)}=\chi\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore two propositions above induce this theorem.
Remark. We compare Theorem 4.10 with Collary 4.6. For a singular set $\mathcal{L}$, denote the description of $c_{3}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)$ in Collary 4.6 by $-\chi_{\mathcal{L}}$ and
one of $h^{p, q}(\alpha)$ in Theorem 4.10 by $h^{p, q}(\alpha)_{\mathcal{L}}$. Note that they have four terms respectively. Then we have

$$
-\chi_{\mathcal{L}}=\sum_{p+q=3} h^{p, q}(\alpha)_{\mathcal{L}}
$$

Furthermore the sum of $i$-th terms of $h^{p, q}(\alpha)_{\mathcal{L}}$ is $i$-th terms of $-\chi_{\mathcal{L}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

## 5. Minimal Singular Sets and Examples

Recall the blowing up for an arrangement. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{3}, \mathcal{L}$ be a singular set of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\tau: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a blowing up along $\mathcal{L}$ such that the total transform $D$ of $\cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$ is a normal clossing divisor.

First minimal $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ consists of $k$-lines, $k>2$, where $k$-line $l$ is an element of $L_{2}$ such that the number of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$ including $l$ is $k$, we call $a$ singular line.

Secondly we shall find minimal $\mathcal{L}_{3}$. Denote the description of $c_{3}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)$ in Collary 4.6 by $-\chi_{\mathcal{L}}$. We take any $x_{0} \in \mathcal{L}$ and put $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=\mathcal{L}-\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. The difference $d\left(x_{0}\right)=-\chi_{\mathcal{L}}+\chi_{\mathcal{L}^{\prime}}$ of them for $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ can be write explicitly

$$
d\left(x_{0}\right)=\binom{p_{x_{0}}-1}{3}-\sum_{\substack{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2} \\ l \supset x_{0}}}\binom{p_{l}-1}{3}-\sum_{\substack{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2} \\ l \supset x_{0}}}\left(p_{x_{0}}-p_{l}\right)\binom{p_{l}-1}{2}
$$

On the other hand it is well-known fact that Gauss-Bonnet formula

$$
c_{3}\left(\Omega_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)=-\chi(U, \mathbb{C})
$$

Since $U=X \backslash D=\mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$ we can see that if $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ are singular sets of $\mathcal{A}$ then $d\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.

By the explicit form above, if $x_{0}$ with $p_{x_{0}}=3$ or if $x_{0}$ is included in only one singular line then $d\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. If $x_{0}$ is included in two or more singular lines then $d\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, we call a singular point. Consequently the minimal singular set of $\mathcal{A}$ consists of all singular lines and all points included in two or more singular lines.

### 5.1. 2-generic arrangements

An arrangement of hyperplanes is called to be the $p$-generic, if $p_{X}=k$ for all $X \in L_{k}(\mathcal{A}), k \leq p$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be 2-generic arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has no singular lines. We get the combinatorial Formula

$$
\binom{n}{3}=\sum_{x \in L_{3}}\binom{p_{x}}{3}
$$

The topological Euler characteristic is

$$
-\chi(\mathcal{A})=\binom{n-2}{3}-\sum_{x \in L_{3}}\binom{p_{x}-1}{3}
$$

and the Hodge numbers are

$$
h^{p, q}(\alpha)=\binom{\nu^{*}-1}{p}\binom{\nu-1}{q}-\sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_{3}}\binom{\beta_{x}^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta_{x}}{q}
$$

### 5.2. An arrangement without singular points

We assume that an arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ has no singular points. Namely the singular set of $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of singular lines. We have the combinatorial Formula

$$
\binom{n}{3}=\sum_{l \in L_{2}}\left\{\binom{p_{l}}{3}+\left(n-p_{l}\right)\binom{p_{l}}{2}\right\}
$$

The topological Euler characteristic is

$$
-\chi(\mathcal{A})=\binom{n-2}{3}-\sum_{l \in L_{2}}\left\{\binom{p_{l}-1}{3}-\left(n-p_{l}-1\right)\binom{p_{l}-1}{2}\right\}
$$

and the Hodge numbers are

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{p, q}(\alpha)= & \binom{\nu^{*}-1}{p}\binom{\nu-1}{q}-\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}}\binom{\beta_{l}^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta_{l}}{q} \\
& -\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{2}}\left\{\left(\nu-\beta_{l}-1\right)\binom{\beta_{l}^{*}}{p}\binom{\beta_{l}}{q-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\nu^{*}-\beta_{l}^{*}-1\right)\binom{\beta_{l}^{*}}{p-1}\binom{\beta_{l}}{q}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.3. Generalized Ceva's arrangement

We take five points of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ in general position and the arrangement $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ of ten hyperplanes determined by any three points is natural generalization of Ceva's configuration in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (cf. [16]). We can choose the homogeneous coordinate $\left[z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right]$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ is defined by the equation

$$
z_{1} z_{2} z_{3} z_{4} \prod_{i<j}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right)=0
$$

This arrangement has 15 points and 25 lines. We have combinatorial data;

- the number of 2 -lines is 15 ,
- the number of 3-lines is 10 (that are singular lines),
- the number of 4 -points is 10 ,
- the number of 6-points is 5 (that are singular points).

Here $i$-point $x$ is in $L_{3}$ with $p_{x}=i$ and $j$-line $l$ is in $L_{2}$ with $p_{l}=j$. We can take the singular set $\mathcal{L}$ consisting of 6 -points and 3 -lines. Therefore we obtain $-\chi(\mathcal{A})=6$.

Now we take $\alpha=(a, a, \ldots, a)$ such that $a$ is a rational number, $0<a<$ 1 , and $10 a$ is integer. If $6 a$ is not integer, then $\alpha$ is generic. We can compute

$$
\left(h^{3,0}(\alpha), h^{2,1}(\alpha), h^{1,2}(\alpha), h^{0,3}(\alpha)\right)
$$

is called the Hodge type of generic $\alpha$. Just there are only the following cases;

| $a ;$ | Hodge type | $a ;$ | Hodge type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 10 ;$ | $(6,0,0,0)$ | $9 / 10 ;$ | $(0,0,0,6)$ |
| $2 / 10 ;$ | $(5,1,0,0)$ | $8 / 10 ;$ | $(0,0,1,5)$ |
| $3 / 10 ;$ | $(0,0,6,0)$ | $7 / 10 ;$ | $(0,6,0,0)$ |
| $4 / 10 ;$ | $(5,0,0,1)$ | $6 / 10 ;$ | $(1,0,0,5)$. |
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