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## 1 Introduction

This is an announcement of a recent joint work of Tetsushi Ito and the author on the $\ell$-adic cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink tower for GSp ${ }_{4}$. The RapoportZink tower for $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$ is a $p$-adic local counterpart of the Siegel threefold. Its $\ell$-adic cohomology $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i}$ is naturally equipped with actions of three groups; the Weil group of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}, \operatorname{GSp}_{4}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ and a non-trivial inner form $J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ of $\operatorname{GSp}_{4}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. These actions are expected to be strongly related with the local Langlands correspondence, but they are not fully understood yet. In this work, we focus on a certain class of non-tempered local $A$-packets of $J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$, called the local Saito-Kurokawa $A$-packets. We determine how these $A$-packets and the associated $L$-packets contribute to the $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$-supercuspidal part of $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i}$. See Theorem 3.1 for the precise statement.

The outline of this article is as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief review of the local Langlands correspondence. We also recall the Lubin-Tate tower, which is essential to prove the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$. In Section 3, we introduce the Rapoport-Zink tower for $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$, which is a $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$-version of the LubinTate tower. After that, we state our main theorem and explain the ideas of the proof.

## 2 Local Langlands correspondence

Throughout this article, we fix a prime number $p$. In this section, we briefly recall the local Langlands correspondence. Let $G$ be a connected reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. We assume that $G$ is an inner form of a split group for simplicity. We write $\Pi(G)$ for the set of the isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth representations (over $\mathbb{C}$ ) of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$, and $\Phi(G)$ for the set of the $\widehat{G}$-conjugacy classes of $L$-parameters $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \widehat{G}$. Here $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ denotes the Weil group of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, and $\widehat{G}$ denotes the dual group of $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$. The local Langlands correspondence for $G$ is a conjectural map LLC: $\Pi(G) \rightarrow \Phi(G)$ with finite fibers. The fiber $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}$ of $\phi \in \Phi(G)$ is called the $L$-packet of $\phi$. The map LLC is expected to be surjective when $G$ is split.

If $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, then $\widehat{G}$ equals $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, and an $L$-parameter $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ is identified with an $n$-dimensional semisimple representation of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. The
local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ has been proved by Harris-Taylor [HT01] (see also [Hen00] and [Sch13]). In this case, every $L$-packet is a singleton; in other words, the map LLC: $\Pi\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Phi\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ is bijective. Let us briefly recall the construction of $\operatorname{LLC}(\pi)$ for a supercuspidal $\pi \in \Pi\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$. It is given by using the Lubin-Tate tower $\left\{M_{K}\right\}_{K \subset G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$, which is a projective system of rigid spaces over $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}$ indexed by compact open subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Here are basic geometric properties of the Lubin-Tate tower:

- $M_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}=\coprod_{\mathbb{Z}}\left((n-1)\right.$-dimensional open unit disk over $\left.\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{ur}}\right)$.
- $M_{K} / M_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ is a finite étale covering. In particular, each $M_{K}$ is an $(n-$ 1)-dimensional smooth rigid space over $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{ur}}$. If $K$ is an open normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, then $M_{K} / M_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ is a Galois covering with Galois group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) / K$.
The group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ acts on the projective system $\left\{M_{K}\right\}_{K \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$; it is a local analogue of the Hecke action. The group $D^{\times}$also acts on the tower, where $D$ is the central division algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with invariant $1 / n$. Now we fix a prime number $\ell$ and an isomorphism $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \cong \mathbb{C}$. We put $H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{i}=\lim _{K} H_{c}^{i}\left(M_{K} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}} \mathbb{C}_{p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. It is equipped with an action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \times D^{\times} \times W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. Roughly speaking, the $L$-parameter $\operatorname{LLC}(\pi)$ for a supercuspidal $\pi \in \Pi\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ is constructed by using the irreducible decomposition of $H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{n-1}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([Car86], [HT01], [Boy09]) Let $\pi$ be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. We put $\rho=J L(\pi)$, where $J L$ denotes the JacquetLanglands correspondence between $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ and $D^{\times}$. Then $\operatorname{LLC}(\pi)$ is a unique irreducible n-dimensional representation of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ (which is regarded as a representation of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ by the first projection) satisfying the following:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{D \times}\left(H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{n-1}, \rho\right)^{\mathrm{sm}} \cong \pi \boxtimes \operatorname{LLC}(\pi)\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)
$$

Here $(-)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ denotes the smooth part with respect to the $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$-action, and $\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$ denotes the Tate twist.

