# A Limit Formula for a Class of Gibbs Measures with Long Range Pair Interactions

By Taizo Chiyonobu

**Abstract.** Let  $X_i$ , i = 1, 2, ... be real-valued *i.i.d.* variables with a compactly supported density. Under certain assumptions on V, we give an asymptotic evaluation of  $E[\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}V(X_i, X_j))]$  up to the factor (1 + o(1)). As an application of this result, we prove a limit formula for a class of Gibbs measures with long range pair interactions.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $P_n$  be the probability measure on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  given by

(1.1) 
$$P_n(d\underline{t}) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^n \log|t_i - t_j|^{-1} + n\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2\right\}\right) d\underline{t}$$

Here  $d\underline{t} = dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_n$  and  $Z_n$  is the normalizing constant. In [J], K. Johansson showed the following asymptotic formula:

(1.2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(t_i)\right) P_n(d\underline{\mathbf{t}}) = \int g(t) \mu_0(dt)$$

for every good test function g. Here  $\mu_0$  is the semi-circle distribution, which minimizes the functional

$$J[\mu] = \int \int V(s,t)\mu(ds)\mu(dt)$$

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60F99; Secondary 60G15, 82B05.

This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) The Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture.

of all the probability measures on  $\mathbf{R}$  where

$$V(s,t) = \log|s-t|^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(s^2 + t^2).$$

Based on this result, in [J], not only the logarithmic asymptotics but the limiting value of

(1.3) 
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g(t_i)\right) P_n(d\underline{\mathbf{t}}),$$

up to the factor (1 + o(1)) is also derived. Altohough the method employed in the article is based on the theory of the orthogonal functions and is quite analytic, the results seems to have the strong connection with the large deviation principle for  $P_n$  established by G. Ben Arous and A. Guionnet [BG].

In this context, the objective of the present article is to evaluate the integral (1.3) up to the factor (1 + o(1)) for

$$P_n(d\underline{t}) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^n V(t_i, t_j)\right) dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_n,$$

in the case V has a good regularity, applying the ideas and techniques in the probability theory. Since first initiated by [KT] and [B], many results have been obtained on the precise estimate of Laplace-type integrals based on the principle of large deviation and have been applied to study some limiting behavior of the Gibbs measures with the mean field interactions. However, since the interaction in  $P_n$  is not of ordinary large deviation order, the method using the large deviation principle is not applicable here.

Now let us state the precise setting. Let  $I = [0, 1] \subset \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1(I)$  be the space of the probability measures on I. Let V be a real-valued functional on  $I \times I$ , for which we assume the following conditions:

 $(V.1) V(s,t) = V(t,s) for all (s,t) \in I \times I.$ 

(V.2) There is an  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and a C > 0 such that

$$|V(s,t) - V(s',t)| \le C|s - s'|^{\alpha} \quad \text{for all} \quad (s,s',t) \in I \times I \times I.$$

(V.3) There is only one  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1(I)$  which minimizes the real-valued functional

$$J[\mu] = \int_{I} \int_{I} V(s,t) \mu(ds) \mu(dt)$$

on  $\mathcal{M}_1(I)$ , i.e., there is only one  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1(I)$  such that

$$J[\mu_0] = \inf\{J[\mu], \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(I)\}.$$

(V.4)  $\mu_0(dt)$  is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to dt and the Radon-Nikodym derivative satisfies that

$$\frac{\mu_0(dt)}{dt} \ge c_{\mu_0} \text{ on } I \text{ for some } c_{\mu_0} > 0 \text{ and } \int_I \log \frac{\mu_0(dt)}{dt} \mu_0(dt) < \infty.$$

For this  $\mu_0$  let  $L_0^2(\mu_0) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mu_0); \int_I f(t)\mu_0(dt) = 0 \right\}$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L_0^2(\mu_0)}$ , and let  $V_0(s,t) = V(s,t) - J[\mu_0]$  on  $I \times I$ . Then, in view of (V.1), (V.2) and (V.3), we are able to define a non-negative, symmetric and compact operator  $V_0$  on  $L_0^2(\mu_0)$  given by

(1.4) 
$$\langle u, V_0 v \rangle_{L^2(\mu_0)} = \int_I \int_I V_0(s, t) u(s) v(t) \mu_0(ds) \mu_0(dt)$$

for all  $u, v \in L^2_0(\mu_0)$ . Let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1,2...}$  and  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1,2...}$  be its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions.

We further assume the following:

(V.5)  $V_0$  is strictly positive, i.e.,  $\lambda_k > 0$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . (V.6)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^p < \infty \quad \text{for some} \quad p < \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}$$

with the exponent  $\alpha$  appeard in (V.2) and (V.7)

$$\sup_{k\geq 1}\lambda_k^{\frac{1-p}{2}}\sup_{t\in I}|\phi_k(t)|<\infty.$$

(V.8)

$$\xi_0(\cdot) = \log \frac{\mu_0(dt)}{dt}(\cdot) - \int_I \log \frac{\mu_0(dt)}{dt} \mu_0(dt) \in H_1.$$

Taizo Chiyonobu

Here,  $H_1$  is defined to be the domain of the operator  $V_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  on  $L_0^2(\mu_0)$ , equipped with the norm

$$||g||_{H_1} = ||V_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}g||_{L^2_0(\mu_0)}.$$

For each  $n \in N$ , define

(1.5) 
$$Z_n = \int_I \cdots \int_I \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^n V(t_i, t_j)\right) dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_n$$

and define a probability measure on  $I^{\otimes n}$  by

(1.6) 
$$P_n(d\underline{\mathbf{t}}) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^n V(t_i, t_j)\right) dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_n.$$

Here,  $d\underline{t}$  stands for  $dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_n$ .

