

Rigid Local Systems: an overview of Arithmetic Properties

Hélène Esnault

FU Berlin/Harvard/Copenhagen

November 28, 2023

Acknowledgements

We thank

the scientific committee for the kind invitation.

We apologize

for the confusion in the schedule: on my agenda I had written Wednesday 9pm Harvard time. As Mike Hopkins had suggested to go out for dinner on this evening, I checked with the time translator whether 9pm Eastern=10:00 Tokyo. It said 8pm=10:00. So no dinner. But then it also said 8pm=10:00(+1). So dinner saved!

We wish

Keiji OGUIISO (Prof. Mr. -san....friend) a beautiful birthday week and more to come.

Acknowledgement

We thank

*Michael Groechnig for the years of collaboration on the topic, also
Johan de Jong for a more recent part of the work.*

Rigid Local Systems (RLS)

- X topological space, for us *complex algebraic variety*;
- irreducible *local system* (LS) $\stackrel{\text{dfn}}{=} \text{irreducible}$
 $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathbb{C})$ up to gauge transformation;
- i.e.: irreducible fibre bundle $\mathcal{V}_\rho \rightarrow X$ with locally constant transition functions.

Rigid Local Systems (RLS)

- X topological space, for us *complex algebraic variety*;
- irreducible *local system* (LS) $\stackrel{\text{dfn}}{=} \text{irreducible}$
 $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathbb{C})$ up to gauge transformation;
- i.e.: irreducible fibre bundle $\mathcal{V}_\rho \rightarrow X$ with locally constant transition functions.

- ρ *rigid*: deformation $\rho_t : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ gauge equivalent to ρ_0 , i.e. $\exists g_t \in GL_r(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ with $\rho_t = g_t \rho_0 g_t^{-1}$
- May fix determinant and conjugacy classes of local (quasi-unipotent) monodromy at ∞ for X not proper.

Rigid Local Systems (RLS)

- equivalently: has $M_B(X, r, \det, T_i) =: M$ moduli (defined over the number ring \mathcal{O} containing the EV of the monodromies at infinity) of irreducible local systems in char. 0 (fixed torsion determinant and EV of quasi-unipotent monodromies at infinity) (and Zariski closure over \mathcal{O}).
- rigid: isolated point.

$\dim(X) = 1$ Katz: \exists RLS \implies

- $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\text{finitely many points}\}$;
- moduli points have no multiplicity on moduli;
- all RLS *come from geometry*, i.e. 'like' (summand of)

$$\mathcal{V}_{\rho, \tau \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}} = H_C^1(Y_\tau), Y_\tau \subset \mathbb{A}^2 : y^N = (x - \tau) \prod_1^n (x - \tau_i).$$

$\dim(X) = 1$ Katz: \exists RLS \implies

- $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\text{finitely many points}\}$;
- moduli points have no multiplicity on moduli;
- all RLS *come from geometry*, i.e. 'like' (summand of)
 $\mathcal{V}_{\rho, \tau \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}} = H_C^1(Y_\tau), Y_\tau \subset \mathbb{A}^2 : y^N = (x - \tau) \prod_1^n (x - \tau_i).$

Shimura varieties of rank ≥ 2 : Margulis superrigidity \implies

- all irreducible LS are rigid;
- isolated moduli points have no multiplicity on moduli;
- **but** we do not know whether they come from geometry.

Simpson's Geometricity Conjecture

Simpson's geometricity conjecture (1990)

all RLS come from geometry.

Simpson's Geometricity Conjecture

Simpson's geometricity conjecture (1990)

all RLS come from geometry.

Petrov's theorem (2020) inserts it in a vast arithmetic program

[Fontaine-Mazur conj. $\xrightarrow{\text{Scholze+Liu-Zhu}}$ Simpson conj.]

$\xrightarrow{\text{p-adic fields}} \mathbb{C}.$

Simpson's Geometricity Conjecture

Simpson's geometricity conjecture (1990)

all RLS come from geometry.

Petrov's theorem (2020) inserts it in a vast arithmetic program

[Fontaine-Mazur conj. $\xrightarrow{\text{Scholze+Liu-Zhu}}$ Simpson conj.]

$\xrightarrow{\text{number fields}} \xrightarrow{\text{p-adic fields}} \mathbb{C}.$

Geometricity conjecture inaccessible, except in dim. 1 (Katz).
Instead study consequences.

Consequences of Simpson's geometricity conjecture

Integrality conjecture (Simpson 1990)

RLS are integral, i.e. $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathcal{O})$, \mathcal{O} number ring.

« Betti cohomology is defined over \mathbb{Z} . »

Consequences of Simpson's geometricity conjecture

Integrality conjecture (Simpson 1990)

RLS are integral, i.e. $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathcal{O})$, \mathcal{O} number ring.

« Betti cohomology is defined over \mathbb{Z} . »

In particular: can take p -places \mathfrak{p} to define the p -adic local system $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) =: \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Consequences of Simpson's geometricity conjecture

Integrality conjecture (Simpson 1990)

RLS are integral, i.e. $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathcal{O})$, \mathcal{O} number ring.

« Betti cohomology is defined over \mathbb{Z} . »

In particular: can take p -places \mathfrak{p} to define the p -adic local system $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) =: \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Crystalline conjecture (E-Groechenig 2018)

- i) connection $/X_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ corresponding via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence to a RLS for a.a. p is an isocrystal with a Frobenius structure;

Consequences of Simpson's geometricity conjecture

Integrality conjecture (Simpson 1990)

RLS are integral, i.e. $\rho : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL_r(\mathcal{O})$, \mathcal{O} number ring.

« Betti cohomology is defined over \mathbb{Z} . »

In particular: can take p -places \mathfrak{p} to define the p -adic local system $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) =: \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Crystalline conjecture (E-Groechenig 2018)

- i) connection $/X_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ corresponding via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence to a RLS for a.a. p is an isocrystal with a Frobenius structure;
 - ii) $\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ descends to $X_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ and there is crystalline for p large.
- « Gauß-Manin local systems have all those properties. »

Integrality true (E-Groechenig 2018)

- for RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity;

Integrality true (E-Groechenig 2018)

- for RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity;
- when (in a given moduli) all irreducible LS are RLS.

The theorems

Integrality true (E-Groechenig 2018)

- for RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity;
- when (in a given moduli) all irreducible LS are RLS.

Crystallinity true (E-Groechenig 2018 and 2021/2023)

- i)
- ii) *epsilon less*

The theorems

Integrality true (E-Groechenig 2018)

- for RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity;
- when (in a given moduli) all irreducible LS are RLS.

Crystallinity true (E-Groechenig 2018 and 2021/2023)

- i)
- ii) **epsilon less**

Remarks

- There are RLS with moduli point with higher multiplicity (de Jong-E-Groechenig). Only known examples have finite monodromy, so they are nonetheless integral.

The theorems

Integrality true (E-Groechenig 2018)

- for RLS with moduli point with no multiplicity;
- when (in a given moduli) all irreducible LS are RLS.

Crystallinity true (E-Groechenig 2018 and 2021/2023)

- i)
- ii) **epsilon less**

Remarks

- There are RLS with moduli point with higher multiplicity (de Jong-E-Groechenig). Only known examples have finite monodromy, so they are nonetheless integral.
- ii) OK for projective varieties, also for all Shimuras or rank ≥ 2 (\rightsquigarrow André-Oort conjecture by Pila-Shankar-Tsimerman).

Remark

- **epsilon less:** for p large, F -isocrystal on $X_{\mathbb{Z}_q}$ has an extra structure (Fontaine-Laffaille module) to which one (Faltings) associates a crystalline p -adic local system on $X_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$. As we go to $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ we have to identify those with the \mathbb{L}_p . Can do this in the non-proper case under an extra cohomological assumption which is fulfilled on Shimuras of real rank ≥ 2 : multiplicity 1 on the moduli without decoration at infinity.

Integrality

uses Langlands program (Deligne's companions' conjecture, proven by L. Lafforgue and Drinfeld).

Integrality

uses Langlands program (Deligne's companions' conjecture, proven by L. Lafforgue and Drinfeld).

Crystallinity

new proof uses strong p -adic topology, the theory of Higgs-de Rham flows (Lan-Sheng-Zuo/Xu) on $X_{\mathbb{Z}_q}$ yielding a Fontaine-Laffaille module, Faltings's theorem assigning a p -adic crystalline local system to a Fontaine-Laffaille module. Proof (p -adic) local and no longer appeals to complex geometry.

From 0-dimensional components of the Betti moduli M to the whole moduli

A new obstruction

for a finitely presented group to be the topological fundamental group of X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} .

From 0-dimensional components of the Betti moduli M to the whole moduli

A new obstruction

for a finitely presented group to be the topological fundamental group of X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} .

Theorem (de Jong-E 2022)

The group Γ_0 generated by two elements (a, b) with one relation $b^2 = a^2ba^{-2}$ is not the fundamental group of $X(\mathbb{C})$, X smooth quasi-projective over \mathbb{C} .

Classical obstructions

- come from Hodge theory: e.g. any abelian finitely presented group $\mathbb{Z}^b \oplus T$ comes from geometry (Serre);

Classical obstructions

- come from Hodge theory: e.g. any abelian finitely presented group $\mathbb{Z}^b \oplus T$ comes from geometry (Serre);
- the pronilpotent completion (Malčev completion) is endowed with a mixed Hodge structure.

Classical obstructions

- come from Hodge theory: e.g. any abelian finitely presented group $\mathbb{Z}^b \oplus T$ comes from geometry (Serre);
- the pronilpotent completion (Malčev completion) is endowed with a mixed Hodge structure.
- Simpson's non-abelian Hodge theory in general: yields obstruction for the finitely presented group to be the topological fundamental group of a smooth *projective complex variety*, *not quasi-projective*.

New obstruction comes from

M defined over \mathcal{O}

- combines Langlands program (companions) used for the integrality conjecture of rigid local systems;

New obstruction comes from

M defined over \mathcal{O}

- combines Langlands program (companions) used for the integrality conjecture of rigid local systems;
- with de Jong conjecture yielding for p large arithmetic ℓ -adic local systems, the latter proved (Gaitsgory) using the geometric Langlands program.

New obstruction comes from

M defined over \mathcal{O}

- combines Langlands program (companions) used for the integrality conjecture of rigid local systems;
- with de Jong conjecture yielding for p large arithmetic ℓ -adic local systems, the latter proved (Gaitsgory) using the geometric Langlands program.

Theorem

If $\exists \mathbb{L}_{\ell_0}$ ℓ_0 -adic point of M , then for all $\ell \neq \ell_0$, $\exists \mathbb{L}_{\ell}$ on M .

New obstruction comes from

M defined over \mathcal{O}

- combines Langlands program (companions) used for the integrality conjecture of rigid local systems;
- with de Jong conjecture yielding for p large arithmetic ℓ -adic local systems, the latter proved (Gaitsgory) using the geometric Langlands program.

Theorem

If $\exists \mathbb{L}_{\ell_0}$ ℓ_0 -adic point of M , then for all $\ell \neq \ell_0$, $\exists \mathbb{L}_{\ell}$ on M .

Example

Not fulfilled for $\ell = 2$. (Becker–Breuillard–Varjú).



🏠 Notices Home

Browse Back Issues ▾

Featured Articles

From the Secretary

Early Career

Education

Invitations from the AMS

The Latest ...

Topical Columns

FEATURE ARTICLE

December 2023

Some Arithmetic Properties of Complex Local Systems

Hélène Esnault

Communicated by Notices Associate Editor Han-Bom Moon



Le niveau uniforme du varech sur toutes les roches marquait la ligne de flottaison de la marée pleine et de la mer étale.

(The uniform level of kelp on all the rocks marked the waterline of full tide and slack [étale] sea.)

—Victor Hugo, *Les travailleurs de la mer*, 1866, p. 257