The First Eigenvalue of the Laplacian on p-Forms and Metric Deformations

By Junya Takahashi

Abstract. We prove that the limits of the first eigenvalues of functions and 1-forms for modified Gentile-Pagliara's metric deformation are both 0. It essentially means that this deformation is not a counter example of Berger's problem for 1-forms.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be an *m*-dimensional connected compact oriented Riemannian manifold without boundary. The spectrum of the Laplacian $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$ acting on *p*-forms on *M* consists of only non-negative eigenvalues. We denote the *positive* eigenvalues by

$$0 < \lambda_1^{(p)}(M,g) \le \lambda_2^{(p)}(M,g) \le \dots \le \lambda_i^{(p)}(M,g) \le \dots$$

The existence of 0-eigenvalue on *p*-forms is determined only by the *p*-th Betti number $\beta_p(M)$, independently of the metric *g* by the Hodge theory. If 0-eigenvalue exists, we set $\lambda_0^{(p)} = 0$. As usual for p = 0 i.e. for functions we write $\lambda_i = \lambda_i^{(0)}$.

In 1970 J. Hersch [H-70] proved that for every Riemannian metric g on 2-sphere S^2 with volume = 1, we have

$$\lambda_1(S^2, g) \le 8\pi.$$

In 1980 P. Yang and S. T. Yau [YY-80] extended it for a connected closed oriented surface S with genus γ . That is, for every Riemannian metric g on S with volume = 1, we have

$$\lambda_1(S,g) \le 8\pi(\gamma+1).$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58G25; Secondary 35P15, 58E11, 53C20.

In 1973 from Hersch's inequality M.Berger ([Be-73] p. 138) rased the question whether the following statement holds or not. That is,

"Does there exist a constant C(M) > 0 such that for every Riemannian metric g on M with volume = 1, $\lambda_1(M, g) \leq C(M)$ follows?"

After many negative examples were found between 1979 and 1983 (e.g. [U-79], [T-79], [M-80], [MU-80], [BB-82], [Bl-83]), B.Colbois and J.Dodziuk [CD-94], [D-94] proved that for $m \geq 3$ there does not exist such constant C(M) in 1994. Moreover, for $2 \leq p \leq m-2$, $m \geq 4$ G. Gentile and V. Pagliara [GP-95] also showed that the similar statement is false in 1995. Namely, they constructed a metric deformation $\{\bar{g}_t\}_{t\geq 1}$ with volume = 1 such that $\lambda_1^{(p)}(\bar{g}_t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ for all $p = 2, \dots, m-2$. Their metric deformation is as follows. We take a connected sum of a given manifold and a sphere and lengthen the sphere part like a cylinder. Finally we regularize the volume to be 1.

For 1-forms, however, we have not yet known whether the above statement is affirmative or not. Notice that the Poincaré duality implies $\lambda_1^{(1)} = \lambda_1^{(m-1)}$.

By modifying the above \bar{g}_t (cf. Sect. 2), we have

THEOREM 1.1. Let M be an m-dimensional connected compact oriented manifold without boundary. If $m \geq 2$, there exists a metric deformation $\{\bar{g}_t\}_{t\geq 1}$ such that $\operatorname{vol}(M, \bar{g}_t) \equiv 1$ and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda_1^{(p)}(M, \bar{g}_t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (p = 0, 1, m - 1, m), \\ \infty & (p = 2, 3, \cdots, m - 2, m \ge 4). \end{cases}$$

We call the metric deformation \bar{g}_t in Theorem 1.1 modified Gentile-Pagliara's metric deformation. To construct it, the following is essential. We take m, n-dimensional $(m, n \ge 1)$ connected compact oriented Riemannian manifolds (M, g), (N, h) without boundaries. Then on the product manifold $L = M \times N$ set

$$G_t := t^{\frac{1}{m}}g \oplus t^{-\frac{1}{n}}h \ (t > 0).$$

THEOREM 1.2. We have $\operatorname{vol}(L, G_t) \equiv \operatorname{constant}$ for every t, and $\lim_{t \to \infty} \lambda_1^{(p)}(L, G_t) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } m$ Especially we remark that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda_1^{(p)}(L, G_t) = 0$ for p = 0, 1. And if we take $M = S^1$ and $N = S^{m-1}$, then we find that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda_1^{(p)}(L, G_t) = \infty$ for $p = 2, \ldots, m-2$, because of $H^k(S^{m-1}; \mathbf{R}) = 0$ $(1 \le k \le m-2, m \ge 4)$.