Our main theorems are the following:

THEOREM 1. Under the assmption (V.1)-(V.7), we have

$$Z_n = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}n^2 J[\mu_0] - n \int_I \log \frac{\mu_0(dt)}{dt} \mu_0(dt) + \frac{1}{2} \|V_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi_0\|_{L^2_0(\mu_0)}^2\right) \\ \cdot \{\det(I + nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + o(1))$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . Here, det $(I + nV_0)$  is the determinant of the operator  $I + nV_0$ on  $L_0^2(\mu_0)$ .

THEOREM 2. Under the assmption (V.1)-(V.7), for any  $f \in H_1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{I^{\otimes n}} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} f(t_j)\right) P_n(dt) \\ &= \exp\left(n \int_{I} f(t) \mu_0(dt) + \langle V_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi_0, V_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle_{L^2_0(\mu_0)} - \frac{1}{2} \|V_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^2_0(\mu_0)}^2 \right) \\ &\cdot (1 + o(1)) \end{split}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

REMARK. (i) By (V.6),  $V_0$  is of trace class, and so det $(I + nV_0)$  exists. Moreover, under the assumption (V.6),  $\{\det(I + nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  converges to 0 slower than  $\exp(-c \cdot n^p)$  for any positive constant c.

(ii) The method we adopt to prove the theorems is not applicable in the case I consists of isolated points, say  $I = \{-1, 1\}$ . The sample path regularity of the Gaussian process  $\Pi$ , which has mean 0 and  $V_0$  as its covariance, plays the crucial role in the proof.

The organization of this article is as follows. In the next section we intruduce the Gaussian process and give some useful formulas for later use. In section 3, we study the asymptotic behavior of  $\log \det(I + nV_0)$ . With these preliminaries, in section 4 and 5, we show Theorem(5.6). After this theorem is established, we show Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 rather straightforwardly in section 6 and 7. We sketch an example of V in section 8.

### 2. Preliminary Results I

Let  $H_{-1}$  be the completion of  $L_0^2(\mu_0)$  with respect to the norm

$$||f||_{H_{-1}} = ||V_0^{\frac{1}{2}}f||_{L_0^2(\mu_0)}$$

then, by (V.5),  $H_1$  is a dense subspace of  $L_0^2(\mu_0)$  and so is  $L_0^2(\mu_0)$  in  $H_{-1}$ . Note that  $V_0^{-1}: H_1 \to H_{-1}$  is an isometric isomorphism. The mapping  $i: H_{-1} \to H_{1,0}^* = \{\nu \in H_1^*, \langle \nu, 1 \rangle = 0\}$  defined by

$$\langle \, i(\nu), f \, \rangle = \langle \, V_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu, V_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \, \rangle_{L^2_0(\mu_0)}$$

is also an isometric isomorphism, and thus we identify  $\nu \in H_{-1}$  with  $i(\nu) \in H_{1,0}^*$ .

For any  $w: t \in I \to w_t \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$  be the Hölder norm of w, i.e.,

(2.1) 
$$||w||_{\beta} = \sup_{s,t \in I} \frac{|w_s - w_t|}{|t - s|^{\beta}}$$

and let

$$W^{\beta} = \{ w \in C(I); \|w\|_{\beta} < \infty \}.$$

Then, in view of (V.1), (V.2) and (V.5), there is a unique Gaussian measure  $\Pi$  on  $W^{\beta}$ , for any  $\beta < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , for which

$$E^{\Pi}[w_t] = 0, \qquad t \in I$$

and

$$E^{\Pi}[w_s \cdot w_t] = V_0(s,t) \qquad s, \ t \in I$$

hold. This is showed by applying the result of Ciesielski([C]) of the path regularity the Gaussian processes. Moreover, since

$$E^{\Pi}\left[\left(\int_{I} w_{s}\mu_{0}(ds)\right)^{2}\right] = \int_{I} \int_{I} V_{0}(s,t)\mu_{0}(ds)\mu_{0}(dt)$$
$$= 0,$$

we see that

(2.2) 
$$\Pi\left(w\in W^{\beta,0}\right)=1.$$

where

$$W^{\beta,0} = \left\{ w \in W^{\beta}; \ \int_{I} w_s \mu_0(ds) = 0 \right\}.$$

Accordingly, the following Landau-Shepp-Fernique-type estimate holds. We refer to [Kuo] for its proof.

PROPOSITION (2.3). For any  $\beta < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , there is a constant  $c = c_{\beta} > 0$ such that

$$E^{\Pi}\left[e^{c\|w\|_{\beta}^{2}}\right] < \infty.$$

As an application of this proposition, we have the following estimate, which will be useful in the coming sections.

COROLLARY (2.4). For any  $\beta < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , there is a constant  $c = c_{\beta} > 0$  and  $C = C_{\beta} > 0$  such that for all r > 0,

$$\Pi(w \in W^{\beta,0}; \ \|w\|_{\beta} \ge r \ ) \le C \cdot e^{-c \cdot r^2}.$$

Now observe that, for all  $f \in L^2_0(\mu_0)$ ,

$$E^{\Pi}\left[\left|\langle w,f\rangle_{L_0^2(\mu_0)}\right|^2\right] = \langle f, V_0f\rangle_{L_0^2(\mu_0)}.$$

Thus, we can define  $\langle w, \nu \rangle \in L^2(W^{\beta,0})$  for all  $\nu \in H_{-1}$  and we have

(2.5) 
$$E^{\Pi}\left[\exp\left(-\sqrt{-1}\langle w,\nu\rangle\right)\right] = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle \nu,V_0\nu\rangle\right).$$

For each  $\underline{\mathbf{t}} = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in I^{\otimes \infty}$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we denote by  $\rho_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}})$  the probability measure on I given by

$$\rho_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}})(dy) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{t_j}(dy)$$

and by  $\eta_n(\underline{t})$  the signed measure on I given by

$$\eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}})(dy) = n(\rho_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) - \mu_0).$$

Notice that, since

$$\sup_{t \in I} \|\delta_t\|_{H_{-1}}^2 = \sup_{t \in I} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \, \phi_k(t)^2 < \infty,$$

by virtue of (V.6) and (V.7), we see that  $\rho_n \in H_{-1}$  and  $\eta_n \in H_{-1}$ . As a result of (2.5), we have the following.

