Erratum to "Local Zeta Functions for Non-degenerate Laurent Polynomials Over p-adic Fields"

By E. LEÓN-CARDENAL and W. A. ZÚÑIGA-GALINDO

J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 20 (2013), 569–595.

The condition on the critical set for the mapping f considered in Section 2.5 of our article is not sufficient to assure the vanishing of the local zeta functions $Z_{\Phi}(s, \chi, f)$ for almost all χ as we assert in Theorem 3.9. The following modifications should be done.

(1) in Section 2.5, the first four lines should be replaced by the following text: We consider f as a regular function on $T^n(K)$. The critical set of f is $C_f := C_f(K) = \{x \in T^n(K), \nabla f(x) = 0\}$. Notice that by the nondegeneracy condition on $f, C_f \cap f^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. Later on we will use the following condition: (A) $C_f = \emptyset$; (B) let \mathcal{F} be a fixed simple, non trivial, fan subordinated to Γ_{∞} . For any n-dimensional cone Δ in \mathcal{F} spanned by $a_1, \ldots, a_n, d(a_j) \neq 0$ for any j in (2.3). We will call these conditions Hypothesis H1.

Hypothesis H1 is necessary to assure the vanishing of the twisted local zeta functions, and thus, to use Igusa's method for estimating p-adic oscillatory integrals.

(2) In the statement of Theorem 3.9 the condition " $C_f \subset f^{-1}(0)$ " must be replaced by "Hypothesis H1".

(3) In the statement of Theorem 4.2, the first four lines must be replaced by: Let f be a Laurent polynomial which is weakly non-degenerate with respect to Γ_{∞} , with dim $\Gamma_{\infty} = n$. Let \mathcal{F} be a nontrivial simple fan subordinated to Γ_{∞} as before. Assume that f satisfies Hypothesis H1. Then the following assertions hold.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14G10, 11S40; Secondary 11T23, 14M25.

Key words: *p*-adic oscillatory integrals, Laurent polynomials, Igusa zeta function, Newton polytopes, non-degeneracy conditions at infinity.

In addition in the second line of Section 2.4.1, $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ should be replaced by $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$. In line 9 in page 578, $\sum_{m \notin \tau' \cap \text{supp}(f)}$ in the definition of $f_{\Delta,\tau'}(y)$ should be replace by $\sum_{m \in \tau' \cap \text{supp}(f)}$.

> (Received December 11, 2014) (Revised April 10, 2015)

> > E. LEÓN-CARDENAL
> > Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas
> > UNAM, Campus Morelia
> > Km. 8 Antigua Carretera a Pátzcuaro #8701
> > Col. Ex-hacienda San José de la Huerta. Morelia
> > Michoacán. Mexico
> > E-mail: edwin@matmor.unam.mx

W. A. ZÚÑIGA-GALINDO Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del I.P.N. Departamento de Matematicas Av. Instituto Politecnico Nacional 2508 Col. San Pedro Zacatenco Mexico D.F., C.P. 07360, Mexico E-mail: wazuniga@math.cinvestav.edu.mx