In Theorem 1.2 it is interested in treating eigenvalues for *p*-forms because $\lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda_1^{(p)}(L, G_t)$ depends on the topological property $H^k(N; \mathbf{R}) = 0$ $(p-m \le k \le p)$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Prof. Takushiro Ochiai for useful suggestions and constant encouragements, and also Dr. Yukio Otsu, Dr. Atsushi Fujioka, Mr. Katsuhiro Yoshiji and Mr. Naoya Ando for useful advices.

2. Modified Gentile-Pagliara's metric deformation

Let M be an m-dimensional connected compact oriented manifold without boundary. First we prepare the cylinder $C = [-1, 2] \times S^{m-1}$ and glue the m-hemisphere H to the one side of the boundary ∂C . Next we remove an m-disk from M and glue it to the other side of the boundary ∂C . We denote by \overline{M} this new manifold which is diffeomorphic to the original M(see Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. \overline{M}

We divide C into the three parts, $Z_1 = [-1, 0] \times S^{m-1}$, $Z_2 = [0, 1] \times S^{m-1}$ and $Z_3 = [1, 2] \times S^{m-1}$. We take any metric g on \overline{M} such that $g = dr^2 \oplus h$ on Z_2 , where r is the canonical coordinate of [0, 1] and h the canonical metric on $S^{m-1}(1)$. Then we define the metric deformation g_t of g by

$$g_t := \begin{cases} g & \text{on } \bar{M} \setminus Z_2, \\ f_t(r) dr^2 \oplus h & \text{on } Z_2. \end{cases}$$

Here for $t \ge 1$, $f_t(r)$ is a C^{∞} -function on [0,1] such that $1 \le f_t(r) \le t^2$ and

$$f_t(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & (r = 0, 1), \\ t^2 & (\frac{1}{3} \le r \le \frac{2}{3}), \end{cases}$$

(see Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. $f_t(r)$

Finaly, we set

$$\bar{g}_t := \operatorname{vol}(\bar{M}, g_t)^{-\frac{2}{m}} g_t,$$

then $\operatorname{vol}(\overline{M}, \overline{g}_t) \equiv 1$.

LEMMA 2.1. We have $a + \frac{b}{3}t \le \operatorname{vol}(\bar{M}, g_t) \le a + bt$ for some constants a, b > 0.

336

PROOF. From the definition of $f_t(r)$, we have $\operatorname{vol}(S^{m-1}, h) \frac{t}{3} \leq \operatorname{vol}(Z_2, g_t) \leq \operatorname{vol}(S^{m-1}, h) t$. Hence if we set $a = \operatorname{vol}(\overline{M} \setminus Z_2, g_t)$ and $b = \operatorname{vol}(S^{m-1}, h)$, we obtain Lemma 2.1. \Box

REMARK 2.2. We note that the difference between original Gentile-Pagliara's metric deformation and our modified Gentile-Pagliara's one comes from the choice of $f_t(r)$. Their $f_t(r)$ satisfies $vol(\bar{M}, g_t) = a + bt$ for some constants a, b > 0.

The next two lemmas are well-known.

