

## *Some Weighted Inequalities for the Kakeya Maximal Operator on Functions of Product Type*

By Hitoshi TANAKA\*

**Abstract.** We shall prove some weighted inequalities for the Kakeya maximal operator restricting it to functions of product type. We shall also describe a detailed proof of a comparison theorem for two maximal operators of Kakeya type which is used in the proof.

### 1. Introduction and Theorems

In this paper we shall prove some weighted inequalities for the Kakeya maximal operator, restricting it to functions of product type. In the proof we shall use a comparison theorem for two maximal operators of Kakeya type a detailed proof of which will also be presented.

Fix  $N \gg 1$ . For a real number  $a > 0$  let  $\mathcal{B}_{a,N}$  be the family of all rectangles in the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , which are congruent to the rectangle  $(0, a)^{d-1} \times (0, Na)$  but with arbitrary direction and center. The so-called small Kakeya maximal operator  $M_{a,N}$  is defined on locally integrable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  by

$$(M_{a,N}f)(x) = \sup_{x \in R \in \mathcal{B}_{a,N}} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(y)| dy,$$

where  $|A|$  represents the Lebesgue measure of a set  $A$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_N$  be the family of rectangles defined by  $\mathcal{B}_N = \bigcup_{a>0} \mathcal{B}_{a,N}$ . The Kakeya maximal operator  $K_N$  is defined by

$$(K_Nf)(x) = \sup_{x \in R \in \mathcal{B}_N} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(y)| dy.$$

---

\*Supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Sciences and Fūjyukai Foundation.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B25.

This paper is a part of the thesis of the doctor of science [Ta4] Chapter 4 submitted to Gakushuin University.

A weight  $w$  is defined as a nonnegative locally integrable function on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and we will represent the norm of the function space  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^d, w)$  as

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d, w)} = \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

If  $d = 2$ , then for  $f$  in  $L^d(\mathbf{R}^d)$  the inequalities

$$(1) \quad \|M_{a,N}f\|_d \leq C(\log N)^{\alpha_d} \|f\|_d, \quad \forall a > 0,$$

and

$$(2) \quad \|K_N f\|_d \leq C'(\log N)^{\alpha'_d} \|f\|_d$$

hold with  $\alpha_d = 1/d$  and  $\alpha'_d = 1 + 1/d$ . (Córdoba [Co]). For  $d \geq 3$  (1) is known to be true for functions of the form  $f(x) = \prod_{l=1}^d f_l(x_l)$  (cf. Igari [Ig1] and also Tanaka [Ta1]) and for functions of square radial type (cf. Tanaka [Ta2]). For  $d \geq 3$  (2) is known to be true for functions of radial type (cf. Carbery, Hernández and Soria [CHS] and Igari [Ig2]).

If  $d = 2$ , then the weighted inequality

$$(3) \quad \|K_N f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d, w)} \leq C_{N,p} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d, K_N w)}$$

holds with

$$C_{N,p} = \begin{cases} O(N^{d/p-1}(\log N)^{\beta_{p,d}}), & 1 < p \leq d, \\ O((\log N)^{\beta_{p,d}}), & d < p < \infty, \end{cases}$$

for some constant  $\beta_{p,d} > 0$ . (Müller and Soria [MS]). For  $d \geq 3$  (3) is known to be true for the range  $1 < p \leq (d+1)/2$  (cf. Vargas [Va]) and for functions of radial type (cf. Tanaka [Ta3]).

In this paper we shall prove that a strong-type  $d$  estimate for  $M_{a,N}$ :

$$\|M_{a,N}f\|_{L^d(\mathbf{R}^d, w)} \leq C(\log N) \|f\|_{L^d(\mathbf{R}^d, K_N w)}, \quad \forall a > 0,$$

and a weak-type  $d$  estimate for  $K_N$ :

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid (K_N f)(x) > \lambda\})^{1/d} \leq C' \frac{\log N}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^d(\mathbf{R}^d, K_N w)}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0,$$

hold for  $f$  in  $L^d(\mathbf{R}^d, K_N w)$  of the form  $f(x) = \prod_{l=1}^d f_l(x_l)$ , where  $w(A)$  denotes  $\int_A w(x) dx$  measure of a set  $A$ . As yet we have not been able to prove

weighted strong type  $d$  estimate for  $K_N$ . We also note that when  $w \equiv 1$ , the factor  $\log N$  in strong type  $d$  estimate is weaker than the factor  $(\log N)^{1-1/d}$ , which was already obtained in [Ta1].

