

## *Asymptotic behaviour of the sequence of norms of derivatives\**

By Ha Huy BANG

**Abstract.** Two exact asymptotic inequalities for derivatives, which show a relation between behaviour of the sequence of norms of derivatives of a function and the support of its Fourier transform, are given in this paper.

Let  $I$  be an unbounded set of multi-indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ ,  $1 \leq p_\alpha \leq \infty$  and let  $f(x)$  be a measurable function such that its generalized derivative  $D^\alpha f(x)$  belongs to  $L_{p_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for any  $\alpha \in I$ . In this paper we will describe behaviour of the sequence  $\|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in I$ , in the connection with  $\text{supp} Ff$ , where  $Ff(\xi) = \tilde{f}(\xi)$  is the Fourier transform of the function  $f(x)$ . The necessity of the consideration is clear from the definition of the Sobolev spaces of infinite order [5 - 6]. Note that Sobolev spaces of infinite order, which arise in the study of nonlinear (or linear) differential equations of infinite order, were introduced by Ju.A. Dubinskii in 1975 and studied by him, T.D. Van, G.S. Balashova, L.I. Klenina, Ju.A. Konjaev, A.Ja. Kobilov, S.R. Umarov, A.N. Agadzhanov and the author (see, for example, [5 - 6] and their references). The obtained results improve the corresponding results in [1 - 2], which are helpful for establishing nontriviality criteria and imbedding theorems for Sobolev spaces of infinite order (see, for example, [2 - 4]).

We will use the following standard notations:  $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$ ,  $D_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\text{sp}(f) = \text{supp} \tilde{f}$  and  $W_{m,2}(G)$ ,  $W_{m,2}^0(G)$  -

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D10, 42B10, 46E30.

Key words: Inequalities for derivatives, Fourier transform.

\*Supported by the National Basic Research Program in Natural Science and by the NCNST "Applied Mathematics".

the classical Sobolev spaces (see, for example, [7 - 8]). And we presuppose that  $0^0 = \frac{0}{0} = 1, \frac{\lambda}{0} = \infty$  for  $\lambda > 0, f(x) \in \mathcal{S}'$  and  $f(x) \neq 0$ .

We will show the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $I$  be an unbounded set of integral multi-indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \alpha_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, n, 1 \leq p_\alpha \leq \infty$  and let  $f(x)$  be a measurable function such that its generalized derivative  $D^\alpha f(x)$  belongs to  $L_{p_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \alpha \in I$ . Then*

$$(1) \quad (I) \quad \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} / |\xi^\alpha|)^{1/|\alpha|} \geq 1$$

for any point  $\xi \in \text{sp}(f)$ , where the notation (I) means that we take the limit only for  $\alpha \in I$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\xi^0 \in \text{sp}(f), \xi_j^0 \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, n$ . For the sake of convenience, we assume that  $\xi_j^0 > 0, j = 1, \dots, n$ . We fix a number  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \xi_j^0$  and choose a domain  $G$  with a smooth boundary such that  $\xi^0 \in G$  and  $G \subset \{\xi : \xi_j^0 - \epsilon \leq \xi_j \leq \xi_j^0 + \epsilon, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Further we fix a function  $\tilde{v}(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(G)$  such that  $\xi^0 \in \text{supp}(\tilde{v}\tilde{f})$ . Then

$$(2) \quad \langle \tilde{v}(\xi)\tilde{f}(\xi), \tilde{w}(\xi) \rangle = \langle f(x), \varphi(x) \rangle,$$

where  $\tilde{w}(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(G)$  is an arbitrary function,  $\varphi(x) = \check{v} * \check{w}(x)$  and  $\check{u}(x) = u(-x)$ . Since the distribution  $\tilde{v}(\xi)\tilde{f}(\xi)$  has a compact support, it can be represented in the form

$$\tilde{v}(\xi)\tilde{f}(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha h_\alpha(\xi),$$

where  $m$  is a nonnegative integer and  $h_\alpha(\xi)$  are ordinary functions in  $G$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $m \geq 2n$ .