LEMMA (2.6). For all  $\nu \in H_{-1}$ ,

(2.7) 
$$\int_{I^{\otimes n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \langle \eta_n(t) - \nu, V_0(\eta_n(t) - \nu) \rangle\right) \mu_0^{\otimes n}(dt)$$
$$= \int_{W^{\beta,0}} \Pi(dw) \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \nu \rangle\right) F(w)^n$$

where

(2.8) 
$$F(w) = \int_{I} \exp\left(-\sqrt{-1}w_t\right) \mu_0(dt).$$

PROOF. By (2.5) and Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{split} \int_{I^{\otimes n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) - \nu, V_0(\eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) - \nu) \right\rangle \right) \mu_0^{\otimes n}(dt) \\ &= \int_{I^{\otimes n}} \mu_0^{\otimes n}(dt) \int_{W^{\beta,0}} \Pi(dw) \exp\left(-\sqrt{-1} \left\langle w, \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) - \nu \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \int_{W^{\beta,0}} \Pi(dw) \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \left\langle w, \nu \right\rangle \right) \int_{I^{\otimes n}} \mu_0^{\otimes n}(dt) \exp\left(-\sqrt{-1} \left\langle w, \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \int_{W^{\beta,0}} \Pi(dw) \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \left\langle w, \nu \right\rangle \right) F(w)^n. \end{split}$$

where

$$F(w) = \int_{I} \exp\left(-\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \delta_t - \mu_0 \rangle\right) \mu_0(dt) = \int_{I} \exp\left(-\sqrt{-1}w_t\right) \mu_0(dt).$$

The last equality comes from (2.2).  $\Box$ 

## 3. Preliminary Results II

In this section we investigate the behavior of  $\det(I + nV_0)$  under our assumptions. In the remainder of this article, we write  $a_n \prec b_n$  if the two positive sequences  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  satisfy that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n/b_n = 0$ .

For any  $p \in (0, 1)$ , we define

$$\mathcal{L}^{p} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{positive, symmetric and compact} \\ \text{operator with the eigenvalues} \\ V: L_{0}^{2}(\mu_{0}) \rightarrow L_{0}^{2}(\mu_{0}): \ \lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots > 0 \quad \text{satisfying} \\ \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}^{p} < \infty. \end{array} \right\}.$$

For any  $V \in \mathcal{L}^p$  with the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots > 0$ , let  $N(\lambda) = {}^{\sharp}\{k; \lambda_k \geq \lambda\}$ , where  ${}^{\sharp}\{A\}$  stands for the number of the elements of the set A.

LEMMA (3.1). If  $V \in \mathcal{L}^p$ , then  $N(\lambda) \prec \lambda^{-p}$  as  $\lambda \to 0$ .

Proof. Let

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{t^{1/p}}$$

on  $(0,\infty)$  and  $g:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$  be given by

$$g(t) = \ell \lambda_{k-1} + (1-\ell) \lambda_k$$

if  $t = \ell(k-1) + \ell k$  for some  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $0 \le \ell \le 1$ . Then, by the assumption  $\lambda_k \prec \frac{1}{k^{1/p}}$  as  $k \to \infty$ , and so  $\varepsilon(t) = \frac{g(t)}{f(t)} \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Let  $f^{-1}(\lambda) = \lambda^{-p}$  and  $g^{-1}(\lambda) = \max\{s : g(s) = \lambda\}$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$g^{-1}(\lambda) = \varepsilon(g^{-1}(\lambda))^p \cdot f^{-1}(\lambda)$$

and so

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{g^{-1}(\lambda)}{f^{-1}(\lambda)} = 0,$$

which completes the proof noting  $N(\lambda)$  and  $g^{-1}(\lambda)$  have the same order as  $\lambda \to 0$ .  $\Box$ 

LEMMA (3.2). For every  $V \in \mathcal{L}^p$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\log \det(I + nV) = \int_0^{\lambda_1} \frac{n}{1 + n \lambda} N(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

PROOF. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $k_{\varepsilon}$  denote the smallest integer k such that  $\lambda_k \leq \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\log \det(I + nV) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log(1 + n\lambda_k) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\varepsilon}} \log(1 + n\lambda_k)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_{\varepsilon}} \log(1+n\,\lambda_k) = -\int_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda_1} \log(1+n\,\lambda) N(d\,\lambda)$$
$$= \log(1+n\,\varepsilon) N(\varepsilon) + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda_1} \frac{n}{1+n\,\lambda} N(\lambda) d\,\lambda.$$

By Lemma(3.1),

$$\log(1+n\,\varepsilon)N(\varepsilon) = n\,\varepsilon\cdot N(\varepsilon)(1+o(1)) \prec n\,\varepsilon^{1-p}$$

as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and thus

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \log(1 + n \varepsilon) N(\varepsilon) = 0,$$

from which (3.2) follows.  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION (3.3). For any  $0 , if <math>V \in \mathcal{L}^p$ , then

$$\log \det(I + nV) \prec n^p$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

PROOF. For any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\int_{\delta}^{\lambda_1} \frac{n}{1+n\lambda} d\lambda$  is bounded in n, whereas  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{n}{1+n\lambda} d\lambda = \infty$ . Thus, noting that  $N(\lambda)$  is decreasing in  $\lambda$ ,