We shall restate our results in the form of theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $d \geq 2$ . There exists a constant  $C$  depending only on the dimension  $d$  such that for every  $a > 0$  and  $N \gg 1$  and for every nonnegative locally integrable weight  $w$*

$$\|M_{a,N}f\|_{L^d(\mathbf{R}^d,w)} \leq C \log N \|f\|_{L^d(\mathbf{R}^d,K_N w)}$$

holds for all  $f$  in  $L^d(\mathbf{R}^d, K_N w)$  of the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \prod_{l=1}^d f_l(x_l).$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $d \geq 2$ . There exists a constant  $C$  depending only on the dimension  $d$  such that for every  $N \gg 1$  and  $\lambda > 0$  and for every nonnegative locally integrable weight  $w$*

$$(w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid (K_N f)(x) > \lambda\}))^{1/d} \leq C \frac{\log N}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^d(\mathbf{R}^d, K_N w)}$$

holds for all  $f$  in  $L^d(\mathbf{R}^d, K_N w)$  of the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \prod_{l=1}^d f_l(x_l).$$

To prove these theorems we will need a comparison theorem for two maximal operators of *Keakeya* type.

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\leq N}$  be the class of all rectangles in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  which satisfy

$$1 \leq (\text{the length of longest sides})/(\text{the length of shortest sides}) \leq N.$$

The corresponding maximal operator associated to this base  $\mathcal{B}_{\leq N}$  will be denoted by  $K_{\leq N}$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $d \geq 2$ . There exists a constant  $C$  depending only on the dimension  $d$  such that*

$$(4) \quad (K_N f)(x) \leq (K_{\leq N} f)(x) \leq C(K_N f)(x)$$

*holds for every locally integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and for every point  $x$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ .*

The maximal operator  $K_{\leq N}$  was considered in [Mu]. But the above theorem seems not to have been noticed in the literature. This theorem will be proved in Section 4.

In the following  $C$ 's will denote constants which may be different in each occasion but depend only on the dimension  $d$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.

We may assume that  $f_l \geq 0$  and  $N$  is a positive integer. By dilation invariance it suffices to consider only the case  $a = 1$ . We write  $M_{1,N}$  as  $M_N$ . We will linearize the problem first. We divide  $\mathbf{R}^d$  into open unit cubes  $Q_i$  (and their boundaries) which have center at lattice points  $i \in \mathbf{Z}^d$  and whose sides are parallel to the axes. By the local integrability of  $f$  we can find for every cube  $Q_i$  a rectangle  $R_i$  from  $\mathcal{B}_{1,N}$  such that

$$Q_i \cap R_i \neq \emptyset,$$

and

$$(5) \quad (M_N f)(x) \leq \frac{C}{|R_i|} \int_{R_i} f(y) dy, \quad \forall x \in Q_i.$$

This shows that for proving the theorem it is sufficient to estimate

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \frac{1}{N} \int_{R_i} f(y) dy \cdot \chi_{Q_i}(x).$$

In the proof we use the following notations.

$$\gamma_i = \{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d \mid Q_j \cap R_i \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_l(Q_j) &= \text{(the projection of } Q_j \text{ on the } l\text{-th axis)} \\ &= \left(j_l - \frac{1}{2}, j_l + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad j = (j_1, \dots, j_d). \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove a weighted estimate of (6) by the method we used in [Ta1], but with some necessary modifications due to the presence of the weight. By the same manipulation as in [Ta1], which we shall repeat for the convenience of the reader, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad & N^d \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \frac{1}{N} \int_{R_i} f(y) dy \cdot \chi_{Q_i}(x) \right)^d w(x) dx \\
 &= \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left( \int_{R_i} f(y) dy \right)^d w(Q_i) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left( \sum_{j \in \gamma_i} \int_{Q_j} f(y) dy \right)^d w(Q_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left( \sum_{j \in \gamma_i} \prod_{l=1}^d \int_{P_l(Q_j)} f_l(y_l) dy_l \right)^d w(Q_i) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left( \sum_{j \in \gamma_i} \prod_{l=1}^d \left( \int_{P_l(Q_j)} f_l(y_l)^d dy_l \right)^{1/d} \right)^d w(Q_i) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \prod_{l=1}^d \left( \sum_{j \in \gamma_i} \int_{P_l(Q_j)} f_l(y_l)^d dy_l \right) w(Q_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the right hand side of (7) we compute as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \prod_{l=1}^d \sum_{j \in \gamma_i} \int_{P_l(Q_j)} f_l(y_l)^d dy_l \\
 &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_d \in \gamma_i} \prod_{l=1}^d \int_{P_l(Q_{j_l})} f_l(y_l)^d dy_l \\
 &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_d \in \gamma_i} \int_{Q_{((j_1)_1, \dots, (j_d)_d)}} f(y)^d dy,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $(j_l)_l$  is the  $l$ -th component of  $j_l \in \mathbf{Z}^d$  and  $((j_1)_1, \dots, (j_d)_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ .

Now, fix  $\iota = (\iota_1, \dots, \iota_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^d$  and put

$$\Omega_\iota^l = \mathbf{R}^{l-1} \times \left( \iota_l - \frac{1}{2}, \iota_l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \times \mathbf{R}^{d-l}.$$

Then by a simple counting we see easily that the number of  $d$ -tuples

$(j_1, \dots, j_d) \in \gamma_i \times \dots \times \gamma_i$  such that  $((j_1)_1, \dots, (j_d)_d) = \iota$  is

$$\prod_{l=1}^d \text{card}(\{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d \mid Q_j \cap \Omega_l^t \cap R_i \neq \emptyset\}).$$

Thus, we see that

$$\text{RHS of (7)} = \sum_{\iota \in \mathbf{Z}^d} X_\iota \int_{Q_\iota} f(y)^d dy,$$

where

$$(8) \quad X_\iota = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \left( \prod_{l=1}^d \text{card}(\{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d \mid Q_j \cap \Omega_l^t \cap R_i \neq \emptyset\}) \right) w(Q_i).$$

Now we shall show that

$$(9) \quad X_0 \leq CN^d (\log N)^d \inf_{y \in Q_0} (K_{\leq N} w)(y).$$

Let  $I_1$  be

$$I_1 = \{i = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^d \mid 0 \leq i_l \leq N+1, l = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

Then we may restrict the sum of (8) (with  $\iota = 0$ ) to  $I_1$  by the symmetry and the fact that  $\Omega_l^0 \cap R_i \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed,  $\Omega_l^0 \cap R_i \neq \emptyset$  implies  $0 \leq i_l \leq N + \sqrt{2}$ . By a simple geometric consideration we have

$$(10) \quad \text{card}(\{j \in \mathbf{Z}^d \mid Q_j \cap \Omega_l^0 \cap R_i \neq \emptyset\}) \leq C \frac{N}{i_l + 1}$$

for every  $i = (i_1, \dots, i_d)$  in  $I_1$ . From this inequality and (8) we have

$$(11) \quad X_0 \leq CN^d \sum_{i \in I_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{i_l + 1} \right) w(Q_i).$$

Thus, (9) follows from (11) and the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $w$  be a nonnegative locally integrable weight on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . Then we have*

$$\sum_{i \in I_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{i_l + 1} \right) w(Q_i) \leq C (\log N)^d \inf_{y \in Q_0} (K_{\leq N} w)(y).$$

PROOF. Let the sequence  $\{a(j)\}$  be

$$a(j) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{j+1}, & j = 0, 1, \dots, N + 1, \\ 1, & j = N + 2, \\ 0, & j > N + 2. \end{cases}$$

Then we see that  $\frac{1}{l+1} = \sum_{k \geq l} a(k)a(k+1)$ , for  $0 \leq l \leq N + 1$ . It follows by this equality and by reversing the order of summation that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in I_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^d \frac{1}{i_l + 1} \right) w(Q_i) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I_1} w(Q_i) \sum_{i_1 \leq j_1, \dots, i_d \leq j_d} \prod_{l=1}^d a(j_l)a(j_l + 1) \\ &= \sum_{j \in I_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^d a(j_l + 1) \right) \left\{ \left( \prod_{l=1}^d a(j_l) \right) \left( \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq j_1, \dots, 0 \leq i_d \leq j_d} w(Q_i) \right) \right\} \\ &\leq C \inf_{y \in Q_0} (K_{\leq N} w)(y) \times \sum_{j \in I_1} \prod_{l=1}^d a(j_l + 1) \leq C(\log N)^d \inf_{y \in Q_0} (K_{\leq N} w)(y). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

By the translation invariance we see that the same inequality as (9) holds for every  $X_\iota, \iota \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ . Thus, from (5)–(9) and Theorem 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} ((M_N f)(x))^d w(x) dx \\ &\leq C(\log N)^d \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \inf_{y \in Q_i} (K_{\leq N} w)(y) \int_{Q_i} f(y)^d dy \\ &\leq C(\log N)^d \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(y)^d (K_{\leq N} w)(y) dy \\ &\leq C(\log N)^d \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x)^d (K_N w)(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have proved the theorem.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we shall prove Theorem 2.