It is well - known that the Dirichlet problem for the elliptic differential equation

$$L_{2m}\tilde{z}(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (D^\alpha \tilde{z}(\xi)) = \tilde{v}(\xi)\tilde{f}(\xi)$$

has a (unique) solution  $\tilde{z}(\xi) \in W_{m,2}^0(G)$  (see, for example, [7, p. 82]). Because of (2) we obtain

$$(3) \quad \langle \tilde{z}(\xi), L_{2m}\tilde{w}(\xi) \rangle = \langle f(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for all  $\tilde{w}(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(G)$ . The left side of (3) admits a closure up to an arbitrary function  $\tilde{w}(\xi) \in W_{m,2}^0(G)$ . Hence, replacing  $\tilde{w}(\xi)$  by  $\xi^\alpha \tilde{w}(\xi)$ , we get

$$(4) \quad \langle \tilde{z}(\xi), L_{2m}(\xi^\alpha \tilde{w}(\xi)) \rangle = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \langle D^\alpha f(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for all  $\tilde{w}(\xi) \in W_{m,2}^0(G)$ .

Now let  $\tilde{w}_0(\xi) \in W_{m,2}^0(G)$  be the solution of the equation  $L_{2m}\tilde{w}_0(\xi) = \overline{\tilde{z}(\xi)}$ . Since  $0 \notin G$ , we get

$$L_{2m}(\xi^\alpha \tilde{w}_\alpha(\xi)) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\epsilon)^{\alpha_j} \overline{\tilde{z}(\xi)},$$

where  $\tilde{w}_\alpha(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\epsilon)^{\alpha_j} \xi^{-\alpha} \tilde{w}_0(\xi)$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Therefore, it follows from (4) that

$$(5) \quad \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\epsilon)^{\alpha_j} \langle \tilde{z}(\xi), \overline{\tilde{z}(\xi)} \rangle \leq \|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} \|v\|_1 \|w_\alpha\|_{q_\alpha},$$

where  $1/p_\alpha + 1/q_\alpha = 1$ .

On the other hand, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(6) \quad \|v\|_1 \|w_\alpha\|_{q_\alpha} \leq C, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Indeed, let  $|\beta| \leq 2n$ . Using

$$x^\beta w_\alpha(x) = (-i)^{|\beta|} \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\epsilon)^{\alpha_j} \int_G e^{ix\xi} D^\beta (\xi^{-\alpha} \tilde{w}_0(\xi)) d\xi,$$

the Leibniz formula and the definition of  $G$ , we get

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x^\beta w_\alpha(x)| \leq C_1 \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\xi_j^0 - 2\epsilon}{\xi_j^0 - \epsilon}\right)^{\alpha_j} \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \dots (\alpha_k + \gamma_k - 1),$$

where

$$C_1 = \max\left\{ \int_G |\xi^{-\gamma} D^{\beta-\gamma} \tilde{w}_0(\xi)| d\xi : \gamma \leq \beta, |\beta| \leq 2n \right\}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \dots (\alpha_k + \gamma_k - 1) < (|\alpha| + 2n)^{2n}$$

(because of  $|\gamma| \leq |\beta| \leq 2n$ ),

$$2^{|\beta|} = \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma}$$

and

$$\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (|\alpha| + 2n)^{2n} \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\xi_j^0 - 2\epsilon}{\xi_j^0 - \epsilon} \right)^{\alpha_j} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\beta \omega_\alpha(x)| \leq C_2$$

for all  $|\beta| \leq 2n$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Therefore, there is an absolute constant  $C_3$  such that

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + x_1^2) \dots (1 + x_n^2) |w_\alpha(x)| \leq C_3, \alpha \geq 0.$$

