

# A new look at $C^*$ -simplicity and the unique trace property

(based on work by Uffe Haagerup)

Mikael Rørdam  
rordam@math.ku.dk

Department of Mathematics  
University of Copenhagen

Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics  
Sendai, August 11, 2016.

- ▶  $G =$  (countable) discrete group;  $X =$  compact Hausdorff space.

### Definition (Furstenberg, 1963)

A compact  $G$ -space  $X$  is *strongly proximal* if

$$\forall \mu \in \text{Prob}(X) : \overline{G \cdot \mu} \cap \{\delta_x : x \in X\} \neq \emptyset.$$

$G \curvearrowright X$  is a *boundary action* if it is **strongly proximal** and **minimal**.

- ▶ Given  $G \curvearrowright X$ . TFAE:

- $G \curvearrowright X$  is a boundary action,
- $\forall \mu \in \text{Prob}(X) : \{\delta_x : x \in X\} \subseteq \overline{G \cdot \mu}$ ,
- $\forall x \in X \exists (g_i) \subseteq G \forall \mu \in \text{Prob}(X) : g_i \cdot \mu \rightarrow \delta_x$ .

- ▶ If a bdry action  $G \curvearrowright X$  admits an **invariant prob. measure**  $\mu$ , then  $X = \{\text{pt}\}$ . In particular, the only bdry action  $G \curvearrowright X$  of an **amenable** group  $G$  is the trivial one:  $X = \{\text{pt}\}$ .

Furstenberg made the following further observations:

- ▶ If  $G \curvearrowright Y$  is a **quotient** of boundary action  $G \curvearrowright X$ , i.e.,  $Y = q(X)$  for some cts  $G$ -map  $q$ , then  $G \curvearrowright Y$  is a boundary action.
- ▶ If  $(X_i)$  are strongly proximal  $G$ -spaces, then so is  $\prod_i X_i$  (wrt the **diagonal** action).
- ▶ There is a **universal** boundary action  $G \curvearrowright \partial_F G$ , i.e., every other boundary action is a quotient of  $G \curvearrowright \partial_F G$  (now called the **Furstenberg boundary**).
- ▶  $\partial_F G \neq \{\text{pt}\}$  iff  $G$  is non-amenable.

**Proposition (Furman, 2003).**

$g \in G$  acts non-trivially on  $\partial_F G \iff g \notin \text{Rad}(G)$ .

$\text{Rad}(G)$  = the largest normal amenable subgroup of  $G$   
 = **the amenable radical of  $G$**

**Definition (Laca–Spielberg, Glasner).** An action  $G \curvearrowright X$  is a **strong boundary action** if for every open set  $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq X$  and compact set  $K \subset X$  there exists  $g \in G$  st  $g.K \subseteq U$ .

► Strong boundary  $\Rightarrow$  boundary. ( $\Leftarrow$  does not hold.)

**Example:** The action of a **non-elementary word hyperbolic group**  $G$  on its Gromov boundary  $\partial G$  is a strong boundary action (and hence a boundary action).

**Theorem (Laca–Spielberg):** If  $G \curvearrowright X$  is a **strong boundary action**, then  $C(X) \rtimes_{\text{red}} G$  is **simple** and **purely infinite**. If, furthermore, the action is amenable,  $G$  is countable and  $X$  is metrizable, then  $C(X) \rtimes_{\text{red}} G$  is a **Kirchberg algebra**.

► In the theorem above, one can relax “strong boundary action” to the statement that each clopen set is  **$G$ -paradoxical** relatively to the clopen subsets of  $X$ , provided that  $X$  is totally disconnected.

►  $\tau_0 =$  the canonical (faithful) tracial state on  $C_\lambda^*(G)$ .

**Theorem (Powers, 1975):**  $C_\lambda^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is **simple** (and has a **unique tracial state**). Moreover,  $\forall a \in C_\lambda^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{F}_2$ :

$$\left\| \tau_0(a)\mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(g_j) a \lambda(g_j)^* \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

**Question:** For which groups  $G$  is  $C_\lambda^*(G)$  **simple**? has **unique tracial state**? **Partial answer** (de la Harpe):

$$C_\lambda^*(G) \text{ simple} \Rightarrow \text{Rad}(G) = \{e\} \Leftarrow C_\lambda^*(G) \text{ unique trace.}$$

**Theorem (Kalantar–Kennedy, 2014).**

$C_\lambda^*(G)$  **simple**  $\iff G \curvearrowright \partial_F G$  is (topologically) free.

► Breuillard–Kalantar–Kennedy–Ozawa (BKKO):  
“topological freeness”  $\implies$  “freeness” for actions  $G \curvearrowright \partial_F G$ .

**Theorem (Furman, 2003).**

$\text{Rad}(G) = \{e\}$   $\iff G \curvearrowright \partial_F G$  is **faithful**.

**Theorem (Breuillard–Kalantar–Kennedy–Ozawa, 2014).**

$C_\lambda^*(G)$  has unique trace  $\iff \text{Rad}(G) = \{e\}$ .

- ▶ As a consequence, BKKO can conclude: BKKO + **Le Boudec** can conclude:

$C_\lambda^*(G)$  simple  $\Rightarrow \not\Rightarrow \text{Rad}(G) = \{e\} \Leftrightarrow C_\lambda^*(G)$  unique trace.

- ▶ Using the Kalantar–Kennedy theorem, BKKO established  $C^*$ -simplicity for large classes of groups (with simpler proofs, when already known).