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\leq N}$  be the class of all rectangles in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  whose sides are parallel to the axes and which satisfy

$$1 \leq (\text{the length of longest sides})/(\text{the length of shortest sides}) \leq N.$$

The corresponding maximal operator associated to this base  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\leq N}$  will be denoted by  $M_{\leq N}$ . Obviously, we have that

$$(M_{\leq N}f)(x) \leq (K_{\leq N}f)(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

The proof is based on a couple of lemmas.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $d \geq 2$ . The inequality*

$$(K_N f)(x) \leq C((M_{\leq N} f^d)(x))^{1/d}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

*holds for every locally integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  of the form  $\prod_{l=1}^d f_l(x_l)$ .*

PROOF. We may assume that  $f_l \geq 0$ . Fix  $x$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . For all  $\epsilon > 0$  we can select some  $R$  from  $\mathcal{B}_N$  such that  $x \in R$  and

$$(12) \quad (K_N f)(x) - \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R f(y) dy.$$

Let  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d)$  be a unit vector which is parallel to the axis of  $R$ . If we allow an extra factor  $C$  on the right hand side of (12), then we can further assume that

$$(13) \quad |\omega_l| \geq \frac{1}{N}, \quad l = 1, \dots, d.$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_N$  there exists a  $(d-1)$ -dimensional cube  $Q$  with the side length  $a$  such that

$$R = \{q + t\omega \mid q \in Q, 0 \leq t \leq Na\}.$$

By Fubini's theorem we can select a point  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_d)$  from  $Q$  such that

$$\int_R f(y) dy \leq |Q| \int_0^{Na} f(q + t\omega) dt.$$



It follows by Hölder’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{Na} f(q + t\omega)dt &= \int_0^{Na} \prod_{l=1}^d f_l(q_l + t\omega_l)dt \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{l=1}^d \int_0^{Na} f_l(q_l + t\omega_l)^d dt\right)^{1/d} = \left(\prod_{l=1}^d \frac{\text{sign}\omega_l}{|\omega_l|} \int_{q_l}^{q_l+N\omega_l} f_l(t)^d dt\right)^{1/d}. \end{aligned}$$

From (13) the triple of

$$R' = \prod_{l=1}^d (\min(q_l, q_l + N\omega_l), \max(q_l, q_l + N\omega_l))$$

contains  $x$ . Since  $R' \in \mathcal{B}_{\leq N}$  by (13) we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (K_N f)(x) - \epsilon &\leq C \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R f(y)dy \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{Na} \frac{1}{(\prod_{l=1}^d |\omega_l|)^{1/d}} \left(\int_{R'} f(y)^d dy\right)^{1/d} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|R'|} \int_{R'} f(y)^d dy\right)^{1/d} \leq C((M_{\leq N} f^d)(x))^{1/d}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved the lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 6. For every nonnegative locally integrable weight  $w$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and for every function  $f$  in  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d, M_{\leq N} w)$  we have

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid (M_{\leq N} f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \frac{(\log N)^d}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^d, M_{\leq N} w)}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

To prove this lemma we use the following proposition.

Let  $\nu$  be  $\nu = \lceil \log N / \log 2 \rceil + 1$ . Here,  $[a]$  denotes the largest integer not greater than  $a$ . Let  $I_2$  be

$$I_2 = [1, \nu]^d \cap \mathbf{Z}^d.$$

We define  $B_i, i = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in I_2$ , as the class of all rectangles in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  which are translations of some dilations of the rectangle

$$\prod_{l=1}^d (0, 2^{i_l}).$$

The corresponding maximal operators associated to these bases will be denoted by  $M_i$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.** *For every nonnegative locally integrable weight  $w$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and for every function  $f$  in  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^d, M_i w)$  we have*

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid (M_i f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^d, M_i w)}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

**PROOF.** This proposition can be proved in the same way as in the proof of well-known result for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $M$ . Namely,

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid (Mf)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^d, M w)}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$

(see [GR]).  $\square$

**PROOF OF LEMMA 6.** We see that for every rectangle  $R$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\leq N}$  we can select some  $i$  from  $I_2$  and  $\tilde{R}$  from  $B_i$  as

$$R \subset \tilde{R}, \quad |\tilde{R}| \leq 2^d |R|.$$

From these facts we obtain

$$\{x \mid (M_{\leq N} f)(x) > \lambda\} \subset \bigcup_{i \in I_2} \{x \mid (M_i f)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2^d}\}.$$

On the other hand we see that for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $i \in I_2$ , we have

$$(M_i w)(x) \leq (M_{\leq N} w)(x).$$

From this inequality and Proposition 7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & w(\{x \mid (M_{\leq N} f)(x) > \lambda\}) \\ & \leq \sum_{i \in I_2} w(\{x \mid (M_i f)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2^d}\}) \\ & \leq C \sum_{i \in I_2} \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^d, M_i w)} \leq C \frac{(\log N)^d}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^d, M_{\leq N} w)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved the lemma.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

Using Lemmas 5, 6, and Theorem 3 we have

$$w(\{x \mid (K_N f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq w(\{x \mid (M_{\leq N} f^d)(x) > \frac{\lambda^d}{C}\}) \leq C \left(\frac{\log N}{\lambda}\right)^d \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f^d(x) \cdot (K_N w)(x) dx.$$

Thus we have proved Theorem 2.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 3

We see that the first inequality of (4) follows by the definitions of  $K_N$  and  $K_{\leq N}$ . We shall prove the second inequality of (4) by proving a covering lemma.