So we have proved (6) with  $C = C_3 \pi^n \|v\|_1$ . Combining (5) and (6) we obtain

$$1 \leq \liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j^0 - 2\epsilon)^{-\alpha_j})^{1/|\alpha|}.$$

Therefore, since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrarily chosen and

$$\left[ \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\xi_j^0 - 2\epsilon}{\xi_j^0} \right)^{-\alpha_j} \right]^{1/|\alpha|} \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{\xi_j^0}{\xi_j^0 - 2\epsilon}$$

we obtain (1) (with  $\xi = \xi^0$ ) by letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Now we prove (1) for “zero points”: Let  $\xi^0 \in \text{sp}(f)$ ,  $\xi^0 \neq 0$  and  $\xi_1^0 \dots \xi_n^0 = 0$ . For the sake of convenience, we assume that  $\xi_j^0 > 0, j = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\xi_{k+1}^0 = \dots = \xi_n^0 = 0 (1 \leq k < n)$ . Then it is sufficient to show (1) only

for indices  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha_{k+1} = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$ . Then the proof is analogous to the above one after the following modification of choosing  $\epsilon$ : We fix a number  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \xi_j^0$ .

The proof is complete.  $\square$

If  $\text{sp}(f)$  is bounded, we have the following more exact result:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $I$  be an unbounded set of integral multi-indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\alpha_j \geq 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $1 \leq p_\alpha \leq \infty$ , let  $f(x)$  be a measurable function such that its generalized derivative  $D^\alpha f(x)$  belongs to  $L_{p_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\alpha \in I$  and  $\text{sp}(f)$  be bounded. Then*

$$(7) \quad (I) \quad \liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} ( \|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} / \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha| )^{1/|\alpha|} \geq 1.$$

**PROOF.** It is sufficient to show that

$$(8) \quad (P) \quad \liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} ( \|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} / \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha| )^{1/|\alpha|} \geq 1,$$

where  $P$  is the set of all  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $\sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha| > 0$ . Assume the contrary, that there exist a subsequence  $I_1 \subset P$ , a number  $\lambda < 1$  and a vector  $\beta \geq 0, |\beta| = 1$  such that

$$(9) \quad (I_1) \quad \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} ( \|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} / \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha| )^{1/|\alpha|} < \lambda,$$

$$(10) \quad (I_1) \quad \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} = \beta.$$

Note that

$$(11) \quad (I_1) \quad \liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|} > 0.$$

Indeed, assume the contrary, that there exists a subsequence  $J \subset I_1$  such that

$$(12) \quad (J) \quad \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|} = 0.$$

For any  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we put

$$T_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \{ \alpha \geq 0 : \alpha_{i_1} \neq 0, \dots, \alpha_{i_k} \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_j = 0 \text{ if } j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \} .$$

Then there exist  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $J_{i_1 \dots i_k} = J \cap T_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  is unbounded. Therefore, we get

$$(J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|} \geq (J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \liminf_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} |\eta^\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|} > 0,$$

where  $\eta$  is any point of  $\text{sp}(f)$  such that  $\eta_{i_1} \neq 0, \dots, \eta_{i_k} \neq 0$ . This contradicts (12). So we have proved (11).

Further, let  ${}_\alpha \xi \in \text{sp}(f) : |{}_\alpha \xi^\alpha| = \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha|$ . Then  ${}_\alpha \xi_{i_1} \neq 0, \dots, {}_\alpha \xi_{i_k} \neq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in J_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  and, by taking a subsequence, without loss of generality we may assume that for some  $\xi^* \in \text{sp}(f)$

$$(13) \quad (J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} {}_\alpha \xi = \xi^* .$$

Now we consider two cases of  $\xi^*$ :

If  $\xi_{i_j}^* \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then, obviously,

$$(J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} |{}_\alpha \xi^\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|} = |\xi^{*\beta}| = (J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} |\xi^{*\alpha}|^{1/|\alpha|}$$

which together with  $\xi^* \in \text{sp}(f)$ , (1) and (9) implies

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq (J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} ( \|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} / |\xi^{*\alpha}| )^{1/|\alpha|} = \\ &= (J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} ( \|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} / \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha| )^{1/|\alpha|} < \lambda < 1 , \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

Otherwise, without loss of generality we may assume that  $\xi_{i_1}^* = \dots = \xi_{i_m}^* = 0$  and  $\xi_{i_{m+1}}^* \neq 0, \dots, \xi_{i_k}^* \neq 0$  for some  $1 \leq m \leq k$ .