(3.4) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\delta}^{\lambda_1} \frac{n}{1+n\lambda} N(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{n}{1+n\lambda} N(\lambda) d\lambda} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\delta}^{\lambda_1} \frac{n}{1+n\lambda} d\lambda}{\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{n}{1+n\lambda} d\lambda} = 0.$$

Moreover, by Lemma(3.1), for every small  $\zeta > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $N(\lambda) \leq \zeta \cdot \lambda^{-p}$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, \delta]$ , and thus

(3.5) 
$$\int_0^\delta \frac{n}{1+n\,\lambda} N(\lambda) d\,\lambda \le \zeta \int_0^\delta \frac{n\,\lambda^{-p}}{1+n\,\lambda} d\,\lambda \le \zeta \cdot n^p \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{-p}}{1+\lambda} d\,\lambda \,.$$

Hence, by (3.4) and (3.5),

$$\int_0^{\lambda_1} \frac{n}{1+n\,\lambda} N(\lambda) d\,\lambda \prec n^p$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , and thus the Proposition follows from Lemma(3.2).  $\Box$ 

### 4. Evaluation of the Integral (2.7), I

For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , define  $c_n$  and  $d_n$  by

(4.1) 
$$c_n = n^{-\frac{2-p}{4}} \cdot \{\log \det(I+nV_0)\}^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
 and  $d_n = n^{\frac{p}{4}} \cdot \{\log \det(I+nV_0)\}^{\frac{1}{4}}.$ 

By assumption (V.6), we are able to pick a  $\beta < \frac{\alpha}{2}$  such that  $V_0 \in \mathcal{L}^p$  for some  $p < \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}$ . By (V.6) and Proposition (3.3), we see that  $\log \det(I + nV_0) \prec n^p$ , and thus we see easily that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = \infty$ ,

(4.2) 
$$\log \det(I + nV_0) \prec nc_n^2 \prec n^p$$
 and  $\log \det(I + nV_0) \prec d_n^2 \prec n^p$ .

Note also that, since  $p < \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}$ ,

(4.3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot c_n^{2 + \frac{2\beta}{2\beta + 1}} \cdot d_n^{\frac{1}{2\beta + 1}} = 0.$$

For every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let

$$\Gamma_n = \left\{ w \in W^{\beta,0}; \quad \|w\|_{L^2_0(\mu_0)} \le c_n \quad \text{and} \quad \|w\|_\beta \le d_n \right\}.$$

LEMMA (4.4). For the choice of  $\{c_n\}$  and  $\{d_n\}$  given by (4.1),

(4.5) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot \sup_{w \in \Gamma_n} \int |w_t|^3 \mu_0(dt) = 0$$

and

(4.6) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot \sup_{w \in \Gamma_n} \left( \int |w_t|^2 \mu_0(dt) \right)^2 = 0.$$

PROOF. Recall that the Hölder norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$  is defined in (2.1). The following interpolation inequality holds: there is a C > 0 such that for all  $g \in L^2_0(\mu_0) \cap W^{\beta,0}$ ,

$$\|g\|_{\infty} \leq C \left\{ \|g\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})}^{2\beta} \cdot \|g\|_{\beta} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}}.$$

We refer to [T] for the proof. Thus, for all  $w \in \Gamma_n$ ,

$$n \cdot \int |w_t|^3 \mu_0(dt) \le n ||w||_{\infty} \int_I |w_t|^2 \mu_0(dt)$$
$$\le Cn \cdot c_n^{2 + \frac{2\beta}{2\beta + 1}} \cdot d_n^{\frac{1}{2\beta + 1}}$$

and so, by (4.3), (4.5) follows. Also, since  $nc_n^2 \prec n^p$ , for every  $w \in \Gamma_n$ ,

$$n \cdot \left( \int |w_t|^2 \mu_0(dt) \right)^2 \le nc_n^4 \prec n^{2p-1},$$

and thus (4.6) follows noting that  $p < \frac{1}{6}$ .  $\Box$ 

Now recall that  $F: W^{\beta,0} \to \mathbf{C}$  is defined by (2.8).

LEMMA (4.7).

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{w \in \Gamma_n} \left| F(w)^n \cdot \exp\left(\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L^2_0(\mu_0)}^2\right) - 1 \right| = 0.$$

PROOF. Since

$$\left| e^{-\sqrt{-1}x} - \left( 1 - \sqrt{-1}x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \right) \right| \le |x|^3,$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , it follows that

(4.8) 
$$\left| F(w) - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{L^2_0(\mu_0)}^2 \right) \right| \le \int_I |w_t|^3 \mu_0(dt)$$

and thus

(4.9) 
$$|F(w) - 1| \le \frac{1}{2} ||w||_{L_0^2(\mu_0)}^2 + \int_I |w_t|^3 \mu_0(dt)$$

for all  $w \in W^{\beta,0}$ . By (4.9) and Lemma(4.4), |F(w) - 1| < 1/2 for all  $w \in \Gamma_n$  for sufficiently large n. Note also that for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying |z - 1| < 1/2,

(4.10) 
$$|\log z - (z-1)| \le |z-1|^2$$
.