Remark 2.2 If $i \neq n-1$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{D \times}\left(H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{i}, \rho\right)^{\mathrm{sm}}=0$. See [Boy09].
The key of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is to relate $\left\{M_{K}\right\}_{K \subset G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ to a certain Shimura variety. Let us explain it in the case $n=2$. In the following we write $\mathbb{A}$ for the ring of adeles of $\mathbb{Q}$. For a compact open subgroup $K^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$, let $\mathrm{Sh}_{K^{\prime}}$ denote the modular curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with level $K^{\prime}$. We write $\mathrm{Sh}_{K^{\prime}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}}^{\text {an }}$ for the rigid space over $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}$ associated with $\mathrm{Sh}_{K^{\prime}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{u r}}}=\mathrm{Sh}_{K^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{ur}}$. We fix a sufficiently small compact open subgroup $K^{p}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$. We write $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}}$ for the integral modular curve over $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ with level $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}$. The supersingular locus of its mod $p$ fiber $\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$. We have the specialization map sp: $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{ur}}}^{\text {an }} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}$. Let $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}}^{\text {sser }}$ be the rigid analytic open
subset of $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{ur}}}^{\text {an }}$ obtained as the inverse image of $\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$ (strictly speaking, we are in fact working in the framework of adic spaces, so we need to take the interior of the inverse image). The open subset $\operatorname{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{P}^{\text {sur }}}^{\text {sers }}$ is called the supersingular reduction locus, since its classical point corresponds to an elliptic curve with good supersingular reduction. Finally, for a compact open subgroup $K$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, let $\mathrm{Sh}_{K K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{P}^{\text {ur }}}^{\text {ss-ers }}$. be the inverse image of $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{P}^{\text {ur }}}^{\text {si-er }}$ in $\mathrm{Sh}_{K K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{P}^{\text {ur }}}^{\text {an }}$. Then the following holds:
Proposition 2.3 ( $\boldsymbol{p}$-adic uniformization) We have an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{Sh}_{K K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{Qr}}}^{\text {ss-red }} \cong \widetilde{D}^{\times} \backslash\left(M_{K} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) / K^{p}\right)
$$

where $\widetilde{D}$ is the quaternion division algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ which ramifies exactly at $\infty$ and $p$.

In this work, we use the local Langlands correspondence for $G=\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$ and its non-trivial inner form $J$. Both of the dual groups $\widehat{G}$ and $\widehat{J}$ are equal to $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$. The local Langlands correspondence for $G$ and $J$ are due to Gan-Takeda [GT11] and Gan-Tantono [GT14], respectively. Unlike the GL $_{n}$-case, no geometry is needed in the proofs of them. They used the local theta lifting to reduce the local Langlands correspondence for $G$ and $J$ to that for $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$. However, the author is still interested in how the local Langlands correspondence for these groups interacts with geometry.

Let $\phi: W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ be an element of $\Phi(G)=\Phi(J)$. The corresponding $L$-packets $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}$ and $\Pi_{\phi}^{J}$ are not necessarily singletons. We are particularly interested in the case where $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}$ contains a supercuspidal representation. Such $L$ parameters are classified as follows:
Proposition 2.4 Let $r: \mathrm{GSp}_{4}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ denote the natural embedding. If $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}$ contains a supercuspidal representation, then one of the following holds:
(i) There exists a 4-dimensional irreducible representation $\phi_{0}$ of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ such that $r \circ \phi=\phi_{0} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the trivial representation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. In this case, each of $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}$ and $\Pi_{\phi}^{J}$ consists of one supercuspidal representation.
(ii) There exist distinct 2-dimensional irreducible representations $\phi_{0}$ and $\phi_{1}$ of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ such that $r \circ \phi=\left(\phi_{0} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}\right) \oplus\left(\phi_{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}\right)$. In this case, each of $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}$ and $\Pi_{\phi}^{J}$ consists of two supercuspidal representations.
(iii) There exist a 2-dimensional irreducible representation $\phi_{0}$ of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ and a character $\chi$ of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ such that $r \circ \phi=\left(\phi_{0} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}\right) \oplus(\chi \boxtimes \mathbf{S t d})$, where $\mathbf{S t d}$ denotes the standard representation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. In this case, each of $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}$ and $\Pi_{\phi}^{J}$ consists of one supercuspidal representation and one non-supercuspidal discrete series representation.
(iv) There exist distinct characters $\chi_{0}, \chi_{1}$ of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ such that $r \circ \phi=\left(\chi_{0} \boxtimes \mathbf{S t d}\right) \oplus$ $\left(\chi_{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{S t d}\right)$. In this case, $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}$ consists of one supercuspidal representation and one non-supercuspidal discrete series representation, and $\Pi_{\phi}^{J}$ consists of two non-supercuspidal discrete series representations.

