

Toward generalization of the non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory

Yoichi Mieda

The Hakubi Center for Advanced Research / Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

September 18, 2012

- The Lubin-Tate theory =
Geometric realization of the maximal abelian extension of a p -adic field (\doteq local class field theory)
Use a 1-dimensional formal group with height 1
- The non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory =
Geometric realization of the local Langlands correspondence for GL_n
Use the universal deformation space of a 1-dimensional formal group with height n (Lubin-Tate space)
- Generalization of the non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory =
Geometric realization of the local Langlands correspondence for p -adic reductive groups
Use Rapoport-Zink spaces

Notation on Galois groups

- p : prime number
- $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$: the absolute Galois group

$$1 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{(*)} \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 1$$

- $\text{Frob} \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p)$: the geometric Frobenius element ($x \mapsto x^{1/p}$)
- $W \subset \Gamma$: the inverse image of $\text{Frob}^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ under $(*)$
(the Weil group for \mathbb{Q}_p)

The local Langlands correspondence for GL_n

Theorem (LLC for GL_n , Harris-Taylor, Henniart)

There is a natural bijection between

- irreducible smooth representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and
- Frobenius-semisimple Weil-Deligne representations

$$\phi: W \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$$

- A representation (π, V) of a topological group H is said to be smooth if for every $x \in V$, $\text{Stab}_H(x) = \{h \in H \mid hx = x\}$ is open in H .
- A Weil-Deligne representation ϕ is said to be Frobenius-semisimple if for every $w \in W$, $\phi(w)$ is semisimple.
- For a prime number $\ell \neq p$, Weil-Deligne representations are in bijection with continuous ℓ -adic representations $W \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. (Grothendieck's monodromy theorem)

Denote by $\text{WD}(\sigma)$ the Weil-Deligne representation corresponding to a continuous ℓ -adic representation σ .

Example of LLC for GL_n

Example ($n = 1$)

Art: $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \xrightarrow{\cong} W^{\text{ab}}$: isomorphism of local class field theory

$\chi: GL_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ corresponds to $W \twoheadrightarrow W^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\chi \circ \text{Art}^{-1}} \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Example (general n)

- trivial rep. of $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \longleftrightarrow n$ -dim. trivial rep. of $W \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$
- Steinberg rep. $\mathbf{St}_n \longleftrightarrow \phi$: irred., $\phi|_W = \mathbf{1}$ (write \mathbf{Sp}_n for such ϕ)
 \mathbf{St}_n : irred. quotient of $\text{Ind}_B^{GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathbf{1}$ (B : upper triangular matrices)
- supercuspidal rep. $\longleftrightarrow \phi$: irred., $\phi|_{SL_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbf{1}$

Supercuspidal representation is a representation which does not appear in a subquotient of any proper parabolic induction.

LLC for p -adic reductive groups

G : connected reductive group over \mathbb{Q}_p . For simplicity assume G is split.

\widehat{G} : the dual group of G (the algebraic group over \mathbb{C} obtained by changing roots and coroots of G)

LLC for G is not bijective in general!

Conjecture (LLC for G)

(1) There is a natural surjection with finite fibers

- from isom. classes of irred. smooth rep. of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to
- $\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy classes of L -parameters $\phi: W \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})$

The fiber Π_ϕ^G of ϕ is called L -packet.

(2) Put $\mathcal{S}_\phi = \pi_0(\mathrm{Cent}_{\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})}(\phi))$.

Then there is a natural bijection $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_\phi) \cong \Pi_\phi^G$.

- Known for some smaller groups (e.g. SL_2 , $U(3)$)
- Recent progress for classical groups (cf. Arthur's book)

LLC for $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4$

$$G = \mathrm{GSp}_4 \rightsquigarrow \widehat{G} = \mathrm{GSpin}_5 = \mathrm{GSp}_4$$

In this case, there is a candidate for LLC by Gan-Takeda.

Classification of L -packets

$r: \mathrm{GSp}_4 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4$: natural embedding

$\phi: W \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter $\rightsquigarrow r \circ \phi$: Weil-Deligne rep.