From (11) and (13), it follows that  $\xi^* \neq 0$ , Therefore,  $m < k$ . Further, by virtue of (10) - (11), (13), the definition of  ${}_\alpha \xi$  and  $\xi_{i_1}^* = \dots = \xi_{i_m}^* = 0$ , we obtain  $\beta_{i_1} = \dots = \beta_{i_m} = 0$ . Since, clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} & (J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} |{}_\alpha \xi_{i_{m+1}}^{\alpha i_{m+1}} \dots {}_\alpha \xi_{i_k}^{\alpha i_k}|^{1/|\alpha|} = |\xi_{i_{m+1}}^{*\beta_{i_{m+1}}} \dots \xi_{i_k}^{*\beta_{i_k}}| \\ & = (J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} |\xi_{i_{m+1}}^{*\alpha i_{m+1}} \dots \xi_{i_k}^{*\alpha i_k}|^{1/|\alpha|} , \end{aligned}$$

there exist  $\nu \in J_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  and  $N > 0$  such that

$$(14) \quad |{}_\alpha \xi_{i_\ell}| \leq \lambda^{-1} |\nu \xi_{i_\ell}|, \ell = m+1, \dots, k$$

for all  $|\alpha| \geq N, \alpha \in J_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ .

On the other hand, it follows from  ${}_\nu \xi_{i_1} \neq 0, \dots, {}_\nu \xi_{i_m} \neq 0$  and

$$(J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} {}_\alpha \xi_{i_j} = \xi_{i_j}^* = 0, j = 1, \dots, m$$

that there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$|{}_\alpha \xi_{i_j}| \leq |\nu \xi_{i_j}|, j = 1, \dots, m$$

for all  $|\alpha| \geq M, \alpha \in J_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ . This together with (14) implies

$$|{}_\alpha \xi_{i_j}| \leq \lambda^{-1} |\nu \xi_{i_j}|, j = 1, \dots, k$$

for all  $|\alpha| \geq \max\{M, N\}, \alpha \in J_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ . Therefore,

$$\sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|} = |{}_\alpha \xi^\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|} \leq \lambda^{-1} |\nu \xi^\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|}$$

which together with (1) and (9) implies

$$\begin{aligned} 1 & \leq (J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (||D^\alpha f||_{p_\alpha} / |\nu \xi^\alpha|)^{1/|\alpha|} \leq \\ & \leq (J_{i_1 \dots i_k}) \lambda^{-1} \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (||D^\alpha f||_{p_\alpha} / \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha|)^{1/|\alpha|} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

We thus arrive at a contradiction. So we have proved (8) and then Theorem 2.  $\square$

REMARK 1. Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n), 0 < \sigma_j < \infty, j = 1, \dots, n, \Delta_\sigma = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi_j| \leq \sigma_j, j = 1, \dots, n\}, 1 \leq p_\alpha \leq \infty, f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\text{sp}(f) \subset \Delta_\sigma$ . Then it follows from the Nikolskii inequality [9 - 10] and the Bernstein - Nikolskii inequality [10, p. 114] that  $D^\alpha f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $\alpha \geq 0$  and

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} \leq \sigma^\alpha \|f\|_{p_\alpha} \leq 2^n \sigma^\alpha (\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n)^{1-1/p_\alpha} \|f\|_1, \alpha \geq 0.$$

Therefore, if  $\text{sp}(f)$  contains at least one vertex of the parallelepiped  $\Delta_\sigma$  (such a function  $f$  exists), then because of (1), we get

$$(15) \quad \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} / \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^\alpha|)^{1/|\alpha|} = \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} / \sigma^\alpha)^{1/|\alpha|} = 1,$$

which means that inequalities (1) and (7) hold with equality.