In this article we focus on the case (iii). We write $\pi_{\text {sc }}$ (resp. $\pi_{\text {disc }}$ ) for the supercuspidal (resp. non-supercuspidal) representation belonging to $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}$. Similarly, we write $\rho_{\text {sc }}$ (resp. $\rho_{\text {disc }}$ ) for the supercuspidal (resp. non-supercuspidal) representation belonging to $\Pi_{\phi}^{J}$.

We also need to consider the $A$-parameter $\psi$ obtained as the composite of

$$
W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\text { swap } \mathrm{SL}_{2} \text { factors }} W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\phi \boxtimes \mathbf{1}} \mathrm{GSp}_{4}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Let $\Pi_{\psi}^{G}$ (resp. $\Pi_{\psi}^{J}$ ) be the local $A$-packet attached to $\psi$. We should clarify what $\Pi_{\psi}^{G}$ and $\Pi_{\psi}^{J}$ mean, since local $A$-packets for $J$ has not been fully constructed yet (see [GT19] for the construction of local $A$-packets for $G$ ). Recall that our $\phi$ satisfies $r \circ \phi=\left(\phi_{0} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}\right) \oplus(\chi \boxtimes \mathbf{S t d})$. This implies that $\operatorname{det} \phi_{0}=\chi^{2}$. Therefore, the $A$ parameter $\psi^{\prime}=\psi \otimes \chi^{-1}$ factors through $\mathrm{Sp}_{4}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{GSp}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\mathrm{Sp}_{4}(\mathbb{C})=\widehat{\mathrm{SO}}_{5}$, $\psi^{\prime}$ can be regarded as an $A$-parameter for both $G^{\text {ad }}=\mathrm{SO}_{5}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ and $J^{\text {ad }}$. Local $A$-packets for $\mathrm{SO}_{5}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ was fully constructed by Arthur [Art13]. In particular we have the local $A$-packet $\Pi_{\psi^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{SO}_{5}}$, which can be regarded as a subset of $\Pi(G)$. We put $\Pi_{\psi}^{G}=\left\{\pi^{\prime} \otimes(\chi \circ \operatorname{sim}) \mid \pi^{\prime} \in \Pi_{\psi^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{SO}_{5}}\right\}$, where sim: $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$denotes the similitude character and $\chi$ is regarded as a character $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$by the local class field theory $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}^{\text {ab }} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$. As for $J^{\text {ad }}$, the local $A$-packet $\Pi_{\psi^{\prime}}^{J^{\text {ad }}}$ for the particular $A$-parameter $\psi^{\prime}$ was constructed in [Gan08]. Therefore we get the local $A$-packet $\Pi_{\psi}^{J}$ in the same way as above.

We call $\Pi_{\psi}^{G}$ and $\Pi_{\psi}^{J}$ the local Saito-Kurokawa $A$-packets. The structure of them are as follows:

- $\Pi_{\psi}^{G}$ consists of $\pi_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and a non-tempered representation $\pi_{\mathrm{nt}}$.
- $\Pi_{\psi}^{J}$ consists of a supercuspidal representation $\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\prime}$ and a non-tempered representation $\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}$. As a consequence of our main theorem, $\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\prime}$ turns out to be equal to $\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}$ (see Remark 3.2 (ii)).


## 3 Main Theorem

We continue to write $G$ for $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$ and $J$ for its unique non-trivial inner form over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. To state our main theorem, we introduce the (basic) Rapoport-Zink tower for $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$, which is the $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$-version of the Lubin-Tate tower. It is a projective system of rigid spaces over $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}$ indexed by compact open subgroups of $G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Here are basic geometric properties of the Rapoport-Zink tower for $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$ :