Here, Log z is the principal value of  $\log z$ . Thus, by (4.8) and (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log F(w) + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})}^{2} \right| &\leq \left| \log F(w) - (F(w) - 1) \right| \\ &+ \left| (F(w) - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})}^{2} \right| \\ &\leq \left| F(w) - 1 \right|^{2} + \int_{I} |w_{t}|^{3} \mu_{0}(dt). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (4.5), (4.6) and (4.9),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \cdot \sup_{w \in \Gamma_n} \left| \log F(w) + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{L^2_0(\mu_0)}^2 \right| = 0,$$

from which the assertion follows immediately.  $\Box$ 

LEMMA (4.11). For all  $\nu \in H_{-1}$ ,

(4.12) 
$$E^{\Pi} \left[ \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \nu \rangle\right) \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right); \ w \in \Gamma_{n} \right]$$
$$= \left\{ \det(I + nV_{0}) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + o(1)).$$

**PROOF.** First, notice that if a positive sequence  $\{a_n\}$  satisfies that

$$\log \det(I + nV_0) \prec a_n,$$

then

$$e^{-c \cdot a_n} \prec \{\det(I + nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any constant c > 0. Thus, by (4.2), we see that

$$E^{\Pi} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right); \|w\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})} \ge c_{n} \right] \le \exp\left(-\frac{n \cdot c_{n}^{2}}{2}\right)$$
$$\prec \left\{ \det(I + nV_{0}) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . Also, by Corollary(2.4) and (4.2),

$$E^{\Pi} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right); \|w\|_{\beta} \ge d_{n} \right] \le \Pi(\|w\|_{\beta} \ge d_{n}) \\ \prec \left\{ \det(I + nV_{0}) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus,

(4.13) 
$$E^{\Pi}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{n}{2}\|w\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right); w \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma_{n}\right] \prec \{\det(I+nV_{0})\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

Now, recall  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  be the eigenfunctions of  $V_0$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$ . Notice that, for all  $\nu \in H_{-1}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \, | \, \langle \, \nu, \phi_k \, \rangle \, |^2 < \infty$$

and  $(n \lambda_k + 1)^{-1} < 1$  for all n and k and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (n \lambda_k + 1)^{-1} = 0$  for all  $k \ge 1$  by (V.5). Hence, by virtue of the bounded convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle (I + nV_0)^{-1}\nu, V_0\nu \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n\lambda_k + 1)^{-1} \lambda_k \langle \nu, \phi_k \rangle^2 = 0$$

and so

$$(4.14) \quad E^{\Pi} \left[ \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \nu \rangle\right) \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right) \right]$$
$$= \left\{ \det(I + nV_{0}) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\langle (I + nV_{0})^{-1}\nu, V_{0}\nu \right\rangle \right)$$
$$= \left\{ \det(I + nV_{0}) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + o(1))$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence, the Lemma follows from (4.13) and (4.14).  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION (4.15). For all  $\nu \in H_{-1}$ ,

$$E^{\Pi}[\exp(\sqrt{-1}\langle w,\nu\rangle)F(w)^n, w\in\Gamma_n] = \{\det(I+nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+o(1))$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

PROOF. For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let

$$G_n(w) = F(w)^n \cdot \exp\left(\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L^2_0(\mu_0)}^2\right) - 1$$

for all  $w \in \Gamma_n$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{E^{\Pi} [\exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \nu \rangle\right) F(w)^{n}; \ w \in \Gamma_{n} \ ]}{E^{\Pi} \left[ \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \nu \rangle\right) \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L_{0}^{2}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right); \ w \in \Gamma_{n} \right]} - 1 \right| \\ & = \left| \frac{E^{\Pi} \left[ \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \nu \rangle\right) G_{n}(w) \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L_{0}^{2}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right); \ w \in \Gamma_{n} \right]}{E^{\Pi} \left[ \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \nu \rangle\right) \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L_{0}^{2}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right); \ w \in \Gamma_{n} \right]} \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{w \in \Gamma_{n}} |G_{n}(w)| \cdot \frac{E^{\Pi} \left[ \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \nu \rangle\right) \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L_{0}^{2}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right); \ w \in \Gamma_{n} \right]}{\left| E^{\Pi} \left[ \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \langle w, \nu \rangle\right) \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \|w\|_{L_{0}^{2}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right); \ w \in \Gamma_{n} \right]} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma(4.7) and Lemma(4.11), we see

$$E^{\Pi}\left[\exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\langle w,\nu\rangle\right)F(w)^{n};\ w\in\Gamma_{n}\right]$$
  
=  $E^{\Pi}\left[\exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\langle w,\nu\rangle\right)\exp\left(-\frac{n}{2}\|w\|_{L_{0}^{2}(\mu_{0})}^{2}\right);\ w\in\Gamma_{n}\right](1+o(1))$ 

and so the Proposition follows from Lemma (4.11).  $\Box$  Taizo Chiyonobu

#### 5. Evaluation of the Integral (2.7), II

LEMMA (5.1). For any  $\beta < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , there is a constant c > 0 and C > 0 such that

$$\Pi\left(w \in W^{\beta,0}; \quad |F(w)| \ge 1 - \tau \quad and \quad \|w\|_{L^2_0(\mu_0)} > \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 \tau}{2}}\right)$$
$$\le C \exp\left(-\frac{c}{\tau^{2\beta}}\right)$$

for all  $0 < \tau < 1$ .

PROOF. For j = 0, 1, 2, ..., 15, let  $I_j = \bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} [(2m + \frac{j}{8})\pi, (2m + \frac{j+1}{8})\pi)$ . Then  $I_j$ 's are disjoint and  $\bigcup_{j=0}^{15} I_j = \mathbf{R}$ . For each  $w \in W^{\beta,0}$ , let  $\theta_j = \mu_0(t; w_t \in I_j)$ . Since  $\sum_{j=0}^{15} \theta_j = 1$ , there is at least one  $j_0 \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., 15\}$  such that  $\theta_{j_0} \geq \frac{1}{16}$ .

