

The Restriction of $A_q(\lambda)$ to Reductive Subgroups II

By Toshiyuki KOBAYASHI

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo
 (Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A. Jan. 12, 1995)

§ 1. Introduction. In this paper we continue the investigation of the restriction of irreducible unitary representations of real reductive groups, with emphasis on the discrete decomposability. We recall that a representation π of a reductive Lie group G on a Hilbert space V is G -admissible if (π, V) is decomposed into a discrete Hilbert direct sum with finite multiplicities of irreducible representations of G . The same terminology is used for a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module on a pre-Hilbert space, if its completion is G -admissible.

Let H be a reductive subgroup of a real reductive Lie group G , and (π, V) an irreducible unitary representation of G . The restriction $(\pi|_H, V)$ is decomposed uniquely into irreducible unitary representations of H , which may involve a continuous spectrum if H is noncompact. In [5],[6], we have posed a problem to single out the triplet (G, H, π) such that the restriction of $(\pi|_H, V)$ is H -admissible, together with some application to harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces. The purpose of this paper is to give a new insight of such a triplet (G, H, π) from view points of algebraic analysis. In particular, we will give a sufficient condition on the triplet (G, H, π) for the H -admissible restriction as a generalization of [5],[6] to arbitrary H , and also present an obstruction for the H -admissible restriction.

§ 2. A sufficient condition for discrete decomposability. Let K be a compact Lie group. We write \mathfrak{k}_0 for the Lie algebra of K , and \mathfrak{k} for its complexification. Analogous notation is used for other groups. Take a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{t}_0^c of \mathfrak{k}_0 . The weight lattice L in $\sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_0^c)^*$ is the additive subgroup of $\sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_0^c)^*$ consisting of differentials of the weights of finite dimensional representations of K . Let $\bar{C} \subset \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_0^c)^*$ be a dominant Weyl chamber. We write K_0 for the identity component of K , and \widehat{K}_0 for the unitary dual of K_0 . The Cartan-Weyl theory of finite dimensional representations establishes a bijection:

$$L \cap \bar{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{K}_0, \lambda \mapsto F(K_0, \lambda).$$

Suppose X is a K -module (possibly, of infinite dimension) which carries an algebraic action of K . The K_0 -multiplicity function of X is given by

$$m \equiv m_X : L \cap \bar{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \infty, \\ m(\lambda) := \dim \text{Hom}_{K_0}(F(K_0, \lambda), X).$$

The asymptotic K -support $T(X) \subset \bar{C}$ was introduced in [3] as follows:

$$S(X) := \{\lambda \in L \cap \bar{C} : m_X(\lambda) \neq 0\}, \\ T(X) := \{\lambda \in \bar{C} : V \cap S(X) \text{ is not relatively compact for any open cone } V \text{ containing } \lambda\}.$$

Hereafter we assume a growth condition on m_X : there are constants $A, R > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad m_X(\lambda) \leq A \exp(R|\lambda|) \text{ for any } \lambda \in L \cap \bar{C}.$$

This condition assures that the character of the representation X is a hyperfunction on K , whose singularity spectrum we can estimate in terms of $T(X)$.

Suppose H is a closed subgroup of K . Let $\text{pr}_{K-H} : \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the projection dual to the inclusion of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{k}$. Put $\mathfrak{h}^\perp := \text{Ker}(\text{pr}_{K-H} : \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*)$. We set

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{C}(\mathfrak{h}) := \bar{C} \cap \text{Ad}^*(K)\mathfrak{h}^\perp \subset \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_0^c)^*.$$

Note that $\bar{C}(\mathfrak{k}) = \{0\}$ and $\bar{C}(0) = \bar{C}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a K -module satisfying (2.1). If a closed subgroup H of K satisfies*

$$T(X) \cap \bar{C}(\mathfrak{h}) = \{0\},$$

then the restriction $X|_H$ is H -admissible.

Now, let us apply Theorem (2.3) to some standard (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules. Suppose that G is a real reductive linear Lie group and that K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . A dominant element $a \in \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_0^c)$ defines a θ -stable parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{u}$, where $\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{u}$ are the sum of eigenspaces of $\text{ad}(a)$ with 0, positive eigenvalues, respectively. Let L be the centralizer of a in G . Zuckerman introduced the cohomological parabolic induction $\mathcal{R}_q^j \equiv (\mathcal{R}_q^{\theta})^j$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$), which is a covariant functor from the category of metaplectic $(\mathfrak{l}, (L \cap K)^\sim)$ -modules to that of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules, as a generalization of the Borel-Weil-Bott con-

struction of finite dimensional representations of compact groups. In particular, we write $A_q(\lambda) := (\mathcal{R}_q^{\theta})^S(C_\lambda)$ for a metaplectic unitary character C_λ in the good range of parameter (see [8] Definition 2.5), where $S := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k})$. Then $A_q(\lambda)$ is an irreducible unitarizable (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module (see [7] Theorem 6.8), and we write $A_q(\lambda) \in \hat{G}$ for its completion.