- $M_{G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ is a 3-dimensional smooth rigid space over $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ (unlike the Lubin-Tate case, we do not have an elementary expression of it).
- $M_{K} / M_{G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ is a finite étale covering. In particular, each $M_{K}$ is a 3-dimensional smooth rigid space over $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}$. If $K$ is an open normal subgroup of $G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, then $M_{K} / M_{G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ is a Galois covering with Galois group $G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) / K$.
As in the Lubin-Tate case, the tower $\left\{M_{K}\right\}_{K \subset G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ is equipped with an action of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \times J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. We put $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i}=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim _{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(M_{K} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{u r}} \mathbb{C}_{p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$, which is a representation of
$G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \times J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \times W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. For an irreducible smooth representation $\rho$ of $J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$, we put $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, j}[\rho]:=\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}^{j}\left(H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i}, \rho\right)^{\mathcal{D}_{c}-\mathrm{sm}}\right)_{\mathrm{sc}}$, where $(-)_{\mathrm{sc}}$ denotes the $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$-supercuspidal part. For the definition of $(-)^{\mathcal{D}_{c} \text {-sm }}$, see [Mie14, Notation]. Note that $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, j}[\rho]$ is a representation of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \times W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. Since the split semisimple rank of $J$ is 1 , we have $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, j}[\rho]=0$ for $j \geq 2$.

Let $\phi \in \Phi(G)$ be an $L$-parameter satisfying Proposition 2.4 (iii); namely, there exist a 2-dimensional irreducible representation $\phi_{0}$ of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ and a character $\chi$ of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ such that $r \circ \phi=\left(\phi_{0} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}\right) \oplus(\chi \boxtimes \mathbf{S t d})$. We use the same notation as in the previous section. We are interested in how $\Pi_{\phi}^{G}, \Pi_{\phi}^{J}, \Pi_{\psi}^{G}$ and $\Pi_{\psi}^{J}$ contribute to $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i}$. Now we can state our main theorem:

Theorem 3.1 (joint work with Tetsushi Ito) We have the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 0}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}\right] \cong\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes \phi_{0}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) & i=3, \\
0 & i \neq 3,
\end{array} \quad H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}\right]=0,\right.  \tag{i}\\
& H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 0}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\prime}\right] \cong\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes \phi_{0}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) & i=3, \\
0 & i \neq 3,
\end{array} \quad H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\prime}\right]=0 .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 0}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{disc}}\right] \cong\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes \chi(1) & i=3, \\ 0 & i \neq 3,\end{array} \quad H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{disc}}\right] \cong \begin{cases}\pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes \chi(2) & i=4, \\ 0 & i \neq 4 .\end{cases}\right.$
(iii) $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 0}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right] \cong\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes \chi(2) & i=4, \\ 0 & i \neq 4,\end{array} \quad H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right] \cong \begin{cases}\pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes \chi(1) & i=3, \\ 0 & i \neq 3 .\end{cases}\right.$

Here are very rough summary of the main theorem:

- A piece of the local Langlands correspondence for $G$ and $J$ appears in $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{3}$. This is similar to the Kottwitz conjecture (see [Rap95]).
- The non-tempered local $A$-packet $\Pi_{\psi}^{J}$ contributes to $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{4}$.
- There exists a supercuspidal representation of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ appearing outside the middle degree. In fact, it happens only when its $L$-parameter has non-trivial $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-part (see Remark 3.2 (iv)).

Remark 3.2 (i) By working in a suitable derived category, we may also consider the derived version $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{*}[\rho]:=\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}^{*}\left(R \Gamma_{\mathrm{RZ}}, \rho\right)^{\mathcal{D}_{c}-\mathrm{sm}}\right)_{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, j}[\rho]$. We can recover $\phi$ and $\psi$ from the $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$-action and the Lefschetz operator on $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{*}\left[\rho_{\text {disc }}\right]$ and $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{*}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right]$, respectively ( $c f$. [Dat12] in the $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ case).
(ii) By using Theorem 3.1, we can prove that the semisimple $L$-parameters attached to $\pi_{\mathrm{sc}}, \rho_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\prime}$ by Fargues-Scholze [FS] are equal to $\left.\phi\right|_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}$. This implies that $\rho_{\mathrm{sc}} \cong \rho_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\prime}$.
(iii) By using recent results of Fargues-Scholze [FS], we can improve the theorem above. We will explain it elsewhere.
(iv) For the $L$-packets of type (i) and (ii) in Proposition 2.4, we can obtain similar results as Theorem 3.1 (i). On the other hand, up to now we cannot treat the
$L$-packets of type (iv) in Proposition 2.4. The reason is that the theory of local $A$-packets for $J$ (or $J^{\text {ad }}$ ) is not available in this case.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is given by combination of local and global methods. First we recall some results obtained from local geometry.