LEMMA 2.3. Let (M, g) be as above. For a constant a > 0,

$$(1)\lambda_1^{(p)}(M, ag) = a^{-1}\lambda_1^{(p)}(M, g), (2) vol(M, ag) = a^{\frac{m}{2}}vol(M, g).$$

LEMMA 2.4. Let (M, g), (N, h) be m, n-dimensional connected compact oriented Riemannian manifolds. Then the spectrum of the product Riamannian manifold $(M \times N, g \oplus h)$ is given as follows:

(a) for ∂M , $\partial N = \phi$,

Spec^(p)
$$(M \times N, g \oplus h) = \{\lambda_i^{(r)}(M, g) + \lambda_j^{(s)}(N, h) \mid r + s = p, 0 \le r \le m, 0 \le s \le n, i, j = (0), 1, 2, \cdots \},\$$

(b) for $\partial M \neq \phi$, $\partial N = \phi$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition,

$$Spec_D(M \times N, g \oplus h) = \{ \mu_i(M, g) + \lambda_j(N, h) \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, j = 0, 1, \cdots \},\$$

where $\mu_i(M,g)$ is the *i*-th eigenvalue with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

LEMMA 3.1. Let (M, g) be a connected compact oriented Riemannian manifold without boundary. Then,

$$\lambda_1^{(1)} \le \lambda_1^{(0)}.$$

PROOF. Let f be the first eigenfunction of (M, g). Since Δ commutes with d, we have $\Delta(df) = d(\Delta f) = \lambda_1^{(0)} df$. By $df \neq 0$, $\lambda_1^{(0)}$ is a non-zero eigenvalue of the Laplacian on 1-forms, hence $\lambda_1^{(1)} \leq \lambda_1^{(0)}$. \Box

REMARK 3.2. We note that for a 2-dimensional connected compact oriented Riemannian manifold without boundary we have $\lambda_1^{(0)} = \lambda_1^{(1)} = \lambda_1^{(2)}$. This follows from $\lambda_1^{(1)} = \min\{\lambda_1^{(0)}, \lambda_1^{(2)}\}$ and the Poincaré duality $\lambda_1^{(0)} = \lambda_1^{(2)}$.

Next, we estimate the k-th eigenvalue from above.

LEMMA 3.3. Let (M, g) be a connected compact oriented Riemannian manifold without boundary. We take k+1 disjoint domains U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{k+1} with piece-wise C^{∞} -boundaries. Then, we obtain

$$\lambda_k(M,g) \le \max\{\mu_1(U_1), \mu_1(U_2), \cdots, \mu_1(U_{k+1})\}.$$

Here, each $\mu_1(U_i)$ $(i = 1, \dots, k+1)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on $(U_i, induced metric)$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

PROOF. We use Cheng's argument ([Ch-75], p. 292). Let φ_i be an eigenfunction for $\mu_1(U_i)$ $(i = 1, \dots, k+1)$. We set

$$\widetilde{\varphi_i} := \begin{cases} \varphi_i & \text{on } U_i , \\ 0 & \text{on } M \backslash U_i . \end{cases}$$

Because φ_i satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition, $\widetilde{\varphi_i}$ is C^0 on M and C^{∞} almost everywhere. Since $\|d\varphi_i\|_{L^2(U_i)} < \infty$, therefore $\widetilde{\varphi_i} \in L^2_1(M, g)$.

Now let u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} be orthonormal eigenfunctions on (M, g) for $\lambda_0 = 0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}$, i.e. $(u_i, u_j)_{L^2(M,g)} = \delta_{ij} \ (i \neq j), \ \Delta u_i = \lambda_i u_i$. Then there are some constants a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} (one of them is not zero) such that $(\Phi, u_i)_{L^2(M,g)} = 0 \ (i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1)$, where $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i \widetilde{\varphi_i}$. In fact, as $\operatorname{supp}(\widetilde{\varphi_i}) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\widetilde{\varphi_j}) = \phi \ (i \neq j), \ (\widetilde{\varphi_i}, \widetilde{\varphi_j})_{L^2} = \int_M \widetilde{\varphi_i} \widetilde{\varphi_j} \ v_g = 0$. So the linear spans $V := \langle \widetilde{\varphi_1}, \widetilde{\varphi_2}, \dots, \widetilde{\varphi_{k+1}} \rangle_{\mathbf{R}}, W := \langle u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \rangle_{\mathbf{R}}$ are the k+1, k-dimensional linear subspaces of $L^2(M,g)$. We define that the linear operator