Let  $S^{d-1}$  be the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , i.e.  $S^{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid |x| = 1\}$ . Let  $B(x, r)$  be the closed ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$ . For  $\rho > 1$ ,  $H > 0$  and  $\omega \in S^{d-1}$  let the icecream-cone like domain  $C(d, \rho, H, \omega)$  be defined by

$$C(d, \rho, H, \omega) = \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq H} B(t\omega, \frac{t}{2\rho}).$$

In what follows we call this domain a cone. For  $0 < H_1 < H_2 < \infty$  and  $\rho > 1$  we define the family of cones  $\mathcal{C}(d, \rho, [H_1, H_2])$  by

$$\mathcal{C}(d, \rho, [H_1, H_2]) = \{C(d, \rho, H, \omega) \mid H \in [H_1, H_2], \omega \in S^{d-1}\}.$$

The following covering lemma is a major part of the proof.

LEMMA 8. *Given  $k \geq 1$  and the rectangle  $R(d)$ :*

$$(14) \quad R(d) = \prod_{l=1}^d [0, m_l], \quad 1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_d \leq kN,$$

*we can select a finite number of cones  $C_j = C(d, kN, H_j, \omega_j)$  such that*

$$(15) \quad C_j \in \mathcal{C}(d, kN, [m_1, (\sum_1^d m_l^2)^{1/2}]),$$

$$(16) \quad R(d) \subset \bigcup_j C_j,$$

$$(17) \quad \sum_j |C_j| \leq C|R(d)|.$$

We shall divide the proof of this lemma into two cases.

CASE 1.  $d = 2$ .

We write as  $O(0, 0)$ ,  $A(m_1, 0)$ ,  $B(m_1, m_2)$ ,  $C(0, m_2)$  to denote the origin, the point with the coordinate  $(m_1, 0)$  etc. Put  $\angle AOB = \Theta_1$  and  $\angle BOC = \Theta_2$ . We start from the relation

$$1 \leq \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} \leq 2, \quad \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}].$$

Putting  $\theta = \Theta_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , in this inequality and dividing each term by  $2\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1}} \leq \frac{\Theta_i}{2\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1} \sin \Theta_i} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1}}.$$

By  $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq kN$  we see that

$$\sin \Theta_i \sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1} > 1.$$

From these inequalities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\sqrt{319(kN)^2 + 1}} &\leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1}} \\ &\leq \frac{\Theta_i}{4\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1} \sin \Theta_i} \leq \frac{\Theta_i}{2\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1} \sin \Theta_i + 1} \\ &\leq \frac{\Theta_i}{[2\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1} \sin \Theta_i] + 1} \leq \frac{\Theta_i}{2\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1} \sin \Theta_i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $n_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be

$$n_i = [2\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1} \sin \Theta_i] + 1,$$

and let  $\theta_i$  be

$$\theta_i = \frac{\Theta_i}{n_i}.$$

Let  $0 < \psi < \psi' < \frac{\pi}{2}$  be  $\sin \psi = 1/\sqrt{319(kN)^2 + 1}$  and  $\sin \psi' = 1/\sqrt{(2kN)^2 + 1}$ . Then, from above inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &\leq 2 \sin \psi \leq \theta_i \leq \sin \psi' \leq \psi', \\ \tan \psi &\leq \tan \theta_i \leq \tan \psi' \end{aligned}$$

and computing  $\tan \psi$ ,  $\tan \psi'$  we obtain

$$(18) \quad \frac{2}{\sqrt{319}} \cdot \frac{1}{2kN} \leq \tan \theta_i \leq \frac{1}{2kN}.$$

We divide  $R(2)$  into two triangles  $\triangle AOB$  and  $\triangle BOC$ . It suffices to prove Lemma 8 with  $R(2)$  replaced by  $\triangle AOB$  and  $\triangle BOC$ , respectively.