The K -module structure of the alternating sum $\sum_j (-1)^j (\mathcal{R}_q^{\theta})^j(W)_{|K}$ is known as a generalized Blattner formula (see [7] Theorem 6.34). Its proof also gives an upper estimate of each term $(\mathcal{R}_q^{\theta})^j(W)_{|K}$, which leads us to:

Theorem 2.4. *If W is a finite dimensional metaplectic $(\mathfrak{l}, (L \cap K)^\sim)$ -module, then the restriction $(\mathcal{R}_q^{\theta})^j(W)_{|K}$ satisfies (2.1) and*

$$T((\mathcal{R}_q^{\theta})^j(W)_{|K}) \subset \mathbf{R}_+ \langle \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p} \rangle \cap \bar{C} \quad (j \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Here, we recall $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{u}$ (Levi decomposition) and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ (Cartan decomposition), and we define a closed cone by

$$\mathbf{R}_+ \langle \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p} \rangle := \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{l}^*)} n_\beta \beta : n_\beta \geq 0 \right\} \subset \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_0^*)^*.$$

Corollary 2.5. *In the setting of Theorem (2.3), if*

$$\bar{C}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \mathbf{R}_+ \langle \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p} \rangle = \{0\},$$

then the restriction $(\mathcal{R}_q^{\theta})^j(W)_{|H}$ is H -admissible for any finite dimensional metaplectic $(\mathfrak{l}, (L \cap K)^\sim)$ -module W and for any $j \in \mathbf{N}$. In particular, $A_q(\lambda)_{|H}$ is decomposed discretely into irreducible unitary representations of H .

As a special case of Corollary (2.5), we obtain a new and unified proof of some of the main results in [5],[6], where we imposed some assumptions on a subgroup H .

First, suppose that $H \subset K$ is a symmetric pair defined by an involution $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K)$. Take a maximal abelian subspace \mathfrak{a}_0 in $\{Y \in \mathfrak{k}_0 : \sigma(Y) = -Y\}$ and extend it to a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{t}_0^c of \mathfrak{k}_0 . We take a dominant Weyl chamber \bar{C} so that $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_0^* \cap \bar{C}$ is also a dominant Weyl chamber for the restricted root system $\Sigma(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{a})$.

Corollary 2.6 (cf. [5] Theorem 1.2; [6] Theorem 3.2). *Retain the above setting. If*

$$\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_0^* \cap \bar{C} \cap \mathbf{R}_+ \langle \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p} \rangle = \{0\},$$

then the restriction $(\mathcal{R}_q^{\theta})^j(W)_{|H}$ ($j \in \mathbf{N}$) is H -admissible for any finite dimensional metaplectic $(\mathfrak{l}, (L \cap K)^\sim)$ -module W .

Next, suppose K is (locally) isomorphic to a direct product $K_1 \times K_2$. We note that the Cartan

subalgebra \mathfrak{t}_0^c is also decomposed into a direct sum $\mathfrak{t}_0^c = (\mathfrak{t}_1^c)_0 + (\mathfrak{t}_2^c)_0$.

Corollary 2.7 (cf. [6] Corollary 4.4; [4] Proposition 4.1.3). *In the setting as above, if a θ -stable parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{q} is given by $\mathfrak{a} \in \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_1^c)_0$, then the restriction $(\mathcal{R}_q^{\theta})^j(W)_{|K_1}$ ($j \in \mathbf{N}$) is K_1 -admissible for any finite dimensional metaplectic $(\mathfrak{l}, (L \cap K)^\sim)$ -module W .*

We note that Corollaries (2.6),(2.7) are deduced from Corollary (2.5) by using $\bar{C}(\mathfrak{h}) = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_0^* \cap \bar{C}$, $\bar{C}(\mathfrak{k}_1) = \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_2^c)_0^* \cap \bar{C}$, respectively.

Remark 2.8. The above corollaries (2.4),(2.5),(2.6) are valid if we replace H by any reductive subgroup H' containing H , because of Corollary (1.3) in [6].