P from V to W is $P(\varphi) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\varphi, u_i)_{L^2} u_i \ (\forall \varphi \in V)$. Since dim Ker $(P) = \dim V - \dim \operatorname{Im}(P) \ge \dim V - \dim W = (k+1) - k = 1$, Ker $(P) \ne 0$. Hence we can take a non-zero element Φ in Ker(P).

Then using the above Φ as a test function of the min-max principle, we obtain

$$\lambda_k(M,g) \leq \frac{\|d\Phi\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\Phi\|_{L^2}^2}.$$

Now we estimate the right-hand side from above.

$$\begin{split} \|d\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_M \langle d\Phi, d\Phi \rangle \, v_g \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i^2 \int_M \langle d\tilde{\varphi}_i, d\tilde{\varphi}_i \rangle \, v_g \\ &\quad (\text{by supp}(\tilde{\varphi}_i) \cap \text{supp}(\tilde{\varphi}_i) = \phi \ (i \neq j) \) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i^2 \int_{U_i} \Delta \varphi_i \cdot \varphi_i \, v_g \\ &\quad (\text{by Stokes' theorem}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i^2 \mu_1(U_i) \int_{U_i} \varphi_i^2 \, v_g \\ &\quad (\text{as } \varphi_i \text{ is an eigenfunction}) \\ &\leq \max_{i=1, \cdots, k+1} \left\{ \mu_1(U_i) \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i^2 \int_M \tilde{\varphi}_i^2 \, v_g \\ &= \max_{i=1, \cdots, k+1} \left\{ \mu_1(U_i) \right\} \int_M \Phi^2 \, v_g \\ &\quad (\text{by supp}(\tilde{\varphi}_i) \cap \text{supp}(\tilde{\varphi}_j) = \phi \quad (i \neq j)) \ . \end{split}$$

Therefore we get $\lambda_k(M,g) \leq \max_{i=1,2,\cdots,k+1} \{\mu_1(U_i)\}.$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. When $p = 2, 3, \dots, m-2$ and $m \ge 4$, there exists some constant C > 0 independent of t such that $\lambda_1^{(p)}(\bar{M}, g_t) \ge C$ from the proof of [G-P95] p. 3857. Hence from Lemma 2.1 and 2.3 we have

$$\lambda_1^{(p)}(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_t) = \operatorname{vol}(\bar{M}, g_t)^{\frac{2}{m}} \lambda_1^{(p)}(\bar{M}, g_t)$$

Junya Takahashi

$$\geq (a+\frac{b}{3}t)^{\frac{2}{m}}C.$$

Therefore $\lambda_1^{(p)}(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

Then we have only the cases p = 0, 1. We remark from Lemma 3.1 that we only have to prove the case p = 0, i.e. it is enough to prove that $\lambda_1(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

We take the two domains U_1, U_2 in $Z_2 = [0, 1] \times S^{m-1}$ as follows:

$$U_1 \equiv (\alpha_1, \beta_1) \times S^{m-1},$$

$$U_2 \equiv (\alpha_2, \beta_2) \times S^{m-1},$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{3} < \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \frac{2}{3}\right)$$