We shall consider  $\triangle AOB$  first. On  $AB$  we define points  $P_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n_1$  as  $P_0 = A$ ,  $\angle P_{j-1}OP_j = \theta_1$ . We extend  $OP_j$  to  $OQ_j$  in such a way that

$$\angle P_{j+1}Q_jO = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Let the cones  $C_j$  be

$$C_j = C(d, kN, \overline{OQ_j}, \overrightarrow{\overline{OQ_j}}).$$

Then, we see that  $m_1 \leq \overline{OQ_j} \leq (m_1^2 + m_2^2)^{1/2}$  and  $\triangle Q_jOP_{j+1} \subset C_j$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\triangle AOB \subset \bigcup_j \triangle Q_jOP_{j+1} \subset \bigcup_j C_j.$$

We next note that

$$\frac{|\triangle Q_jOP_{j+1}|}{|\triangle P_jOP_{j+1}|} = \frac{\overline{OQ_j}}{\overline{OP_j}} = \frac{\cos \theta_1 \overline{OP_{j+1}}}{\overline{OP_j}} = \frac{1}{1 - \tan \theta_1 \tan j\theta_1} \leq 2,$$

where in the last step we used  $\tan \theta_1 \leq 1/(2kN)$  and  $\tan j\theta_1 \leq m_2/m_1 \leq kN$ . From (18) and this inequality we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j |C_j| &\leq C \sum_j \frac{1}{2kN} (\overline{OQ_j})^2 \\ &= C(\sqrt{319}/2) \sum_j (2/\sqrt{319}) \cdot \frac{1}{2kN} (\overline{OQ_j})^2 \leq C \sum_j \tan \theta_1 (\overline{OQ_j})^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_j |\triangle Q_jOP_{j+1}| \leq C \sum_j |\triangle P_jOP_{j+1}| = C|\triangle AOB|. \end{aligned}$$

The other triangle  $\triangle BOC$  can be dealt with by the same argument.

CASE 2.  $d \geq 3$ .

The proof is by induction on the dimension  $d$ .

We assume that the lemma is valid for the dimension  $d - 1$ . To prove the lemma for the dimension  $d$  we fix  $k \geq 1$  and fix  $R(d)$  as in (14). For the purpose of the induction we write  $k_1 = 3\sqrt{d-1}k$  and  $R(d-1) = \prod_{i=2}^d [0, m_i]$ . We apply the induction assumption to  $k_1$  and  $R(d-1)$ . Since  $k_1 > k$  the condition  $1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_d \leq kN \leq k_1N$  in (14) is satisfied. Therefore, we can select a finite number of cones  $C_j$  from  $\mathcal{C}(d-1, k_1N, [m_2, (\sum_2^d m_i^2)^{1/2}])$  such that

$$(19) \quad R(d-1) \subset \bigcup_j C_j, \quad \sum_j |C_j| \leq C|R(d-1)|.$$

Now we shall show that for every  $[0, m_1] \times C_j$  we can select a finite number of cones  $C_{j,k}$  such that

$$(20) \quad C_{j,k} \in \mathcal{C}(d, kN, [m_1, (\sum_1^d m_i^2)^{1/2}])$$

$$(21) \quad [0, m_1] \times C_j \subset \bigcup_k C_{j,k},$$

$$(22) \quad \sum_k |C_{j,k}| \leq C|[0, m_1] \times C_j|.$$

If this can be done, the proof of the lemma will be finished by (19).

Let  $\omega_j$  be the axis of  $C_j$  and let  $H_j$  be the height of  $C_j$ . By the action of orthogonal transformation in  $\mathbf{R}^{d-1}$  we may assume that  $\omega_j = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . We apply the case 1 to the two-dimensional rectangle  $S_j = [0, m_1] \times [0, H_j]$  in the  $(x_1, x_2)$ -plane with  $k_1$ . (This is justified by the fact that  $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq H_j \leq \sqrt{d-1}kN < k_1N$ ). Then we have  $C'_{j,k} \in \mathcal{C}(2, k_1N, [m_1, (m_1^2 + H_j^2)^{1/2}])$  satisfying

$$(23) \quad C'_{j,k} = \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq H_{j,k}} B(t\omega_{j,k}, t/(2k_1N)),$$

$$S_j \subset \bigcup_k C'_{j,k},$$

$$(24) \quad \sum_k |C'_{j,k}| \leq C|S_j|.$$

We introduce  $d$ -dimensional cones  $C_{j,k}$  which have the same axis and the same height as  $C'_{j,k}$  but their projections are a little fatter than  $C'_{j,k}$ :

$$C_{j,k} = \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq H_{j,k}} B(t\omega_{j,k}, t/(2kN)).$$

Then these cones  $C_{j,k}$  will satisfy our assertion.