REMARK 2. Because of (15), it is natural to ask whether we always have

$$(I) \quad \lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} (\|D^\alpha f\|_{p_\alpha} / \sigma^\alpha)^{1/|\alpha|} = 1$$

if  $\text{sp}(f) \subset \Delta_\sigma$  and  $\text{sp}(f)$  contains at least one vertex of  $\Delta_\sigma$ . Unfortunately, this fact is false. For simplicity we will construct a counterexample for the case  $n = 1$  : Let  $f(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}$ . Then  $f(x) \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$  for any  $p > 1, f(x) \notin L_1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^m| = 1$  for all  $m \geq 1$  because of

$$\tilde{f}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \leq 1, \\ 0, & |\xi| > 1. \end{cases}$$

We first observe that

$$(16) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \|D^m f\|_p = \infty$$

for any  $m = 0, 1, \dots$ . Actually, case  $m = 0$  is easy to show. Let  $m \geq 1$ . Then

$$D^m f(x) = f(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k k! C_m^k x^{-k-1} D^{m-k} \sin x.$$



Therefore, since

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{D^{m-k} \sin x}{x^{k+1}} \right|^p dx \leq \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^2} = 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad \|D^m f\|_p &> \left( \int_1^\infty |D^m f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \geq \\ &\geq \left( \int_1^\infty |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} - \sum_{k=1}^m k! C_m^k. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \int_1^\infty |f(x)|^p dx = \infty$$

which together with (17) imply (16).

In view of (16), there are  $p_m > 1, m = 1, 2, \dots$  such that

$$\|D^m f\|_{p_m} \geq m^m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|D^m f\|_{p_m}^{1/m} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|D^m f\|_{p_m} / \sup_{\text{sp}(f)} |\xi^m|)^{1/m} = \infty.$$

REMARK 3. Theorems 1 - 2 still hold for functions defined on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$ .

REMARK 4. Theorems 1 - 2 still hold for  $0 < p_\alpha \leq \infty$ .

**References**

- [1] Bang, H. H., A property of infinitely differentiable functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (1) **108** (1990), 73–76.
- [2] Bang, H. H., Nontriviality criteria for Sobolev - Orlicz classes and spaces of infinite order in a full Euclidean space, Sibir. Mat. Jour. (1) **31** (1990), 208–213.
- [3] Bang, H. H., On imbedding theorems for Sobolev spaces of infinite order, Mat. Sbornik (1) **136** (1988), 115–127.
- [4] Bang, H. H., Some imbedding theorems for the spaces of infinite order of periodic functions, Mat. Zametki (4) **43** (1988), 509–517.
- [5] Dubinskii, Ju. A., Sobolev spaces of infinite order and differential equations, Teubner - Texte, zur Mathematik, Bd. 87, Leipzig, 1986.
- [6] Dubinskii, Ju. A., Sobolev spaces of infinite order, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk (6) **46** (1991), 107–147.
- [7] Egorov, Ju. B., Lectures on Partial Differential Equations, Moscow State Univ. Press, Moscow, 1975.
- [8] Hörmander, L., The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I, Grundlehren 256, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1983.
- [9] Nessel, R. J. and G. Wilmes, Nikolskii-type inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and entire functions of exponential type, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **25** (1978), 7–18.
- [10] Nikolskii, S. M., Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Imbedding Theorems, “Nauka”, Moscow, 1977.

(Received May 2, 1994)

(Revised July 20, 1995)

Institute of Mathematics  
P.O. Box 631  
Bo Ho, Hanoi  
Vietnam.