§ 3. A necessary condition for discrete decomposability. In § 2, we have given a sufficient condition that the restriction of a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module X has an H -admissible restriction with respect to a subgroup H . Conversely, we will find a necessary condition in terms of associated varieties of \mathfrak{g} -modules in this section.

We recall that the associated variety of a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module X of finite length is defined by

$$\mathcal{V}(X) \equiv \mathcal{V}_G(X) = \text{Supp}_{S(\mathfrak{g})}(\text{gr}(X)) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

as the support in \mathfrak{g}^* of the associated graded module $\text{gr}(X)$ over the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$, with respect to a good filtration (see [1]). It is known that $\mathcal{V}(X)$ is a subset of the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}^* \equiv \mathcal{N}^*(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Let H be a closed subgroup that is reductive in G . We fix a Cartan involution θ of G which makes H stable so that $H \cap K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of H . Write the projection $\text{pr}_{G-H} : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ as before.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose X is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module of finite length. Assume that the restriction $X_{|H}$ is H -admissible. Let Y be any $(\mathfrak{h}, H \cap K)$ -module occurring as a direct summand of X . Then we have*

$$\text{pr}_{G-H}(\mathcal{V}_G(X)) \subset \mathcal{V}_H(Y).$$

This theorem gives rise to an obstruction for the admissibility of the restriction of a unitary representation.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose X is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module of finite length. Assume that the restriction $X_{|H}$ is H -admissible. Then*

$$\text{pr}_{G-H}(\mathcal{V}_G(X)) \subset \mathcal{N}^*(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Applying Corollary (3.2) to $X = A_q(\lambda)$, we have:

Corollary 3.3. *Let us identify \mathfrak{g}^* with \mathfrak{g} via the Killing form. Assume a θ -stable parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{u}$ of \mathfrak{g} satisfies*

$$\mathrm{pr}_{G-H}(\mathrm{Ad}(K_C)(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p})) \not\subset \mathcal{N}^*(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Then the restriction of $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) \in \widehat{G}$ to H is not H -admissible.

Remark 3.4. If $H = K$, then the assumption of Theorem (3.1) is always satisfied. In this special case, Theorem (3.1) implies a well-known result $\mathrm{pr}_{G-K}(\mathcal{V}_G(X)) = \{0\}$ (see [9] Corollary 5.13) because the associated variety of a finite dimensional representation is zero. In a general case where H is non-compact, $\mathrm{pr}_{G-H}(\mathcal{V}_G(X))$ is not necessarily $\{0\}$.

Finally, we mention a useful information about \widehat{H} occurring as direct summands of the restriction $X|_H$, as an elementary application of associated varieties. This helps us to understand a strange phenomenon about the direct summands occurring in the restriction of $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)|_H$, which was pointed out in [6] Introduction.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose X is an irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. Assume that X is H -admissible. Let Y_1, Y_2 be any irreducible $(\mathfrak{h}, H \cap K)$ -module occurring as a direct summand of the restriction X to $(\mathfrak{h}, H \cap K)$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{V}_H(Y_1) = \mathcal{V}_H(Y_2).$$

References

- [1] W. Borho and J. L. Brylinski: Differential operators on homogeneous spaces. I. *Invent. Math.*, **69**, 437–476 (1982).
- [2] M. Kashiwara, T. Kawai and T. Kimura: *Foundations of Algebraic Analysis*. Princeton Math. Series, **37** (1986).
- [3] M. Kashiwara and M. Vergne: K -types and singular spectrum. *Lect. Notes in Math.*, vol. 728, pp. 177–200 (1979).
- [4] T. Kobayashi: Singular Unitary Representations and Discrete Series for Indefinite Stiefel Manifolds $U(\mathfrak{p}, q; \mathbf{F})/U(\mathfrak{p} - m, q; \mathbf{F})$. vol. 462, *Memoirs A. M. S.* (1992).
- [5] T. Kobayashi: The restriction of $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ to reductive subgroups. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **69A**, 262–267 (1993).
- [6] T. Kobayashi: Discrete decomposability of the restriction of $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ with respect to reductive subgroups and its applications. *Invent. Math.*, **117**, 181–205 (1994).
- [7] D. Vogan: Unitary Representations of Reductive Lie Groups. *Ann. Math. Stud.*, **118**, Princeton U. P. (1987).
- [8] D. Vogan: Irreducibility of discrete series representations for semisimple symmetric spaces. *Advanced Studies in Pure Math.*, **14**, 191–221 (1988).
- [9] D. Vogan: Associated varieties and unipotent representations. *Harmonic Analysis on Reductive Lie Groups*, vol. 101, Birkhäuser pp. 315–388 (1991).