Assume that Π_ϕ^G contains a supercuspidal rep. of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

- (I) $(r \circ \phi)|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbf{1}$, $r \circ \phi$: irred. $\rightsquigarrow \Pi_\phi^G = \{\pi\}$
- (II) $(r \circ \phi)|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbf{1}$, $r \circ \phi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$ (φ_i : irred., $\varphi_1 \not\cong \varphi_2$, $\dim \varphi_i = 2$)
 $\rightsquigarrow \Pi_\phi^G = \{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$, π_1, π_2 are supercuspidal
- (III) $r \circ \phi = \varphi \oplus (\chi \otimes \mathbf{Sp}_2)$, $\varphi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbf{1}$, φ : irred.
 $\rightsquigarrow \Pi_\phi^G = \{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$, π_1 is supercuspidal, π_2 is not supercuspidal
- (IV) $r \circ \phi = (\chi_1 \otimes \mathbf{Sp}_2) \oplus (\chi_2 \otimes \mathbf{Sp}_2)$ ($\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$)
 $\rightsquigarrow \Pi_\phi^G = \{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$, π_1 is supercuspidal, π_2 is not supercuspidal

LLC for $GU(2, D)$

D : quaternion div. alg. over \mathbb{Q}_p , $J = GU(2, D)$: inner form of $GSp_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

In this case, there is a candidate for LLC by Gan-Tantono.

$\phi: W \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GSp_4(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow \Pi_\phi^J$: L -packet

Π_ϕ^J can be empty. $\Pi_\phi^J \neq \emptyset$ if ϕ is discrete.

Classification of L -packets

$\phi: W \times SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GSp_4(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter.

Assume that Π_ϕ^G contains a supercuspidal rep.

Then, for previous cases (I)–(IV), we have

- (I) $\Pi_\phi^J = \{\rho\}$, ρ is supercuspidal
- (II) $\Pi_\phi^J = \{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$, ρ_1, ρ_2 are supercuspidal
- (III) $\Pi_\phi^J = \{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$, ρ_1 is supercuspidal, ρ_2 is not supercuspidal
- (IV) $\Pi_\phi^J = \{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$, ρ_1, ρ_2 are not supercuspidal

What we want to know

- Geometric reason why L -packets naturally appear.
- Characterization of L -packets of type (I)–(IV) from geometric viewpoint.

These are new problems which does not appear in the case of GL_n .

Rapoport-Zink space for GSp_{2n}

- \mathbb{X} : an n -dimensional p -divisible group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with slope $1/2$ (e.g. E : a supersingular elliptic curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, $\mathbb{X} = E[p^\infty]^{\oplus n}$)
 $\lambda_0: \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{X}^\vee$: a polarization ($\lambda_0^\vee = -\lambda_0$)
- **Nilp**: the category of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}$ -algebras A in which p is unipotent

Definition (Rapoport-Zink space)

Define a functor $\mathcal{M}: \mathbf{Nilp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}(A) = \{(X, \lambda, \rho)\} / \cong$$

- X : p -divisible group over A , λ : polarization of X
- $\rho: \mathbb{X} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} A/pA \rightarrow X \otimes_A A/pA$: quasi-isogeny ($= p^{-m} \circ \text{isogeny}$)
- $\rho^{-1} \circ (\lambda \bmod p) \circ \rho \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \cdot \lambda_0$

\mathcal{M} is represented by a formal scheme over $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}$ called the Rapoport-Zink space.

Rapoport-Zink space for GSp_{2n}

\mathcal{M} is a very large formal scheme.

- Countably many connected components (corresponding to $\deg \rho$)
- Each connected component is not quasi-compact. If $n = 2$, $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{red}}$ is a chain of infinitely many \mathbb{P}^1 's.

Group action on \mathcal{M}

$J = \mathrm{QIsog}(\mathbb{X}, \lambda_0)$: the group of self-quasi-isogenies $\rightsquigarrow J = \mathrm{GU}(n, D)$

$\mathcal{M} \curvearrowright J$ (right action): $(X, \lambda, \rho) \cdot h = (X, \lambda, \rho \circ h)$

Relation to Shimura varieties (p -adic uniformization)

Sh : Shimura variety for GSp_{2n} (the moduli space of principally polarized n -dimensional abelian varieties)

$\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}} \subset \mathrm{Sh}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$: supersingular locus, $\mathrm{Sh} \big|_{\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}}}^{\wedge}$: formal completion along $\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}}$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Sh} \big|_{\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}}}^{\wedge}$ is uniformized by \mathcal{M} : $\mathrm{Sh} \big|_{\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}}}^{\wedge} = \coprod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{M}/\Gamma_i$ ($\Gamma_i \subset J$)

Rapoport-Zink tower for GSp_{2n}

M = rigid generic fiber of \mathcal{M} (rigid space over $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}$)

Rapoport-Zink tower

$\{M_K\}_K$: proj. system of étale coverings of M (the Rapoport-Zink tower)

- K runs through compact open subgroups of $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$
- Defined by using K -level str. of the universal polarized p -div. group
- $M_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} = M$
- If K is a congruence subgrp $K_m = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}))$,
 K_m -level str. = trivialization of p^m -torsion points (preserving pol.)