Let  $\tau > 0$ . If  $w \in W^{\beta,0}$  satisfies that  $||w||_{\beta} \leq \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{1}{\tau^{\beta}}$ , then,

 $\mu_0(t; w_t \in [a, a + \pi/8)) \ge c_{\mu_0} \cdot |t; w_t \in [a, a + \pi/8)| \ge c_{\mu_0} \cdot \tau$ 

in the case the path w passes  $[a, a + \pi/8)$ , i.e., there are  $t_0, t_1 \in I$  such that  $w_{t_0} = a$  and  $w_{t_1} = a + \pi/8$ . Here,  $c_{\mu_0}$  is the one given in the assumption (V.4).

Noting this, let us assume that  $w \in W^{\beta,0}$  satisfies  $||w||_{\infty} > \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $||w||_{\beta} \leq \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{1}{\tau^{\beta}}$ . Then, inevitably  $w \in W^{\beta,0}$  passes the interval  $[0, \pi/2]$  or  $[-\pi/2, 0]$ . Thus there is at least one  $I_{j_1}$  such that  $\operatorname{dis}(I_{j_1}, I_{j_0}) \geq \frac{\pi}{8}$  and  $\theta_{j_1} \geq c_{\mu_0} \cdot \tau$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{split} |F(w)|^2 &= \int_I \int_I e^{\sqrt{-1}(w_s - w_t)} \mu_0(ds) \mu_0(dt) \\ &= \int_I \int_I \cos(w_s - w_t) \mu_0(ds) \mu_0(dt) \\ &\leq (1 - 2\,\theta_{j_0}\,\theta_{j_1}) + 2\,\theta_{j_0}\,\theta_{j_1}\cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) \\ &\leq 1 - 2c_{\mu_0}\left(1 - \cos(\frac{\pi}{8})\right) \cdot \frac{\tau}{16}. \end{split}$$

and thus

$$|F(w)| \le 1 - C \cdot \tau$$

where  $C = \frac{c_{\mu_0}}{8} \left( 1 - \cos(\frac{\pi}{8}) \right) < 1$ . Hence, if  $||w||_{\infty} > \pi/2$  and  $|F(w)| > 1 - \tau$ , then  $||w||_{\beta} \ge \frac{\pi}{8C^{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\tau^{\beta}}$ , and thus, applying Corollary(2.4), for  $0 < \tau < 1$ ,

(5.2) 
$$\Pi\left(w \in W^{\beta,0}; |F(w)| > 1 - \tau \quad \text{and} \quad \|w\|_{\infty} \ge \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$
$$\leq \Pi\left(w \in W^{\beta,0}; \|w\|_{\beta} \ge \frac{C'}{\tau^{\beta}}\right) \le C \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{c}{\tau^{2\beta}}\right),$$

where  $C' = \frac{\pi}{8} \cdot C^{-\beta}$  and  $c = c_{\beta} \cdot C'^2$ . Next, let us assume that  $w \in W^{\beta,0}$  satisfies  $|F(w)| \ge 1 - \tau$  and  $||w||_{\infty} \le C'^2$ .  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then, since

$$\cos x \le 1 - \frac{2}{\pi^2} x^2$$

for all  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ ,

$$|F(w)|^{2} \leq 1 - \frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \int_{I} \int_{I} (w_{t} - w_{s})^{2} \mu_{0}(ds) \mu_{0}(dt)$$
$$= 1 - \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \int_{I} |w_{t}|^{2} \mu_{0}(dt).$$

Thus, if  $|F(w)| \ge 1 - \tau$  and  $||w||_{\infty} \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then

$$\int_{I} |w_t|^2 \mu_0(dt) \le \frac{\pi^2 \tau}{2}$$

and thus

(5.3) 
$$\Pi\left(w \in W^{\beta,0}; |F(w)| \ge 1 - \tau, \quad ||w||_{\infty} \le \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \\ ||w||_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})} > \sqrt{\frac{\pi^{2}\tau}{2}} \right) = 0.$$

Hence, by (5.2) and (5.3), we have proved Lemma(5.1).  $\Box$ 

Proposition (5.4). As  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$E^{\Pi}[|F(w)|^n; w \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma_n] \prec \{\det(I + nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

PROOF. Note that

$$E^{\Pi}[|F(w)|^{n}, ||w||_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})} > c_{n}]$$
  
=  $\int_{0}^{1} \tau^{n} \Pi(w; |F(w)| \in d\tau, ||w||_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})} > c_{n})$   
=  $n \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)^{n-1} \Pi(w; |F(w)| \ge 1-\tau, ||w||_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})} > c_{n}) d\tau.$ 

Let  $t_n = \frac{2c_n^2}{\pi^2}$ , where  $c_n$  is the one defined by (4.1), and we apply Lemma(5.1) to obtain,

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} (1-\tau)^{n-1} \Pi(w; \ |F(w)| &\geq 1-\tau, \|w\|_{L^{2}_{0}(\mu_{0})} > c_{n}) d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{t_{n}} (1-\tau)^{n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{c}{\tau^{2\beta}}\right) d\tau \\ &= \frac{C}{(n-1)^{1/(1+2\beta)}} \\ &\cdot \int_{0}^{(n-1)^{1/(1+2\beta)} \cdot t_{n}} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{(n-1)^{1/(1+2\beta)}}\right)^{n-1} \\ &\cdot \exp\left(-\frac{c \cdot (n-1)^{2\beta/(1+2\beta)}}{\tau^{2\beta}}\right) d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{(n-1)^{-\beta'+p}} \exp\left(-(n-1)^{\beta'} \cdot \tau - \frac{c(n-1)^{\beta'}}{\tau^{2\beta}}\right) d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{1} \exp\left(-(n-1)^{\beta'} (\tau + \frac{c}{\tau^{2\beta}})\right) d\tau \\ &\leq C \cdot e^{-A \cdot (n-1)^{\beta'}} \end{split}$$