Proof of (22). It follows from

$$H_{j,k} \leq (m_1^2 + H_j^2)^{1/2} \leq \left(\sum_1^d m_l^2\right)^{1/2}$$

that

$$C_{j,k} \in \mathcal{C}(d, kN, [m_1, \left(\sum_1^d m_l^2\right)^{1/2}]).$$

And it follows from  $H_{j,k} \leq \sqrt{2}H_j$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |C_{j,k}| &\leq C \sum_k H_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{2kN} H_{j,k}\right)^{d-1} \leq C \sum_k H_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{2k_1N} H_{j,k}\right)^{d-1} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{2k_1N} H_j\right)^{d-2} \sum_k H_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{2k_1N} H_{j,k}\right) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{2k_1N} H_j\right)^{d-2} \sum_k |C'_{j,k}| \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{2k_1N} H_j\right)^{d-2} |S_j| \leq C|[0, m_1] \times C_j|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain (22).

Proof of (21). Fix  $x$  in  $[0, m_1] \times C_j$ . Then  $x$  can be written as

$$x = (s, t + b_2, b_3, \dots, b_d), \quad \left(\sum_2^d b_l^2\right)^{1/2} \leq t/(2k_1N), \quad 0 \leq t \leq H_j.$$

Let  $\xi$  in  $S_j$  be  $\xi = (s, t, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then by (23) we can find a cone  $C'_{j,k_0}$  such that  $\xi \in C'_{j,k_0}$ . Let  $\xi'$  be  $\xi' = t'\omega_{j,k_0}$  such that  $\angle \xi \xi' O = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then, we shall show that

$$(25) \quad x \in B(t'\omega_{j,k_0}, t'/(2kN)).$$

Let  $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  be  $\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2k_1N}\right)$  and let  $\theta'$  be the angle between  $\omega_{j,k_0}$  and  $\overrightarrow{O\xi}$ . Then, by  $\xi \in C'_{j,k_0}$  we have  $0 \leq \theta' \leq \theta$  and hence

$$t' = \sqrt{s^2 + t^2} \cos \theta' \geq \sqrt{s^2 + t^2} \cos \theta \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{s^2 + t^2}.$$

We then see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi' - x| &\leq |\xi' - \xi| + |\xi - x| \leq \sqrt{s^2 + t^2} \sin \theta' + \left(\sum_l b_l^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{s^2 + t^2} \sin \theta + \frac{t}{2k_1N} \leq \frac{\sqrt{s^2 + t^2}}{2k_1N} + \frac{t}{2k_1N} \leq \frac{\sqrt{s^2 + t^2}}{k_1N} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{t'}{2kN} < \frac{t'}{2kN}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (25).

Now, if  $t' \leq H_{j,k_0}$ , then (25) shows that  $x \in C_{j,k_0}$  and (21) is proved.

If  $t' > H_{j,k_0}$ , we use  $H_{j,k_0}\omega_{j,k_0}$  instead of  $\xi'$ . By the fact that  $t' > H_{j,k_0}$  we see that  $\xi \in B(H_{j,k_0}\omega_{j,k_0}, H_{j,k_0}/(2k_1N))$ . Hence we have

$$|H_{j,k_0}\omega_{j,k_0} - \xi| \leq \frac{H_{j,k_0}}{2k_1N},$$

and

$$t \leq (s^2 + t^2)^{1/2} = \overline{O\xi} \leq H_{j,k_0} + \frac{H_{j,k_0}}{2k_1N} \leq 2H_{j,k_0}.$$

It follows from these inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{j,k_0}\omega_{j,k_0} - x| &\leq |H_{j,k_0}\omega_{j,k_0} - \xi| + |\xi - x| \\ &\leq \frac{H_{j,k_0}}{2k_1N} + \frac{t}{2k_1N} \leq \frac{H_{j,k_0}}{2k_1N} + \frac{2H_{j,k_0}}{2k_1N} \leq \frac{H_{j,k_0}}{\sqrt{d-12kN}} \leq \frac{H_{j,k_0}}{2kN}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $x \in C_{j,k_0}$  also in this case. Thus, we have proved the lemma.

**COROLLARY 9.** *For every rectangle  $R$  in  $\mathcal{B}_{\leq N}$  and for every point  $x$  in  $R$  we can select a finite number of rectangles  $R_j$  from  $\mathcal{B}_N$  such that*

$$x \in R_j, \quad R \subset \bigcup_j R_j, \quad \sum_j |R_j| \leq C|R|.$$

**PROOF.** By translation, rotation, inversion and dilation (and their inverses) we may assume that  $x = 0$  and

$$R = \prod_{l=1}^d (-a_l, b_l), \quad a_l, b_l \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq a_1 + b_1 \leq a_2 + b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_d + b_d \leq N.$$



Let  $\tilde{R}$  be

$$\tilde{R} = \prod_{l=1}^d (-(a_l + b_l), (a_l + b_l)).$$

Then if we can prove the corollary for the rectangle  $\tilde{R}$  with  $x = 0$ , the corollary will follow by  $R \subset \tilde{R}$  and  $|\tilde{R}| = 2^d |R|$ .