Group actions on Rapoport-Zink tower

- J acts on M_K (preserve levels)
- $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts on the tower $\{M_K\}_K$ (doesn't preserve levels)
 $g \in G \rightsquigarrow M_K \longrightarrow M_{g^{-1}Kg}$ (Hecke action)

Rapoport-Zink tower for GSp_{2n}

Relation to Shimura varieties

$\mathrm{Sh}^{[\mathrm{ss}]} \subset \mathrm{Sh}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}$: locus consisting of abelian varieties with supersingular reduction (rigid locally closed subset)

$\mathrm{Sh}_{K, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}$: Sh. var. with K -level str., $\mathrm{Sh}_K^{[\mathrm{ss}]} \subset \mathrm{Sh}_{K, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}$: inv. image of $\mathrm{Sh}^{[\mathrm{ss}]}$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Sh}_K^{[\mathrm{ss}]}$ is uniformized by M_K

ℓ -adic étale cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink tower

ℓ : prime number different from p

$H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i := \varinjlim_K H_c^i(M_K \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\mathrm{ac}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$: rep. of $W \times G \times J$

Goal: describe H_{RZ}^i via LLC for G and J

The case of GL_n

Change the definition of the Rapoport-Zink space as follows:

- \mathbb{X} : 1-dim. p -div. group (\doteq formal group) over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with slope $1/n$
- forget all “polarizations”

\rightsquigarrow the Lubin-Tate space, the Lubin-Tate tower, cohomology H_{LT}^i

- $G = GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $J = D_n^\times$
(D_n is the central division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p with $\text{inv } D_n = 1/n$)

Theorem (non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory, Harris-Taylor)

π : supercuspidal rep. of $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, ϕ : Weil-Deligne rep. s.t. $\Pi_\phi^{GL_n} = \{\pi\}$

$\Pi_\phi^{D_n^\times} = \{\rho\}$ ($\pi \longleftrightarrow \rho$: Jacquet-Langlands correspondence)

$\sigma: W \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$: ℓ -adic rep. s.t. $\text{WD}(\sigma) = \phi$

$$\text{Hom}_{GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(H_{LT}^i, \pi) = \begin{cases} \sigma\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) \otimes \rho & (i = n-1) \\ 0 & (i \neq n-1) \end{cases}$$

The case of GSp_4

(joint work with Tetsushi Ito)

- $G = \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $J = \mathrm{GU}(2, D)$
- $\phi: W \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter
Assume that Π_ϕ^G contains a supercuspidal rep.
- For $\rho \in \Pi_\phi^J$, put $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i[\rho] := \mathrm{Hom}_J(H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i, \rho)^{G\text{-sm}}$ (rep. of $W \times G$)
- $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i[\rho]_{\mathrm{cusp}}$: the supercuspidal part of $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i[\rho]$

Classification of L -parameters (again)

$r: \mathrm{GSp}_4 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4$: natural embedding

- (I) $(r \circ \phi)|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbf{1}$, $r \circ \phi$: irred.
- (II) $(r \circ \phi)|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbf{1}$, $r \circ \phi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$ (φ_i : irred., $\varphi_1 \not\cong \varphi_2$, $\dim \varphi_i = 2$)
- (III) $r \circ \phi = \varphi \oplus (\chi \otimes \mathbf{Sp}_2)$, $\varphi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbf{1}$, φ : irred.
- (IV) $r \circ \phi = (\chi_1 \otimes \mathbf{Sp}_2) \oplus (\chi_2 \otimes \mathbf{Sp}_2)$ ($\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$)

The case of GSp_4 (when $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbf{1}$)

Consider the case where $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbf{1}$ (i.e. type (I) or (II))

Main theorem A

ϕ : type (I) or (II), $\rho \in \Pi_\phi^J$: supercuspidal rep.

(1) If $i \neq 3$, then $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i[\rho]_{\mathrm{cusp}} = 0$.

(2) $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^3[\rho]_{\mathrm{cusp}} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi^G} \sigma_\pi \otimes \pi$

σ_π : irred. ℓ -adic rep. of W s.t. $\bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi^G} \mathrm{WD}(\sigma_\pi) = r \circ \phi$

- If ϕ is type (II), we can determine which of φ_1 or φ_2 is equal to $\mathrm{WD}(\sigma_\pi)$.
- The above theorem is a precise version of Kottwitz's conjecture for $\sum_i (-1)^i H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i$.