for sufficiently large n. Here,

$$A = \inf_{\tau \in [0,1]} \left( \tau + \frac{c}{\tau^{2\beta}} \right)$$

is a positive constant and  $\beta' = \frac{2\beta}{1+2\beta}$ . The second inequality comes by applying  $s = \tau/(n-1)^{1/(1+2\beta)}$  to the inequality  $(1-s)^{n-1} \leq e^{-(n-1)s}$  for all s < 1 and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $p < \frac{1}{3}\beta' < \beta'$ ,  $\log \det(I+nV_0) \prec (n-1)^p \prec (n-1)^{\beta'}$  and so

$$e^{-A \cdot (n-1)^{\beta'}} \prec \{\det(I + nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} \int_{t_n}^1 (1-\tau)^{n-1} \Pi(w; \ |F(w)| \ge 1-\tau, \|w\|_{L^2_0(\mu_0)} > c_n) d\tau &\le \int_{t_n}^1 (1-\tau)^{n-1} d\tau \\ &\le (1-t_n)^{n-1} \\ &\le e^{-(n-1)t_n}. \end{split}$$

By the choice of  $\{t_n\}$ ,

$$e^{-(n-1)t_n} \prec \{\det(I+nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

and thus

(5.5) 
$$E^{\Pi}[|F(w)|^n; ||w||_{L^2_0(\mu_0)} > c_n] \prec \{\det(I + nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, since  $|F(w)| \leq 1$ , by Corollary(2.4),

(5.6) 
$$E^{\Pi}[|F(w)|^{n}; ||w||_{\beta} > d_{n}] \leq \Pi(||w||_{\beta} > d_{n}) \\ \prec \{\det(I + nV_{0})\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus the proposition follows from (5.5) and (5.6).  $\Box$ 

Before we close this section we summarize what we have established so far. By Proposition(4.15) and Proposition(5.4), along with Lemma(2.6), we obtain the following.

THEOREM (5.7). For all 
$$\nu \in H_{-1}$$
,  

$$\int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \langle \eta_n(\underline{t}) - \nu, V_0(\eta_n(\underline{t}) - \nu) \rangle\right) \mu_0^{\otimes n}(d\underline{t})$$

$$= \{\det(I + nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + o(1)).$$

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of bounded measurable functions on *I*. Then by (V.3), we see that for any  $h \in L^2_0(\mu_0) \cap \Lambda$ ,

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} J[\mu_0 + th\mu_0]|_{t=0}$$
  
=  $\int_I \int_I V(s,t)\mu_0(ds)h(t)\mu_0(dt).$ 

Since  $L_0^2(\mu_0) \cap \Lambda$  is dense in  $L_0^2(\mu_0)$ , we see that there exists a  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  for which

(6.1) 
$$\int_{I} V(s,t)\mu_0(ds) = \lambda$$

Integrating the both sides of the above identity by  $\mu_0$ , we obtain

$$(6.2) J[\mu_0] = \lambda \,.$$

Thus, by (6.1) and (6.2), we see that  $V_0$  defined in the Introduction satisfies that

$$\int_{I} V_0(s,t)\mu_0(ds) = 0$$

and so the operator  $V_0$  defined by (1.4) is an operator on  $L_0^2(\mu_0)$ . Moreover, by (6.1), we have

$$J[\mu] - J[\mu_0] = \int_I \int_I V_0(s,t)(\mu - \mu_0)(ds)(\mu - \mu_0)(dt)$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(I)$ , and thus

(6.3) 
$$n^{2}(J[\rho_{n}(\underline{t})] - J[\mu_{0}]) = \int_{I} \int_{I} V_{0}(s,t)\eta_{n}(\underline{t})(ds)\eta_{n}(\underline{t})(dt)$$
$$= \langle \eta_{n}(\underline{t}), V_{0}\eta_{n}(\underline{t}) \rangle.$$

Now, noting (V.4), we have

$$Z_n = \int_{I^{\otimes n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}n^2 J[\rho_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}})]\right) dt_1 \cdots dt_n$$
  
= 
$$\int_{I^{\otimes n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}n^2 J[\rho_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}})] - \sum_{j=1}^n \log\frac{\mu_0(dt)}{dt}(t_j)\right) \mu_0^{\otimes n}(d\underline{\mathbf{t}}),$$

and thus, by (6.3),

$$(6.4) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}n^{2}J[\mu_{0}] + n\int_{I}\log\frac{\mu_{0}(dt)}{dt}\mu_{0}(dt)\right)Z_{n}$$

$$=\int_{I^{\otimes n}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}n^{2}(J[\rho_{n}(\underline{t})] - J[\mu_{0}])\right)$$

$$-n\int_{I}\log\frac{\mu_{0}(dt)}{dt}(\rho_{n}(\underline{t}) - \mu_{0})(dt)\right)\mu_{0}^{\otimes n}(d\underline{t})$$

$$=\int_{I^{\otimes n}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle\eta_{n}(\underline{t}), V_{0}\eta_{n}(\underline{t})\rangle - \langle\xi_{0}, \eta_{n}(\underline{t})\rangle\right)\mu_{0}^{\otimes n}(d\underline{t})$$

$$=\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle V_{0}^{-1}\xi_{0}, \xi_{0}\rangle\right)$$

$$\cdot\int_{I^{\otimes n}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle\eta_{n}(\underline{t}) + V_{0}^{-1}\xi_{0}, V_{0}(\eta_{n}(\underline{t}) + V_{0}^{-1}\xi_{0})\rangle\right)\mu_{0}^{\otimes n}(d\underline{t}).$$

Here,  $V_0^{-1}\xi_0 \in H_{-1}$  and  $\langle V_0^{-1}\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle = \|V_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi_0\|_{L_0^2(\mu_0)}^2$  by (V.8). Therefore, Theorem 1 follows from Theorem(5.7).  $\Box$ 