By the choice of $f_t(r)$, the metric g_t on U_i is $t^2 dr^2 \oplus h$. Hence by Lemma 2.4, we have for i = 1, 2

$$\begin{split} \mu_1(U_i, g_t|_{U_i}) &= \min_{k \ge 1, \ l \ge 0} \left\{ \mu_k((\alpha_i, \beta_i), t^2 dr^2) + \lambda_l(S^{m-1}, h) \right\} \\ &\leq \min_{k \ge 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{t^2} \mu_k((\alpha_i, \beta_i), dr^2) \right\} \\ &\quad \text{(by Lemma 2.3)} \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2} \mu_1((\alpha_i, \beta_i), dr^2). \end{split}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_t) &= \operatorname{vol}(\bar{M}, g_t)^{\frac{2}{m}} \lambda_1(\bar{M}, g_t) \\ &\quad (\text{ by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &\leq (a+bt)^{\frac{2}{m}} \max\left\{ \frac{1}{t^2} \mu_1((\alpha_1, \beta_1), dr^2), \frac{1}{t^2} \mu_1((\alpha_2, \beta_2), dr^2) \right\} \\ &\quad (\text{ by Lemma 2.1, 3.3 and the above }) \\ &= \frac{(a+bt)^{\frac{2}{m}}}{t^2} \max\left\{ \mu_1((\alpha_1, \beta_1), dr^2), \ \mu_1((\alpha_2, \beta_2), dr^2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m \geq 2$ and $\lambda_1(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_t) \geq 0$, we have $\lambda_1(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. \Box

340

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

First we note that $vol(L, G_t)$ is constant in t because of the construction of G_t . We obtain by Lemma 2.3 and 2.4

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1^{(p)}(L,G_t) \\ &= \min_{i,j \ge 0, \ r,s \ge 0} \left\{ \lambda_i^{(r)}(M,t^{\frac{1}{m}}g) + \lambda_j^{(s)}(N,t^{-\frac{1}{n}}h) \, | \, i^2 + j^2 \ne 0, \ r+s = p \right. \\ &= \min_{i,j \ge 0, \ r,s \ge 0} \left\{ t^{-\frac{1}{m}} \lambda_i^{(r)}(M,g) + t^{\frac{1}{n}} \lambda_j^{(s)}(N,h) \, | \, i^2 + j^2 \ne 0, \ r+s = p \right. \right\}. \end{split}$$

From the above we observe that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda_1^{(p)}(L, G_t)$ apparently depends on the existence of harmonic forms i.e. 0-eigenvalues on (M, g) and (N, h). So we divide the proof into the following cases.

(I) $m \leq n$;

(1)
$$0 \le p \le m,$$

(2) $m
(3) $n \le p \le l = m + n,$$

(II) n < m;

(4)
$$0 \le p < n,$$

(5) $n \le p \le l = m + n.$

Case (1). $(0 \le p \le m)$:

Because we can take the pair (r,s) = (p,0) in the above formula, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1}^{(p)}(L,G_{t}) &\leq t^{-\frac{1}{m}}\lambda_{1}^{(p)}(M,g) + t^{\frac{1}{n}}\lambda_{0}^{(0)}(N,h) \\ &= t^{-\frac{1}{m}}\lambda_{1}^{(p)}(M,g) \\ &\to 0 \quad (\text{ as } t \to \infty). \end{split}$$

Case (2). (m :

If there is a k_0 $(p - m \le k_0 \le p)$ such that $H^{k_0}(N; \mathbf{R}) \ne 0$, we have $\lambda_0^{(k_0)}(N, h) = 0$. Then, because we can take the pair $(r, s) = (p - k_0, k_0)$ in the above formula, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1^{(p)}(L,G_t) &\leq t^{-\frac{1}{m}} \lambda_1^{(p-k_0)}(M,g) + t^{\frac{1}{n}} \lambda_0^{(k_0)}(N,h) \\ &= t^{-\frac{1}{m}} \lambda_1^{(p-k_0)}(M,g) \\ &\to 0 \quad (\text{ as } t \to \infty). \end{split}$$

On the other hand if $H^k(N; \mathbf{R}) = 0$ ($p - m \leq k \leq p$) there is no harmonic k-form $(p - m \leq k \leq p)$. Because the possible pairs (r, s) are $(0, p), (1, p - 1), \cdots$ and (m, p - m), it follows that