**Theorem (Le Boudec, 2015).** There exists a class of groups  $G$  st  $\text{Rad}(G) = \{e\}$ , while  $C_\lambda^*(G)$  is non-simple.

- ▶ Ivanov and Omland produced in 2016 new examples of non- $C^*$ -simple groups with trivial amenable radical arising as amalgamated free products.

► Given  $G \curvearrowright X$ , we have

$$C_\lambda^*(G) \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_r G, \quad C(X) \subseteq C(X) \rtimes_r G,$$

satisfying:  $\lambda(g)f = \alpha_g(f)\lambda(g)$ , where  $\alpha_g(f)(x) = f(g^{-1}.x)$ ,  
 $f \in C(X)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma.** If  $\varphi$  is a state on  $C(X) \rtimes_r G$  and  $x \in X$  st  $\varphi|_{C(X)} = \delta_x$ ,  
 then  $\varphi(\lambda(g)) = 0$ , for all  $g \in G$  st  $g.x \neq x$ .  
 In particular, if  $G_x = \{e\}$ , then  $\varphi|_{C_\lambda^*(G)} = \tau_0$ .

**Proof:**  $\varphi$  is multiplicative on  $C(X)$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $G \curvearrowright X$  be a **bdry action**, let  $\tau$  be a **tracial state** on  
 $C_\lambda^*(G)$ , and let  $x \in X$ .  
 Then  $\tau$  extends to a state  $\varphi$  on  $C(X) \rtimes_r G$  st  $\varphi|_{C(X)} = \delta_x$ .

**Theorem (BKKO).** If  $g \notin \text{Rad}(G)$ , then  $\tau(\lambda(g)) = 0$ , for all  
 tracial states  $\tau$  on  $C_\lambda^*(G)$ . In particular,

$$\text{Rad}(G) = \{e\} \iff C_\lambda^*(G) \text{ has unique tracial state.}$$

**Theorem (BKKO).** If  $g \notin \text{Rad}(G)$ , then  $\tau(\lambda(g)) = 0$ , for all tracial states  $\tau$  on  $C_\lambda^*(G)$ . In particular,

$$\text{Rad}(G) = \{e\} \iff C_\lambda^*(G) \text{ has unique tracial state.}$$

**Theorem (Haagerup).** Let  $g \in G$ :

$$g \notin \text{Rad}(G) \iff 0 \in \overline{\text{conv}}\{\lambda(hgh^{-1}) : h \in G\}.$$

► The proof uses Hahn–Banach and Furman’s characterization of  $\text{Rad}(G)$  in terms of boundary actions.

**Corollary (Haagerup).**  $C_\lambda^*(G)$  has unique tracial state iff  $\forall g \in G \setminus \{e\} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists h_1, \dots, h_m \in G$  st

$$\left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda(h_j g h_j^{-1}) \right\| < \varepsilon.$$



## Theorem (Furman, Haagerup, BKKO)

Let  $G$  be a group. TFAE:

- 1  $C_\lambda^*(G)$  has unique tracial state,
- 2  $G$  admits a faithful boundary action,
- 3  $\text{Rad}(G) = \{e\}$ ,
- 4  $\forall g \in G \setminus \{e\} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists h_1, \dots, h_m \in G$  st

$$\left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda(h_j g h_j^{-1}) \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

**Lemma** (from before). If  $\varphi$  is a state on  $C(X) \rtimes_r G$  and  $x \in X$  st  $\varphi|_{C(X)} = \delta_x$  and  $G_x = \{e\}$ , then  $\varphi|_{C_\lambda^*(G)} = \tau_0$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $G$  be a  $C^*$ -simple group and let  $\varphi$  be a state on  $C_\lambda^*(G)$ . Then  $\exists (g_i) \subseteq G$  st  $g_i \cdot \varphi \rightarrow \tau_0$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $G$  be a  $C^*$ -simple group. Then  $\exists (g_i) \subseteq G$  st  $g_i \cdot \varphi \rightarrow \tau_0$ , for all states  $\varphi$  on  $C_\lambda^*(G)$ .

Moreover,  $g_i \cdot \omega \rightarrow \omega(\mathbf{1})\tau_0$  for all  $\omega \in C_\lambda^*(G)^*$ .

**Theorem (Haagerup, Kennedy).**  $C_\lambda^*(G)$  is simple iff  $\forall g_1, \dots, g_n \in G \setminus \{e\} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists h_1, \dots, h_m \in G$  st

$$\left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda(h_j g_i h_j^{-1}) \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

## Theorem (Kennedy-Kalantar, Haagerup, BKKO)

Let  $G$  be a group. TFAE:

- ①  $C_\lambda^*(G)$  is *simple*,
- ②  $G$  admits a (topologically) *free boundary action*,
- ③  $\tau_0 \in \overline{\{g \cdot \varphi : g \in G\}}$ , for all states  $\varphi$  on  $C_\lambda^*(G)$ ,
- ④  $\exists (g_i) \subseteq G$  st  $g_i \cdot \varphi \rightarrow \tau_0$ , for all states  $\varphi$  on  $C_\lambda^*(G)$ ,
- ⑤  $\forall g_1, \dots, g_n \in G \setminus \{e\} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists h_1, \dots, h_m \in G$  st

$$\left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda(h_j g_i h_j^{-1}) \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

- ⑥  $C_\lambda^*(G)$  has the *Dixmier property*:

$$\overline{\text{conv}}\{uxu^* : u \text{ unitary in } C_\lambda^*(G)\} \cap \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1} \neq \emptyset,$$

for all  $x \in C_\lambda^*(G)$ .