The case of GSp_4 (when $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \neq \mathbf{1}$)

Consider the case where $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \neq \mathbf{1}$ (i.e. type (III) or (IV))

Main theorem A'

ϕ : type (III), i.e. $r \circ \phi = \varphi \oplus (\chi \otimes \mathbf{Sp}_2)$

$\rho \in \Pi_\phi^J$: supercuspidal rep. (unique)

(1) If $i \neq 3$, then $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i[\rho]_{\mathrm{cusp}} = 0$.

(2) $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^3[\rho]_{\mathrm{cusp}} = \sigma_\pi \otimes \pi$

$\pi \in \Pi_\pi^G$: supercuspidal rep. (unique), $\mathrm{WD}(\sigma_\pi) = \varphi$

Main theorem B

ϕ : type (III) or (IV), $\pi \in \Pi_\phi^G$: supercuspidal rep. (unique)

Then π appears as a subquotient of H_{RZ}^4 .

The case of GSp_4 (when $\phi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \neq \mathbf{1}$)

Our more precise expectation is the following (in progress)

Expectation

ϕ : type (III) or (IV), $\chi \otimes \mathbf{Sp}_2 \subset r \circ \phi$

$\pi \in \Pi_\phi^G$: supercuspidal rep. (unique), $\rho \in \Pi_\phi^J$: non-supercuspidal rep.

- $\chi \otimes \pi^\vee \otimes \rho$ occurs in H_{RZ}^3 .
- $\chi \otimes \pi^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Zel}(\rho)^\vee$ occurs in H_{RZ}^4 .
(Zel: Zelevinsky involution, $\mathrm{Zel}(\rho)^\vee$: non-tempered rep.)
- We hope $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^2 = 0$.
- If $\Pi_\phi^J = \{\rho, \rho'\}$, then $\{\rho', \mathrm{Zel}(\rho)^\vee\}$ is a non-tempered A -packet of J .

Outline of proof

We explain the outline of our proof of main theorem B.

Main theorem B (again)

ϕ : type (III) or (IV), $\pi \in \Pi_\phi^G$: supercuspidal rep.

Then π appears as a subquotient of H_{RZ}^4 .

Relate H_{RZ}^i to the cohomology of the Shimura variety.

$\mathrm{Sh}_K^{[ss]}$ is uniformized by $M_K \rightsquigarrow$ the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (Harris, Fargues)

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{Ext}_{J\text{-sm}}^j(H_{RZ}^{6-j}, \mathcal{A})(-3) \implies \varinjlim_K H^{i+j}(\mathrm{Sh}_K^{[ss]}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

\mathcal{A} : space of automorphic forms on $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}) \times J$

$$H^i(\mathrm{Sh}_\infty^{[ss]}) := \varinjlim_K H^{i+j}(\mathrm{Sh}_K^{[ss]}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

Outline of proof

$\mathrm{Sh}_K^{[\mathrm{ss}]}$: supersingular reduction locus

$\mathrm{Sh}_K^{[\mathrm{good}]}$: good reduction locus

$$\mathrm{Sh}_K^{[\mathrm{ss}]} \subset \mathrm{Sh}_K^{[\mathrm{good}]} \subset \mathrm{Sh}_K$$

$$\rightsquigarrow IH^i(\mathrm{Sh}_\infty)_{\mathrm{cusp}} \xrightarrow[(1)]{\cong} H^i(\mathrm{Sh}_\infty)_{\mathrm{cusp}} \xrightarrow[(2)]{\cong} H^i(\mathrm{Sh}_\infty^{[\mathrm{good}]})_{\mathrm{cusp}} \xrightarrow[(3)]{\cong} H^i(\mathrm{Sh}_\infty^{[\mathrm{ss}]})_{\mathrm{cusp}}$$

- (1) Use the minimal compactification over \mathbb{C} . True for every Shimura variety.
- (2) Joint work with Naoki Imai. True for fairly general Shimura varieties.
- (3) Boyer's trick. Only valid for GSp_4 . (proof is not applicable to GSp_{2n} with $n \geq 3$)

Another key is:

Theorem (non-cuspidality)

If $i \neq 2, 3, 4$, no supercuspidal rep. appears as a subquotient of H_{RZ}^i .

- Since $\dim M = 3$, $H_{\text{RZ}}^i = 0$ unless $0 \leq i \leq 6$.
- $2 = 3 - 1 = \dim M - \dim \mathcal{M}^{\text{red}}$, $4 = 3 + 1 = \dim M + \dim \mathcal{M}^{\text{red}}$
- This theorem is proved by a purely local method.
- The method is similar to our purely local proof of non-cuspidality for the Lubin-Tate tower. However, we encounter many new difficulties since \mathcal{M} is very large.
- For a proof, we introduce variants of formal nearby cycle functor. (in order to capture “invisible boundaries”)

Main theorem B (again)

ϕ : type (III) or (IV), $\pi \in \Pi_\phi^G$: supercuspidal rep. (unique)

Then π appears as a subquotient of H_{RZ}^4 .

Proof of main theorem B

Take a cuspidal automorphic rep. Π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ s.t.

- Π occurs in $IH^2(\mathrm{Sh}_\infty)$ (a condition on Π_∞)
- $\Pi_p \cong \pi^\vee$

(Need the assumption that ϕ is type (III) or (IV))

By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, there are i, j with $i + j = 2$ s.t. Π_p contributes to $\mathrm{Ext}_{J\text{-sm}}^i(H_{RZ}^{6-j}, \mathcal{A})$.

By the non-cuspidality, we have $6 - j \neq 5, 6$.

So Π_p appears in $\mathrm{Hom}_J(H_{RZ}^4, \mathcal{A})$, and thus π appears in H_{RZ}^4 .

Outline of proof

Our proof of main theorems A, A' is similar.

Need to globalize π and ρ carefully.

Take a cuspidal automorphic rep. Π of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ s.t.

- Π occurs in $IH^3(\mathrm{Sh}_\infty)$ (a condition on Π_∞)
- $\Pi_p \cong \pi$
- Π satisfies the strong multiplicity one theorem at p . Namely, an autom. rep. Π' of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ with $\Pi^p = (\Pi')^p$ coincides with Π .

(cf. Arthur's multiplicity conjecture)

Globalize ρ to Π^J so that similar conditions and $\Pi^{\infty,p} = (\Pi^J)^{\infty,p}$ are satisfied.

Take “ $\Pi^{\infty,p}$ -parts” of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.

Local method

Global method cannot answer our question why L -packets naturally appear. Another purely local method is usage of Lefschetz trace formula for open rigid (or adic) spaces.

Theorem (Lefschetz trace formula for open rigid spaces)

X : quasi-compact smooth adic space over $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\text{ac}}$

$X \subset \overline{X}$: compactification

$f: X \rightarrow X$: proper morphism, assumed to be extended to $\overline{f}: \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$

Assume that for every $x \in \overline{X} \setminus X$, x and $\overline{f}(x)$ can be separated by closed constructible subsets of \overline{X} . Then,

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(f^*; H_c^i(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)) = \# \text{Fix}(f)$$

Apply this formula to quasi-compact open subsets of M_K .

Theorem

$\phi: W \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{C})$: L -parameter of type (I) or (II)

- Assume the character relation between Π_ϕ^G and Π_ϕ^J .
(It is expected to be proved by the stable trace formula. It has been proved in some cases, e.g. ϕ is a TRSELP.)
- Assume that $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i[\rho]$ is a finitely generated G -module for $\rho \in \Pi_\phi^J$.

Then, for every elliptic regular semisimple element $g \in G$,

$$\sum_{\rho \in \Pi_\phi^J} \theta_{H_{\mathrm{RZ}}[\rho]}(g) = 4 \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi^G} \theta_\pi(g)$$

- $H_{\mathrm{RZ}}[\rho] = \sum_i (-1)^i H_{\mathrm{RZ}}^i[\rho]$
- $\theta_{H_{\mathrm{RZ}}[\rho]}$, θ_π : distribution characters. They are locally constant functions over the set of regular semisimple elements of G .

- For GL_n , there are preceding works by Faltings and Strauch.
- By this method, we get no information on the action of W .
- To count fixed points in M_K , we use the p -adic period map (the map attaching the Hodge filtration of the Dieudonné module to a p -divisible group)
 \rightsquigarrow by p -adic Hodge theory, stable conjugacy classes and their transfers naturally appear.
(these are closely related to L -packets)
- With more precise study, we expect to show

$$\theta_{H_{\text{RZ}}[\rho]}(g) = \frac{4}{\#\Pi_\phi^G} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_\phi^G} \theta_\pi(g)$$

Comments on other groups

- Similar methods are applicable to $GU(2, 1)$, and GL_4 with slope $1/2$.
- Boyer's trick is valid for $GU(n - 1, 1)$ (Mantovan, Shen)
 \rightsquigarrow similar results for the alternating sum of H_{RZ}^i might be possible.
 Proof of the non-cuspidality doesn't work in this case.
- It seems important to consider the case of $GU(2, D)$ (the “dual tower” for GSp_4) simultaneously (cf. Faltings isomorphism)