By symmetry it suffices to show that the corollary holds for

$$R' = \prod_{l=1}^d [0, (a_l + b_l))$$

with  $x = 0$ . By the above lemma this  $R'$  is covered by a finite number of cones  $C_j$  as described in that lemma. Now for each  $C_j$  we can find  $R_j$  from  $\mathcal{B}_N$  such that

$$C_j \subset R_j, \quad |R_j| \leq C|C_j|.$$

The proof of the corollary is now complete.  $\square$

By this corollary we shall prove Theorem 3.

Let  $x$  be fixed. We may assume that  $(K_{\leq N} f)(x) < \infty$ . By the definition of  $K_{\leq N}$  we can select for any  $\epsilon > 0$  some  $R$  from  $\mathcal{B}_{\leq N}$  such that  $x \in R$  and

$$(26) \quad (K_{\leq N} f)(x) - \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(y)| dy.$$

Applying Corollary 9 to  $R$ , we can find a finite number of rectangles  $R_j$  from  $\mathcal{B}_N$  such that  $x \in R_j$  and

$$R \subset \bigcup_j R_j, \quad \sum_j |R_j| \leq C|R|.$$

From these inequalities and (26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{\leq N} f)(x) - \epsilon &\leq \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(y)| dy \leq \frac{1}{|R|} \sum_j \int_{R_j} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|R|} \sum_j |R_j| (K_N f)(x) \leq C (K_N f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved the theorem.  $\square$

By Lemma 8, Corollary 9 and the above arguments we see easily the following remark.

REMARK 10. Fix  $a > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_{a,\leq N}$  denote the class of all rectangles in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  which satisfy

$$a \leq (\text{the length of shortest sides}) \leq (\text{the length of longest sides}) \leq Na.$$

The corresponding maximal operator associated to this base is denoted by  $M_{a,\leq N}$ . Then for every locally integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $a$  and  $N$  such that

$$(M_{a,N}f)(x) \leq (M_{a,\leq N}f)(x) \leq C \sup_{\alpha \in [a/N, \sqrt{da}]} (M_{\alpha,N}f)(x)$$

holds for every  $x$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ .

### References

- [CHS] Carbery, A., Hernández, E. and F. Soria, Estimates for the Kakeya maximal operator on radial functions in  $R^n$ , in Harmonic Analysis (S. Igari, ed.), ICM-90 Satellite Conference Proceedings, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1991, 41–50.
- [Co] Córdoba, A., The Kakeya maximal function and the spherical summation multiplier, Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), 1–22.
- [GR] Garcia-Cuerva, J. and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland Math. Stud. **116** (1985).
- [Ig1] Igari, S., On Kakeya's maximal function, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **62** (1986), 292–293.
- [Ig2] Igari, S., The Kakeya maximal operator with a special base, Approx. Theory and its Appl. **13** (1997), 1–7.
- [MS] Müller, D. and F. Soria, A double-weight  $L^2$  inequality for the Kakeya maximal function, Fourier Anal. Appl. Kahane Special Issue (1995), 467–478.
- [Mu] Müller, D., On weighted estimates for the Kakeya maximal operator, Colloq. Math. (Special volume homage to A. Zygmund) **60/61** (1990), 457–475.
- [Ta1] Tanaka, H., An elementary proof of an estimate for the Kakeya maximal operator on functions of product type, Tôhoku Math. J. **48** (1996), 429–435.
- [Ta2] Tanaka, H., An estimate for the Kakeya maximal operator on functions of square radial type, Tokyo J. Math. to appear.

- [Ta3] Tanaka, H., A weighted inequality for the *Keakeya* maximal operator with a special base, preprint.
- [Ta4] Tanaka, H., The *Keakeya* maximal operator and the Riesz-Bochner operator on functions of special type, Doctoral Thesis, Gakushuin university, (1998).
- [Va] Vargas, A. M., A weighted inequality for the *Keakeya* maximal operator, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 1101–1105.

(Received June 9, 1998)

Department of Mathematics  
Gakushuin University  
5-1 Mejiro 1-chome, Toshima-ku  
Tokyo 171-8588  
Japan  
E-mail: hitoshi.tanaka@gakushuin.ac.jp