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1}^{(p)}(L,G_{t}) &= \min_{p-m \leq k \leq p} \left\{ t^{-\frac{1}{m}} \lambda_{i}^{(p-k)}(M,g) + t^{\frac{1}{n}} \lambda_{j}^{(k)}(N,h) \mid i^{2} + j^{2} \neq 0 \right\} \\ &\geq t^{\frac{1}{n}} \min_{p-m \leq k \leq p} \left\{ \lambda_{1}^{(k)}(N,h) \right\} \\ &\to \infty \quad (\text{ as } t \to \infty). \end{split}$$

Case (3). $(n \le p \le l = m + n)$:

Because we can take the pair (r, s) = (p - n, n) in the above formula, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1}^{(p)}(L,G_{t}) &\leq t^{-\frac{1}{m}}\lambda_{1}^{(p-n)}(M,g) + t^{\frac{1}{n}}\lambda_{0}^{(n)}(N,h) \\ &= t^{-\frac{1}{m}}\lambda_{1}^{(p-n)}(M,g) \\ &\to 0 \quad (\text{ as } t \to \infty). \end{split}$$

Case (4). $(0 \le p < n < m)$:

Because we can take the pair (r,s) = (p,0) in the above formula, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1}^{(p)}(L,G_{t}) &\leq t^{-\frac{1}{m}}\lambda_{1}^{(p)}(M,g) + t^{\frac{1}{n}}\lambda_{0}^{(0)}(N,h) \\ &= t^{-\frac{1}{m}}\lambda_{1}^{(p)}(M,g) \\ &\to 0 \quad (\text{ as } t \to \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Case (5). $(n \le p \le l = m + n)$:

Because we can take the pair (r, s) = (p - n, n) in the above formula, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1}^{(p)}(L,G_{t}) &\leq t^{-\frac{1}{m}}\lambda_{1}^{(p-n)}(M,g) + t^{\frac{1}{n}}\lambda_{0}^{(n)}(N,h) \\ &= t^{-\frac{1}{m}}\lambda_{1}^{(p-n)}(M,g) \\ &\to 0 \quad (\text{ as } t \to \infty). \end{split}$$

Therefore we have just finished the proof of Theorem 1.2. \Box

References

- [Be-73] Berger, M., Sur les première valeurs propres des variétés riemanniennes, Compositio Math. **26** (1973), 129–149.
- [BB-82] Bérard Bergery, L. and J. P. Bourguignon, Laplacians and Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibres, Illinois J. Math. 26 (1982), 181–200.
- [Bl-83] Bleecker, D., The spectrum of a Riemannian manifold with a unit Killing vector field, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983), 409–416.
- [Ch-75] Cheng, S. Y., Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications, Math. Zeit. 143 (1975), 289–297.
- [CD-94] Colbois, B. and J. Dodziuk, Riemannian manifolds with large λ_1 , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), 905–906.
- [D-94] Dodziuk, J., Nonexistence of universal upper bounds for the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, Contemporary Math. 173 (1994), 109–114.
- [GP-95] Gentile, G. and V. Pagliara, Riemannian metrics with large first eigenvalue on forms of degree p, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 3855– 3858.
- [H-70] Hersch, J., Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques des membrannes sphériques homogénes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 270 (1970), 139– 144.
- [M-80] Muto, H., The first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on even dimensional spheres, Tôhoku Math. J. **32** (1980), 427–432.
- [MU-80] Muto, H. and H. Urakawa, On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian on compact homogeneous spaces, Osaka J. Math. **17** (1980), 471– 484.
- [T-79] Tanno, S., The first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on spheres, Tôhoku Math. J. 31 (1979), 179–185.
- [U-79] Urakawa, H., On the least eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact group manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan **31** (1979), 209–226.

[YY-80] Yang, P. and S. T. Yau, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 7 (1980), 55–63.

(Received October 29, 1997)

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences The University of Tokyo 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro Tokyo 153-8914, Japan E-mail: junya@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp