UTMS 2013–11

November 14, 2013

A remark on quadratic functional of Brownian motions

by

Shigeo KUSUOKA and Yasufumi OSAJIMA



UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES KOMABA, TOKYO, JAPAN

A remark on quadratic functional of Brownian motions

Shigeo KUSUOKA *
and Yasufumi OSAJIMA †

1 Introduction and result

Let $d \geq 1, T > 0, W_0 = \{w \in C([0, T]; \mathbf{R}^d); w(0) = 0\}$, and $\mathcal{B}(W_0^d)$ be a Borel algebra over W_0^d . Let μ be the Wiener measure on $(W_0^d, \mathcal{B}(W_0^d))$. Now let $a_i^k : [0, T] \to \mathbf{R}$, $b_i^k : [0, T] \to \mathbf{R}, i = 1, \dots, N, k = 1, \dots, d$, be continuous functions. Let $X : W_0^d \to \mathbf{R}$ be a random variable given by

$$X(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{T} (\int_{0}^{t} b_{i}^{\ell}(s) dw^{\ell}(s)) a_{i}^{k}(t) dw^{k}(t).$$

Here stochastic integrals are Ito integrals. We assume that $E^{\mu}[\exp(X)] < \infty$.

Our concern is to compute the following.

$$E^{\mu}[\exp(\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{T} h^{k}(t) dw^{k}(t) + X)]$$

for $h \in L^2([0,T]; \mathbf{R}^d, dt)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$.

Such a problem was considered by Ikeda-Kusuoka-Manabe [1] and [2] in special cases, and they gave explicit formulae. In the present paper, we consider general case and show that we can reduce this problem to a problem of a linear ordinary differential equation by using ideas in [1] and [2].

Let $\alpha^k : [0, T] \to \mathbf{R}^{2N}, k = 1, \dots, d$, be given by

$$\alpha_{j}^{k}(t) = \begin{cases} a_{j}^{k}(t), & j = 1, \dots, N, \\ b_{j-N}^{k}(t), & j = N+1, \dots, 2N. \end{cases}$$

Let $J: \mathbf{R}^{2N} \to \mathbf{R}^{2N}$ be a linear operator given by

$$J((z_i)_{i=1}^{2N})_j = \begin{cases} -z_{j+N}, & j = 1, \dots, N, \\ z_{j-N}, & j = N+1, \dots, 2N. \end{cases}$$

^{*}Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba 3-8-1, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan, research supported by the 21st century COE project, Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo

[†]BNP Paribas, Fixed Income Research and Strategies, 10 Harewood Avenue, London, NW1 6AA, UK, E-mail: yasufumi.osajima@uk.bnpparibas.com

Let $\beta^k : [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}^{2N}$, $k = 1, \dots, d$, be given by $\beta^k(t) = J\alpha^k(t)$. Then we have

$$\beta_j^k(t) = \begin{cases} -b_j^k(t), & j = 1, \dots, N, \\ a_{j-N}^k(t), & j = N+1, \dots, 2N. \end{cases}$$

Let $c_{i,j}: [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}, i, j = 1, \ldots, 2N$, be given by

$$c_{i,j}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_i^k(t) \beta_j^k(t)$$

Also, let $e_{i_1,i_2}: [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}, i_1, i_2 = 1, \ldots, 2N$, be the solution to the following ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt}e_{i_1,i_2}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} c_{i_1,j}(t)e_{j,i_2}(t)$$
(1)

$$e_{i_1,i_2}(0) = \delta_{i_1,i_2}, \qquad i_1, i_2 = 1, \dots, 2N.$$

Let e be a $2N \times 2N$ -matrix valued function defined in [0, T] given by $e(t) = (e_{i,j}(t))_{i,j=1,\dots,2N}$, and let \tilde{e} be a $N \times N$ -matrix valued function defined in [0, T] given by $\tilde{e}(t) = (e_{i,j}(t))_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$.

Let $\gamma^k: [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}^{2\tilde{N}}, \ k = 1, \dots, d$, be continuous functions given by

$$\gamma_i^k(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{2N} e_{ji}(t)\beta_j^k(t), \qquad i = 1, \dots, 2N, \ t \in [0, T].$$

Now let $\Psi: L^2([0,T]; \mathbf{R}^d, dt) \to C([0,T]; \mathbf{R}^{2N})$ be bounded linear operators given by

$$(\Psi h)_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^t \gamma_i^k(s) h^k(s) ds, \qquad t \in [0,T]$$

for $h \in L^2([0,T]; \mathbf{R}^d, dt)$.

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1 (1) The $N \times N$ -matrix $\tilde{e}(T)$ is invertible. (2) Let $\tilde{e}(T)^{-1} = (\tilde{e}_{i,j}^{-1}(T))_{i,j=1,...,N}$ be the inverse matrix of $\tilde{e}(T)$. Let $d_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$, be given by

$$d_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \tilde{e}_{i,r}^{-1}(T) e_{r,N+j}(T).$$

Then $d_{i,j} = d_{j,i}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. (3) For any $h \in L^2([0,T]; \mathbf{R}^d, dt)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$\begin{split} E^{\mu}[\exp(\lambda\sum_{k=1}^{d}\int_{0}^{T}h^{k}(t)dw^{k}(t)+X)] \\ = \det(\tilde{e}(T))^{-1/2}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{d}\int_{0}^{T}a_{i}^{k}(t)b_{i}^{k}(t)dt + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\mathcal{A}(h,h)), \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(h,h) \\ &= ||h||_{H}^{2} - \int_{0}^{T} (\frac{d}{dt}(\Psi h)(t), J(\Psi h)(t))_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}} dt \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} J(\Psi h)(T)^{i}(\Psi h)(T)^{i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} d_{ij}(\Psi h)(T)^{i}(\Psi h)(T)^{j}. \end{aligned}$$

2 Preliminary Facts

Let \tilde{H} be the Cameron Martin space of the Wiener space $(W_0,\mu),$ i.e.,

$$\tilde{H} = \{k \in W_0; \ k(t) \text{ is absolutely continuous in } t, \ \int_0^T |\frac{dk}{dt}(t)|^2 dt < \infty\},$$
$$(k_1, k_2)_{\tilde{H}} = \int_0^T \frac{dk_1}{dt}(t) \cdot \frac{dk_2}{dt}(t) dt.$$

Let $H = L^2([0,T]; \mathbf{R}^d, dt)$. Then the map $\Psi : \tilde{H} \to H$ corresponding k to $\frac{dk}{dt}$ is an isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{E}: H \times H \to \mathbf{R}$ be a symmetric bilinear form given by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(h_1, h_2) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k,\ell=1}^d \int_0^T \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{t>s\}} a_i^k(t) b_i^\ell(s) h_1^k(t) h_2^\ell(s) dt ds \\ + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k,\ell=1}^d \int_0^T \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{t>s\}} a_i^k(t) b_i^\ell(s) h_1^\ell(s) h_2^k(t) dt ds \end{split}$$

for $h_1, h_2 \in H$.

The associated symmetric bounded linear operator $E: H \to H$ to \mathcal{E} is given by

 $(Eh)^k(t)$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{\{t>s\}} a_{i}^{k}(t) b_{i}^{\ell}(s) h^{\ell}(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{\{s>t\}} a_{i}^{\ell}(s) b_{i}^{k}(t) h^{\ell}(s) ds \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} a_{i}^{k}(t) \int_{0}^{t} b_{i}^{\ell}(s) h^{\ell}(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} b_{i}^{k}(t) \int_{0}^{t} a_{i}^{\ell}(s) h^{\ell}(s) ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} b_{i}^{k}(t) \int_{0}^{T} a_{i}^{\ell}(s) h^{\ell}(s) ds, \qquad t \in [0,T], \ k = 1, \dots, d \end{split}$$

for $h \in H$.

Since $E^{\mu}[\exp(X)] < \infty$, we see that $I_H - E : H \to H$ is invertible and positive-definite and we have

$$E^{\mu}[\exp(\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{T} h^{k}(t) dw^{k}(t) + X)]$$

= det_{2}(I_{H} - E)^{-1/2} exp(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}(h, (I_{H} - E)^{-1}h)_{H}), \qquad h \in H. (2)

Let $V_{01}: H \to H$, and $A_r: H \to \mathbf{R}^N$, r = 0, 1, be given by

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}a_{i}^{k}(t)\int_{0}^{t}b_{i}^{\ell}(s)h^{\ell}(s)ds - \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}b_{i}^{k}(t)\int_{0}^{t}a_{i}^{\ell}(s)h^{\ell}(s)ds,$$
$$=\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\beta_{i}^{k}(t)\int_{0}^{t}\alpha_{i}^{\ell}(s)h^{\ell}(s)ds, \qquad k = 1, \dots, d,$$
$$(A_{0}h)_{i} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d}\int_{0}^{T}a_{i}^{\ell}(s)h^{\ell}(s)ds, \qquad h \in H, \ i = 1, \dots, N,$$

 $(V_{01}h)^k(t)$

and

$$(A_1h)_i = \sum_{\ell=1}^d \int_0^T b_i^\ell(s) h^\ell(s) ds, \qquad h \in H, \ i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then we see that

$$E = V_{01} + A_1^* A_0.$$

Note that V_{01} is a Voltera type operator.

Proposition 2 (1) $det_2(I_H - E)$

$$= \det(I_N - A_0(I_H - V_{01})^{-1}A_1^*) \exp(\operatorname{trace} A_0A_1^*),$$

where I_N is the identity map in \mathbb{R}^N . In particular, the $N \times N$ -matrix $I_N - A_0(I_H - V_{01})^{-1}A_1^*$ is invertible. (2) $(I_H - E)^{-1}$

$$= (I_H - V_{01})^{-1} + (I_H - V_{01})^{-1} A_1^* (I_N - A_0 (I_H - V_{01})^{-1} A_1^*)^{-1} A_0 (I_H - V_{01})^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbf{C}$ for which |z| is sufficiently small. Then we have

$$\det_2(I_H - zE)$$

= $\det_2((I_H - zV_{01})(I_H - z(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*A_0)).$

Since V_{01} is a Voltera type operator, we have $det_2(I_H - zV_{01}) = 1$. So we have

 $\det_2(I_H - zE)$

$$= \det_2(I_{\tilde{H}} - zV_{01})\det_2(I_{\tilde{H}} - z(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*A_0)\exp(-\operatorname{trace}(z^2V_{01}(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*A_0))$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{trace}((z(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*A_0)^k)) \exp\left(-\operatorname{trace}(zA_0((I_H - zV_{01})^{-1} - I_H)A_1^*))\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{trace}((zA_0(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*)^k)) \exp\left(\operatorname{trace}(zA_0A_1^*)\right)$$

$$= \det(I_N - zA_0(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*) \exp(z\operatorname{trace}(A_0A_1^*)).$$

Also, we have

$$(I_H - zE)^{-1} = \{(I_H - zV_{01})(I_H - z(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*A_0)\}^{-1}$$

= $(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (z(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*A_0)^k)(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}$
= $\{I_H + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*(zA_0(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*)^kA_0\}(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}$
= $(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1} + z(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*(I_N - zA_0(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}A_1^*)^{-1}A_0(I_H - zV_{01})^{-1}.$

Since $\det_2(I_H - zE)$ and $(I_H - zE)^{-1}$ are holomorphic in **C** except a countable set which has no cluster point and are holomorphic around z = 1, we have our assertion.

3 Basic Computation

Let c be a $2N \times 2N$ matrix-valued continuous function defined in [0, T] given by $c(t) = (c_{i,j}(t))_{i,j=1,\dots,2N}$, and $e^{-1}(t)$ is the inverse matrix of $e(t), t \in [0, T]$. Then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}e(t) = c(t)e(t), \qquad \frac{d}{dt}e^{-1}(t) = -e^{-1}(t)c(t).$$

Note that

$$(Jc(t))_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \beta_i^k(t) \beta_j^k(t), \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, N,$$

and so

$$c(t)^* = -(JJc(t))^* = Jc(t)J.$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(Je(t)^*J) = J(c(t)e(t))^*J = -Je(t)^*Jc(t).$$

Since $-Je(0)J = I_{2N}$, we have by the uniqueness of a solution to ODE

$$e^{-1}(t) = -Je(t)^*J.$$

So we see that

$$I\gamma^{k}(t) = -Je(t)^{*}J\alpha^{k}(t) = e^{-1}(t)\alpha^{k}(t) \qquad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Then we see that

$$J(\Psi h)(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} e(s)^{-1} \alpha^{k}(s) h^{k}(s) ds,$$
(3)

In this section, we prove the following.

e

Proposition 3 (1) $I_N - A_0 (I_H - V_{01})^{-1} A_1^* = \tilde{e}(T),$ and

$$\det(I_N - A_0(I_H - V_{01})^{-1}A_1^*) = \det(\tilde{e}(T)).$$

(2) For $h \in H$,

$$(A_0(I_H - V_{01})^{-1}h)_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} e_{i,j}(T)(J(\Psi h)(T))_j, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N$$

(3) For $h \in H$ and $v \in \mathbf{R}^N$,

$$(h, (I_H - V_{01})^{-1} A_1^* v)_H = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i (\Psi h)_i (T), \qquad k = 1, \dots, d, \ t \in [0, T].$$

(4) For any $h \in H$,

$$((I_H - V_{01})^{-1}h)^k(t) = h^k(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \gamma_j^k(t) (J(\Psi h)(t))_j, \qquad k = 1, \dots, d, \ t \in [0, T].$$

In particular,

$$(h_1, (I_H - V_{01})^{-1}h_2)_H = (h_1, h_2)_H - \int_0^T (\frac{d}{dt}(\Psi h_1)(t), J(\Psi h_2)(t))_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}} dt$$

for $h_1, h_2 \in H$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_0^{\infty}((0,T); \mathbf{R}^d) \subset H$ and let $\xi = (I_H - V_{01})^{-1} f$. Then we have

 $\xi = f + V_{01}\xi$

Let

$$\eta_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^t \alpha_i^k(s) \xi^k(s) ds, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2N.$$

Then we have

$$(A_0(I_H - V_{01})^{-1}f)_i = \eta_i(T), \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$
(4)

Also we have

$$\xi^k(t) = f^k(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \beta_i^k(t) \eta_i(t), \qquad k = 1, \dots, d,$$

and so we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\eta_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_i^k(t)\xi^k(t)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_i^k(t)f^k(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{2N} c_{ij}(t)\eta_j(t), \qquad i = 1, \dots, 2N.$$

Note that $\eta_i(0) = 0, i = 1, \dots, 2N$. So we see that

$$\eta_i(t) = \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{2N} \sum_{\ell=1}^d e_{i,j_1}(t) \int_0^t e_{j_1,j_2}^{-1}(s) \alpha_{j_2}^\ell(s) f^\ell(s) ds$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{2N} e_{i,j}(t) (J(\Psi f)(t))_j, \qquad i = 1, \dots, 2N, \ t \in [0,T].$$

This and Equation (4) imply the assertion (2), since $C_0^{\infty}((0,T); \mathbf{R}^d)$ is dense in H. Also, we see that

$$\xi^{k}(t) = f^{k}(t) + \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}=1}^{2N} \beta^{k}_{j_{1}}(t) e_{j_{1}, j_{2}}(t) (J(\Psi f)(t))_{j_{2}},$$

for $k = 1, ..., d, t \in [0, T]$. This implies the assertion (4). Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then we have

$$(J(\Psi A_1^* v)(t))_i = \sum_{r=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \sum_{\ell=1}^d v_r \int_0^t e_{i,j}^{-1}(s) \alpha_j^\ell(s) b_r^\ell(s) ds$$
$$= -\sum_{r=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \sum_{\ell=1}^d v_r \int_0^t e_{i,j}^{-1}(s) c_{j,r}(s) ds = \sum_{r=1}^N v_r \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} e_{i,r}^{-1}(s) ds = \sum_{r=1}^N v_r e_{i,r}^{-1}(t) - v_i$$

Therefore

$$(h, (I_H - V_{01})^{-1} A_1^* v)$$

$$= (h, A_1^* v) + \sum_{j_1, j_2 = 1}^{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \int_0^T \beta_{j_1}^k(t) e_{j_1, j_2}(t) e_{j_2, i}^{-1}(t) h^k(t) dt$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \int_0^T \beta_j^k(t) e_{j, i}(t) h^k(t) dt$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \int_0^T \gamma_i^k(t) h^k(t) dt = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i (\Psi h)_i(T).$$

This implies the assertion (3).

So we have

$$((I_N - A_0(I_H - V_{01})^{-1}A_1^*)v)_i$$

= $v_i + \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{j_0=1}^{2N} \sum_{j_1=1}^N v_{j_1} \int_0^T a_i^k(t)\beta_{j_0}^k(t)e_{j_0,j_1}(t)dt$
= $v_i + \sum_{j_0=1}^{2N} \sum_{j_1=1}^N v_{j_1} \int_0^T c_{i,j_0}(t)e_{j_0,j_1}(t)dt$
= $v_i + \sum_{j=1}^N v_j(e_{i,j}(T) - \delta_{ij}) = \sum_{j=1}^N e_{i,j}(T)v_j.$

This implies the assertion (1).

4 Proof of Theorem

Proposition 4 (1) $d_{i,j} = d_{j,i}$, for all i, j = 1, ... N. (2) For any $h_1, h_2 \in H$,

$$(h_1, (I - E)^{-1}h_2)_H$$

= $(h_1, h_2)_H + \sum_{i=1}^N J(\Psi h_2)(T)^i (\Psi h_1)(T)^i - \int_0^T (\frac{d}{dt}(\Psi h_1)(t), J(\Psi h_2)(t))_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}} dt$
+ $\sum_{i,j=1}^N d_{ij}(\Psi h_2)(T))^i (\Psi h_1)(T)^j.$

Proof. Note that $e(t)Je(t)^* = J$. This implies that for i, j = 1, ..., N, and

$$0 = (e(t)Je(t)^*)_{i,j} = -\sum_{r=1}^N e_{i,r}(t)e_{j,N+r}(t) + \sum_{r=1}^N e_{i,N+r}(t)e_{j,r}(t)$$

Let $f_{i,j}: [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}, i, j = 1, \dots, N$, be given by

$$f_{i,j}(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} e_{i,r}(t) e_{j,N+r}(t),$$

and let F(t) be an $N \times N$ -matrix given by $F(t) = (f_{i,j}(t))_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$. Then we have $F(t)^* = F(t)$. Since we have

$$(d_{i,j})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} = \tilde{e}^{-1}(T)(\tilde{e}^{-1}(T)F(T))^* = \tilde{e}^{-1}(T)F(T)(\tilde{e}^{-1}(T))^*,$$

we have the assertion (1).

By Propositions 2 and 3, we have for $h_1, h_2 \in H$,

$$\begin{split} (h_1, ((I_H - E)^{-1}h_2)_H \\ &= (h_1, (I_H - V_{01})^{-1}h_2)_H + (h_1, (I_H - V_{01})^{-1}A_1^*(I_N - A_0(I_H - V_{01})^{-1}A_1^*)^{-1}A_0(I_H - V_{01})^{-1}h_2)_H \\ &= (h_1, h_2)_H - \int_0^T (\frac{d}{dt}(\Psi h_1)(t), J(\Psi h_2)(t))_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}} dt \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\ell=1}^{2N} \tilde{e}_{ij}^{-1}(T) e_{j,\ell}(T) J(\Psi h_2)(T)^\ell(\Psi h_1)(T)^i \\ &= (h_1, h_2)_H - \int_0^T (\frac{d}{dt}(\Psi h_1)(t), J(\Psi h_2)(t))_{\mathbf{R}^{2N}} dt + \sum_{i=1}^N J(\Psi h_2)(T)^i(\Psi h_1)(T)^i \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^N d_{ij}(\Psi h_2)(T)^i(\Psi h_1)(T)^j \end{split}$$

So we have the assertion (2).

Now Theorem 1 is an easy consequence of Propositions 2, 3, 4 and Equation (2).

$\mathbf{5}$ A Remark

Let us define $N \times d$ -matrix valued functions by $a(t) = (a_j^k(t))_{j=1,\dots,N} \underset{k=1,\dots,d}{k=1,\dots,d}, b(t) = (b_j^k(t))_{j=1,\dots,N} \underset{k=1,\dots,d}{k=1,\dots,d}, t \in [0,T]$. Also, let us define $2N \times d$ -matrix valued functions $\alpha(t) = (\alpha_j^k(t))_{j=1,\dots,2N} \underset{k=1,\dots,d}{k=1,\dots,d}, \beta(t) = (\beta_j^k(t))_{j=1,\dots,2N} \underset{k=1,\dots,d}{k=1,\dots,d}, t \in [0,T]$. Then we see that

$$c(t) = \alpha(t)\beta(t)^*, \qquad t \in [0,T]$$

So we have

$$e(t) = I_{2N} + \int_0^t c(s)e(s)ds$$

= $I_{2N} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^t ds_1 \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 \cdots \int_0^{s_{k-1}} ds_k c(s_1) \cdots c(s_k)$
= $I_{2N} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{0 < s_k < \cdots < s_1 < t} \alpha(s_1)\beta(s_1)^*\alpha(s_2)\beta(s_2)^* \cdots \alpha(s_k)\beta(s_k)^* ds_1 ds_2 \cdots ds_k$
 $I_{2N} + \int_0^t \alpha(s)\beta(s)^* ds + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{0 < s_k < \cdots < s_1 < t} \alpha(s_1)K(s_1, s_2) \cdots K(s_{k-1}, s_k)\beta(s_k)^* ds_1 ds_2 \cdots ds_k,$

where K(t, s) is a $d \times d$ -matrix valued function given by

$$K(t,s) = \beta(t)^* \alpha(s) = a(t)^* b(s) - b(t)^* a(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

 So

=

$$K_{ij}(t,s) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (a_k^i(t)b_k^j(s) - b_k^i(t)a_k^j(s)), \qquad i.j = 1, \dots, d, \ 0 \le s \le t \le T.$$

Let

$$= K(t,r) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{r < s_k < \dots < s_1 < t} K(t,s_1) K(s_1,s_2) \cdots K(s_{k-1},s_k) K(s_k,r) ds_1 ds_2 \cdots ds_k,$$

 $0 \leq r \leq t \leq T$. Then we see that

$$\begin{split} E(t,r) &= K(t,r) + \int_0^t K(t,s) E(s,r) ds \qquad 0 \leq r \leq t \leq T, \\ e(t) &= I_{2N} + \int_0^t \alpha(s) \beta(s)^* ds + \int_{0 < r < s < t} \alpha(s) E(s,r) \beta(r)^* ds dr, \end{split}$$

and

$$\hat{e}(t) = I_N - \int_0^t a(s)b(s)^* ds - \int_{0 < r < s < t} a(s)E(s,r)b(r)^* ds dr,$$
$$\gamma(t)^* = \beta(t)^* e(t) = \beta(t)^* + \int_0^t E(t,s)\beta(s)^* ds.$$

6 Special Case

Let K be a $2N \times 2N$ matrix and $\tilde{\alpha}^k \in \mathbf{R}^{2N}$, $k = 1, \dots, d$. We assume that the matrix K satisfies

$$JKJ = K^*.$$

Note that the matrix K satisfies this condition, if and only if

$$K_{22} = -K_{11}^*, \ K_{12}^* = K_{12} \text{ and } K_{21}^* = K_{21},$$

where K_{ij} , i, j = 1, 2 are $N \times N$ matrix such that

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now let $\alpha^k : [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}^N, \, k = 1, \dots, d$ be given by

$$\alpha^k(t) = \exp(tK)\tilde{\alpha}^k, \qquad t \in [0,T], \ k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Let $a_i^k: [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}$ and $b_i^k: [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}, i = 1, \dots, N, k = 1, \dots, d$, be given by

$$a_{i}^{k}(t) = \alpha_{i}^{k}(t), \quad b_{i}^{k}(t) = \alpha_{N+i}^{k}(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Let

$$X(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{T} (\int_{0}^{t} b_{i}^{\ell}(s) dw^{\ell}(s)) a_{i}^{k}(t) dw^{k}(t)$$

We assume that if $E^{\mu}[\exp(X)] < \infty$. Then, for any $h \in L^2([0,T]; \mathbf{R}^d, dt)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$E^{\mu}[\exp(\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{T} h^{k}(t) dw^{k}(t) + X)]$$

is computable by Theorem 1. In this section, we show that we can reduce all computation to linear ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients.

Note that

$$J\exp(tK) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} J(KJ^2)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} (JKJ)^n J = \exp(-tK^*)J,$$

and so we have

$$\beta^{k}(t) = J\alpha^{k}(t) = J\exp(tK)\tilde{\alpha}^{k} = \exp(-tK^{*})J\tilde{\alpha}^{k}.$$

Then we see that

$$c(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha^k(t)\beta^k(t)^* = \exp(tK)L\exp(-tK),$$

where

$$L = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \tilde{\alpha}^k (J \tilde{\alpha}^k)^* = -\sum_{k=1}^{d} \tilde{\alpha}^k \tilde{\alpha}^{k*} J.$$

Note that the matrix L also satisfies $JLJ = L^*$. Since we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\exp(tK)\exp(t(L-K))) = \exp(tK)L\exp(t(L-K))$$
$$= c(t)\exp(tK)\exp(t(L-K)),$$

the uniqueness of the solution to the ordinary equation (1) implies that

$$e(t) = \exp(tK)\exp(t(L-K)), \qquad t \in [0,T].$$

Then we have

$$\gamma^{k}(t) = -e(t)^{*}\beta^{k}(t) = -\exp(t(L-K)^{*}))J\tilde{\alpha}^{k}, \quad t \in [0,T], \ k = 1, \dots, d_{k}$$

and

$$\begin{split} (\Psi h)(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^t \gamma^k(s) h^k(s) ds \\ &= -\int_0^t \exp(s(L-K)^*) (\sum_{k=1}^d J \tilde{\alpha}^k h^k(s)) ds, \qquad t \in [0,T], \end{split}$$

for $h \in L^2([0,T]; \mathbf{R}^d, dt)$.

7 Examples 1

In this section, we shall see some examples that Theorem 1 is applicable. First example is known as harmonic oscillator.

Proposition 5 For $\kappa > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$E\left[\exp\left(\lambda w(T) - \kappa \int_0^T w(t)^2 dt\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cosh(\sqrt{2\kappa}T)}} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \frac{\tanh(\sqrt{2\kappa}T)}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\right).$$

Proof. We define the quadratic Wiener functional given by

$$\tilde{X}(w) = -\kappa \int_0^T w(t)^2 dt.$$

Then we see that $\tilde{X} = -\frac{\kappa T^2}{2} + X$, where

$$X(w) = \int_0^T 2\kappa(t-T) \int_0^t dw(s) dw(t).$$

We apply the computation of previous section for N = 1, d = 1,

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\kappa \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, and $\tilde{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} -2\kappa T \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then we see that $a_1(t) = 2\kappa(t-T)$ and $b_1(t) = 1$. Also, we have

$$J\tilde{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2\kappa T \end{pmatrix} \qquad L = J\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\alpha}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 2\kappa T & -1 \\ 4\kappa^2 T^2 & -2\kappa T \end{pmatrix}.$$

e(t) =

Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\sqrt{2\kappa}t) - \sqrt{2\kappa}(t-T)\sinh(\sqrt{2\kappa}t) & 2\kappa t\cosh(\sqrt{2\kappa}t) - \sqrt{2\kappa}\left(1 + 2\kappa T(t-T)\right)\sinh(\sqrt{2\kappa}t) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}\sinh(\sqrt{2\kappa}t) & \cosh(\sqrt{2\kappa}t) - \sqrt{2\kappa}T\sinh(\sqrt{2\kappa}t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then γ_1 and γ_2 are given as

$$\gamma_1(t) = \cosh(\sqrt{2\kappa t}), \quad \gamma_2(t) = 2\kappa T \cosh(\sqrt{2\kappa t}) - \sqrt{2\kappa} \sinh(\sqrt{2\kappa t}).$$

Now we have

$$\tilde{e}(t) = \cosh(\sqrt{2\kappa}t) - \sqrt{2\kappa}(t-T)\sinh(\sqrt{2\kappa}t),$$
$$d_{11} = 2\kappa T - \sqrt{2\kappa}\tanh(\sqrt{2\kappa}T),$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(h,h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \tanh(\sqrt{\kappa}T).$$

for h = 1. From Theorem 1, we can easily show Proposition 5.

Corollary 6 For $\kappa > 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$E\left[\exp(-\kappa\int_0^T w(t)^2 dt)\delta_x(w(T))\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}}\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2\kappa T}}{\sinh(\sqrt{2\kappa T})}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{2\kappa}}{\tanh(\sqrt{2\kappa T})}x^2\right),$$

where $\delta_x(w(T))$ is the pull-back of the Dirac delta function at $x \in \mathbf{R}$ by the Wiener functional w(T).

Proof. Applying Fourier transform for the Dirac delta function, we have the following;

$$E\left[\exp(-\kappa\int_{0}^{T}w(t)^{2}dt)\delta_{x}(w(T))\right]$$

= $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}E\left[\exp(-\kappa\int_{0}^{T}w(t)^{2}dt)\exp(-i\xi(w(T)-x))\right]d\xi$
= $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\cosh(\sqrt{2\kappa}T)}}\exp\left(-\frac{\xi^{2}}{2}\frac{\tanh(\sqrt{2\kappa}T)}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}+i\xi x\right)d\xi$
= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}}\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2\kappa T}}{\sinh(\sqrt{2\kappa}T)}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sqrt{2\kappa}}{\tanh(\sqrt{2\kappa}T)}x^{2}\right).$

We applied Proposition 5 in the middle.

We shall see the next example. This is known as Levy's stochastic area.

Proposition 7 For $\kappa > 0$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$E\left[\exp\left(\lambda_1 w_1(T) + \lambda_2 w_2(T) + \kappa \left(\int_0^T w_2(t) dw_1(t) - \int_0^T w_1(t) dw_2(t)\right)\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\cos(\kappa T)} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}{2\kappa} \tan(\kappa T)\right).$$

Proof. It is enough to show the formula for $\kappa = 1$. We define the quadratic Wiener functional given by

$$S(w) = \int_0^T w_2(t) dw_1(t) - \int_0^T w_1(t) dw_2(t).$$

Then we can apply Theorem 1 for N = 2, d = 2 and

$$a(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 & a_1^2 \\ a_2^1 & a_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b(t) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_1^2 \\ b_2^1 & b_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\alpha^k: [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^4, \ \beta^k: [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^4, k = 1,2 \text{ and } C \in M_4(\mathbf{R})$ are given by

$$\alpha^{1}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ -1\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha^{2}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \beta^{1}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 0\\ 0\\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \beta^{2}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ -1\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $e: [0,T] \to M_4(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt}e(t) = Ce(t), \quad e(0) = I_4,$$

and the solution is given as

$$e(t) = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11}(t) & E_{12}(t) \\ -E_{12}(t) & E_{11}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$E_{11}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos(2t))I_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2t)J_2, \quad E_{12}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos(2t))J_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sin(2t)I_2.$$

Therefore we have

$$\tilde{e}(t) = E_{11}(t), \quad \det(\tilde{e}(t)) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2t),$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix} = E_{11}^{-1}(T)E_{12}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \tan t & 0 \\ 0 & \tan t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also we can easily show that

$$\mathcal{A}(h,h) = (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) \tan(T),$$

where $h_1 = \lambda_1, h_2 = \lambda_2$. Now Proposition 7 is an easy consequence of Theorem 1. Corollary 8 For $\kappa > 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$E\left[\exp\left(\kappa\left(\int_{0}^{T} w_{2}(t)dw_{1}(t) - \int_{0}^{T} w_{1}(t)dw_{2}(t)\right)\right)\delta_{x}(w(t))\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi T}\frac{\kappa T}{\sin(\kappa T)}\exp\left(-\frac{|x|^{2}}{2T}\frac{\kappa T}{\tan(\kappa T)}\right).$$

Proof. We can prove this formula as in the same way as Corollary 6 using Proposition 7.

8 Examples 2

We think of some examples using results in Section 5. Let $N \ge 1$, d = 2, and $K_{k\ell}$, $k, \ell = 1, 2$, be $N \times N$ -matrices given by

$$(K_{12})_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i = j+1, \ j = 1, \dots, N-1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

 $(K_{21})_{ij} = -(K_{12})_{ji}, i, j = 1, \dots, N$, and $K_{12} = K_{21} = 0$. Let K be $2N \times 2N$ -matrices given by

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Also, let $\tilde{\alpha}^k \in \mathbf{R}^{2N}$, k = 1, 2, be given by

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i^1 = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1, \\ 0, & i \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i^2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & i=2N, \\ 0, & i\neq 2N. \end{array} \right.$$

Then we see that

$$a_i^1(t) = \frac{(-t)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}, \qquad b_i^2(t) = \frac{t^{N-i}}{(N-i)!}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and $a^{2}(t) = b^{1}(t) = 0$. So

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{s^{N-i}}{(N-i)!} dw^{2}(s) \right) \frac{(-t)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} dw^{1}(t)$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^{N-1}}{N!} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{N-1} dw^{2}(s) \right) dw^{1}(t).$$

We also have

$$(J\tilde{\alpha}^{1})_{i} = \begin{cases} -1, & i = N+1, \\ 0, & i \neq N+1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(J\tilde{\alpha}^2)_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 2N, \\ 0, & i \neq 2N. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tau: \{1, 2, \dots, 2N\} \to \{1, 2, \dots, 2N\}$ be a permutation given by

$$\tau(1) = N+1, \ \tau(i) = i-1, \ i = 2, \dots, N, \ \tau(i) = i+1, \ i = N+1, \dots, 2N-1, \ \tau(2N) = N.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$(L-K)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, N, \text{ and } j = \tau(i), \\ -1, & \text{if } i = N+1, \dots, 2N, \text{ and } j = \tau(i), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\tau^n(i) \neq i, n = 1, ..., 2N - 1$, and $\tau^{2N}(i) = i$, for any i = 1, ..., 2N. So it is easy to see that $(L - K)^{2N} = (-1)^N I_{2N}$.

For $M \geq 1$, let

$$\varphi_M^{(k)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{nM+k}}{(nM+k)!}, \qquad z \in \mathbf{C}, \ k = 0, \dots, M-1.$$

Then we see that if N is even,

$$\exp(t(L-K)) = \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} \varphi_{2N}^{(k)}(t)(L-K)^k,$$

and if N is odd,

$$\exp(t(L-K)) = \sum_{k=0}^{4N-1} \varphi_{4N}^{(k)}(t)(L-K)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} (\varphi_{4N}^{(k)}(t) - \varphi_{4N}^{(2N+k)}(t))(L-K)^k.$$

Proposition 9 For any $M \geq 2$,

$$\varphi_M^{(k)}(z) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \omega_M^{j(M-k)} \exp(\omega_M^j z), \qquad z \in \mathbf{C}, \ k = 0, \dots, M-1.$$

Here

$$\omega_M = \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{M}).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \exp(\omega_M^j z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \omega_M^{kj}\right)$$

It is easy to see that $\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \omega_M^{kj} = 0$, if k is not divisible by M, and that $\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \omega_M^{kj} = M$, if k is divisible by M. So we see that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \exp(\omega_M^j z) = M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{nM}}{(nM)!} = M \varphi_M^{(0)}(z).$$

Also, it is easy to see that

$$\varphi_M^{(k)}(z) = \frac{d^{M-k}}{dz^{M-k}} \varphi_M(z), \qquad k = 1, \dots, M-1.$$

So we have our assertion.

It is easy to see that for $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$, and $n = 0, 1, \ldots, 2N - 1$,

$$((L-K)^{n})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = i - n, \\ (-1)^{N}, & \text{if } j = i - n + 2N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we give a concrete computation in the case that N is even. In this case we have

$$-\gamma^{1}(t)^{*} = (J\tilde{\alpha}^{1})^{*} \exp(t(L-K))$$

$$= (\varphi_{2N}^{(2N-1)}(t), \varphi_{2N}^{(2N-2)}(t), \dots, \varphi_{2N}^{(N)}(t), \varphi_{2N}^{(0)}(t), -\varphi_{2N}^{(1)}(t), \varphi_{2N}^{(2)}(t), -\varphi_{2N}^{(3)}(t), \dots, \varphi_{2N}^{(N-2)}(t), -\varphi_{2N}^{(N-1)}(t)),$$
and
$$\gamma^{2}(t)^{*} = -(J\tilde{\alpha}^{1})^{*} \exp(t(L-K))$$

$$= (\varphi_{2N}^{(N-1)}(t), \varphi_{2N}^{(N-2)}(t), \dots, \varphi_{2N}^{(0)}(t), \\ \varphi_{2N}^{(N)}(t), -\varphi_{2N}^{(N+1)}(t), \varphi_{2N}^{(N+2)}(t), -\varphi_{2N}^{(N+3)}(t), \dots, \varphi_{2N}^{(2N-2)}(t), -\varphi_{2N}^{(2N-1)}(t)).$$

Also we have

Since det $\exp(tK_{11}) = 0$, we have

Then we can compute

$$E^{\mu}[\exp(\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} h^{k}(t) dw^{k}(t) + \frac{(-1)^{N-1}}{N!} \int_{0}^{T} (\int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{N-1} dw^{2}(s)) dw^{1}(t)))].$$

We can also handle the case that N is odd, though formulae become much complicated.

References

- Ikeda, N., S. Kusuoka, and S. Manabe, Levy's stochastic area formula for Gaussian processes, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 47 (1994), 329-360.
- [2] Ikeda, N., S. Kusuoka, and S. Manabe, Levy's stochastic area formula and related problems. in Stochastic analysis (Ithaca, NY, 1993), pp. 281-305, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 57, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

Preprint Series, Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo

UTMS

- 2012–18 Guanyu Zhou: Analysis of the fictitious domain method with L^2 -penalty for elliptic and parabolic problems.
- 2013–1 Yasuko Hasegawa: The critical values of exterior square L-functions on GL(2).
- 2013–2 Toshio Oshima: An elementary approach to the Gauss hypergeometric function.
- 2013–3 Kazuki Okamura: Large deviations for simple random walk on percolations with long-range correlations.
- 2013–4 Kiyoomi Kataoka and Nobuko Takeuchi: A system of fifth-order PDE's describing surfaces containing 2 families of circular arcs and the reduction to a system of fifth-order ODE's.
- 2013–5 Takushi Amemiya: Orders of meromorphic mappings into Hopf and Inoue surfaces.
- 2013–6 Yasuhito Miyamoto: Structure of the positive radial solutions for the supercritical Neumann problem $\varepsilon^2 \Delta u u + u^p = 0$ in a ball.
- 2013–7 Atsushi Ito and Yusaku Tiba: Curves in quadric and cubic surfaces whose complements are Kobayashi hyperbolically imbedded.
- 2013–8 Norbert Pozar: Homogenization of the Hele-Shaw problem in periodic spatiotemporal media.
- 2013–9 Takiko SASAKI: A second-order time-discretization scheme for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations.
- 2013–10 Shigeo KUSUOKA and Yusuke MORIMOTO: Stochastic mesh methods for Hörmander type diffusion processes.
- 2013–11 Shigeo KUSUOKA and Yasufumi OSAJIMA: A remark on quadratic functional of Brownian motions.

The Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences was established in the University of Tokyo in April, 1992. Formerly there were two departments of mathematics in the University of Tokyo: one in the Faculty of Science and the other in the College of Arts and Sciences. All faculty members of these two departments have moved to the new graduate school, as well as several members of the Department of Pure and Applied Sciences in the College of Arts and Sciences. In January, 1993, the preprint series of the former two departments of mathematics were unified as the Preprint Series of the Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo. For the information about the preprint series, please write to the preprint series office.

ADDRESS:

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo 3–8–1 Komaba Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, JAPAN TEL +81-3-5465-7001 FAX +81-3-5465-7012