Theorem 3.3 ([IM]) Unless $2 \leq i \leq 4, H_{\mathrm{RZ}, \mathrm{sc}}^{i}=0$.
Here 2 (resp. 4) appears in the statement since it is equal to $\operatorname{dim} M_{G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}-\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{\text {red }}$ (resp. $\operatorname{dim} M_{G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}+\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{\text {red }}$ ), where $\mathcal{M}$ is the natural formal model of $M_{G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$. The equality $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{\text {red }}=1$ is related to the fact that the supersingular locus of the Siegel threefold is 1-dimensional. The method of the proof of Theorem 3.3 is similar to the author's proof of $H_{\mathrm{LT}, \mathrm{sc}}^{i}=0$ for $i \neq n-1$ (see [Mie10]), but it is much more complicated, mainly because connected components of $\mathcal{M}$ are not affine (even not quasi-compact).

Theorem 3.4 The representation $H_{\mathrm{RZ}, \mathrm{sc}}^{2}$ of $J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ does not contain non-supercuspidal subquotient.

This is a consequence of Theorem 3.3 and the fact that $H_{\mathrm{RZ}, G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \text {-sc, } J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \text {-non-sc }}^{2}$ and $H_{\mathrm{RZ}, G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \text {-sc }, J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \text {-non-sc }}^{5}$ are related by the Zelevinsky involution (see [Mie]).
Theorem 3.5 ([Mie20]) Assume that the central character of $\pi_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is trivial on $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathrm{GSp}_{4}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ (we can always twist $\pi_{\mathrm{sc}}$ by a character so that it satisfies this condition). Then, the representation $\left(\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim _{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\left(M_{K} / p^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}} \mathbb{C}_{p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)\right)\left[\pi_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\vee}\right]$ of $J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ has finite length.

This was proved by using the duality isomorphism between the Rapoport-Zink tower for $G$ and that for $J$ due to $[\mathrm{KW}]$ and [CFS].

Next we discuss the global aspect. As in the Lubin-Tate case, we use the relation between the Rapoport-Zink tower $\left\{M_{K}\right\}_{K \subset G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)}$ and the Siegel threefold. For a compact open subgroup $K^{\prime} \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right)$, let $\mathrm{Sh}_{K^{\prime}}$ denote the Siegel threefold over $\mathbb{Q}$ with level $K^{\prime}$. We put $H_{c}^{i}(\mathrm{Sh})=\underset{K^{\prime}}{\lim _{c}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{K^{\prime}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$, which is a representation of $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$. This representation is rather understood by using the global Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$ (see [Tay93] and [Wei09]).

Let us fix a sufficiently small compact open subgroup $K^{p} \subset G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$. As in Section 2, for a compact open subgroup $K \subset G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ we can define a rigid analytic open subset $\mathrm{Sh}_{K K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_{r}^{u r}}}^{\text {ss-red }}$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_{K K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{r}^{\text {ur }}}^{\text {an }}$, which is called the supersingular reduction locus. The following is an analogue of Proposition 2.3:

Proposition 3.6 ( $p$-adic uniformization, [RZ96]) We have an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{Sh}_{K K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{P}^{\text {ur }}}^{\text {ss-red }} \cong \widetilde{J}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left(M_{K} \times G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right) / K^{p}\right),
$$

where $\widetilde{J}$ is a suitable inner form of $\mathrm{GSp}_{4}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\widetilde{J} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ is anisotropic modulo center, $\widetilde{J} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \cong G \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ and $\widetilde{J} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{p} \cong J$.

We put $\left.H^{i}\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}}^{\text {ssed }}\right)={\underset{\longrightarrow}{\text { im }}}^{\lim _{K, K^{p}} H^{i}\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{K K^{p}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}}^{\text {ss-re }}\right.} \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\text {ur }}} \mathbb{C}_{p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$, which is a representation of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \times W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. By Proposition 3.6, we have the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{r, s}=\operatorname{Ext}_{J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}^{r}\left(H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{6-s}(3), \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{J})_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{sc}} \Rightarrow H^{r+s}\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\mathrm{ss}}}^{\mathrm{sp}-\mathrm{ed}}\right)_{\mathrm{sc}},
$$

which is due to $[\operatorname{Far} 04]$. Here $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{J})_{\mathbf{1}}$ is the space of automorphic forms on $\widetilde{J}(\mathbb{A})$ which are trivial on $\widetilde{J}(\mathbb{R})$. By Boyer's trick and a result in [IM20] or [LS18], we have $H^{r+s}\left(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{sr}}}^{\text {s-red }}\right)_{\mathrm{sc}} \cong H_{c}^{r+s}(\mathrm{Sh})_{\mathrm{sc}}$. Therefore we obtain:

Proposition 3.7 We have a spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{r, s}=\operatorname{Ext}_{J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)}^{r}\left(H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{6-s}(3), \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{J})_{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{\mathrm{sc}} \Rightarrow H_{c}^{r+s}(\mathrm{Sh})_{\mathrm{sc}}
$$

Now we are ready to sketch the proof of Theorem 3.1. The point is that we begin with $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, j}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right]$. By using Gan's result [Gan08], we can choose

- a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$
- and a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Sigma$ of $\widetilde{J}(\mathbb{A})$
such that
- $\Pi_{p} \cong \pi_{\text {sc }}$ and $\Pi^{\infty}$ contributes to $H_{c}^{2}(\mathrm{Sh})$ and $H_{c}^{4}(\mathrm{Sh})$.
- if $\Pi^{\prime}$ is an automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\Pi_{v}^{\prime} \cong \Pi_{v}$ for all places $v \neq p, \infty$ and $\Pi_{p}^{\prime}$ is supercuspidal, then $\Pi=\Pi^{\prime}$. It is a kind of the strong multiplicity one theorem.
$-\Sigma_{p} \cong \rho_{\mathrm{nt}}$ and $\Sigma_{\infty} \cong 1$.
- if $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is an automorphic representation of $\widetilde{J}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\Sigma_{v}^{\prime} \cong \Sigma_{v}$ for all places $v \neq p$, then $\Sigma=\Sigma^{\prime}$. It is a kind of the strong multiplicity one theorem.
$-\Pi^{\infty, p}=\Sigma^{\infty, p} ;$ recall that we have $G\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)=\widetilde{J}\left(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}\right)$.
By taking the $\Pi^{\infty, p_{\text {-isotypic }} \text { part of the spectral sequence in Proposition 3.7, we get }}$ a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i+1,1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right] \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes H_{c}^{6-i}(\mathrm{Sh})\left[\Pi^{\infty}\right](3) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 0}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right] \rightarrow 0
$$

By assumption, $H_{c}^{6-i}(\mathrm{Sh})\left[\Pi^{\infty}\right](3) \neq 0$ only if $i=2$, 4 . On the other hand, by Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 , we have $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{5,1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right]=H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{2,0}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right]=0$. Hence we conclude

$$
H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{4,0}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right] \cong \pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes H_{c}^{2}(\mathrm{Sh})\left[\Pi^{\infty}\right](3), \quad H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{3,1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right] \cong \pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes H_{c}^{4}(\mathrm{Sh})\left[\Pi^{\infty}\right](3)
$$

Next we investigate $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, j}\left[\rho_{\text {disc }}\right]$. We choose $\Pi$ and $\Sigma$ similarly as above, but so that $\Pi^{\infty}$ contributes to $H_{c}^{3}(\mathrm{Sh})$. Then we get a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{4,1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{disc}}\right] \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{sc}} \boxtimes H_{c}^{3}(\mathrm{Sh})\left[\Pi^{\infty}\right](3) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{3,0}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{disc}}\right] \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $H_{c}^{3}(\mathrm{Sh})\left[\Pi^{\infty}\right](3)$ is 2-dimensional indecomposable as a $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$-representation, it suffices to determine $\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, j}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{disc}}\right]\left[\pi_{\mathrm{sc}}\right]$. This is done by using the following facts:
$-\left[\rho_{\mathrm{nt}}\right]+\left[\rho_{\text {disc }}\right]=[$ induced $]$ in the Grothendieck group of finite length representations of $J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$.
$-\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) / p^{\mathbb{Z}}}^{i}(V$, induced $)=0$ for every $J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) / p^{\mathbb{Z}}$-representation
$V$ of finite length $([S S 97])$.
To apply the second fact, we need the finiteness result in Theorem 3.5.
We can treat $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, j}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}\right]$ and $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, j}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\prime}\right]$ in the same way. These cases are the simplest because $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}\right]=H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^{i, 1}\left[\rho_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\prime}\right]=0$.
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