## 7. Proof of Theorem 2

In view of (6.4), we observe

$$P_n(d\underline{\mathbf{t}}) = \frac{1}{Z'_n} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}), V_0 \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) \right\rangle - \left\langle \xi_0, \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) \right\rangle \right) \mu_0^{\otimes n}(d\underline{\mathbf{t}})$$

where

$$Z'_{n} = \int_{I^{\otimes n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \langle \eta_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{t}}), V_{0}\eta_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) \rangle - \langle \xi_{0}, \eta_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) \rangle\right) \mu_{0}^{\otimes n}(d\underline{\mathbf{t}}).$$

By Theorem (5.7),

$$Z'_{n} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \langle V_{0}^{-1}\xi_{0},\xi_{0} \rangle\right)$$
$$\cdot \int_{I^{\otimes n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \langle \eta_{n}(\underline{t}) + V_{0}^{-1}\xi_{0}, V_{0}(\eta_{n}(\underline{t}) + V_{0}^{-1}\xi_{0}) \rangle\right) \mu_{0}^{\otimes n}(d\underline{t})$$
$$= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \langle V_{0}^{-1}\xi_{0},\xi_{0} \rangle\right) \{\det(I + nV_{0})\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + o(1))$$

and, for any  $f \in H_1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{I^{\otimes n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \langle \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}), V_0 \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) \rangle - \langle \xi_0 - f, \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) \rangle\right) \mu_0^{\otimes n}(d\underline{\mathbf{t}}) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \langle V_0^{-1}(\xi_0 - f), \xi_0 - f \rangle\right) \\ &\cdot \int_{I^{\otimes n}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \langle \eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) + V_0^{-1}(\xi_0 - f), V_0(\eta_n(\underline{\mathbf{t}}) + V_0^{-1}(\xi_0 - f)) \rangle\right) \mu_0^{\otimes n}(d\underline{\mathbf{t}}) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \langle V_0^{-1}(\xi_0 - f), \xi_0 - f \rangle\right) \{\det(I + nV_0)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 + o(1)). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \exp\left(-n\int_{I}fd\mu_{0}\right)\int_{I^{\otimes n}}\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}f(t_{j})\right)P_{n}(d\underline{\mathbf{t}})\\ &=\int_{I^{\otimes n}}\exp\left(\left\langle f,\eta_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{t}})\right\rangle\right)P_{n}(d\underline{\mathbf{t}})\\ &=\frac{1}{Z_{n}'}\cdot\int_{I^{\otimes n}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle \eta_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{t}}),V_{0}\eta_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{t}})\right\rangle-\left\langle \xi_{0}-f,\eta_{n}(\underline{\mathbf{t}})\right\rangle\right)\mu_{0}^{\otimes n}(d\underline{\mathbf{t}})\\ &=\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V_{0}^{-1}(\xi_{0}-f),\xi_{0}-f\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle V_{0}^{-1}\xi_{0},\xi_{0}\right\rangle\right)(1+o(1)).\end{split}$$

This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### 8. An Example

Assume that  $v : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  satisfies that

- (i) v(t) = v(t+1) and v(t) = v(-t) for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .
- (ii) v is 6 times differentiable and  $v^{(6)} \in C(\mathbf{R})$ .
- (iii) v is positive-definite.

Then,  $V: I \times I \to \mathbf{R}$  given by

$$V(s,t) = v(t-s)$$

satisfies the assumptions (V.1) to (V.8) with  $\mu_0(dt) = dt$  and  $\alpha = 1$ .

We briefly check this. Let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be the Fourier coefficients of v, i.e.,

$$\lambda_k = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{2k\pi\sqrt{-1}t} v(t) dt.$$

Since v is real-valued and v(t) = v(-t),  $\lambda_k \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\lambda_{-k} = \lambda_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

For all probability measure on I,

$$J[\mu] = 1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left| \int_I e^{2k\pi\sqrt{-1}t} \mu(dt) \right|^2,$$

and thus  $\mu_0(dt) = dt$  is the only probability measure which minimizes J. By (ii) and by the standard theory of Fourier analysis, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{12} \, \lambda_k^2 < \infty,$$

and so by Hölder's inequality,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{6+\delta}} \le \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{12} \, \lambda_k^2\right)^{\frac{1}{12+2\delta}} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{12}{11+2\delta}}\right)^{\frac{11+2\delta}{12+2\delta}} < \infty$$

if  $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, this V satisfies the assumptions.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to K. Aomoto, S. Kusuoka, Y. Takahashi and Y. Tamura for their useful comments and their encouragement.

#### Taizo Chiyonobu

#### References

- [B] Bolthausen, E., Laplace Approximations for Sums of Independent Random Vectors, Probability Theory and Related Fields **72** (1986), 305–318.
- [BG] Ben Arous, G. and A. Guionnet, Large deviations for Wigner's law and Voiculescu's non-commutative entropy, Probability Theory and Related Fields 108 (1997), 517–542.
- [C] Ciesielski, Z., Hölder conditions for realizations of Gaussian processes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 99 (1961), 403–413.
- [J] Johansson, K., On Fluctuations of Eigenvalues of Random Hermitian Matrices, Duke Math. J. **91** (1998), no. 1, 151–204.
- [Kuo] Kuo, H.-H., Gaussian Measures in Banach Spaces, Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 463, Springer, 1975.
- [KT] Kusuoka, S. and Y. Tamura, Gibbs measures for mean field potentials, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math. **31** (1984), 223–245.
- [T] Triebel, H., *Theory of Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Berlin, 1992.

(Received December 10, 1999) (Revised April 20, 2000)

> Department of Mathematics Nagoya University Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan E-mail: chiyo@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp